

World Journal of *Nephrology*

World J Nephrol 2023 January 25; 12(1): 1-20



MINIREVIEWS

- 1 Obstructive uropathy - acute and chronic medical management
Yaxley J, Yaxley W
- 10 'Children Kidney Care Centers': Rationale, requirements and recommendations for best facilities and better future
Jain S

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Nephrology*, Jia-Fu Feng, MD, Professor, Reader, Department of Clinical Laboratory, Mianyang Central Hospital, School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Mianyang 621000, Sichuan Province, China. jiafufeng@foxmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Nephrology* (WJN, *World J Nephrol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of nephrology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJN mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of nephrology and covering a wide range of topics including acute kidney injury, acute or chronic interstitial nephritis, AIDS-associated nephropathy, anuria, chronic kidney disease and related complications, CKD-MBD, diabetes insipidus, diabetic nephropathies, Fanconi syndrome, glomerular diseases, inborn or acquired errors renal tubular transport, renal hypertension, kidney cortex necrosis, renal artery obstruction, renal nutcracker syndrome, renal tuberculosis, renal tubular acidosis, thrombotic microangiopathy, uremia, and Zellweger syndrome, *etc.*

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJN is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Si Zhao*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Yan-Xia Xing*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Nephrology

ISSN

ISSN 2220-6124 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

February 6, 2012

FREQUENCY

Bimonthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Li Zuo, Ying-Yong Zhao

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjnet.com/2220-6124/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

January 25, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Obstructive uropathy – acute and chronic medical management

Julian Yaxley, William Yaxley

Specialty type: Urology and nephrology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B, B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): D
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Cabezuelo AS, Spain; Marickar F, India; Stepanova OZ

Received: August 31, 2022

Peer-review started: August 31, 2022

First decision: September 27, 2022

Revised: October 27, 2022

Accepted: December 21, 2022

Article in press: December 21, 2022

Published online: January 25, 2023



Julian Yaxley, Division of Medicine, Queensland Health, Brisbane 4001, QLD, Australia

Julian Yaxley, School of Medicine and Dentistry, Griffith University, Southport 4215, Australia

William Yaxley, Department of Urology, Queen Elizabeth II Jubilee Hospital, Coopers Plains, 4108, Australia

Corresponding author: Julian Yaxley, MBBS, FRACP, Doctor, Division of Medicine, Queensland Health, 33 Charlotte Street, Brisbane 4001, QLD, Australia.
julianyaxley@yahoo.com.au

Abstract

Obstructive uropathy is an important cause of acute and chronic kidney disease. Decompression of the urinary tract is an essential aspect of treatment. The cause and aetiology of obstruction typically determine the surgical approach. Acute relief of obstruction is frequently complicated by fluid and electrolyte imbalance. Standard therapeutic interventions for acute or chronic renal failure also apply for cases of obstructive uropathy. This narrative review summarises the early and long-term medical management of obstructive uropathy.

Key Words: Obstructive uropathy; Nephrology; Urology; Post-obstructive; Diuresis

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Obstructive uropathy is a prevalent cause of acute and chronic kidney disease. Urinary tract decompression is the single most beneficial aspect of management; renal impairment is frequently reversible and the long-term renal prognosis is generally excellent. Subsequent medical care is an important but underappreciated supplement to surgical treatment. Acute relief of obstruction is often complicated by disorders of sodium and potassium balance and post-obstructive diuresis. Longer-term management principles are similar to those for other forms of chronic kidney disease.

Citation: Yaxley J, Yaxley W. Obstructive uropathy – acute and chronic medical management. *World J Nephrol* 2023; 12(1): 1-9

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-6124/full/v12/i1/1.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5527/wjn.v12.i1.1>

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive uropathy is an important and potentially reversible cause of acute and chronic kidney disease. It may be broadly defined as a functional or structural impediment to urinary flow with resulting renal injury. Some sources label obstructive ‘uropathy’ as the condition causing a blockage to the flow of urine and obstructive ‘nephropathy’ as the ensuing renal parenchymal disease. With an incidence of 1.7 per 1000 people[1], obstructive uropathy accounts for approximately 10% of all cases of both acute and chronic kidney disease[2,3], including 5% of the chronic dialysis population[4]. Post-renal causes of kidney disease are particularly common in paediatric and geriatric groups.

Obstructive uropathy can be classified as acute or chronic, unilateral or bilateral, partial or complete, and intrinsic or extrinsic. There is an array of causes (Table 1) affecting both the upper and lower urinary tract; obstruction may occur anywhere from the renal calyces to the urethral meatus. The single most common cause of obstructive uropathy is benign prostatic hyperplasia, probably followed by neurogenic bladder[2]. In women the most frequent aetiology is a pelvic mass, while ureteric calculi are the major contributor in middle-aged adults and patients with a solitary kidney[2,5].

Prompt urinary system decompression is vital, which may be achieved through a variety of methods depending on the cause and site of blockage. Urologists are primarily responsible for relieving obstruction and for treatment of the underlying lesion. However, collaboration with nephrologists may be requested because sudden decompression is frequently complicated by abnormal fluid and electrolyte balance and long-term renal insufficiency. Although longstanding experience and high-quality data support a spectrum of urologic interventions, limited published evidence exists to guide the medical management of obstructive kidney diseases in the acute and chronic context. Obstructive uropathy is less represented in the literature than other causes of medical kidney disease. This evidence-based narrative review outlines the acute and post-decompression medical management of obstructive uropathy.

SEARCH METHODS

A structured search of the PubMed database was undertaken from inception to September 2022, using a range of applicable search terms in various combinations, such as “obstructive uropathy”, “post-obstructive diuresis”, and “bladder decompression”. Results were screened for relevance. A broad selection of articles were obtained, including clinical trials, commentaries, and case series. Additional papers were retrieved by manually searching guidelines or article reference lists. Articles were limited to the English language. Results of this literature search were synthesised to generate this narrative review.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF URINARY TRACT OBSTRUCTION AND DECOMPRESSION

Obstructive uropathy pathogenesis begins with a post-renal obstructing lesion that impedes urinary flow. A sequence of physiologic and pathophysiologic events develop thereafter, the severity of which is related to the degree of obstruction. Continuous urine production is initially maintained through normal glomerular filtration and urinary tract peristalsis, resulting in increased pressure proximal to the blockage. The high-pressure system is perpetuated by compensatory smooth muscle stretching and hypertrophy (shown macroscopically by hydroureteronephrosis). Raised upper tract pressure reduces net hydraulic pressure thereby lowering the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and reduces renal perfusion which subsequently causes ischaemic injury. These processes beget inflammation, tubular atrophy, and interstitial fibrosis within 2 h of total obstruction[3,4]. Urinary acidification and concentration mechanisms become impaired (‘hyposthenuria’), manifesting as blood electrolyte derangements and polyuria, which are relatively unpredictable and determined by affected sections of renal cortex and medulla[6].

Unremitting obstruction will eventually lead to scarring and atony and culminate in end-stage renal failure (ESRF), over days to months. In experimental models, some renal function persists for at least 2 wk after ureteric ligation but not longer than approximately 4 wk[7,8]. The onset of complete obstruction does not induce an abrupt cessation of kidney function because once a pressure threshold is met, backward urine leakage into the interstitium occurs (‘pyelointerstitial backflow’) with subsequent lymphatic drainage[9]. This safety mechanism also explains why spontaneous rupture of the obstructed collecting system is very rare.

Relief of urinary tract obstruction results in reversal of the processes above. Convalescence may be brisk owing to functional hypertrophy of unaffected nephrons, but incomplete renal recovery is common.

Table 1 Causes of urinary tract obstruction by anatomical level

Level of urinary tract obstruction	Causes
Upper tract (kidney, ureter)	Calculi Tumour Upper tract bleeding (<i>e.g.</i> , bleeding renal cancers, traumatic) Anatomic abnormality (<i>e.g.</i> , pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction) Papillae sloughing (<i>e.g.</i> , pyelonephritis, tuberculosis) Ureteric stricture Ureterocoele
Lower tract (bladder, prostate, urethra)	Calculi Tumour Neurogenic bladder Benign prostatic hyperplasia Prostatitis Cystocoele Bleeding (<i>i.e.</i> , clot retention) Urethral stricture Posterior urethral valves
Extrinsic	Retroperitoneal fibrosis Aneurysm Pregnancy Faecal impaction Pelvic organ prolapse Phimosis

SURGICAL ISSUES

The site and aetiology of urinary tract obstruction determine the surgical approach. For example, an upper tract process may necessitate ureteric stenting or placement of a nephrostomy tube to achieve adequate decompression of the blocked collecting system, while lower tract pathology (which is typically prostatic in nature for men) may benefit from pharmacotherapy, bladder catheterization, or bladder outlet surgery depending on the acuity and degree of obstruction. There is a myriad of surgical options and techniques employed in the management of urinary tract obstruction, for which a full discussion is beyond the scope of this article.

Definitive urologic surgery should be delayed if possible until after the patient is medically stable and urgent decompression has been accomplished. Resuscitation and emergency drainage are particularly important in cases of obstruction-related severe renal failure or in the setting of an 'infected obstructed' kidney. Temporising interventions, such as percutaneous nephrostomy or bladder catheter insertion, almost always precede a secondary corrective procedure performed at a later date. Medical issues requiring optimisation are often encountered at this initial presentation rather than the time of elective surgery.

Rapid bladder drainage may result in decompression haematuria, or haematuria *ex vacuo*. Relief of a chronically distended bladder, which is associated with a friable bladder wall and capillary damage, leads to macroscopic bleeding in approximately 10% of cases[10]. Decompression haematuria is almost always transient and of little clinical importance. Irrigation is sometimes required. A small randomised control trial demonstrated no benefit in gradual bladder drainage compared to rapid drainage with respect to the risk of macrohaematuria[11].

It should be recognised that urgent decompression is not indicated for all patients with urinary tract obstruction. Those with chronic symptoms, for example men seen in the outpatient setting with lower urinary tract symptoms and bladder outflow obstruction, in the absence of complications are generally suitable for a trial of medical therapy which can be complemented by elective surgery where needed. Complications that should trigger immediate bladder catheterisation include hydronephrosis, chronic urinary retention (usually defined as post-void residual bladder volumes of greater than around 300

mL), and renal impairment (obstructive uropathy).

FLUID MANAGEMENT

Clinical background and genesis

Sudden reversal of obstruction is followed by a polyuric phase in approximately two-thirds of patients with obstructive uropathy[12]. Polyuria is typically a physiologic response in which the kidney aims to restore euvolaemia and normal plasma concentrations, conceptually similar to the polyuric phase of recovering acute tubular necrosis. This osmotic diuresis of retained fluid, urea, and other nitrogenous solutes usually resolves within 24 h.

Prolonged or marked polyuria may be pathologic and extend beyond the reestablishment of homeostasis. The working term for this clinical situation is post-obstructive diuresis (POD). POD is defined as urine output greater than 200 mL/h for at least 2 consecutive hours or a urine output exceeding 3 L in 24 h[12,13]. POD seldom lasts longer than 48 h. Mechanisms of POD include impaired urinary concentrating ability due to aquaporin downregulation and loss of medullary tonicity, and dysfunction of tubular transporters because of cell apoptosis. POD affects approximately 2% of patients with complete unilateral obstruction and up to 50% of patients with bilateral obstruction[14,15]. Risk factors include complete or chronic obstruction. Risk is also proportionate to the creatinine elevation and residual bladder volume at the time of presentation; a bladder volume greater than 1500 mL prior to decompression is often associated with POD[13,16].

Treatment

Mild polyuria typically resolves without any intervention within a few hours or days and oral hydration as an outpatient therapy is generally sufficient. Patients are generally asymptomatic apart from occasional postural hypotension. Patients should be instructed to drink to thirst and avoid dehydration with a minimal daily fluid intake of approximately 2 L or 25 mL/kg. Self-monitoring of urine colour can be a simple guide allowing patients to assess their hydration status.

POD, a significant diuresis beyond 2 d, or any episodes of hypotension warrant hospitalisation for observation and fluid replacement because of the risk of electrolyte abnormalities or hypovolaemia, which may precipitate cardiovascular collapse. Regular monitoring of vital signs, an accurate fluid balance chart, and daily weights are essential. Postural blood pressure checks are also useful. Hourly urine output measurement is indicated until patient condition settles. The urine drainage catheter should be allowed to drain freely without intermittent clamping as this allows accurate assessment of urine output; historical concerns surrounding rapid decompression are unfounded, as free drainage has not been reliably shown to be associated with more complications than gradual decompression[17,18].

There is no consensus on the best fluid replacement strategy in POD and treatment must be individualised. Controlled trials to inform practice are absent. Fluid prescribing can be complex in post-obstruction patients with ongoing losses, haemodynamic instability, and electrolyte abnormalities. POD is a unique scenario where replacement fluid is administered to patients who are volume-overloaded, in anticipation of steady ongoing losses. A useful strategy is to mentally separate the patient with POD into a volume-overloaded phase and a volume-depleted phase. In the initial volume-overload phase, a preferred method is to administer intravenous fluids at 50% the rate of the preceding hour's urine output. This allows for controlled weight loss and downward titration of intravenous fluid replacement without driving endless diuresis. Crystalloid solutions are recommended rather than colloid; the type of crystalloid depends on the subject's biochemical parameters. Enteral solutions may be appropriate in reliable patients who can manage comfortably. Should an ensuing hypovolaemic phase occur, standard resuscitation principles apply; therapy should account for deficits, maintenance requirements, and ongoing losses. Most patients do not reach a hypovolaemic phase as they successfully achieve homeostasis and diuresis abates with decremented fluid replacement.

ELECTROLYTE DERANGEMENTS

Clinical background and genesis

Obstructive uropathy may be complicated by a number of electrolyte abnormalities, both before and after acute relief of obstruction, particularly in the context of high-grade chronic obstruction[19]. Laboratory findings vary depending on the degree of corticomedullary damage, GFR, and volume status. Early post-renal obstruction generally produces a state of tubular solute wasting, notably of sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, magnesium, calcium, and phosphate. As renal function declines, these abnormalities may be accompanied by gradual retention of potassium, hydrogen, chloride, and ammonium. Obstructive uropathy is a relatively common cause of proximal and distal renal tubular acidosis. Findings may be indistinguishable from other causes of acute or chronic kidney injury.

Sodium and potassium disorders are the most serious and frequent considerations in practice. Although the majority of cases are mild, life-threatening or refractory presentations are sometimes encountered. An overview of the management of sodium and potassium disorders in the setting of urinary tract obstruction is presented below. Other acid-base and electrolyte problems are clinically insignificant without ESRF and will not be discussed in this review.

Hyponatraemia

Hyponatraemia as a consequence of plasma dilution and tubulopathy is a common finding. It often takes several weeks following decompression before plasma sodium concentration returns to a normal range[20]. Mild hyponatraemia between 130-135 mmol/L requires no specific treatment because it should not be associated with symptoms or clinical complications. Liberal fluid intake should be avoided and a normal diet encouraged, effectively being a fluid restriction in the face of post-decompression polyuria. However, for obvious hypervolaemic hyponatraemia, standard fluid restriction is appropriate.

Moderate or severe hyponatraemia requires strict monitoring of fluid balance and plasma sodium. The frequency of blood testing must be decided on a case-by-case basis. Severe hyponatraemia is defined as a plasma sodium level below 120 mmol/L or the presence of hyponatraemia with neurological dysfunction. Like all electrolyte disturbances, the basic treatment strategy for severe hyponatraemia must establish the body's electrolyte deficit, the desired rate of correction, and the ongoing losses. There are many methods to estimate sodium balance and suitable replacement regimens, one of which is shown in [Supplementary Table 1](#). While useful, prediction formulas are relatively inaccurate and should be interpreted within the overall clinical picture. Sodium replenishment should be adjusted according to patient progress and serial blood sampling; gradual correction is preferable. With respect to POD, although precise sodium calculations can be attempted, administration of intravenous 0.9% sodium chloride at half the urine output rate is ultimately sufficient in the majority of cases.

Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is a widespread surgical treatment for patients with obstructive uropathy, and the TURP syndrome therefore warrants special mention. TURP syndrome is characterised by dilutional hyponatraemia developing early post-TURP due to absorption of hypotonic irrigation solution through open prostatic venous sinusoids. Patients can absorb more than 1 L of fluid intraoperatively in this way. This potentially life-threatening syndrome is becoming increasingly rare as recognition and preventative measures improve. Use of bipolar diathermy with isotonic irrigation solution has reduced its incidence. TURP syndrome is usually effectively managed with fluid restriction and diuretics.

Hypernatraemia

Prolonged diuresis of dilute urine may result in a hypernatraemic volume-depleted state. Such patients require net rehydration rather than tapered chasing of the urine output. The incidence of hypernatraemia in obstructive uropathy is unknown but appears relatively small. Onset is typically several days post-decompression. All episodes are serious due to the risk of cerebral oedema; hypernatraemia is probably among the strongest predictors of death in individuals with POD.

Like the management of hyponatraemia, treatment is based on an estimate of water deficit and ongoing losses and is regularly adjusted according to response. Hypotonic crystalloid such as 5% glucose should be used to reach a target plasma sodium at the upper limit of normal at 145 mmol/L ([Supplementary Table 2](#)).

Hypokalaemia

Hypokalaemia is the commonest electrolyte abnormality of obstructive uropathy. It is most often encountered immediately after relief of obstruction due to urinary wasting and is self-limiting; approximately 30% of episodes of POD are associated with hypokalaemia[21]. Potassium supplementation can be difficult to predict and usually requires repeated assessment and titration. As a general rule, each 1 mmol/L fall in plasma potassium concentration equates to a body deficiency of around 200 mmol. Oral potassium replacement is generally adequate and is guided by biochemistry results, ensuring a serum level greater than at least 2.5 mmol/L but ideally above 3.5 mmol/L. Insoluble and effervescent potassium tablets each contain approximately 8 mmol and 14 mmol of potassium, respectively. Severe hypokalaemia with plasma potassium below 2.5 mmol/L deserves cardiac monitoring and intravenous replacement. The maximum daily dose by any route must be less than 400 mmol to avoid malignant arrhythmias. Simultaneous magnesium supplementation is necessary for concurrent hypomagnesaemia.

Hyperkalaemia

Post-renal obstruction complicated by severe renal impairment or focal injury of the distal tubules may give rise to hyperkalaemia. Hyperkalaemia is mostly a sign of established renal failure from a chronic process but can also occasionally evolve after prolonged post-decompression diuresis in subjects with normal kidney function.

Potassium-lowering therapies are not usually necessary since potassium homeostasis rapidly resets following collecting system decompression. Although hyperkalaemia treatment has not been widely studied in the specific context of uropathies, it is a well-known medical emergency and typical pharmacologic regimens apply. Readers should refer to standard reference texts. Retrospective data demonstrates that haemodialysis is utilised in fewer than 15% of acute hospitalisations for obstructive uropathy[22]. Patients requiring dialysis from the time of index presentation almost always imply undetected chronic urinary tract obstruction.

PERIOPERATIVE MEDICATION MANGEMENT

Medication adjustments are frequently necessary following urinary tract decompression. For example, dosing is influenced by kidney function which is frequently poor and fluctuating in this scenario, and nephrotoxins should be withheld. Common considerations are listed in Table 2.

PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPY FOR OBSTRUCTIVE UROPATHY

It is usually appropriate for patients with obstructive uropathy to receive nephrology follow-up. There is no directly proven reno-protective medical therapy for obstructive uropathy beyond standard measures such as cardiovascular risk factor reduction and proteinuria-lowering agents. Statins have improved renal recovery in animal studies but there is no supporting data in humans[23].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors appear similarly as effective for long-term renal preservation as in other causes of chronic kidney disease (CKD), but may aggravate injury if introduced too early post-obstruction[3,24-26]. Hypertension is prevalent among individuals with obstructive uropathy and, like in all forms of CKD, is associated with worse outcomes. Guideline-directed antihypertensive therapy is recommended[27].

Sodium-glucose transport protein 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors demonstrated an anti-fibrotic effect when introduced in laboratory rats exposed to iatrogenic ureteric obstruction[28]. In the Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (DAPA-CKD) randomised control trial, the group randomised to SGLT2 inhibitor treatment, including a small number of subjects with obstructive uropathy, experienced significant renal and cardiovascular benefits[29]. SGLT2 inhibitor use in the presence of an indwelling catheter or instrumentation may increase the risk of urological infection.

Given the prevalence of bladder outlet obstruction from prostatic hyperplasia, nephrologists also require some understanding of basic pharmacologic therapies for this problem (Table 2). Other specific causes of uropathy which benefit from adjuvant medical therapy include retroperitoneal fibrosis, with immune-modulators, nephrolithiasis in the form of calcium channel blockers, and cancer through chemotherapy.

PROGNOSIS

The most important predictors of renal recovery are the completeness and duration of obstruction, and the presence or absence of coexisting infection. There is an inverse relationship between chronicity and reversibility; urinary tract obstruction for less than 1 wk is typically associated with complete recovery. The majority of patients experience complete recovery of kidney function with reversal of obstruction. Most improvement occurs in the first fortnight following decompression but ongoing renal recovery may be seen for up to 6 mo. High-grade obstructive uropathy for more than 6-8 wk is said to be irreversible. However, with good preventative care the trajectory of CKD related to obstructive uropathy tends to be benign with only 3% of patients progressing to dialysis at 10 years[22]. A significant minority of patients commenced on dialysis at the time of diagnosis gradually improve and are freed from dialysis after several months[7].

Total destruction of an infected obstructed kidney may occur within a matter of days. Without decompression the infected obstructed kidney is associated with a 40% mortality[30], compared to a mortality rate of less than 5% following successful decompression[31].

Positive prognostic features for renal recovery include POD, younger age, a normal pre-morbid GFR, and lower grades of hydronephrosis as opposed to higher[14,32]. Obstruction severity is more important for renal outcome than aetiology, though bladder outflow obstruction appears to yield a better prognosis than upper tract obstruction because vesical trabeculation and hypertrophy protect the renal parenchyma from a high-pressure system.

Although obstructive uropathy is associated with low short-term mortality, intermediate- and long-term outcomes are poor, particularly for those older than 85 years of age[5]. The median 12-mo survival for patients presenting with malignant and non-malignant obstruction is roughly 40% and 90%, respectively[22].

Table 2 Common perioperative considerations and medical therapies for obstructive uropathy

Medication class	Comments
Perioperative care	
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	<p>Should be avoided or used cautiously in any form of acute or chronic kidney disease</p> <p>There is ample experimental and clinical evidence suggesting that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may worsen kidney function in patients with renal impairment, especially during a concomitant physiologic insult, and delay renal recovery from acute kidney injury (AKI)[33-36]. NSAIDs interfere with renal auto-regulation and can directly induce <i>de novo</i> AKI through several mechanisms.</p>
Antihypertensives	<p>Hypertension is frequently seen in patients with obstructive uropathy, due to volume expansion and upregulation of renin and erythropoietin release because of focal hypoxia[37,38]</p> <p>Hypertension may reverse rapidly following acute relief of obstruction and diuresis, so antihypertensive medications should be rationalised accordingly</p> <p>Renin-angiotensin system blockade, with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers, should be avoided or prescribed carefully due to the well-recognised risks of pre-renal AKI and hyperkalaemia</p>
Antibiotics	<p>Leucocytosis and raised inflammatory markers are commonly seen in acute presentations of urinary tract obstruction as part of the stress response. A low threshold for empiric antimicrobial coverage for urinary infection is prudent</p> <p>Renal drug clearance declines roughly in proportion to the drop in GFR. Antibiotic dosing or frequency may need to be reduced, depending on the agent. Antibiotics considered 'nephrotoxic' may need to be withheld, such as vancomycin and gentamicin</p> <p>Urosepsis in the context of an obstructed collecting system is tissue-invasive. Therefore, selected antibiotics must be broad-spectrum and penetrant, and reach therapeutic levels quickly. Intravenous ampicillin or ceftriaxone are typical choices, which can be modified based on culture sensitivities</p>
Obstructive uropathy pharmacologic therapies	
Alpha-1 adrenergic receptor antagonists	<p>Common uses: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, urolithiasis (medical expulsive therapy)</p> <p>Rationale: Induce smooth muscle relaxation, thereby enlarging ureteral and urethral calibre and improving flow</p> <p>Examples: Prazosin (non-selective), tamsulosin (selective), silodosin (selective)</p>
5-alpha reductase inhibitors	<p>Common uses: Benign prostatic hyperplasia</p> <p>Rationale: Targeted antiandrogen effect, thereby reducing prostate volume and the static component of bladder outlet obstruction</p> <p>Examples: Dutasteride, finasteride</p> <p>Combination tablets with alpha-1 adrenergic receptor antagonists are also widely available</p>
Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors	<p>Common uses: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, erectile dysfunction</p> <p>Rationale: Exact mechanism of action in lower urinary tract symptoms is unclear but may antagonise phosphodiesterase (PDE) receptors on smooth muscle cells, thus inducing urethral relaxation and improved urine flow, or increase bladder and prostate perfusion Examples: Sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil</p> <p>PDE-5 inhibitors are commonly prescribed for men with erectile dysfunction and coexisting features of prostatism.</p> <p>PDE-5 inhibitors may also be used in combination with alpha-1 adrenergic receptor antagonists or 5-alpha reductase inhibitors</p>

TAKEAWAY POINTS

The therapeutic approach to obstructive uropathy is less well understood than in other forms of acute or chronic renal failure. A joint effort between urologists and physicians is necessary. Priorities include catheterisation and surgical correction of the underlying lesion.

An early post-decompression polyuric phase with electrolyte losses is common, requiring judicious monitoring and replacement. Although usually mild, post-obstructive diuresis may be a complex process that quickly transitions from volume overload to dehydration and an attentive fluid management protocol is warranted. Nephrologists should be particularly wary of evolving hypernatraemia, which must be treated urgently.

Many patients develop CKD and should receive long-term nephrology follow-up. Cardiovascular risk factor reduction is pertinent and evidence-based, including blood pressure control and use of ACE inhibitors and SGLT2 inhibitors for reno-protection. The kidney-specific prognosis is generally favourable with few patients proceeding to dialysis.

CONCLUSION

Obstructive uropathy is a potentially reversible condition. Surgical decompression of the urinary system is the key component of care, but many surrounding medical issues must also be considered. Most cases of obstructive uropathy experience complete renal recovery, but a significant minority develop chronic kidney disease or require dialysis. Patients therefore benefit from inter-speciality collaboration between urologists and nephrologists.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Yaxley J conceived, researched, and drafted the manuscript; Yaxley W researched and drafted the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Australia

ORCID number: Julian Yaxley 0000-0002-0587-276X.

S-Editor: Ma YJ

L-Editor: Wang TQ

P-Editor: Ma YJ

REFERENCES

- 1 Klahr S. Seldin and Giebisch's The Kidney. 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2007: 2247-2282
- 2 Tseng T, Stoller M. Obstructive uropathy. *Clin Geriatr Med* 2009; **25**: 437-443
- 3 Mourmouris P, Chiras T, Papatsoris A. Obstructive uropathy: from etiopathology to therapy. *World J Nephrol Urol* 2014; **3**(1): 1-6
- 4 Steddon S, Ashman N. Oxford Handbook of Nephrology and Hypertension. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014: 726 p
- 5 Marshall J, Haber J, Josephson E. An evidence-based approach to the emergency department management of acute urinary retention. *Emerg Med Pract* 2014; **16**(1): 1-24
- 6 Murnaghan G. The physiology of hydronephrosis. *Postgrad Med J* 1958; **34** (389): 143-148
- 7 Cohen EP, Sobrero M, Roxe DM, Levin ML. Reversibility of long-standing urinary tract obstruction requiring long-term dialysis. *Arch Intern Med* 1992; **152**: 177-179 [PMID: 1728913]
- 8 Hiatt MJ, Ivanova L, Trnka P, Solomon M, Matsell DG. Urinary tract obstruction in the mouse: the kinetics of distal nephron injury. *Lab Invest* 2013; **93**: 1012-1023 [PMID: 23917879 DOI: 10.1038/labinvest.2013.90]
- 9 Cuttino JT Jr, Clark RL, Fried FA, Stevens PS. Microradiographic demonstration of pyelolymphatic backflow in the porcine kidney. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1978; **131**: 501-505 [PMID: 98998 DOI: 10.2214/ajr.131.3.501]
- 10 Gabriel C, Suchard JR. Hematuria Following Rapid Bladder Decompression. *Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med* 2017; **1**: 443-445 [PMID: 29849364 DOI: 10.5811/cpcem.2017.9.35803]
- 11 Boettcher S, Brandt AS, Roth S, Mathers MJ, Lazica DA. Urinary retention: benefit of gradual bladder decompression - myth or truth? *Urol Int* 2013; **91**: 140-144 [PMID: 23859894 DOI: 10.1159/000350943]
- 12 Chávez-Iñiguez JS, Navarro-Gallardo GJ, Medina-González R, Alcantar-Vallín L, García-García G. Acute Kidney Injury Caused by Obstructive Nephropathy. *Int J Nephrol* 2020; **2020**: 8846622 [PMID: 33312728 DOI: 10.1155/2020/8846622]
- 13 Halbgewachs C, Domes T. Postobstructive diuresis: pay close attention to urinary retention. *Can Fam Physician* 2015; **61**: 137-142 [PMID: 25821871]
- 14 Roth JD, Lesier JD, Casey JT, Szymanski KM, Whittam BM, Misseri R, Rink RC, Cain MP. Incidence of pathologic postobstructive diuresis after resolution of ureteropelvic junction obstruction with a normal contralateral kidney. *J Pediatr Urol* 2018; **14**: 557.e1-557.e6 [PMID: 30139574 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpuro.2018.07.012]
- 15 Yap E, Salifu M, Ahmad T, Sanusi A, Joseph A, Mallappallil M. Atypical Causes of Urinary Tract Obstruction. *Case Rep Nephrol* 2019; **2019**: 4903693 [PMID: 30937201 DOI: 10.1155/2019/4903693]
- 16 Harrison S, Lasri A, Jabbour Y, Slaoui A, Djamel J, Karmouni T, El Khader K, Koutani A, Andaloussi A. Post-obstructive diuresis: physiopathology, diagnosis and management after urological treatment of obstructive renal failure. *Open J Urol* 2018; **8**: 267-274
- 17 Foster MC, Upsdell SM, O'Reilly PH. Urological myths. *BMJ* 1990; **301**: 1421-1423 [PMID: 2279158 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.301.6766.1421]

- 18 **Nyman MA**, Schwenk NM, Silverstein MD. Management of urinary retention: rapid versus gradual decompression and risk of complications. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1997; **72**: 951-956 [PMID: [9379700](#) DOI: [10.1016/S0025-6196\(11\)63368-5](#)]
- 19 **Muldrow FP**, Duffy GJ, Kelly DG, Duff FA, Harrington C, Freaney R. Sodium diuresis after relief of obstructive uropathy. *N Engl J Med* 1966; **274**: 1294-1298 [PMID: [4160783](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJM196606092742304](#)]
- 20 **Moore FD**. Common patterns of water and electrolyte change in injury, surgery and disease. *N Engl J Med* 1958; **258**: 325-33 contd [PMID: [13504469](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJM195802132580705](#)]
- 21 **Yarger WE**, Aynedjian HS, Bank N. A micropuncture study of postobstructive diuresis in the rat. *J Clin Invest* 1972; **51**: 625-637 [PMID: [5011104](#) DOI: [10.1172/JCI106852](#)]
- 22 **Yang J**, Sun BG, Min HJ, Son YB, Kim TB, Lee J, Oh SW, Kim MG, Cho WY, Ahn SY, Ko GJ, Kwon YJ, Cha JJ, Kang YS, Cha DR, Jo SK. Impact of acute kidney injury on long-term adverse outcomes in obstructive uropathy. *Sci Rep* 2021; **11**: 23639 [PMID: [34880338](#) DOI: [10.1038/s41598-021-03033-0](#)]
- 23 **Kostapanos MS**, Liberopoulos EN, Elisaf MS. Statin pleiotropy against renal injury. *J Cardiometa Syndr* 2009; **4**: E4-E9 [PMID: [19245508](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1559-4572.2008.00052.x](#)]
- 24 **Ucero AC**, Benito-Martin A, Izquierdo MC, Sanchez-Niño MD, Sanz AB, Ramos AM, Berzal S, Ruiz-Ortega M, Egido J, Ortiz A. Unilateral ureteral obstruction: beyond obstruction. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2014; **46**: 765-776 [PMID: [24072452](#) DOI: [10.1007/s11255-013-0520-1](#)]
- 25 **Sinha A**, Bajpai M, Panda S, Ranjan S, Sharma MC. Unilateral ureteric obstruction: Role of renin angiotensin system blockade on renal recovery: An experimental study. *J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg* 2012; **17**: 49-53 [PMID: [22529547](#) DOI: [10.4103/0971-9261.93960](#)]
- 26 **Chen CO**, Park MH, Forbes MS, Thornhill BA, Kiley SC, Yoo KH, Chevalier RL. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition aggravates renal interstitial injury resulting from partial unilateral ureteral obstruction in the neonatal rat. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2007; **292**: F946-F955 [PMID: [17107943](#) DOI: [10.1152/ajprenal.00287.2006](#)]
- 27 **Ku E**, Lee BJ, Wei J, Weir MR. Hypertension in CKD: Core Curriculum 2019. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2019; **74**: 120-131 [PMID: [30898362](#) DOI: [10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.12.044](#)]
- 28 **Abbas NAT**, El Salem A, Awad MM. Empagliflozin, SGLT₂ inhibitor, attenuates renal fibrosis in rats exposed to unilateral ureteric obstruction: potential role of klotho expression. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg Arch Pharmacol* 2018; **391**: 1347-1360 [PMID: [30090949](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00210-018-1544-y](#)]
- 29 **Heerspink HJL**, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, Chertow GM, Greene T, Hou FF, Mann JFE, McMurray JJV, Lindberg M, Rossing P, Sjöström CD, Toto RD, Langkilde AM, Wheeler DC; DAPA-CKD Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **383**: 1436-1446 [PMID: [32970396](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa2024816](#)]
- 30 **Huang JJ**, Tseng CC. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: clinicoradiological classification, management, prognosis, and pathogenesis. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; **160**: 797-805 [PMID: [10737279](#) DOI: [10.1001/archinte.160.6.797](#)]
- 31 **Ryan J**, O'Neill E, McLornan L. Urosepsis and the urologist! *Curr Urol* 2021; **15**: 39-44 [PMID: [34084120](#) DOI: [10.1097/CU9.000000000000006](#)]
- 32 **Hamdi A**, Hajage D, Van Glabeke E, Belenfant X, Vincent F, Gonzalez F, Cioldi M, Obadia E, Chelha R, Pallot JL, Das V. Severe post-renal acute kidney injury, post-obstructive diuresis and renal recovery. *BJU Int* 2012; **110**: E1027-E1034 [PMID: [22583774](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1464-410X.2012.11193.x](#)]
- 33 **Clive DM**, Stoff JS. Renal syndromes associated with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *N Engl J Med* 1984; **310**: 563-572 [PMID: [6363936](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJM198403013100905](#)]
- 34 **Swan SK**, Rudy DW, Lasseter KC, Ryan CF, Buechel KL, Lambrecht LJ, Pinto MB, Dilzer SC, Obrda O, Sundblad KJ, Gumbs CP, Ebel DL, Quan H, Larson PJ, Schwartz JI, Musliner TA, Gertz BJ, Brater DC, Yao SL. Effect of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition on renal function in elderly persons receiving a low-salt diet. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; **133**: 1-9 [PMID: [10877734](#) DOI: [10.7326/0003-4819-133-1-200007040-00002](#)]
- 35 **Whelton A**, Stout RL, Spilman PS, Klassen DK. Renal effects of ibuprofen, piroxicam, and sulindac in patients with asymptomatic renal failure. A prospective, randomized, crossover comparison. *Ann Intern Med* 1990; **112**: 568-576 [PMID: [2183665](#) DOI: [10.7326/0003-4819-112-8-568](#)]
- 36 **Jeon N**, Park H, Segal R, Brumback B, Winterstein AG. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-associated acute kidney injury: does short-term NSAID use pose a risk in hospitalized patients? *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2021; **77**: 1409-1417 [PMID: [33772627](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00228-021-03121-0](#)]
- 37 **Lerma E**, Berns J, Nissenson A. Current Diagnosis and Treatment. Nephrology and Hypertension. 1st ed. New York: McGraw Hill Companies Inc; 2009. 146 p.
- 38 **Souma T**, Suzuki N, Yamamoto M. Renin erythropoietin-producing cells in health and disease. *Front Physiol* 2015; **6**: 167



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

