80996_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 80996

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Treatment of Recurrent Hepatocellular Carcinoma Following Liver Resection,

Ablation or Liver Transplantation

Shalom Z Frager, Weston Cooper, Yvonne Saenger, Jonathan M Schwartz

Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary liver cancer in the United States and causes one third of cancer related deaths world-wide. Approximately one third of patients with HCC are eligible for curative treatments that include hepatic resection, liver transplantation or imaging guided tumor ablation. Recurrence rates after primary therapy depends on tumor biology and pre-treatment tumor burden with early recurrence rates ranging from 30%-80% following surgical resection and ablation. HCC recurs in over ten percent of liver transplant recipients. Treatment modalities for tumor recurrence following resection and ablation include repeat liver resection, salvage liver transplantation (LT), locoregional therapies, and systemic chemotherapy/immunotherapy. Locoregional and immune mediated therapies are limited for tumor recurrence following liver transplantation given anatomical and immune related contraindications. Given the high HCC recurrence rates after primary tumor treatment, it is imperative for the clinician to review the appropriate treatment algorithm for this disease entity. This article will review the current literature regarding HCC recurrence after primary curative therapies and will discuss the relevant future trends in the HCC field.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) incidence has been increasing over the last three decades (1) but fortunately may have potentially begun to plateau in the United States (2). HCC is the most common form of primary liver cancer (3) and the sixth most common cancer overall and has a high case fatality rate (4). Based on the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) 2020 data (5), HCC composes 10.9% of new liver transplant waiting list registrations, a rate that has doubled over the past decade. The treatment algorithm and prognostic staging for primary HCC after initial diagnosis has been clearly defined by the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system (6). The BCLC system characterizes patients according to tumor size, tumor number, severity of liver disease using the Child Turcotte Pugh (CTP) classification, and the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (7). Imaging guided tumor ablation, liver resection, or orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) are considered curative options for very early and early-stage HCC with a post treatment median overall survival between 6-10 years (8). The Milan Criteria has been used for over twenty-five years to risk stratify HCC patient eligibility for OLT in the setting of HCC. The Milan criteria is defined as a single lesion greater than or equal to 2 cm and less than or equal to 5 cm, or 2 to 3 Lesions, each greater than or equal to 1 cm and less than or equal to 3 cm in the absence of extrahepatic metastases or main portal vein invasion (9). Patients with intermediate stage HCC are treated with trans-arterial modalities including chemoembolization and Yttrium-90 (90Y) radio-embolization.

Efforts to expand criteria for primary resection and liver transplantation have evolved. For example, Yin, L et al in 2014, data has shown that resection of HCC outside Milan criteria might lead to better outcomes compared to trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE) in the appropriate clinical setting (10). In addition, successful reduction of tumor burden to within the Milan criteria has resulted in successful transplant outcomes (11).

Over the last decade, the systemic therapeutic options for HCC have advanced dramatically (3). The improvement of imaging modalities and vascular techniques have

also allowed for earlier diagnosis and more selective locoregional therapies for both ablation and chemo-embolic options.

Nevertheless, HCC recurs 50%-70% of patients after primary hepatic resection and in 8%-17% of patients after liver transplantation (12-15) with early recurrence (< 24 mo) portending worsening survival (16). There is long-term data showing a 34.3% chance of recurrence after 10 year survival with 10% of the overall cohort surviving with locoregional therapy alone (17). Given the high overall rate of HCC recurrence, this article will review the available options for patients specifically regarding HCC recurrence following curative modalities such as hepatectomy/resection, tumor ablation, and liver transplantation.

HCC RECURRENCE AFTER RESECTION

Hepatic resection is considered the primary treatment modality for patients with BCLC stage 0-A HCC without evidence of portal hypertension or hepatic decompensation (ascites, varices, hepatic encephalopathy). The Model for End Stage Liver Disease score (MELD) and CPT score (18, 19) have been used for risk stratification. Data by Bismuth *et al* showed 5% -15% of patients presenting with HCC will be eligible for hepatic resection. Post resection HCC recurrence rates are 19%, 54%, and 70% for 1, 3, and 5 years, respectively (20). As with pre-treatment HCC diagnosis, tumor recurrence is defined radiographically using the Liver Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS) (21) or modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (mRECIST) (22). When imaging is indeterminate, a liver biopsy can be performed for tissue sampling, however tumor biopsy is typically not performed to establish a diagnosis of HCC recurrence.

Repeat Hepatectomy: In patients with early-stage HCC and compensated liver disease, all Eastern and Western societies (15, 23, 24) recommend hepatectomy as the first-line therapy with 5-year survival rates ranging from 60-80%. However, recurrence can occur in up to 80% of patients despite resection (23). Tumor recurrence can be characterized as early and late based on the time to recurrence from initial resection with a cut-off of 2 years (25). Intrahepatic metastasis is associated with early recurrence of HCC. Late

recurrence of HCC is often not related to the primary tumor and likely reflects the underlying malignant predisposition of the background liver parenchyma. There is no specific treatment guidance for repeat hepatectomy for HCC recurrence and practices are based on local expertise and expert opinion. In general, patients with a single localized recurrent tumor without portal hypertension and normal liver synthetic function are good candidates for repeat hepatectomy.

There is heterogeneity in the surgical trials advocating for repeat hepatectomy stemming from diverse inclusion criteria. The data has been collected in the Eastern Hemisphere with only one large Western study presented by Roayaie *et al* (2011). The 5-year survival rate was 67% in this study (26). In this cohort, a higher five-year overall survival (OS) (66.8% *vs.* 55.5% respectively, *P* = 0.006) was seen in patients undergoing anatomic resection (AR) *vs* non-anatomic resection (NAR). However, there was no significant difference in peri-operative morbidity or mortality rates between anatomic *vs* AR or NAR. A large Eastern series by Zou *et al* showed a 1, 3, and 5-year overall all survival rates of 96.9, 74.8, and 47.8%, respectively (27). Post-operative complication rates range from 0-6% (ascites, bile leak, liver failure) (28).

There is scant data comparing repeat laparoscopic resection vs open hepatectomy. In a study by Cai W *et al* (2019), there was a similar 90-day mortality between these groups although other metrics (blood loss, hospital length of stay) were better in the laparoscopic cohort (29). The selection of surgical techniques is based on both patient and tumor characteristics and is an evolving area of interest in surgical literature.

Locoregional Therapy: Locoregional therapies are available for patients with unresectable recurrent HCC or for a patient with worsening portal hypertension/Liver function following primary hepatic resection. Radiofrequency or microwave ablation has been utilized for recurrent tumors < 3 cm in diameter although caution must be used to avoid collateral structural damage. A meta-analysis of 18 prior studies showed ablation for recurrent HCC has a post-ablation recurrence rate of 79% with a complication rate of near 2.9% although this may be an inaccurate value given much of the data was not

reported (30). There are very few good studies comparing the outcomes for post recurrence ablation vs hepatic resection alone (31).

Trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a non-curative modality that can be used for tumor control for patients who are not candidate for repeat hepatic resection. Three-year survival post-TACE is 29% for primary HCC (32). In a review from Erridge *et al*, the 5-year survival was 15.5% in patients who underwent TACE for recurrent HCC (33). Other studies have shown that the outcome could be worse, and that palliation is the end goal for this therapy modality (34).

Salvage Liver Transplantation: In the United States, patients who are eligible for liver transplantation following HCC recurrence benefit from early evaluation and placement on the transplant waiting list without a 6-month waiting period (15). Studies by Zhenhua Hu et al (2012) and Kostakis ID et al (2019) and have evaluated salvage liver transplantation after hepatic resection within mixed populations which has been difficult to generalize (35, 36). There is also the consideration of post OLT immunosuppression agents which are known to increase malignancy risk. In practice, patients with indications for liver transplantation should be listed for liver transplantation as this approach will allow for removal of micro hepatic metastasis and will eliminate the sequalae of portal hypertension and chronic liver disease.

Adjuvant Systemic Immunotherapy: A burgeoning area of interest and study is adjuvant immunotherapy post following hepatic resection (37). The NIVOLVE trial tested adjuvant nivolumab with median recurrence free survival of 26.3 mo (38). This compares quite favorably with the median recurrence free survival of 8.5 mo observed with sorafenib in the STORM trial (39). Based on data in the metastatic setting, the addition of a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)/vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) inhibitor (such as bevacizumab) to an immune checkpoint inhibitor backbone could further improve outcomes. Multiple trials of adjuvant immunotherapy are ongoing including IMBRAVE 050 (atezolizumab+ bevacizumab), KEYNOTE 937 (pembrolizumab), and Checkmate 9DX (nivolumab) for which results are anticipated.

Systemic Immunotherapy for Metastatic Hepatocellular Cancer: When recurrence is not amenable to surgical resection or local regional therapy, systemic therapy is often the only option. The current standard of care is to use the combination of the immune checkpoint inhibitor atezolizumab with bevacizumab, a regimen that showed significant survival benefit relative to sorafenib, the prior standard therapy (40). For patients with prior episodes of bleeding or mucosal inflammation precluding use of bevacizumab, single agent anti-PD1 therapy may offer benefit. The combination of ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) and nivolumab is now FDA approved based on trial data showed a 30% response rate in all treatment arms (41). Multiple novel immunotherapy combinations are being studied including combinations with anti-Lag3 antibodies, a therapeutic that has yielded survival benefit in melanoma (42).

HCC RECURRENCE AFTER ABLATION

Based on the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, HCC ablation is offered for patients who are not candidates for surgical resection or are ineligible for liver transplantation based on medical or psychosocial barriers. HCC recurrence after RFA occurs can occur in up to 15% of patients undergoing this treatment modality (43). While not fully understood, the reason is thought to be due to micro-tumor spread *via* arterial-portal shunts related to thermal and mechanical damage caused during the RFA procedure. Patients with recurrence can be treated by repeating RFA alone or combining RFA and TACE or initiation of systemic therapy based on the extent of tumor recurrence.

Locoregional Therapy Combined with Immunotherapy: There is strong rationale for combining local therapy with immunotherapy in the setting of recurrent HCC, both because the probability of long-term cure with repeat local treatment is lower than with initial therapy, and because local treatment can release tumor antigens and favorably alter the tumor immune micro-environment. Multiple studies are ongoing examining these combinations including trials combining ablation, RFA, brachytherapy and/or TACE with pembrolizumab, tislezumab, atezoliczumab and bevacizumab, and others

(44). One recent retrospective study examined 31 patients who underwent concurrent TACE and nivolumab and found that they achieved a significantly longer median survival (8.8 mo) than patients treated with TACE alone (3.7 mo) with some patients achieving prolonged survival greater than 20 mo (45).

HCC RECURRENCE AFTER LIVER TRANSPLANTION

Liver Transplantation for HCC

Liver transplantation can be curative among select patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who are ineligible for hepatic resection. Initial studies by Mazzaferro *et al* showed excellent long term recurrent free survival (9). These criteria are known as the Milan criteria.

HCC recurs at a rate of 10-15% following liver transplantation among patients who meet the Milan criteria prior to liver transplantation with higher recurrence rates in patients who exceed the Milan criteria (12). HCC typically recurs in the lungs, bones, and in the liver. Other sites of recurrence include the adrenal glands and the central nervous system.

Data regarding pre transplant risk factors for HCC recurrence including pre-transplant Alpha fetoprotein (AFP) and a short duration between listing for transplantation and the transplant surgery has influenced the United States transplant regulatory agency, United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS) to incorporate AFP criteria and as well as a six-month waiting period prior to transplant eligibility.

There are several prognostic systems that help to predict HCC recurrence following LT. Markers of tumor biology such as pre transplant AFP, explant tumor differentiation and the presence of microvascular tumor invasion are incorporated into most of these models. One such model, metroticket 2.0 includes AFP and tumor morphology can be used to predict post-transplant outcomes (46). Another readily applied model is the Risk Estimation of Tumor Recurrence After Transplant (RETREAT) predictive model that included AFP, tumor size and microvascular invasion (47).

HCC typically recurs in the first 3 years following LT and the tumor biology of recurrent HCC is influence by the immunosuppressed state (48). It is unresolved whether reduced calcineurin inhibitor and addition or substitution of calcineurin inhibitors with m-TOR inhibitors leads to reduced HCC recurrence (49).

Patients typically undergo surveillance imaging for up to five years following LT with contrast enhanced CT or MRI every six to 12 mo as well as non-contrast chest CT and AFP testing to identify HCC recurrence as early as possible. Evidence to support this practice is lacking, and it may be appropriate to target patients at highest risk with more frequent surveillance.

There is also no data to support chemoprevention with systemic chemotherapy in this context. In addition, it is unclear whether pre transplant immunotherapy reduces rates of HCC recurrence.

Treatment of HCC recurrence following liver transplantation

Patients with HCC recurrence have significantly lower survival than patients who do not recur. Most recurrences occur in extrahepatic locations, and patients who are eligible for surgical intervention are more likely to have improved outcomes (50).

Patients with widely metastatic recurrent HCC following LT are eligible for systemic therapy as described above including the use of sorafenib, regorafenib, lenvantinib and cabozantinib. These agents are often difficult to tolerate given significant drug interactions with immunosuppressive agents.

Immunotherapy following LT

Treatment with immunotherapeutic agents that target programmed cell death protein 1 (PD1)/programmed cell death ligand 1(PD-L1) mechanistically enhance immune response against malignant cells. These agents can activate the immune cascade with resultant graft loss due to rejection.

While there are multiple reports of safe use of immunotherapy prior to transplant, use of immunotherapy after transplant is much riskier. A literature review identified 28 patients who had immunotherapy after transplant (51). Early mortality occurred in 6 patients and 9 patients experienced allograft rejection that was frequently severe.

Rejection was more likely to occur earlier after transplantation. Median overall survival was 7.3 mo. If used at all, these agents should be used with extreme caution, perhaps with higher levels of immunosuppression or in the context of a clinical trial at a specialized high volume transplant center.

FIGURE 1

Proposed treatment Algorithm for recurrent HCC, modified from Thomasset et al

CONCLUSION

HCC recurrence is common after initial therapy and early vs late recurrence may impact overall survival. The increase is treatment options for primary HCC over the last decade has allowed the field to evolve and extrapolate these modalities for use in HCC recurrence. In general, the overall therapeutic approach to HCC recurrence is similar to primary HCC despite specific anatomical and immune related constraints which may occur after liver transplantation or hepatic resection. Advancement in systemic chemo/immune therapies both in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant phase has allowed for

additional survival in cases of unresectable HCC recurrence. New frontiers in locoregional therapies have also allowed for better HCC tumor recurrence control. The authors of this paper have adapted and modified a treatment algorithm based on work by Thomasset *et al* from 2015 and illustrated in Figure 1 (30). These treatment approach incorporates all of the aforementioned treatment modalities and gives the clinician a data driven and simplified approach to HCC recurrence.

80996_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIM	ARY SOURCES	
1	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov	38 words — 1 ⁰

2	oaepublishstorage.blob.core.windows.net	21 words — 1%
	oacpabilististorage.blob.corc.wiridows.rict	21 words 70
	Internet	

Joerg Trojan, Stefan Zeuzem. "To Bead or Not to Bead: Is Transarterial Chemoembolization With Doxorubicin-Eluting Beads a New Standard of Care in Hepatocellular Carcinoma?", Gastroenterology, 2010 $_{\text{Crossref}}$

4	link.springer.com	16 words — 1%
4		16 words — 1 %

5	www.mayoclinic.org	16 words — 1%
	Internet	

_	www.eposters.net	15 words — 1 %
	IIICITIC	

-	hrjournal.net	13 words — < 1 %
-		13 words — <

9 downloads.hindawi.com

12 words — < 1%

12 words — < 1%

www.annalsgastro.gr

ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 12 WORDS