

90307_Auto_EditedC.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 90307

Manuscript Type: EDITORIAL

Latest updates on the structure and the recommendations of cardiac rehabilitation programs in chronic heart failure

Kourek C *et al.* Cardiac rehabilitation in chronic HF

Christos Kourek, Alexandros Briasoulis, Dimitrios E Magouliotis, John Skoularigis, Andrew Xanthopoulos

Abstract

Chronic heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome with high morbidity and mortality worldwide. Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is a medically supervised program designed to maintain or improve cardiovascular health of people living with HF, recommended by both American and European guidelines. A CR program consists of a multispecialty group including physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, trainers, nutritionists and psychologists with the common purpose of improving functional capacity and quality of life of chronic HF patients. Physical activity, lifestyle and psychological support are core components of a successful CR program. CR has been shown to be beneficial in all ejection fraction categories in HF and most patients, who are stable under medication, are capable of participating. An individualized exercise prescription should be developed on the basis of a baseline evaluation in all patients. The main modalities of exercise training are aerobic exercise and muscle strength training of different intensity and frequency. It is important to set the appropriate clinical outcomes from the beginning, in order to assess the effectiveness of a CR program. There are still significant limitations that prevent patients from participating in these programs and need to be solved, as well as future perspectives. A significant limitation is the generally low quality of research in CR

and the presence of negative trials, such as the rehabilitation after myocardial infarction trial, where ⁴ comprehensive rehabilitation following myocardial infarction had no important effect on mortality, morbidity, risk factors, health-related quality of life or activity. In the present editorial, we present all the updated knowledge and recommendations in CR programs.

Key Words: Cardiac rehabilitation; Heart failure; Outcomes; Exercise protocols; Prescription; Future perspectives; Limitations

Kourek C, Briasoulis A, Magouliotis DE, Skoularigis J, Xanthopoulos A. Latest updates on the structure and the recommendations of cardiac rehabilitation programs in chronic heart failure. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; In press

⁵
Core Tip: Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is a medically supervised program designed to maintain or improve cardiovascular health of patients with heart failure (HF), recommended by guidelines. CR has been shown beneficial in HF leading in ¹ improvements in central hemodynamic status and peripheral vascular, endothelial, and skeletal muscle function and, most significantly, in peak and submaximal exercise capacity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and quality of life. Main endpoints of a CR program include a wide spectrum of functional and psychological variables. Despite the strong recommendations in the guidelines, the attendance rates remain at low levels due to several healthcare professionals, patients and state's barriers.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome with high morbidity and mortality worldwide and a tremendous socioeconomic burden^[1,2]. Depending on the development and progression of disease, advanced stages are associated with reduced survival^[3]. The prevalence of HF in Europe appears to be 12% of adults, increasing with age from 1% for those aged < 55 years to > 10% in those aged 70 years or more, while the incidence is approximately 5/1000 person-years^[4,5]. Prognosis of patients with HF has improved significantly during the last decades, with HF with mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFmrEF) demonstrating better prognosis compared to HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Mortality rates from hospitalized patients is shown to be lower in patients with HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) compared to patients with HFrEF (adjusted hazard ratio 0.68, 95%CI: 0.64-0.71)^[6]. However, it still remains poor, also affecting quality of life of these patients^[2].

Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is a medically supervised program designed to maintain or improve cardiovascular health of patients with cardiovascular disease (Figure 1). It is considered to be crucial in the secondary prevention in HF and it is recommended by both American and European guidelines^[1,2]. A CR program consists of a multispecialty group including physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, trainers, ergophysiologists, dietitians and psychologists with common purpose of improving functional capacity and quality of life of chronic HF patients. Variables such as the eligibility criteria of patients, the structure of the program and the implemented exercise training protocols will be discussed in detail in the present editorial. Moreover, we are going to present all the updated knowledge and recommendations in CR programs.

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND PRESCRIPTION OF HF PATIENTS

Indications for CR include history of coronary artery disease, congestive HF, peripheral artery disease, heart valve repair, as a bridge to cardiac transplantation and post cardiac transplantation^[7]. Especially in cardiac transplantation, exercise training can mitigate the

impact of the decreased strength and skeletal muscle abnormalities that develop prior to transplantation^[8].

Most patients with HF, who are stable under medications, are capable of participating in CR programs. CR has been shown beneficial in all ejection fraction (EF) categories in HF. Specifically in HFrEF, exercise training leads to improvements in central hemodynamic status and peripheral vascular, endothelial, and skeletal muscle function attenuation of sympathetic and neurohormonal activation, reduction in circulating levels of N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptide, and increases in vagal tone^[9]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis including 4481 patients showed that exercise-based CR is likely to improve exercise capacity and quality of life in HFrEF^[10]. Similar beneficial results have been also demonstrated in HFpEF with several studies and meta-analyses showing significant improvements in peak and submaximal exercise capacity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and quality of life, as well as atrial reverse remodeling and improved left ventricular diastolic function^[11]. In HFmrEF, data still remains limited. A recent study showed that early CR significantly reduced cardiac death and re-hospitalization in these patients^[12]. However, more studies regarding the potential benefits of CR are mandatory.

Patients with contraindications to exercise training are those with unstable angina, acute decompensated congestive HF, complex ventricular arrhythmias, severe pulmonary hypertension (right ventricular systolic pressure greater than 60 mmHg), intracavitary thrombus, recent thrombophlebitis with or without pulmonary embolism, severe obstructive cardiomyopathies, severe or symptomatic aortic stenosis, uncontrolled inflammatory or infectious pathology or any musculoskeletal condition that prevents adequate participation in exercise^[11,13]. Nevertheless, even these patients could benefit from specific exercise training modalities of low or intermediate intensity and/or individualized training protocols.

An individualized exercise prescription should be developed on the basis of a baseline evaluation in all patients, with incorporation of the goals of the patient and the treatment team^[13]. The cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is the gold standard for

the diagnostic evaluation of exercise intolerance, as well as for individualized prescription of structured physical training, along with the functional impairment reported by patients^[14]. Some CR centers even include a repeat CPET after several weeks of training in order to guide the intensity progression^[14].

STRUCTURE OF A CR PROGRAM

CR is divided into three phases; phase I, phase II and phase III that all aim to prevent further cardiovascular disease^[14]. Phase I, consisting of early mobilization of the stable cardiac patient to the level of activity required to perform simple household tasks, is the clinical phase and is initiated while the patient is still in the hospital. Phase II is a supervised ambulatory outpatient program, usually with duration of 3 to 6 months, which consists of outpatient monitored exercise while phase III or post-CR is a lifetime maintenance phase which consists of home-or gym-based exercise with the goal of continuing the risk factor modification and exercise program learned during phase II^[14]. Another phase of CR is “pre-habilitation”, a pre-surgery phase usually before cardiac transplantation and coronary artery bypass grafting or under extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support^[15]. However, this definition is not established in all countries, indicating a global variation regarding stages of CR.

CR is consisted by multiple components except for the patient, including the patient's family, different specialized physicians such as surgeons, cardiologists, physiatrists, pneumonologists, *etc.*, specialized nurses, physical therapists, occupational therapists, pharmacists, psychologists, nutritionists, and other administrative officers^[13]. CR programs do not consist of exercise training alone but, a number of core components including baseline patient assessment, nutritional counseling, lifestyle modification, risk factor management for lipids, blood pressure, weight, diabetes, and smoking, psychosocial interventions, and physical activity counseling.

INTENSITY AND MODALITIES OF EXERCISE TRAINING

The main modality of exercise training is aerobic exercise which can be classified into different categories of intensity according to VO_2 max, max heart rate (HR), heart rate reserve (HRR), and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) scale of the initial CPET in each patient^[16]. Specifically, low intensity exercise is defined as < 40% of VO_2 max or < 55% of max HR or <40% of HRR or 10-11 of the RPE scale while moderate intensity exercise as 40%-69% of VO_2 max or 55%-74% of max HR or 40%-69% of HRR or 12-13 of the RPE scale. Moreover, high intensity exercise is defined as 70%-85% of VO_2 max or 75%-90% of max HR or 70%-85% of HRR or 14-16 of the RPE scale, and very high intense exercise as > 85% of VO_2 max or > 90% of max HR or > 85% of HRR or 17-19 of the RPE scale. Low and moderate intensity exercise include aerobic training zone, high intensity includes both aerobic and lactate training zone while very high intensity includes aerobic, lactate and anaerobic training zone^[16]. All the above parameters derive from the CPET measurements while the main tool of the RPE scale is the 20-point Borg scale.

Another major exercise training modality is muscle strength/resistance training. In resistance training, intensity is determined according to the 1 repetition maximum (1RM) test. Patients usually start from light initial loads at initiation of CR, with approximately 12-15 repetitions at 30% of 1RM and 40% of 1RM for the upper and lower body, respectively^[16]. The recovery phase should be at least twice the time of contraction phase. In the meantime, the intensity should be increased up to 70% of 1RM and 80% of 1RM for the upper and lower body, respectively, during the CR program^[16].

It should be made clear that the progression of the intensity of aerobic and resistance training in CR should be made only when it is medically safe and patients are able to execute the exercises with proper technique and do not present orthopedic symptoms, cardiac arrhythmias, or episodes of syncope or dizziness^[17].

Other exercise training modalities include inspiratory muscle training, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, as well as water and breathing exercises.

CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND SAFETY

The main endpoints of a CR program include a wide spectrum of functional and psychological variables^[17]: (1) Exercise capacity measured by peak VO₂, 6 minutes walking test distance, power output, or exercise duration is an important parameter for the assessment of CR. Improvements in one of these indices is related to improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness of a HF patient; (2) Cardiac function measured by peak cardiac output, stroke volume, HR or left ventricular EF is another important criterion of the effectiveness of a CR program; (3) Microcirculation assessed by peripheral conduit artery function through endothelial-dependent vasodilation, skeletal muscle function and endothelial function is the cornerstone for potential benefit in the clinical impact in HF patients; (4) Sympathetic nervous system activity as measured by muscle sympathetic nervous system activity at both rest and during exercise; (5) Health status and quality of life assessed by Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire and the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire has a direct impact in the daily life of HF patients; and (6) Clinical outcomes including all-cause and HF-specific hospitalization and mortality are probably the most significant outcomes for most randomized controlled trials and determine future guidelines in HF.

All these outcomes are objective and measurable in the effectiveness of a CR program. However, their importance on patients with HF is controversial. They are useful when there is also a clinical relevance such as improvement in their symptoms and daily activities, increase of their exercise tolerance and improved quality of life. In other words, surrogate markers should be interpreted with caution and, perhaps, we should be focusing on what is important to patients. Probably, mortality and all cause admission to hospital seem to be more important than many of these variables. Unfortunately, data from the largest trials have been disappointing so far. For instance, the Rehabilitation after myocardial infarction trial study that compared 1813 patients after myocardial infarction referred to comprehensive CR programs or discharged to "usual care", found no important effect on mortality, cardiac or psychological morbidity, risk factors, health-related quality of life or activity^[18].

As far as safety is concerned, most studies have found no evidence suggesting that exercise training causes harm in terms of an increased risk of all-cause death in patients with chronic stable HF. It is reported that one case of heart attack occurs per 300000 exercise hours and the mortality rate is also quite low (0 to 1 case per 300000 exercise hours)^[16]. Non-fatal events such as arrhythmias, syncope, musculoskeletal injuries and dyspnea are more common, especially in high-risk patients.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Due to the lack of data in all types of HF, more randomized controlled trials are required. Specifically, there is a general lack of high-quality research in CR compared with pharmaceuticals and lack of strong mortality outcomes in both HFpEF and HFrEF. Many CR trials include small samples and the most significant data derive from metanalysis of small trials, which is at high risk of bias.

Despite strong guideline recommendations for CR, attendance rates remain at low levels in cardiovascular disease patients; < 20% in Europe and the United States over the last 2 decades^[19]. Healthcare professionals' factors including lack of endorsement of CR by clinicians due to lack of awareness on the evidence of its effectiveness, patients' factors including physical, psychosocial and economic aspects that influence their participation in CR, and states' factors including reduced or bad-organized availability of CR programs, health policies and small number of campaigns on rehabilitation limit HF patients from participating in such rehabilitation programs^[19].

Alternatives to center-based programs include home-based models and digital modes of delivery, such as cardiac tele-rehabilitation through the use of mobile or internet-based communication and social media platforms, that allow patients to access CR virtually^[20]. The major advantages of home-based CR programs are the expanded access, individually tailored programs, convenient scheduling, minimal transportation barriers, privacy and integration with regular home routine while the main disadvantages compared to the traditional CR programs are less intensive exercise training, less social support, less face-to-face monitoring and communication and safety

concerns for patients at higher risk^[20]. Cardiac tele-rehabilitation, however, is not feasible for every patient, as lower levels of digital literacy and access to the internet in certain groups such as ethnic minorities, the elderly, and the socioeconomically deprived could limit their participation^[20].

CONCLUSION

CR is a significant tool in the therapeutic approach of HF, recommended by the most recent guidelines. Careful evaluation of HF patients, appropriate exercise prescription, individualized goals for each patient are some aspects of a successful CR program. However, there are still significant gaps in literature, especially regarding specific HF subgroups and future perspectives of CR. As a result, more randomized controlled trials are required in order to create individualized exercise training protocols.

28%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Biykem Bozkurt, Gregg C. Fonarow, Lee R. Goldberg, Maya Guglin et al. "Cardiac Rehabilitation for Patients WithHeartFailure", Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2021 <small>Crossref</small>	118 words — 5%
2	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov <small>Internet</small>	100 words — 4%
3	academic.oup.com <small>Internet</small>	88 words — 3%
4	www.researchgate.net <small>Internet</small>	66 words — 3%
5	www.pittsburghtribune.org <small>Internet</small>	45 words — 2%
6	e-heartfailure.org <small>Internet</small>	42 words — 2%
7	es.scribd.com <small>Internet</small>	37 words — 1%
8	journals.lww.com <small>Internet</small>	26 words — 1%

9	Randal J. Thomas, Alexis L. Beatty, Theresa M. Beckie, LaPrincess C. Brewer et al. "Home-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Scientific Statement From the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, the American Heart Association, and the American College of Cardiology", Circulation, 2019 Crossref	24 words — 1%
10	www.uptodate.com Internet	24 words — 1%
11	cancerres.unboundmedicine.com Internet	23 words — 1%
12	doaj.org Internet	23 words — 1%
13	www.fortunejournals.com Internet	21 words — 1%
14	link.springer.com Internet	18 words — 1%
15	Adams, K.F.. "Prospective evaluation of the association between hemoglobin concentration and quality of life in patients with heart failure", American Heart Journal, 200912 Crossref	17 words — 1%
16	areasaludbadajoz.com Internet	14 words — 1%
17	slideplayer.com Internet	11 words — < 1%
18	clinicaltrials.gov Internet	10 words — < 1%

-
- 19

pergamos.lib.uoa.gr
Internet

10 words — < 1%
-
- 20

"Cardiac Considerations in Chronic Lung Disease",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020
Crossref

9 words — < 1%
-
- 21

"The AHA Guidelines and Scientific Statements
Handbook", Wiley, 2008
Crossref

9 words — < 1%
-