# 80694\_Auto\_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 80694 Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT Late presentation of dural tears: two case reports and literature review Xu C et al. LPDT Chang Xu, Rong-peng Dong, Xue-Liang Cheng, Jian-Wu Zhao

#### Abstract

#### **BACKGROUND**

The late presentation of dural tears (LPDT) has a low incidence rate and hidden symptoms and is easily ignored in clinical practice. If the disease is not treated in time, a series of complications may occur, including low intracranial pressure headache, infection, pseudodural cyst formation, and sinus formation. Here, we describe two cases of LPDT.

#### **CASE SUMMARY**

Two patients had sudden fever 1 wk after lumbar surgery. Physical examination showed obvious tenderness in the operation area. The patients were confirmed as having LPDT by lumbar magnetic resonance imaging and surgical exploration. One case was caused by continuous negative pressure suction and malnutrition, and the other was caused by decreased dural ductility and low postoperative nutritional status. The first symptom of both patients was fever, with occasional headache. Both patients underwent secondary surgery to treat the LPDT. Dural defects were observed and dural sealants were used to seal the dural defects, then drainage tubes were retained for drainage. After the operation, the patients were treated with antibiotics and the patients' surgical incisions healed well, without fever or incision tenderness. Both recovered and were discharged 1 wk after the operation.

#### CONCLUSION

LPDT is a rare complication of spinal surgery or neurosurgery that has hidden symptoms and can easily be overlooked. Since it may cause a series of complications, LPDT needs to be actively addressed in clinical practice.

**Key Words:** Late presentation of dural tears; Delayed cerebrospinal fluid leakage; Dural repair; Factors; Clinical management; case report.

Xu C, Dong RP, Cheng XL, Zhao JW. Late presentation of dural tears: two case reports

and literature review. World J Clin Cases 2023; In press

Core Tip: Late presentation of dural tears (LPDT) has a low incidence rate and hidden

symptoms and is easily overlooked in clinical practice. We describe two cases of LPDT

in this paper, and summarize the diagnosis, etiologies and treatment strategies of LPDT

through a literature review.

INTRODUCTION

Dural tears (DT) in the spinal canal often occur before or during surgery, such as spinal

trauma, lumbar puncture, removal of intradural tumors, and complications of spinal

surgery. According to literature reports, the incidence of DT due to spinal surgery is 1-

17%,[1] which is relatively common, especially in patients with ligament ossification,

with an incidence as high as 32%. Postoperative cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage is

rare, with an incidence of less than 1%, [2, 3] including unrecognized DT during surgery

and late presentation of dural tears (LPDT). Here, we report two cases of LPDT and

provide a comprehensive literature review.

**CASE PRESENTATION** 

Chief complaints

Patient 1

A 67-year-old woman complained of headache after continuous vacuum sealing

drainage (VSD) for 1 wk.

Patient 2

A 61-year-old man complained of headache and fever with a temperature of 38.6 °C

1 wk after lumbar operation.

History of present illness

Patient 1

A 67-year-old woman presented to our department with a main complaint of lower back pain and pain in both lower extremities for 2 years. Physical examination showed a body mass index (BMI) of 21.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and a positive (40°) bilateral straight leg elevation test. Lumbar magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. As the diagnosis was confirmed, posterior lumbar laminectomy, discectomy, bone graft fusion, and internal fixation were performed. After sufficient hemostasis was achieved, unilateral drainage was retained, and the incision was sutured. The patient was administered anti-inflammatory, rehydration, and anti-infection treatments after the operation. The drainage volume gradually decreased three days after the operation. When the drainage volume was less than 50 mL, the drainage was removed. One week later, the patient had chills and high fever. Considering that infection may have occurred in the operation site, debridement, and continuous VSD were performed. Necrotic tissue was found in the muscle layer and the dura was intact. After the operation, the incision was given continuous saline irrigation and negative pressure suction. After continuous VSD for another week, the patient complained of headache, and the integrity of the VSD was damaged.

Patient 2

A 61-year-old man was admitted to the hospital with complaints of lower back pain for more than 15 years, numbness of the right lower extremity for 1 year, and aggravation for 10 days. The patient underwent posterior lumbar laminectomy and interbody fusion surgery after diagnosis. After the operation, neurological symptoms and pain improved markedly. On postoperative day 10, the patient complained of headache and fever with a temperature of 38.6 °C.

History of past illness

None

Personal and family history

Patient 1

The patient had a medical history of hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, hepatitis B, and tuberculosis.

Patient 2

The patient denied having a history of hypertension, diabetes, or any other comorbidity.

# Physical examination

Patient 1

Tenderness was noted over the incision and dark-red blood flowed out after local compression on the incision.

Patient 2

Tenderness was noted over the incision.

# Laboratory examinations

Patient 1

Routine blood tests showed the percentage of neutrophils was significantly increased. Increased C-reactive protein levels suggested the possibility of inflammation in the body. Decreased albumin content indicated that the patient was in a state of low nutrition (Figure 1).

Patient 2

Evolution of the patient's nutritional status after hospitalization is presented in Figure 2, which shows that the patient was in a low nutritional state after posterior lumbar interbody fusion surgery.

# Imaging examinations

Patient 1

As shown in Figure 3, lumbar MRI before the surgery revealed lumbar disc herniation and lumbar spinal stenosis at the L3–S1 Level. The MRI after the surgery showed no obvious cerebrospinal fluid leakage.

#### Patient 2

Lumbar MRI showed massive long T1 and T2 signals in the soft tissue behind the level of the L3–S1 vertebral body (Figure 4).

#### FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Both patients were diagnosed with LPDT.

#### Patient 1

In this case, DT was believed to be caused by continuous VSD. The reasons are as follows: the dura mater (dura) was intact after the first debridement, but CSF leakage was found during the second debridement. No sharp protrusions or bone fragments were observed after lamina decompression. The factors of LPDT caused by VSD in this patient were as follows: 1) the patient's low nutritional status, coupled with a history of hepatitis B and tuberculosis, was not conducive to tissue repair; 2) the fascia layer of the incision was infected, the tissue mass was reduced after debridement, and cavities were easily formed, which reduced tissue and muscle function by isolating and sealing the dura.

#### Patient 2

In this case, the patient had a history of lumbar disease for >15 years. The protruding disc had been pressing the dural sac posteriorly for a long time, resulting in erosion and adhesion of the dura to the posterior ligament tissue. Postoperatively, the patient presented with malnutrition, which slowed the healing of the soft tissue at the incision site. The tissue behind the dura cannot form an effective protective barrier, which reduces the pressure of the dura against the CSF, resulting in a delayed dural tear.

#### **TREATMENT**

#### Patient 1

The third operation was performed to remove the VSD device and explore the operation area of the spine. After the incision was rinsed with saline during the operation, a small amount of clear liquid flowed out of the dural surface. The dura was

explored, and no sharp bone fragments or spurs were found. After the fibrin glue was used for sealing the dural defect, the wound was sutured after inserting the indwelling drainage tube. The drainage fluid and the changes of the drainage volume are shown in Figure 5, and the drainage tube was removed 1 wk after the third surgery.

Patient 2

Emergency surgical debridement and exploration were performed. After opening the surgical incision, a large amount of clear liquid mixed with blood accumulated in the subcutaneous space. After aspirating the accumulated liquid, damage to the surface of the dorsal dura was observed (Figure 6). We used sutures and dural sealants to treat the dural defects.

## **OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The incisions recovered well postoperatively, and the patients were discharged from the hospital. There were no related complications after discharge.

#### DISCUSSION

Diagnosis

LPDT can easily be ignored because of its late onset and hidden clinical symptoms. In clinical practice, it is often not detected until pseudocysts and sinuses are formed. The complications of LPDT include delayed wound healing, wound infection, soft tissue swelling in the operative area, persistent positional headache, dural cyst formation, prolonged hospital stay, adhesive arachnoiditis, and secondary surgery. LPDT may also cause pneumonia, urinary tract infections, thrombosis, acute kidney injury, pneumocephalus, and pneumorachis.<sup>[3-5]</sup> The key elements for LPDT diagnosis are presented in Table 1.<sup>[4, 6-10]</sup> Based on our clinical experience, positional headache is an early symptom of LPDT, and should be treated with caution in clinical practice.

**Table 1.** Diagnostic criteria for late presentation of dural tears

Clinical Elements

Characteristics

Onset time

5 days after surgery, even up to 3 mo

Symptoms

Headache, dizziness, nausea, and other low intracranial pressure symptoms

Drainage fluids, transudates

Clear or light red

Imaging characteristics

MRI reveals dura damage, CSF outflow, sinus formation

β2-transferrin

+

#### LPDT Factors

According to the mechanism of dural damage, LPDT factors can be divided into three categories: mechanical penetration, decreased dural resistance to CSF pressure, and increased CSF pressure.

# (a) Mechanical penetration

For an unidentified DT occurring during the operation, the injury is usually caused by accidental puncture of the dura when using a syringe needle or suture needle. Sharp bone fragments or bone spurs penetrating the dura are common causes of postoperative LPDT, [3, 4] and accounts for about 40% of all cases. [4] Therefore, during the operation, the operating table should be adjusted to avoid the residual bone surface damaging the dura. Simultaneously, if possible, an ultrasonic osteotome or grinding drill should be used to remove the vertebral lamina and keep the residual bone surface as flat as possible. Traumatic factors also play an important role in the occurrence of LPDT. Kamochi *et al* reported two cases of CSF leakage for more than 10 years after head injury. [11]

# (b) Decreased dural resistance to CSF pressure

Many factors influence dural resistance to CSF pressure. First, the integrity of the dura is a direct factor. The dura consists of three layers: the dura propria, arachnoid

membrane, and soft membrane. Because CSF remains in the subarachnoid space, CSF leakage does not occur when only the dura is injured during the operation and the arachnoid membrane is intact; however, the ability to resist CSF pressure declines. Second, the duration of the operation also affects the resistance of the dura. Studies have confirmed that a long operation time (more than 250 min) leads to an increase in the incidence of LPDT.[3] This is because the dural exposure time is too long and the light irradiation during the operation is too long, resulting in dehydration of the dura. Eventually, the dura becomes less ductile, making it extremely vulnerable.[12, 13] Thirdly, tumor radiotherapy and chemotherapy may also be one of the more common factors leading to LPDT.[14, 15] Radiation applied in the course of tumor radiotherapy will cause damage to the dura resulting in thinning or necrosis of the dura. Chemotherapeutic drugs, such as dopamine agonists, may also damage the dura. In addition, Glassman et al believe that LPDT is related to bone morphogenetic protein (BMP2), since BMP2 can activate the inflammatory response in vivo, leading to tissue edema.[16] Finally, the patient's condition also affects LPDT. Advanced age is a high-risk factor.[17] At the same time, connective tissue diseases (Marfan's syndrome, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome) can lead to dural expansion, thus affecting the tough-ness of the dura.[18]

# (c) Increased CSF pressure

Vigorous activity of the spine after spinal surgery causes significant changes in local CSF pressure. For example, Dannawi *et al* reported an orgasmic dural tear three months after lumbar surgery.<sup>[8]</sup> Sneezing, coughing, and other factors increase abdominal pressure, which in turn affects CSF pressure. In addition, obvious cavities after spinal surgery may lead to a lack of supporting structures behind the dura, which will affect the pressure balance between the inner and outer dura, and finally show an increase in the local CSF pressure in the cavity.<sup>[19-21]</sup>

Clinical management of LPDT

The treatment of LPDT is often more complicated and difficult than DT that occurs during surgery, because when LPDT is found, sinuses or pseudocysts are generally formed. Initial treatment can be conservative, and surgical repair may be required when the effect is poor.<sup>[1]</sup>

#### (a) Conservative treatment

Although the incidence of LPDT is low, it deserves the attention of clinicians, since it affects patient satisfaction with medical treatment and clinical efficacy. When the symptoms are not serious, conservative treatment is preferred, including bed rest, prevention of infection, rehydration, nutrition, and local pressure bandage of the wound, among others. There is currently much debate about the treatment strategy of bed rest, and the results of some studies have revealed that bed rest has little clinical benefit, while increasing medical costs and length of hospital stay. According to literature reports and clinical experience, our research group believes that the necessity and duration of bed rest mainly depend on the size and location of the dural defect and the clinical symptoms of the patient.

# (b) Surgical treatment

When conservative treatment fails within three days to a week, surgical treatment is considered necessary, especially in the case of wound infection and sinus and pseudodural cyst formation. There is evidence that successful repair of the dura can effectively reduce the occurrence of complications caused by dural defects. [19, 22, 23] The main focus of clinical treatment is to effectively and quickly block dural defects. Studies have confirmed that watertight closure is key to the treatment of CSF leakage caused by dural defects. [24, 25] The current clinical treatment measures for dural defects vary according to their location and size, mainly including closure with medical sutures or non-penetrating titanium clamps, autologous tissue filling, and sealing with tissue sealants.

Sutures. The traditional treatment philosophy holds that suture closure is the gold standard for the treatment of dural defects.<sup>[26]</sup> This technique is still one of the main treatment strategies favored by spinal surgeons and neurosurgeons for dural defects,

but many difficulties exist: the location of the defect may not be conducive to suturing or cannot be sutured; CSF leakage cannot be avoided in the pinhole left by the suture needle; and it is even possible that the defect area is transformed from a low-pressure area to a high-pressure area because of the suture technique.

### (c) Non-penetrating titanium clamp

A non-penetrating titanium clip designed for vascular anastomosis is another option for dural tears.<sup>[27-29]</sup> Its clinical use does not produce pinholes, which solves the risk of CSF leakage caused by pinholes. At present, it is considered to have the advantages of a simple operation, rapid process, less postoperative adhesion, less dural exposure and reduced potential risk of CSF leakage. However, because it is made of metal, we must consider metal artifacts that may affect the diagnosis and treatment of the disease in the future. At the same time, issues regarding the use of non-penetrating titanium clips such as clip displacement, inability to be reused during operations, high medical cost, being non-degradable, and subsequent dural tears also need to be considered.

# (d) Autologous tissue.

The materials used to fill the dural defect include the periosteum, fascia, fat, muscle, and other tissues. First, the effectiveness of the fascia and other autologous tissues in blocking dural defects was confirmed in animal models.<sup>[30]</sup> A clinical study of the human body observed the curative effect of repairing dural defects with autologous tissue, and the results confirmed that it can block the dural defect effectively.<sup>[31-34]</sup> Use of autologous tissue to repair dural defects has the advantages of the lack of infection, rejection, disease transmission, and increase in patient burden. However, additional surgery using local materials increases the surgical trauma, operation time, and adhesion risk. Furthermore, postoperative adhesion is a major factor affecting clinical efficacy.

#### (e) Sealants.

Currently, the commercial synthetic materials used for dural defects are mainly absorbable and degradable materials, which can roughly be divided into three categories: polyethylene glycol (PEG), hydrogels (such as DuraSeal), fibrin glue (Tissel, Evicel, and Beixiu glue), and hydrogels formed by glutaraldehyde and bovine serum albumin (such as BioGlue surgical adhesives). [35] According to a multicenter, prospective, and randomized study, PEG hydrogel sealant is not inferior to common dural sealing technology. [36] However, current clinical research has found that PEG hydrogels can cause significant swelling in clinical use, which is extremely unfavorable for the spinal canal or ventricle with limited space; [37] fibrin glue itself can quickly form glue, but it cannot form strong adhesions with the tissue surface, therefore, it cannot resist the fluctuating pressure of CSF at the physiological level; at the same time, the BioGlue adhesive was found to have neurotoxicity. Therefore, more powerful adhesives are expected to be successfully prepared in the future.

Based on the defects of a single treatment strategy, two or a combination of two schemes is often used in the clinic. A Canadian questionnaire showed that, as the size of the defect gradually increases, clinicians gradually adopt a combination of treatment regimens.<sup>[38]</sup>

#### Perioperative management

The primary role of perioperative management in LPDT is prevention. First, we attempted to choose an operation method that causes less damage to the peridural tissue. The peridural ligaments, lamina, and even posterior muscle groups can provide protection to the dura and reduce the risk of dural damage.<sup>[3,39]</sup> Therefore, a treatment strategy for minimally invasive surgery should be preferred if the condition permits. In addition, from the perspective of medical safety and the possibility of LPDT, clinicians should clearly inform patients and their families of the risk when signing the operation before surgery and provide them with a prior understanding of the possible complications. This is because there are many claims of malpractice related to accidental durotomy caused by spinal surgery.

# **CONCLUSION**

LPDT as a rare complication in spinal surgery or neurosurgery, has hidden symptoms and is easily overlooked,. If the complication cannot be treated in time, it may cause a series of adverse consequences, including pseudodural cyst and sinus. Therefore, it needs to be actively addressed in clinical practice, through careful examination during surgery and active preventive measures after surgery.

# 80694\_Auto\_Edited.docx

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

1 %

SIMILARITY INDEX

**PRIMARY SOURCES** 

- Ichiro Kawamura, Takuya Yamamoto, Hiroyuki Tominaga, Hiroto Tokumoto, Masato Sanada, Noboru Taniguchi. "Reconstruction Using a Fibular Autograft for Lumbosacral Spinal Deformity in Neurofibromatosis Type 1", JBJS Case Connector, 2022 Crossref
- $_{\text{Internet}}^{\text{2}}$   $_{\text{Internet}}^{\text{jcm.asm.org}}$   $_{\text{14 words}}$  -<1%
- $\frac{14 \text{ words} \sqrt{170}}{12 \text{ words} \sqrt{190}}$

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES

< 12 WORDS < 12 WORDS