World Journal of *Methodology*

World J Methodol 2022 May 20; 12(3): 83-192





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of *Methodology*

Contents

Bimonthly Volume 12 Number 3 May 20, 2022

MINIREVIEWS

83 Molecular and serology methods in the diagnosis of COVID-19: An overview

Luz MS, da Silva Júnior RT, Santos de Santana GA, Rodrigues GS, Crivellaro HL, Calmon MS, dos Santos CFSM, Silva LGO, Ferreira QR, Mota GR, Heim H, Silva FAFD, de Brito BB, de Melo FF

92 Network meta-analyses: Methodological prerequisites and clinical usefulness

Christofilos SI, Tsikopoulos K, Tsikopoulos A, Kitridis D, Sidiropoulos K, Stoikos PN, Kavarthapu V

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and Translational Research

99 COVID-19 and thyroid disease: An infodemiological pilot study

Ilias I, Milionis C, Koukkou E

Observational Study

107 Lutetium in prostate cancer: Reconstruction of patient-level data from published trials and generation of a multi-trial Kaplan-Meier curve

Messori A

113 Airway management training program for nurses via online course in COVID-19 preparedness Gupta B, Jain G, Pathak S, Mishra P, Kumar H, Rao S

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Single-use duodenoscopes for the prevention of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography -related 122 cross-infection - from bench studies to clinical evidence

Lisotti A, Fusaroli P, Napoleon B, Cominardi A, Zagari RM

132 Nature and mechanism of immune boosting by Ayurvedic medicine: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials

Vallish BN, Dang D, Dang A

META-ANALYSIS

148 Assessment of diagnostic capacity and decision-making based on the 2015 American Thyroid Association ultrasound classification system

Hurtado-Lopez LM, Carrillo-Muñoz A, Zaldivar-Ramirez FR, Basurto-Kuba EOP, Monroy-Lozano BE

164 Participant attrition and perinatal outcomes in prenatal vitamin D-supplemented gestational diabetes mellitus patients in Asia: A meta-analysis

Saha S, Saha S



Contents

World Journal of Methodology

Bimonthly Volume 12 Number 3 May 20, 2022

179 Global prevalence of occult hepatitis C virus: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Mbaga DS, Kenmoe S, Njiki Bikoï J, Takuissu GR, Amougou-Atsama M, Atenguena Okobalemba E, Ebogo-Belobo JT, Bowo-Ngandji A, Oyono MG, Magoudjou-Pekam JN, Kame-Ngasse GI, Nka AD, Feudjio AF, Zemnou-Tepap C, Adamou Velhima E, Ndzie Ondigui JL, Nayang-Mundo RA, Touangnou-Chamda SA, Kamtchueng Takeu Y, Taya-Fokou JB, Mbongue Mikangue CA, Kenfack-Momo R, Kengne-Ndé C, Sake CS, Esemu SN, Njouom R, Ndip L, Riwom Essama SH

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic and surgical diseases: Correspondence 191

Sookaromdee P, Wiwanitkit V



Contents

Bimonthly Volume 12 Number 3 May 20, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Methodology, Nikhil Sivnand, MBBS, MS, Fellowship in Basic Otology, Assistant Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Puducherry 607402, India. nikhilsivanand@mgmcri.ac.in

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Methodology (WJM, World J Methodol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of methodology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJM mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of methodology and covering a wide range of topics including breath tests, cardiac imaging techniques, clinical laboratory techniques, diagnostic self-evaluation, cardiovascular diagnostic techniques, digestive system diagnostic techniques, endocrine diagnostic techniques, neurological diagnostic techniques, obstetrical and gynecological diagnostic techniques, ophthalmological diagnostic techniques, otological diagnostic techniques, radioisotope diagnostic techniques, respiratory system diagnostic techniques, surgical diagnostic techniques, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJM is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Wen-Wen Qi; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ji-Hong Liu.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
w oral journal of Wielhouloogy	https://www.wjghet.com/opg/gennio/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2222-0682 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
September 26, 2011	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
1 /	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Bimonthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bruno Megarbane	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wignet.com/2222-0682/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
https://www.wjglet.com/2222/0002/ethonaboard.htm	https://www.wgucccom/bpg/gennic/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 20, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJM

World Journal of Methodology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Methodol 2022 May 20; 12(3): 107-112

DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v12.i3.107

ISSN 2222-0682 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

Lutetium in prostate cancer: Reconstruction of patient-level data from published trials and generation of a multi-trial Kaplan-Meier curve

Andrea Messori

Grade E (Poor): 0

S, United States

2021

Received: October 26, 2021

Revised: December 18, 2021

Article in press: March 16, 2021

Published online: May 20, 2022

Accepted: March 16, 2021

Peer-review started: October 26,

First decision: December 17, 2021

Specialty type: Microscopy	Andrea Messori, Department of HTA, ESTAR Toscana and Regione Toscana, Firenze 50139, Italy
Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.	Corresponding author: Andrea Messori, PharmD, Senior Researcher, Department of HTA, ESTAR Toscana and Regione Toscana, <i>via</i> Alderotti 26/N, Firenze 50139, Italy. andrea.messori.it@gmail.com
Peer-review model: Single blind	
Peer-review report's scientific quality classification	Abstract
Grade A (Excellent): 0	BACKGROUND
Grade B (Very good): B	Lutenum has been snown to be an important potential innovation in pre-treated
Grade C (Good): C	Interastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Two clinical thats have evaluated
Grade D (Fair): 0	their results are discordant.

AIM

P-Reviewer: Liang L, China; Ogino To synthetize the available evidence on the effectiveness of lutetium in pre-treated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; and to test the application of a new artificial intelligence technique that synthetizes effectiveness based on reconstructed patient-level data.

METHODS

We employed a new artificial intelligence method (shiny method) to pool the survival data of these two trials and evaluate to what extent the lutetium cohorts differed from one another. The shiny technique employs an original reconstruction of individual patient data from the Kaplan-Meier curves. The progression-free survival graphs of the two lutetium cohorts were analyzed and compared.



RESULTS

The hazard ratio estimated was in favor of the vision trial; the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001). These results indicate that further studies on lutetium are needed because the survival data of the two trials published thus far are conflicting.

CONCLUSION

Our study confirms the feasibility of reconstructing patient-level data from



survival graphs in order to generate a survival statistics.

Key Words: Survival analysis; Individual patient data reconstruction; Kaplan-Meier curves; Meta-analysis; Prostate Cancer; Lutetium

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This paper describes the application of a new technique of individual-patient data reconstruction to the progression-free survival curves published in two trials evaluating lutetium in metastatic prostate cancer. Our analysis interpreted these survival data and showed discordant results between the two trials, that need to be addressed by further clinical research.

Citation: Messori A. Lutetium in prostate cancer: Reconstruction of patient-level data from published trials and generation of a multi-trial Kaplan-Meier curve. World J Methodol 2022; 12(3): 107-112 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2222-0682/full/v12/i3/107.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v12.i3.107

INTRODUCTION

Lutetium has been shown to be an important potential innovation in pre-treated metastatic prostate cancer, but the extent to which outcomes are improved by this treatment still needs to a fully investigated. Three studies have evaluated lutetium in this disease condition. One was phase II (therap trial[1]), the second was phase III (vision trial[2]); the third, which was an observational real-world study[3], differed from the first two because lutetium was given after radium-223.

In recent times, techniques that reconstruct individual patient data from the graphs of Kaplan-Meier curves have considerably improved in terms of performance and easy applicability^[4]. One advantage is that the availability of these techniques permits to combine multiple survival curves published in different trials without using any meta-analytical statistics. An example of this approach is presented herein. Our objective was two-fold: 1) to quantify the gain in progression-free survival determined by lutetium: 2) to demonstrate the applicability of techniques of patient-level data reconstruction in addressing specific questions based on time-to-event endpoints without the need to employ any metaanalytic statistics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We applied the shiny technique of individual patient data reconstruction[4] to the Kaplan-Meier graphs of progression-free survival reported in the therap phase-II trial[1] and in the vision phase III trial[2]. Both trials were conducted in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer previously treated for their metastatic disease. In the therap trial, the treatment group received Lu-PSMA-617 (6.0–8.5 GBq intravenously every 6 wk for up to six cycles) while the controls were given cabazitaxel (20 mg/m^2 intravenously every 3 wk for up to ten cycles). In the vision trial, the treatment group received ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 (7.4 GBq every 6 wk for four to six cycles) plus protocol-permitted standard care while the controls received standard care alone. In the therap trial, progression-free survival was defined as the interval from randomisation to first evidence of pupil-size artefact progression defined by an increase of at least 25% and at least 2 ng/mL after 12 wk (as per PCWG316), radiographic progression using locally reported computed tomography and bone scanning [Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 and PCWG3 criteria for bone lesions], commencement of non-protocol anticancer treatment, or death from any cause. In the vision trial, the end-point was image based progression free survival.

The progression-free survival graphs of the two lutenium cohorts by Hofman *et al*[1] for the therap trial (99 patients; follow-up of 18 mo; 90 events) and Sartor et al[2] for the vision trial (385 patients; follow-up of 30 mo; 254 events). For each of these two Kaplan-Meier curves, the graph was digitalized and converted into x-y data pairs using Webplotdigitizer (version 4.5, https://apps.automeris.io/wpd/). Then, the shiny package (version: 1.2.2.0; subprogram "Reconstruct Individual Patient Data"; https://www.trialdesign.org/one-page-shell.html#IPDfromKM, see reference[4]) was used to reconstruct patient-level data on the basis of x-y data pairs, total number of enrolled patients, and total number of events. Finally, the pooled survival curves were generated from the reconstructed patientlevel data and analyzed through standard Cox statistics. For this purpose we used three packages



WJM https://www.wjgnet.com

("coxph", "survfit", and "ggsurvplot") under the R-platform. The hazard ratio (HR) was estimated.

RESULTS

The shiny procedure combined with standard Kaplan-Meier statistics allowed us to compare the 99 patients given lutetium in the therap trial with the 385 patients given lutetium in the vision trial.

Figure 1 shows the two Kaplan-Meier curves generated from reconstructed patient-level data. The HR estimated from these curves favored the patients of the vision trial and was 0.59 (95% CI, 0.46 to 0.75). The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

When two or more randomised trials are available on a therapeutic issue and the clinical end-point is the form of time-to-event, synthetising the clinical evidence is a complex issue, and there is presently no consensus on which methodological approach should be preferred [5,6]. Pooling the values of HR is certainly the method most commonly used, but its important limitations have been widely recognised for many years (e.g. the inability to account for the length of follow-up, the inability to model variations of risk over time, the dimensionless nature of HR as opposed to the greater informative value of absolute parameters such as medians, etc.)[8]. The development of the restricted mean survival time has represented an advancement in this field[8,9], but the use of this parameter unfortunately remains low.

In this context, the marked improvement in performance of techniques that reconstruct individualpatient data[4] represents an important innovation, the role of which still needs to be fully evaluated. On the one hand, reconstructing individual-patient data is a mandatory pre-requisite to determine the RMST, and this explains the increased use of these reconstruction techniques when a single trial needs to be analysed[7]. On the other hand, another potential use of these techniques is being recognised when multiple trials are available: in such cases, these techniques offer a new methodological alternative to standard meta-analytic methods[5,6] and also to the more recent approaches where meta-analysis is based on the use of RMSTs[8,9].

The various parameters mentioned above (especially HR, RMST, and median) have been investigated for many years to identify their respective advantages and disadvantages, and the literature on this issue is wide^[7]. In contrast, the literature on the use of reconstructed survival curves is still in its early stages[4,6], and this holds true particularly when multiple trials are analysed and pooled together.

The experience described herein offers a limited but useful contribution to the development of metaanalysis-like methods based on reconstructed survival curves.

The two control groups of the two trials differed in the treatment they received, and so were not included in our analysis, which was focused only on the two lutetium groups of the two trials. In comparing these two group with one another, our results raise the need to explain the statistically different outcomes shown by the HR and presented in Figure 1.

The inclusion criteria of the therap and vision trials were very similar, and so they likely had no substantial role in determining this difference. In fact, in the therap trial, patients had metastatic castration-resistant cancer and PET eligibility criteria for the trial were PSMA-positive disease, and no sites of metastatic disease with discordant FDG-positive and PSMA-negative findings; previous treatment with androgen receptor-directed therapy was allowed. In the vision trial, patients had metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer previously treated with at least one androgenreceptor-pathway inhibitor and one or two taxane regimens and had PSMA-positive gallium-68 (68Ga)-labeled PSMA-11 and PET scans. While these differences in the inclusion criteria do not seem to suggest a better prognosis for patients included in either trial, a number of factors (e.g. environmental and lifestyle factors, tissue biomarkers, molecular pathological epidemiology, the microbiota, etc.) might have influenced tumor development and response to therapy. Hence, the discrepancies observed across the two trials included in our analysis might be explained by these factors. As regards innovative treatments such as lutetium, it should be stressed that molecular pathological epidemiology research has a growing role and is increasingly recognized to be a promising strategy to improve prediction of response to therapy.

In summary, the main strength of our analysis lies in the originality of the methodological approach that reflects the recent availability of very efficient patient data reconstruction techniques. The main limitation is represented by the indirect nature of the comparison between the two lutetium cohorts.

CONCLUSION

Our study indicates that further studies on Lu-PSMA-617 are needed because the survival data of the two trials published thus far demonstrate quite conflicting results. The example described in this paper



WJM https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier curves from reconstructed patient-level data. Pooled Kaplan-Meier survival curves obtained by reconstruction of individual patient data from two trials (therap[1] and vision[2]). Vision trial in red, therap trial in blue; time expressed in months. See text for details.

confirms the feasibility of reconstructing patient-level data from survival graphs in order to generate a survival statistics from these reconstructed data. To evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of this new methodological approach, further analyses will be needed.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Two trials have been published to assess the effectiveness of lutetium in metastatic prostate cancer. The need to convert these effectiveness data into a pooled estimate represents a useful opportunity to test an innovative technique of individual patient reconstruction based on the analysis of Kaplan-Meier curves (shiny method).

Research motivation

The main motivation was to test the performance of the shiny method based on a real data-set.

Research objectives

Clarifying the effectiveness of lutetium in metastatic prostate cancer and confirm the reliability of the shiny method as a tool for reconstructing individual patient data.

Research methods

The clinical trials that have thus far evaluated lutetium in metastatic prostate cancer have been identified by standard literature search. A pooled survival curve has been generated from these trials by using the shiny technique of individual patient data reconstruction.



WJM https://www.wjgnet.com

Research results

Two clinical trials were identified. A pooled Kaplan-Meier survival curve was generated that synthesizes the current evidence on the effectiveness of this treatment in this disease condition.

Research conclusions

A two-fold conclusion: First, lutetium is effective in metastatic prostate cancer; second, the Shiny technique can successfully be used to pool survival data from two trials without employing any metaanalytical method.

Research perspectives

The shiny technique has been confirmed to be a useful new tool for analyzing survival data from multiple trials and therefore deserves to be further applied in the analysis of clinical evidence.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Messori A is the sole author, read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

ORCID number: Andrea Messori 0000-0002-5829-107X.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: Sifact

S-Editor: Xing YX L-Editor: A P-Editor: Xing YX

REFERENCES

- Hofman MS, Emmett L, Sandhu S, Iravani A, Joshua AM, Goh JC, Pattison DA, Tan TH, Kirkwood ID, Ng S, Francis RJ, Gedye C, Rutherford NK, Weickhardt A, Scott AM, Lee ST, Kwan EM, Azad AA, Ramdave S, Redfern AD, Macdonald W, Guminski A, Hsiao E, Chua W, Lin P, Zhang AY, McJannett MM, Stockler MR, Violet JA, Williams SG, Martin AJ, Davis ID; TheraP Trial Investigators and the Australian and New Zealand Urogenital and Prostate Cancer Trials Group. [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 vs cabazitaxel in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (TheraP): a randomised, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet 2021; 397: 797-804 [PMID: 33581798 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00237-3]
- 2 Sartor O, de Bono J, Chi KN, Fizazi K, Herrmann K, Rahbar K, Tagawa ST, Nordquist LT, Vaishampayan N, El-Haddad G, Park CH, Beer TM, Armour A, Pérez-Contreras WJ, DeSilvio M, Kpamegan E, Gericke G, Messmann RA, Morris MJ, Krause BJ; VISION Investigators. Lutetium-177-PSMA-617 for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 1091-1103 [PMID: 34161051 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107322]
- 3 Sartor AO, la Fougère C, Essler M, Ezziddin S, Kramer G, Elllinger J, Nordquist L, Sylvester J, Paganelli G, Peer A, Bögemann M, Meltzer J, Sandström P, Verholen F, Song DY. Lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen ligand following radium-223 treatment in men with bone-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: real-world clinical experience. J Nucl Med 2021 [PMID: 34168015 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.121.262240]
- 4 Liu N, Zhou Y, Lee JJ. IPDfromKM: reconstruct individual patient data from published Kaplan-Meier survival curves. BMC Med Res Methodol 2021; 21: 111 [PMID: 34074267 DOI: 10.1186/s12874-021-01308-8]
- 5 Batson S, Greenall G, Hudson P. Review of the Reporting of Survival Analyses within Randomised Controlled Trials and the Implications for Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0154870 [PMID: 27149107 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154870]
- 6 Messori A, Trippoli S, Vaiani M. Survival Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data and Survival Meta-Analysis of Published (Aggregate) Data. Clin Drug Investig 2000; 20: 309-316
- 7 Messori A. The advantages of restricted mean survival time in analysing Kaplan-Meier survival curves: analysis of 55 articles published in the last 12 mo (preprint). Open Science Framework, 2021
- 8 Messori A, Bartoli L, Trippoli S. The restricted mean survival time as a replacement for the hazard ratio and the number needed to treat in long-term studies. ESC Heart Fail 2021; 8: 2345-2348 [PMID: 33733623 DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.13306]



9 Messori A, Bartoli L, Ferracane E, Trippoli S. Medical therapy, radiofrequency ablation or cryoballoon ablation as first-line treatment for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: interpreting efficacy through restricted mean survival time and network metaanalysis. Rev Cardiovasc Med 2021; 22: 557-561 [PMID: 34565059 DOI: 10.31083/j.rcm2203067]



Zaisbideng® WJM | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

