

70968\_Auto\_Edited.docx

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70968

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Ectopic intrauterine device in the bladder causing cystolithiasis: A case report**

Yu HT *et al.* Ectopic IUD in the bladder

Hai-Tao Yu, Yong Chen, Yong-Peng Xie, Ting-Bin Gan, Xin Gou

**Abstract**

**BACKGROUND**

An intrauterine device (IUD) is a commonly used contraceptive among women in China. It is widely used because it is safe, effective, simple, economic, and reversible. Among the possible complications, an ectopic IUD in the bladder is rare. It occurs insidiously, has a long course, is associated with a high risk for injury, and is difficult to treat.

**CASE SUMMARY**

A 44-year-old woman was admitted for repeated episodes of urinary frequency, urgency, and dysuria over three months. Laboratory tests revealed significantly elevated urine leukocytes and bacteria. Urine culture suggested colonization with *Enterococcus faecalis*. Abdominal computed tomography images suggested an abnormally positioned IUD that was protruding into the bladder. Cystoscopy revealed a metallic foreign body with multiple stones on its surface in the left posterior bladder wall. The foreign body measured approximately 1 cm. Hysteroscopy revealed the arm of a V-type metal IUD embedded in the middle and upper sections of the anterior wall of the cervical canal. The majority of the IUD was located in the uterine cavity. Cystoscopy was performed, and a holmium laser was utilized to break the stones

attached to the portion of the IUD in the bladder. The IUD was then removed through hysteroscopy.

## CONCLUSION

Ectopic IUDs in the bladder can be diagnosed with thorough imaging and safely removed through cystoscopy or hysteroscopy.

**Key Words:** Migrated intrauterine device; Cystoscopy; Hysteroscopy; Bladder stones; Urinary tract infection; Case report

## INTRODUCTION

According to the 1998 annual report of the World Health Organization, intrauterine devices (IUDs) are a cost-effective, reversible contraceptive method. They are commonly used worldwide and are the main contraceptive method among Chinese women<sup>[1]</sup>. According to statistics, the incidence of IUD displacement is approximately 0.1%-0.3%<sup>[2]</sup>. The spontaneous displacement of an IUD into the peri-uterine area may cause serious complications, such as vesicouterine fistulas, intestinal perforation, hydronephrosis, and even renal failure<sup>[3-7]</sup>. Intravesical translocation of an IUD is rare and may present as suprapubic pain or discomfort, dysuria, recurrent urinary tract infections, or stone formation. Removal through endoscopy or open surgery is currently recommended as the best treatment option. Herein, we report a case of a patient who presented with recurrent urinary tract infections and was incidentally found to have an IUD partially positioned in the bladder through computed tomography (CT).

## CASE PRESENTATION

### *Chief complaints*

The primary complaints included urinary frequency, urgency, and pain over a period of three months.

### *History of present illness*

A 44-year-old woman was hospitalized in our department for a 3-mo history of urinary frequency, urgency, and pain. She denied fever, lumbago, back pain, and hematuria.

### *History of past illness*

The patient had no contributory medical history, except for an IUD implantation seven years prior.

### *Personal and family history*

The patient had no pertinent family history.

### *Physical examination*

No obvious signs were found on physical examination. The patient did not demonstrate any pain on percussion of the bilateral renal areas or obvious tenderness along the path of the ureters. The patient exhibited normal external genitalia.

### *Laboratory examinations*

A routine urinary examination was performed when the patient first developed symptoms. The examination results were as follows: 844 red blood cells/uL, 1063 white blood cells/uL, and 1873 bacteria/uL. She was treated with antibiotics; however, after 3 mo, another routine urine analysis demonstrated 131 red blood cells/uL, 330 white blood cells/uL, and 432 bacteria/uL. After she was hospitalized, her urine test showed 3 red blood cells/uL, 25 white blood cells/uL, and 5 bacteria/uL. A urine culture demonstrated colonization with *Enterococcus faecalis*.

### *Imaging examinations*

The patient had recurring symptoms of urinary tract infection. Combined with her history of IUD implantation, we considered IUD displacement. CT revealed an

abnormally positioned IUD, which had penetrated the uterine wall and was protruding forward into the bladder (Figure 1). Cystoscopic and hysteroscopic exploration was subsequently scheduled. Cystoscopy was performed with a 22-Fr cystoscope with a 70° lens. Cystoscopy demonstrated an IUD, which had penetrated the left posterior bladder wall. Approximately 1 cm of the IUD was located in the bladder cavity, and a large number of stones were visible on its surface (Figure 2A). Subsequently, hysteroscopy demonstrated a V-shaped IUD in the middle section of the cervical canal. One of the arms of the IUD was notably incarcerated in the muscular layer of the canal (Figure 2B).

### **FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Considering the patient's history and laboratory and imaging findings, the patient was diagnosed with an ectopic IUD.

### **TREATMENT**

We administered cefoxitin (2 g intravenously every 8 h) based on the patient's urine culture results and drug sensitivity test. CT, cystoscopy, and hysteroscopy demonstrated that majority of the IUD was located in the uterine cavity; however, the portion of the IUD in the bladder was complicated due to multiple stones. Thus, we decided to use a holmium laser (Lumenis, Holmium 1.0 J × 20 Hz) to break the stones during cystoscopy and removed the ectopic IUD from the uterine cavity with the hysteroscope (Figure 3). We reassessed the bladder and uterus at the end of the procedure and confirmed that there was no obvious bleeding or fistula.

### **OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The patient had no obvious hematuria or vaginal bleeding after surgery. The urinary catheter was removed after one week, and the patient's lower urinary tract symptoms showed complete resolution. A routine urine examination was performed after three months and demonstrated no obvious abnormalities.

## DISCUSSION

As reported in the literature, IUDs are most commonly displaced into <sup>2</sup> the omentum (26.7%), uterorectal depression (21.5%), colorectal cavity (10.4%), myometrium (7.4%), broad ligament (6.7%), or abdominal cavity (5.2%)<sup>[8]</sup>. The translocation of an IUD through uterine perforation and into the bladder wall is rare, with an incidence of approximately 0.05–1.3/1000<sup>[9]</sup>. Kart *et al*<sup>[10]</sup> reported 200 cases of ectopic IUDs, 90 of which were located in the bladder. <sup>8</sup> Goldbach *et al*<sup>[11]</sup> reported a higher incidence of ectopic Multiload Cu375 (MCu) II functional IUDs compared to other IUDs. Sun *et al*<sup>[12]</sup> suggested that the MCu II IUD was similar to the V-type IUD as both have sharp side walls that easily distort when the device is handled or inserted incorrectly. The mechanism of IUD displacement is unclear but may be related to breastfeeding, the proximity of IUD implantation to recent delivery (up to 36 wk), surgeon's experience and skill in implanting IUDs, or a history of cesarean section<sup>[13]</sup>. Esposito *et al*<sup>[14]</sup> <sup>9</sup> proposed two mechanisms for IUD displacement, which included immediate perforation during insertion and a secondary process of gradual erosion.

Clinically, bladder injury caused by an IUD may present with dysuria, hematuria, and lower abdominal pain<sup>[15]</sup>. Most patients with IUDs have a medical history of urinary tract infections or hematuria for which they have received treatment. As reported, urinary tract infections are the most common manifestation of bladder perforation with an IUD. For patients with a history of IUD implantation, recurrent urinary tract infections or intermittent hematuria should increase the suspicion for an ectopic IUD. The diagnosis of an ectopic IUD in the bladder mainly depends on imaging examinations. B-mode ultrasonography can be utilized for screening, but a definitive diagnosis requires a CT, cystoscopy, or hysteroscopy. There is currently no standard surgical treatment for this condition. Displaced IUDs can be optimally managed through hysteroscopy, cystoscopy, laparoscopy, or a combination of these procedures, with the location of the ectopic IUD determining the treatment option<sup>[13,16-18]</sup>. A recent study proposed an innovative combination of carbon dioxide cystoscopy and laparoscopy for IUD removal with a partial cystectomy<sup>[17]</sup>.



A review of the current literature indicated that patients with bladder stones caused by ectopic IUDs should undergo preoperative cystoscopy, hysteroscopy (or transvaginal ultrasound), and CT imaging to determine the location of the IUD and facilitate surgical planning<sup>[19]</sup>. In the present case, the V-type IUD was only displaced approximately 1 cm into the bladder. Cystolithiasis occurred in this short segment, but the majority of the IUD was still located in the uterine cavity. We elected to use a holmium laser to break the calculi on the portion of the IUD in the bladder, and then removed the IUD through the vagina.

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we reported a case of an ectopic IUD in the bladder that was documented seven years after IUD insertion. Among women of childbearing age with a history of IUD placement, repeated lower urinary tract symptoms, and hematuria, an ectopic IUD in the bladder should be considered. Ultrasound or CT can be used to confirm the diagnosis. Cystoscopy and hysteroscopy should be performed to guide surgical treatment. Removal of the ectopic IUD through the urethra or vagina is the least traumatic course.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We acknowledge the patient for her cooperation and trust in our treatment.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 **Cheung ML**, Rezai S, Jackman JM, Patel ND, Bernaba BZ, Hakimian O, Nuritdinova D, Turley CL, Mercado R, Takeshige T, Reddy SM, Fuller PN, Henderson CE. Retained Intrauterine Device (IUD): Triple Case Report and Review of the Literature. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol* 2018; **2018**: 9362962 [PMID: 30627466 DOI: 10.1155/2018/9362962]
- 2 **Nouioui MA**, Taktak T, Mokadem S, Mediouni H, Khiari R, Ghazzi S. A Mislocated Intrauterine Device Migrating to the Urinary Bladder: An Uncommon Complication

Leading to Stone Formation. *Case Rep Urol* 2020; 2020: 2091915 [PMID: 32318307 DOI: 10.1155/2020/2091915]

3 **El-Hefnawy AS**, El-Nahas AR, Osman Y, Bazeed MA. Urinary complications of migrated intrauterine contraceptive device. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2008; 19: 241-245 [PMID: 17632680 DOI: 10.1007/s00192-007-0413-x]

4 **Karsmakers R**, Weis-Potters AE, Buijs G, Joustra EB. Chronic kidney disease after vesico-vaginal stone formation around a migrated intrauterine device. *BMJ Case Rep* 2010; 2010 [PMID: 22767627 DOI: 10.1136/bcr.12.2009.2547]

5 **Madden A**, Aslam A, Nusrat NB. A Case of Migrating "Saf-T-Coil" Presenting With a Vesicovaginal Fistula and Vesicovaginal Calculus. *Urol Case Rep* 2016; 7: 17-19 [PMID: 27335782 DOI: 10.1016/j.eucr.2016.03.014]

6 **Wang L**, Li Y, Zhao XP, Zhang WH, Bai W, He YG. Hydronephrosis caused by intrauterine contraceptive device migration: three case reports with literature review. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2017; 44: 301-304 [PMID: 29746046]

7 **Toumi O**, Ammar H, Ghdira A, Chhaidar A, Trimech W, Gupta R, Salem R, Saad J, Korbi I, Nasr M, Noomen F, Golli M, Zouari K. Pelvic abscess complicating sigmoid colon perforation by migrating intrauterine device: A case report and review of the literature. *Int J Surg Case Rep* 2018; 42: 60-63 [PMID: 29223010 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2017.10.038]

8 **Gill RS**, Mok D, Hudson M, Shi X, Birch DW, Karmali S. Laparoscopic removal of an intra-abdominal intrauterine device: case and systematic review. *Contraception* 2012; 85: 15-18 [PMID: 22067801 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2011.04.015]

9 **Heinberg EM**, McCoy TW, Pasic R. The perforated intrauterine device: endoscopic retrieval. *JSLs* 2008; 12: 97-100 [PMID: 18402749]

10 **Kart M**, Güleçen T, Üstüner M, Çiftçi S, Yavuz U, Özkürkçügil C. Intravesical Migration of Missed Intrauterine Device Associated with Stone Formation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. *Case Rep Urol* 2015; 2015: 581697 [PMID: 26246932 DOI: 10.1155/2015/581697]



- 11 **Goldbach AR**, Hava S, Patel H, Khan M. IUD embedment in the fallopian tube: An unexpected location for a translocated IUD. *Radiol Case Rep* 2018; **13**: 788-792 [PMID: 30002782 DOI: 10.1016/j.radcr.2018.04.030]
- 12 **Sun X**, Xue M, Deng X, Lin Y, Tan Y, Wei X. Clinical characteristic and intraoperative findings of uterine perforation patients in using of intrauterine devices (IUDs). *Gynecol Surg* 2018; **15**: 3 [PMID: 29386988 DOI: 10.1186/s10397-017-1032-2]
- 13 **Uçar MG**, Şanlıkan F, İlhan TT, Göçmen A, Çelik Ç. Management of intra-abdominally translocated contraceptive devices, is surgery the only way to treat this problem? *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2017; **37**: 480-486 [PMID: 28421909 DOI: 10.1080/01443615.2016.1268577]
- 14 **Esposito JM**, Zarou DM, Zarou GS. A Dalkon Shield imbedded in a myoma: case report of an unusual displacement of an intrauterine contraceptive device. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1973; **117**: 578-581 [PMID: 4743363 DOI: 10.1016/0002-9378(73)90128-2]
- 15 **Tosun M**, Celik H, Yavuz E, Cetinkaya MB. Intravesical migration of an intrauterine device detected in a pregnant woman. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2010; **4**: E141-E143 [PMID: 20944794 DOI: 10.5489/cuaj.938]
- 16 **Liu L**, Liu H, Zhang X. Intravesical migration of a Chinese intrauterine device and secondary stone formation: diagnostic investigation and laparoscopic management. *Int Urogynecol J* 2015; **26**: 1715-1716 [PMID: 25982785 DOI: 10.1007/s00192-015-2735-4]
- 17 **Jin C**, Fan Y, Zhang Q, Wang Y, Wu S, Jin J. Removal of foreign bodies embedded in the urinary bladder wall by a combination of laparoscopy and carbon dioxide cystoscopic assistance: Case report and literature review. *Investig Clin Urol* 2016; **57**: 449-452 [PMID: 27847920 DOI: 10.4111/icu.2016.57.6.449]
- 18 **Niu H**, Zhang L, Yao S, Qu Q. Successful removal of an intrauterine device perforating the uterus and the bladder with the aid of a transurethral nephroscope. *Int Urogynecol J* 2019; **30**: 325-326 [PMID: 30128747 DOI: 10.1007/s00192-018-3746-8]
- 19 **Heinemann K**, Reed S, Moehner S, Minh TD. Risk of uterine perforation with levonorgestrel-releasing and copper intrauterine devices in the European Active

Surveillance Study on Intrauterine Devices. *Contraception* 2015; 91: 274-279 [PMID: 25601352 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2015.01.007]

### **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1 Preoperative computed tomography images.** Preoperative computed tomography demonstrates an intrauterine device protruding forward through the uterus and into the bladder.

**Figure 2 Cystoscopy and hysteroscopic images of an ectopic intrauterine device.** A: Cystoscopy reveals an intravesical device with many attached stones embedded in the bladder wall; B: Hysteroscopy demonstrates a V-type intrauterine device embedded in the myometrium of the anterior wall of the cervical canal.

**Figure 3 Intrauterine device.** Photograph of the intrauterine device after removal from uterus.

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Xiaohui Huang, Rui Zhong, Liqin Zeng, Xuhui He, Qingshan Deng, Xiuhong Peng, Jieming Li, Xiping Luo. "Chronic nodules of sigmoid perforation caused by incarcerated intrauterine contraception device", Medicine, 2019  
Crossref 23 words — 1%
- 2 [Www.mdedge.com](http://www.mdedge.com)  
Internet 19 words — 1%
- 3 [contraceptionmedicine.biomedcentral.com](http://contraceptionmedicine.biomedcentral.com)  
Internet 15 words — 1%
- 4 [www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)  
Internet 14 words — 1%
- 5 Nur Rasyid, Hoshea Jefferson Nainggolan, Prinnisa Almanda Jonardi, Putu Angga Risky Raharja et al. "Early-onset complete spontaneous migration of contraceptive intrauterine device to the bladder in a post C-section patient: A case report", International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 2021  
Crossref 12 words — 1%
- 6 Haiying Niu, Lizhi Zhang, Shijie Yao, Quanxin Qu. "Successful removal of an intrauterine device perforating the uterus and the bladder with the aid of a transurethral nephroscope", International Urogynecology Journal, 2018 11 words — 1%

7

[www.omicsonline.org](http://www.omicsonline.org)

Internet

11 words — 1%

8

Li Wan, Yong Wang, Chuan Xiao, Xin Li, Jingzhao Cao, Shubin Wang, Xupan Wei, Xiangyu Liu. "Four cases of heterotopia of an intrauterine device embedded in the bladder muscular layer causing cystolithiasis: case report and review of the literature", Journal of International Medical Research, 2021

Crossref

10 words — 1%

9

Muhammad Waqar, Amr Moubasher, Torath Ameen, Dudley Robinson, Nicholas Faure Walker. "Erosion of an intrauterine contraceptive device into the urinary bladder: A case report", Case Reports in Women's Health, 2020

Crossref

10 words — 1%

10

[www.hippokratia.gr](http://www.hippokratia.gr)

Internet

10 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES &lt; 1%

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON