

81537_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81537

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

Use of PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial nerve blocks in patients with post COVID-19 pulmonary sequelae during breast surgery

Nerve blocks in post COVID-19 patient

Yehun Jin, Suzie Lee, Daehyun Kim, Jangho Hur, Woosik Eom

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Regional anesthesia is a promising method in patients with post coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pulmonary sequelae for preserving pulmonary function and preventing postoperative pulmonary complications, compared with general anesthesia.

CASE SUMMARY

We provided surgical anesthesia and analgesia suitable for breast surgery by performing PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial nerve blocks with intravenous dexmedetomidine administration in a 61-year-old female patient with severe pulmonary sequelae after COVID-19 infection.

CONCLUSION

Sufficient analgesia for 7 h was provided *via* PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial blocks perioperatively.

Key Words: Analgesia; Anesthesia; Covid-19; Regional anesthesia; Nerve block; Case report

Jin Y, Lee S, Kim D, Hur J, Eom W. Use of PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial nerve blocks in patients with post COVID-19 pulmonary sequelae during breast surgery. *World J Clin Cases* 2023; In press

Core Tip: This is the first clinical case report of the application of multiple nerve blocks for breast cancer surgery in a patient with severe post coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pulmonary sequelae. The use of PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial nerve blocks provided sufficient analgesic and anesthetic effects during the perioperative period. Therefore, this case report suggests an alternative

anesthetic modality for post COVID-19 patients who are at a high risk of receiving general anesthesia for breast surgery.

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a fatal respiratory viral disease that causes severe respiratory symptoms in some patients, resulting in more than 6 million deaths worldwide to date^[1,2]. In 1099 patients with COVID-19, 86% had chest computed tomography (CT) abnormalities, 41% required additional oxygen therapy, 3.4% were diagnosed with acute respiratory distress syndrome, and 2.3% required invasive mechanical ventilation^[3].

Surgery within 7 wk of COVID-19 diagnosis is associated with an increased risk of postoperative pulmonary complications^[4]. Patients with persistent COVID-19 symptoms have an increased perioperative risk compared to asymptomatic patients or those with completely resolved symptoms at the time of surgery^[5]. For cases of pneumonia caused by COVID-19, regional anesthesia is promising for preserving pulmonary function and preventing pulmonary complications postoperatively, whereas general anesthesia, including airway management, has the potential to exacerbate the disease^[6,7,8].

In this case, combined PECS-II, parasternal, and intercostobrachial nerve (ICBN) blocks were used as alternatives to general anesthesia for the anesthetic management of patients with COVID-19. In patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, regional blocks have advantages in terms of protecting the medical staff by minimizing the formation of aerosols and preventing the exacerbation of pneumonia in patients by preventing pulmonary complications caused by general anesthesia^[9]. This case suggests that regional anesthesia is a safe alternative for managing breast surgery, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 61-year-old woman (height: 153 cm, weight: 47.8 kg) presented with a growing lump in her left breast, detected through ultrasound imaging and mammography.

11

History of present illness

The patient was diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma at the 6 and 10 o'clock positions of her left breast using core needle biopsy. The patient planned to undergo breast-conserving surgery and axillary dissection 2 wk after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Fifteen days before the scheduled surgery, she had high fever (up to 39.3°C), cough, and dyspnea. The patient was diagnosed with COVID-19 based on the polymerase chain reaction-positive results.

3

History of past illness

The patient denied any past history.

Personal and family history

The patient denied any family history.

Physical examination

The patient's peripheral oxygen saturation level was 93% at 3 L/min of supplemental oxygen using a nasal prong.

Laboratory examinations

Pulmonary function tests were not performed, considering the patient's general condition and to minimize the contamination risk from the laboratory surroundings.

Imaging examinations

The left breast lump was detected through ultrasound imaging and mammography (Figure 1).

Because the patient had severe pulmonary fibrosis after the COVID-19 infection, a chest radiograph showed multiple patch opacities in both lungs, and a CT scan showed multifocal patchy ground-glass opacity consolidation in both lungs (Figure 2).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The patient had contracted COVID-19 pneumonia and received intensive care. Three weeks after treatment, the patient was hospitalized again for surgery.

TREATMENT

Owing to the patient's respiratory problems, we decided to proceed with the surgery under regional anesthesia rather than general anesthesia by combining PECS-II, parasternal, and ICBN blocks. Written informed consent for the combination of blocks and publications was obtained from the patient. Standard perioperative monitoring, including noninvasive blood pressure measurement, peripheral oxygen saturation measurement, and electrocardiography, was performed. Supplemental oxygen was administered *via* a facial mask. An in-plane needle (80 mm; B. Braun Medical Inc., Melsungen, Germany) was inserted under real-time ultrasound guidance using a 5–12 MHz high-frequency linear array transducer (Samsung Medison Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea). After sterilization, the ultrasound probe was placed in a superomedial-to-inferolateral direction ¹⁰ between the ⁶ third and fourth ribs, below the lateral third of the clavicle. After local infiltration, 10 mL of 0.3% plain ropivacaine was injected into the interfascial plane between the pectoralis major and pectoralis minor muscles, without injuring the pectoral branch of the acromiothoracic artery. Subsequently, a needle was advanced a little more, and an additional 15 mL was injected ¹ between the pectoralis minor and serratus anterior muscles (Figure 3). For the parasternal nerve block, 5 mL of 0.3% ropivacaine was incrementally injected between the internal intercostal muscle and neurovascular bundles (Figure 4). For the ICBN block, 10 mL of 0.3% ropivacaine was injected

medially into ⁴ the medial border of the serratus anterior muscle at the inferior border of the second rib (Figure 5).

The total procedural time of the combined block was approximately 15 min, and no adverse events were reported during the procedure. After 20 min, sufficient analgesic effects were observed. Sensory impairment across the T2–T6 dermatomes was confirmed through the cold alcohol swab and pinprick tests in the medial and lateral areas of the left breast. Dexmedetomidine was administered as a ⁷ loading dose of 1 µg/kg followed by a maintenance dose up to 0.5 µg/kg/h to target a Richmond agitation–sedation scale score of 2. The left breast was excised 1.5 × 1.8 cm at 4 cm from the nipple at the 6 o'clock position and 2.2 × 2.5 cm at the position 5 cm from the nipple at the 10 o'clock position. Because sentinel lymph node biopsy revealed a positive result for metastasis, an additional wide excision and axillary lymph node dissection were performed. The entire surgery was performed within 2 h and 10 min, without hemodynamic instability or complications. No supplemental opioids or additional local anesthetics were required during the surgery.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Postoperative pain scores, assessed using the numeric rating scale, were 1–2 in the post-anesthesia care unit. The duration of the combined block was approximately 7 h. No additional analgesics were administered for 1 day. Five days after the surgery, the patient was discharged.

DISCUSSION

Owing to the risk of aerosol generation and dispersion of viral particles, airway management in patients with COVID-19 undergoing surgery poses a great risk to healthcare providers^[7,8]. For this reason, it is more appropriate for patients with COVID-19 to use regional anesthesia rather than general anesthesia, where applicable^[7,8]. Additionally, regional anesthesia is a reasonable alternative for surgery in patients with COVID-19 because it has a lesser effect on pulmonary function

involvement than general anesthesia and minimizes the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications^[7]. Regional techniques can also reduce the risk of opioid-induced hypoventilation^[10]. Moreover, it provides excellent postoperative analgesic effects, attenuates the surgical stress response, and enables prompt postoperative recovery^[11].

The sensory innervation of the breast is complex and is derived from several nerves. No single block can provide complete anesthesia, and complex chest wall blocks allow for more effective pain control^[12]. The feasibility and risk of each block technique should be determined based on sufficient understanding of the surrounding structural and clinical anatomy.

1 The PECS-II block is an interfascial plane block that involves adding a second injection to the conventional PECS-I block under the pectoralis minor to broaden its coverage to the lateral and axillary regions^[13]. Conventionally, the most commonly considered analgesic method in upper chest surgery is thoracic epidural block or thoracic paravertebral block^[14]. The PECS block has garnered significant appeal due to its low risk of hypotensive effects and sympathetic block, as opposed to the thoracic epidural block or thoracic paravertebral block^[15]. The PECS block also has a relatively low risk in patients with altered hemostasis or anticoagulant use compared to thoracic epidural block or thoracic paravertebral block^[16]. In a meta-analysis comparing the analgesic effects of the PECS-II and paravertebral blocks, no significant difference in pain scores and rescue analgesic use was observed between the two blocks^[17]. Some studies have reported that the PECS-II block has superior efficacy over the paravertebral block^[18,19]. Furthermore, both the brachial plexus and the lateral branches of the superior intercostal nerve (intercostal nerves T2–T3) innervate the sensory distribution of the axilla^[20]. **5** The intercostobrachial nerve is the lateral cutaneous branch of the second and third intercostal nerves^[21]. It crosses the serratus anterior muscle to enter the subcutaneous tissue of the axilla, at the midaxillary line^[21]. It is located anatomically separate from the brachial plexus and, therefore, cannot be completely blocked by the brachial plexus block^[22]. Ultrasound-guided technology provides better visualization

and increases the success rate of selective nerve blockade. Implementations of the PECS-II block were targeted to cover the intercostobrachial nerve, but did not completely block it. In some cases, even the intercostobrachial nerve can be blocked with the PECS-II block alone; however, the intercostobrachial nerve is often considered to be sparing^[23]. The covered range varies depending on the volume, injection location, and skill level. Therefore, for more extensive surgical interventions in the axillary region, we performed an additional ICBN block. The parasternal nerve block targets the anterior cutaneous branches of the thoracic intercostal nerve, which innervates the sternum and anteromedial thorax^[24]. The nomenclature is based on whether the injection is administered in a more superficial plane between the ribs and internal intercostal muscles (pecto-intercostal plane block) or into a deeper plane between the transversus thoracis and internal intercostal muscles (transversus thoracis plane block)^[25]. Because the PECS-II block alone did not affect sensory innervation of the medial side of the breast, a parasternal nerve block on the anterior branch of the intercostal nerve was necessary for medial breast anesthesia^[26]. Dexmedetomidine, a centrally acting α_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist, has been actively used for its sedative and analgesic properties as an adjuvant therapy for regional anesthesia^[27]. As a perineural adjuvant, dexmedetomidine has been demonstrated to be effective in prolonging the duration of local anesthesia in peripheral nerve blocks^[28,29]. Furthermore, studies have shown that dexmedetomidine administration is associated with improved oxygenation in critically ill patients with COVID-19^[30]. In addition, alterations in inflammatory and immune responses caused by dexmedetomidine have been suggested to reduce the severity of COVID-19 pneumonia^[31].

In general, the brachial plexus block can be used to block the axillary region. However, we did not perform this because the interscalene approach of the brachial plexus block frequently results in phrenic nerve block and further diaphragmatic paralysis^[7]. Regional techniques that have the potential to reduce the patient's respiratory reserve should be avoided as much as possible in respiratory-compromised patients with

coexisting pulmonary diseases [7]. A block with the least impact on the patient's respiratory function should be selected, if possible [7,32].

Our study had several limitations. The complexity of combined blocks requires a specific learning curve to achieve time-efficient proficiency for the anesthesiologists. The untrained proficiency of the anesthesiologists in regional techniques can be a limiting factor in practice. Increasing the dosage of local anesthetics by implementing a combination of peripheral and subcutaneous blocks increases the risk of toxicity. Considering that the maximum tolerated dose of ropivacaine is approximately 3 mg/kg, the total volume of 40 mL of 0.3% ropivacaine is within the safe range for our patient weighing 47.8 kg [33]. Although the use of local anesthetics in this study is still within safe limits, the potential for local anesthetic systemic toxicity is still present. Therefore, the dose should be cautiously titrated depending on the patient's underlying conditions. Hence, for the efficacy and safety of regional anesthesia in patients with COVID-19, further prospective studies on block-specific applications, dosing, and minimization of complications are needed.

CONCLUSION

8
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case study to report the successful use of this novel approach in a patient with severe COVID-19 pulmonary sequelae. The combination of PECS-II, parasternal, and ICBN blocks had the expected analgesic and anesthetic effects on the medial to lateral region of the breast through the T2 to T6 dermatomes with an acceptable sensory blockade. The combination of these blocks appears to be a valid alternative modality for COVID-19-infected breast surgery patients who are at a high risk of receiving general anesthesia.

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|--|---------------|
| 1 | www.nysora.com
Internet | 30 words — 1% |
| 2 | K. J. Chin, B. Versyck, A. Pawa. "Ultrasound - guided fascial plane blocks of the chest wall: a state - of - the - art review", Anaesthesia, 2021
Crossref | 29 words — 1% |
| 3 | www.wjgnet.com
Internet | 20 words — 1% |
| 4 | www.researchgate.net
Internet | 17 words — 1% |
| 5 | dokumen.pub
Internet | 16 words — 1% |
| 6 | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Internet | 16 words — 1% |
| 7 | www.researchsquare.com
Internet | 16 words — 1% |
| 8 | Giuseppe Giuliani, Francesco Guerra, Diego Coletta, Antonio Giuliani et al. "Robotic versus conventional laparoscopic technique for the treatment of left-sided colonic diverticular disease: a systematic review with meta-analysis", International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2021 | 14 words — 1% |

9

www.cureus.com

Internet

14 words — 1%

10

Eun-Jin Moon, Seung-Beom Kim, Jun-Young Chung, Jeong-Yoon Song, Jae-Woo Yi. "Pectoral nerve block (Pecs block) with sedation for breast conserving surgery without general anesthesia", Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research, 2017

Crossref

13 words — 1%

11

link.springer.com

Internet

13 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES

EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 12 WORDS

< 12 WORDS