79421_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79421

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

Anesthesia with ciprofol in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: A case

report

Yu L et al. Ciprofol use in Cardiac surgery

Le Yu, Evelyne Bischof, Hui-Hong Lu

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Ciprofol is a novel agent for intravenous general anesthesia. In February 2022, it was

approved by the National Medical Products Administration for general anesthesia

induction and maintenance. It has the advantages of fast onset, fast elimination, stable

circulation, and few adverse reactions. However, the efficacy and safety of ciprofol in

cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) have not been reported. Here we

describe a case where ciprofol was successfully used for anesthesia in cardiac surgery

with CPB.

CASE SUMMARY

A 72-year-old man (height 176 cm; weight 70 kg) was diagnosed with coronary

atherosclerotic cardiomyopathy requiring coronary artery bypass grafting and left

ventricular aneurysmectomy. Ciprofol was administered for induction (0.4 mg/kg) and

maintenance (0.6-1.0 mg/kg/h) of general anesthesia. During the entire operation, the

bispectral index, hemodynamics, and blood oxygen saturation were maintained at

normal levels. The patient recovered well after surgery, with no serious adverse events

related to ciprofol.

1/9

CONCLUSION

Ciprofol is safe and effective for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB.

Key Words: Ciprofol; Coronary artery bypass grafting; Left ventricular aneurysmectomy; Cardiopulmonary bypass; Case report

Yu L, Bischof E, Lu HH. Anesthesia with ciprofol in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; In press

Core Tip: Ciprofol is a novel agent for intravenous general anesthesia. It is characterized by fast onset, fast elimination, stable circulation, and few adverse reactions. This is the first case report of ciprofol administration for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Based on our experience in this case, we conclude that ciprofol is safe and effective for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB. Hopefully, ciprofol may replace propofol in the field of anesthesia for cardiac surgeries.

INTRODUCTION

True aneurysms of the left ventricle develop after complete myocardial infarctions. Sequelae include thromboembolism, ventricular arrhythmia, and congestive heart failure^[1]. Left ventricular aneurysmectomy is the most effective method for the treatment of left ventricular aneurysm. Propofol has been the general anesthetic of choice for cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Ciprofol introduces a cyclopropyl group based on the chemical structure of propofol, forming a chiral structure, increasing the steric effect, thereby enhancing the affinity with GABAA receptors^[2]. Compared with propofol, ciprofol has a wider safety window, a very low incidence of injection pain, better effects on breathing, and non-inferior effects on heart rate and blood pressure^[3,4]. In a phase 3 dinical trial of ciprofol for induction of general anesthesia in elective surgery, Wang *et al*^[4] found that the anesthesia induction success

rates for ciprofol (0.4 mg/kg) and propofol (2 mg/kg) were both 100.0%, indicating that ciprofol was non-inferior to propofol. The average time to successful anesthesia and loss of the eyelash reflex were 0.91 min and 0.80 min for ciprofol and 0.80 min and 0.71 min for propofol, respectively. Ciprofol had similar pattern of BIS changes with ciprofol to propofol and its effect was stable during the anesthesia maintenance period. Safety was comparable between the two agents, with a rate of 88.6% treatment emergent adverse events in the ciprofol group and 95.5% in the propofol group. The incidence of injection pain was significantly lower in the ciprofol group compared to the propofol group (6.8% vs 20.5%, P < 0.05)[4]. Therefore, we considered that ciprofol could be a suitable anesthetic for CPB cardiac surgery. Ciprofol is a newly developed intravenous anesthetic. It was approved by the National Medical Products Administration for general anesthesia induction and maintenance in February 2022. At present, there are no reports of ciprofol used for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB. Here we describe a case where ciprofol was successfully administered for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

The patient was a 72-year-old man. More than six months after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), a left ventricular aneurysm was found during follow-up.

History of present illness

Over six months ago, the patient went to the Cardiology Department due to chest pain and was diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction. On the same day, emergency coronary angiography and percutaneous transluminal PTCA were performed, and the patient recovered after surgery. He attended the hospital for a follow-up visit one day ago. Echocardiography showed that the left ventricular inner diameter had increased, the motion of the middle and lower segments of the interventricular septum and the

middle and lower ends of the left ventricular wall was weakened, and the left ventricular apex myocardium was thinned, bulging outward, and showing paradoxical motion, with a range of about 70.7 mm × 40.9 mm, and the ejection fraction was 27%. He was diagnosed with left ventricular aneurysm, and surgery was recommended.

History of past illness

The patient developed acute myocardial infarction more than 6 mo ago, and underwent concurrent coronary angiography and PTCA.

Personal and family history

The patient denied any family history of cardiovascular disease.

Physical examination

The patient had a temperature of 36.2 °C, heart rate of 81 bpm, blood pressure of 128/65 mmHg with respiratory rate of 18 breaths/min. His height was 176 cm and weight was 70 kg. The patient was fully conscious. The breath sounds were vesicular with no added sound. Heart sounds were normal first and second; no murmur.

Laboratory examinations

Arterial oxygen partial pressure was 80.2 mmHg, carbon dioxide partial pressure was 50.4 mmHg, potassium was 3.3 mmol/L, and pro-brain natriuretic peptide (PRO-BNP) was 694 ng/L.

Imaging examinations

Preoperative echocardiography showed that the left ventricular volume was significantly increased, systolic function was significantly reduced, and the formation of a huge ventricular aneurysm was seen at the apex (Figure 1). Coronary angiography showed 70%-80% stenosis in the proximal segment of the anterior descending artery, 100% stenosis in the proximal and middle segments, 70% stenosis in the proximal

segment of D1, and 70% stenosis in the middle segment of the circumflex artery. Right coronary artery aneurysm-like expansion, proximal 40%-50% stenosis, and 30%-40% stenosis in the distal segment were observed (Figure 2).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The final diagnoses were: coronary heart disease; and left ventricular aneurysm.

TREATMENT

The patient underwent coronary artery bypass grafting and left ventricular aneurysmectomy. He was fasted for 8 h before surgery with no liquids 2 h before surgery. When the patient entered the operating room, his electrocardiogram, pulse oximetry, and bispectral index (BSI) were monitored. After local anesthesia with lidocaine, left radial artery cannulation was performed for arterial blood pressure monitoring. Anesthesia induction was started after opening one peripheral vein. We administered 0.4 mg/kg ciprofol, 0.3 µg/kg sufentanil, and 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium bromide for induction of anesthesia. There was no injection pain during the administration of ciprofol. After successful induction, a 7.0 tracheal tube was selected for tracheal intubation with the aid of a video laryngoscope, and a transesophageal ultrasound probe was placed. Following tracheal intubation, the right internal jugular vein was punctured, a 3-lumen catheter was inserted, and central venous pressure was monitored. We maintained anesthesia with ciprofol 0.8 mg/kg/h, dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/kg/h, rocuronium 0.6 mg/kg/h, and intermittent sufentanil. We used 3 mg/kg heparin for anticoagulation and established CPB through aortic and right atrium cannulation after an activated clotting time > 480 s. Coronary artery bypass grafting (left anterior descending artery, left circumflex artery, and right coronary artery) and left ventricular aneurysmectomy were performed under mild hypothermia (32°C-35°C) CPB and cold crystalloid arrest. After aortic patency, we used 0.03 µg/kg/min epinephrine to promote cardiac restart. CPB was stopped when hemodynamics was stable and the body temperature was above 36°C. We used 1.0 g tranexamic acid before

and at the end of CPB, respectively. After CPB, 40 mg methylprednisolone and 450 mg protamine were administered. The operation went smoothly, and the intraoperative BSI was maintained at 40-60. During surgery, we administered phenylephrine if the blood pressure was too low (systolic blood pressure below 90 mmHg), and anisodamine when the heart rate was too slow (below 60 bpm). A total of 1000 mL crystalloid, 500 mL colloid, and 375 mL autologous blood were infused during the operation. Blood loss during surgery was 700 mL, and urine output was 800 mL. The total anesthesia time was 480 min, and CPB time was 175 min. After the operation, the infusion of ciprofol and rocuronium bromide was stopped, and infusion of dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/kg/h and epinephrine 0.03 µg/kg/min were continued. The patient was hemodynamically stable and intubated, and then transported from the operating room to the intensive care unit (ICU). The anesthetic record is shown in Figure 3.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The tracheal tube was removed 2 h after the patient was transferred to the ICU. The patient was transferred from the ICU to the general ward on the second postoperative day and was discharged on the 9th postoperative day. The patient was discharged with a blood pressure of 101/68 mmHg, heart rate of 70 bpm, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II, and no adverse events associated with ciprofol. Postoperative echocardiography showed that after left ventricular aneurysmectomy and coronary artery bypass grafting, his EF was 40% (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

This is the first case report of ciprofol administration for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB. Ciprofol is a GABA receptor agonist and is a novel 2,6-disubstituted phenol derivative, like propofol^[5]. Furthermore, the metabolites of ciprofol are nonhypnotic and nontoxic^[6]. In February 2022, it was approved by the National Medical Products Administration for the maintenance of general anesthesia. At present, there are no reports on the use of ciprofol for anesthesia in CPB surgery. We selected a patient who

required coronary artery bypass grafting and left ventricular aneurysmectomy, and was administered ciprofol for induction and maintenance of anesthesia, in an attempt to know the anesthetic effect of ciprofol in this type of surgery. The results showed that the patient was hemodynamically stable during anesthesia induction and maintenance, the depth of anesthesia was appropriate, and there were no adverse reactions related to ciprofol infusion.

To avoid injection pain caused by propofol, we usually administer a small dose of sufentanil before propofol injection during the induction of anesthesia. In the present case, we injected ciprofol first, followed by sufentanil. The patient did not complain of pain during the induction of anesthesia. This is consistent with related studies showing a low incidence of injection pain with ciprofol^[4]. The difference in incidence of injection pain between the two drugs may be due to different drug concentrations in the aqueous phase of the injection solution^[7].

We successfully induced anesthesia using ciprofol at 0.4 mg/kg. After 38 s, the patient's eyelash reflex disappeared, and the BIS was 40. Studies have shown that the potency of ciprofol is 4-5 times that of propofol. Ciprofol enhances GABA_A receptor-mediated cellular currents five-fold more than propofol^[3]. Ciprofol 0.4-0.5 mg/kg induced equivalent sedation/anesthesia and had a similar safety profile to propofol 2.0 mg/kg^[7].

During induction of anesthesia, the patient's blood pressure decreased from 128/64 mmHg to 103/55 mmHg and heart rate decreased from 79 bpm to 65 bpm. Severe hypotension and bradycardia were not observed. Tracheal intubation resulted in an increase in blood pressure to 110/66 mmHg and heart rate to 83 bpm. This indicates that induction of anesthesia with ciprofol may have less impact on hemodynamics and less responsiveness to intubation. It is worth mentioning that the hemodynamics during maintenance of anesthesia was more stable than expected throughout the surgery with CPB, and only a total dosage of 80 µg phenylephrine was administered during the operation. Some studies have shown comparable safety, tolerability, and treatment emergent adverse event (TEAE) rates between ciprofol and propofol [8,9]. However, this

case suggests that ciprofol may have a weaker inhibitory effect on the cardiovascular system than propofol and a lower incidence of TEAE. This may be an accidental event. Further research is needed on the effect of ciprofol on circulatory stability during cardiac surgery with CPB. We only pumped $0.03\,\mu g/kg/min$ of epinephrine to promote heartbeat recovery. We did not use additional vasoactive drugs to maintain blood pressure and heart rate when CPB was discontinued. Whether ciprofol is more beneficial for heartbeat recovery and hemodynamic stabilization after CPB is unclear. In the present case, after surgery, the infusion of ciprofol and rocuronium bromide was stopped, and infusion of dexmedetomidine $0.5\,\mu g/kg/h$ and epinephrine $0.03\,\mu g/kg/min$ was continued. The patient was intubated and transported to the ICU. Therefore, we do not know the awakening time from ciprofol anesthesia. This requires further research.

During anesthesia, BIS was maintained below 60, and the patient did not have intraoperative awareness after surgery. Studies have shown that the BIS change pattern with ciprofol is similar to that of propofol^[4]. We believe that BIS can be used to monitor the depth of anesthesia with ciprofol.

Propofol infusion syndrome is a serious adverse reaction caused by prolonged infusion of propofol^[10]. Whether ciprofol can cause a similar situation is unclear, and there are no relevant reports, and further investigation is required.

In addition, the dosage of epinephrine and phenylephrine used in this type of surgery needs to be determined according to the hemodynamic status of the patient during the operation. The doses of epinephrine and phenylephrine used in our patient were relatively small. Throughout the anesthesia, the patient was hemodynamically stable and the heart resumed beating smoothly. Thus, whether ciprofol is more suitable and safer than propofol for anesthesia in cardiac surgery deserves further study.

CONCLUSION

Ciprofol may be a safe and effective agent for anesthesia in cardiac surgery with CPB. Ciprofol can be administered for induction and maintenance of anesthesia in CPB

surgery. Ciprofol may have advantages over propofol for hemodynamic stabilization during anesthesia maintenance during cardiopulmonary bypass. With further research, ciprofol may replace propofol in the field of cardiac anesthesia.	
9 / 9	

79421_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY	REPORT
--------------------	--------

13%

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	123 words -5%
2	f6publishing.blob.core.windows.net	28 words — 1 %

- zenodo.org 24 words 1 %
- Yi Teng, Mengchan Ou, Xiao Wang, Wensheng Zhang et al. "Efficacy and safety of ciprofol for the sedation/anesthesia in patients undergoing colonoscopy: Phase lla and llb multi-center clinical trials", European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2021
- Dawn S. Hui, Carlos S. Restrepo, John H. Calhoon. "How To Do It: Surgical Decision-Making for Left Ventricular Aneurysmectomy", The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020 Crossref
- bsdwebstorage.blob.core.windows.net 16 words 1%
- 7 www.ima.org.il 15 words 1%

8	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	14 words — 1%
9	"Abstract Book, 17th World Congress of Anaesthesiologists, September 1–5, 2021", Anesthesia & Analgesia, 2021 Crossref	13 words — 1 %
10	avmajournals.avma.org	13 words — 1 %
11	bmcanesthesiol.biomedcentral.com	13 words — 1 %
12	jaclinicalreports.springeropen.com	12 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES
EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 12 WORDS

< 12 WORDS