75649_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75649

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

Cumulative incidence and risk factors for pouch adenomas associated with familial adenomatous polyposis following restorative proctocolectomy

Ryu HS et al. Incidence and risk factors for pouch adenoma

Hyo Seon Ryu, Chang Sik Yu, Young Il Kim, Jong Lyul Lee, Yong Sik Yoon, In Ja Park, Seok-Byung Lim, Jin Cheon Kim, Chan Wook Kim

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The emergence of restorative total proctocolectomy has significantly reduced the lifetime colorectal cancer risk associated with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). However, adenomas may develop in the ileal pouch over time and may even progress to carcinoma. We evaluated the cumulative incidence, time to development, and risk factors associated with ileal pouch adenoma development.

AIM

To evaluate the cumulative incidence, time to development, and risk factors associated with pouch adenoma.

METHODS

In this retrospective, observational study conducted at a tertiary center, 95 patients with FAP who underwent restorative proctocolectomy at our center between 1989 and 2018 were consecutively included. The mean follow-up period was 88 mo.

RESULTS

Pouch adenomas were found in 24 (25.3%) patients, with a median time of 52 mo to their first formation. Tubular adenomas were detected in most patients (95.9%). There were no high-grade dysplasias or malignancies. Of the 24 patients with pouch adenomas, 13 had all detected adenomas removed. Among the 13 patients who underwent complete adenoma removal, 4 (38.5%) developed recurrence. Among 11 (45.8%) patients with numerous polyps within the pouch, seven (63.6%) exhibited progression of pouch adenoma. The cumulative risks of pouch adenoma development at 5, 10, and 15 years after pouch surgery were 15.2%, 29.6%, and 44.1%, respectively. Severe colorectal polyposis (with more than 1000 polyps) was a significant risk factor for pouch adenoma development (hazard ratio, 2.49; 95% confidence interval, 1.04-5.96; P = 0.041).

CONCLUSION

Pouch adenomas occur at a fairly high rate in association with FAP after restorative proctocolectomy, and a high colorectal polyp count is associated with pouch adenoma development.

Key Words: Adenomatous polyposis coli; Familial adenomatous polyposis; Adenoma; Intestinal polyps; Proctocolectomy, restorative; Ileal pouch anal anastomosis

Ryu HS, Yu CS, Kim YI, Lee JL, Yoon YS, Park IJ, Lim SB, Kim JC, Kim CW. Cumulative incidence and risk factors for pouch adenomas associated with familial adenomatous polyposis following restorative proctocolectomy. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; In press

Core Tip: This was a retrospective study that evaluates the cumulative incidence and risk factors for pouch adenoma in association with familial adenomatous polyposis following restorative proctocolectomy. The incidence of pouch adenoma was 25.3%, and the cumulative risk 15 years after pouch surgery was 44.1%. Severe colorectal polyposis was a significant risk factor for pouch adenoma development. In our series, 62% of adenomas did not recur after endoscopic removal, but 63% of patients under observation showed progression. There was no spontaneous adenoma diminution or disappearance. Close endoscopic pouch surveillance is essential, and new pouch adenoma management guidelines are needed.

INTRODUCTION

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is a genetic syndrome caused by an adenomatous polyposis coli (*APC*) gene mutation that has an incidence of 1 in 10000 births^[1]. This genetic variation is characterized by extensive polyposis in the colon and rectum, and patients with FAP have a lifetime colorectal cancer risk of 100% by the age of 35 to 40 years^[2]. Hence, prophylactic surgical intervention is required for these individuals, and the currently favorable therapeutic option is a total proctocolectomy and ileal pouch-anal

anastomosis (TPC/IPAA)^[2]. TPC/IPAA increases life expectancy among patients with FAP by eliminating their metachronous colorectal cancer risk^[3]. The postoperative disease course is determined by extracolonic manifestations, including duodenal adenoma and carcinoma, and desmoid tumors^[4].

Cancers of the terminal ileum are extremely rare, and this region thus represents a far lower disease risk than the remaining rectal mucosa after ileorectal anastomosis. Notably, however, several studies have found a 35%-57% incidence of ileal pouch adenomas associated with FAP, which can progress to malignancy^[5-11]. Given that most of these prior studies have involved small sample sizes and were retrospective in nature, definitive results on these cancers are lacking, and the risk factors associated with pouch adenoma development remain to be established. Although these data are limited, the pouch adenoma incidence is reported to be quite high; therefore, long-term periodic surveillance. Most clinical guidelines recommend annual endoscopic surveillance of the anal transition zone (ATZ) mucosa after TPC/IPAA as a surgical management strategy for FAP patients. According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, this surveillance interval should be shortened to 6 mo if the adenoma is large or has advanced histology. However, there are currently no specific criteria directing more frequent surveillance. Moreover, with the currently implemented management approaches for pouch adenoma, uncertainty remains regarding the specific indications for endoscopic or surgical intervention^[12-14]. More information is, therefore, needed regarding the incidence, natural course, and risk factors associated with pouch adenoma.

We investigated the cumulative incidence and time to development of pouch adenomas. In an FAP cohort after TPC/IPAA, we analyzed the clinical factors associated with pouch adenoma development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sample

Data on all patients with FAP who underwent pouch surgery at Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea, between November 1989 and December 2018, were identified from the hospital database. The FAP patients were identified by the presence of more than 100 colorectal adenomas. The indication for TPC/IPAA was FAP with or without malignancy. We excluded patients with attenuated FAP with fewer than 100 polyps (as per histopathology reports), as well as patients who did not receive TPC/IPAA. Demographic data, surgical details, original histopathology, and details of follow-up pouch endoscopic and pathologic findings were captured retrospectively. The definition of pouch adenoma included lesions that occurred in the ileum above the anastomosis site. Pouch adenoma progression was defined as an increase in the number or size of these lesions, as well as the development of dysplasia or malignancy, evident on histopathological examination. The severity of the duodenal polyposis in each case was assessed using the Spigelman classification^[15] (Table 1). The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Asan Medical Center (registration No. 2021-0309) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Surgical techniques of IPAA

An anal mucosectomy leaving a short rectal muscular cuff above the dentate line and transanal hand-sewn ileoanal anastomosis were performed for 72 of our enrolled FAP patients (75.8%). The remaining 23 patients (24.2%) underwent double-stapled anastomosis adjacent to the dentate line at the ATZ. The pouch construction in all patients was J-shaped using two ileal limbs of 15 cm in length.

Follow-up protocols

The regular follow-up protocols for our study patients included clinical examinations, pouch and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and an abdominoperineal computed tomography. Pouch endoscopy was performed within 1 postoperative year. Subsequently, for patients who underwent a mucosectomy, endoscopic examinations were performed once every 2 years in the absence of any polyps. If polyps were observed during endoscopic follow-up, they were removed regardless of size. In patients with multiple polyps, those larger than 5 mm in diameter were removed, or a biopsy specimen

was collected. More intensive follow-up was performed, at intervals of 6-12 mo, if warranted by the size, number, or pathologic characteristics of identified polyps. Patients with colorectal cancer in our cohort were followed at 6-mo intervals for 5 years and annually thereafter. Additionally, testing for carcinoembryonic antigens was conducted every 6 mo, and chest computed tomography was performed annually.

Statistical analysis

The quantitative variables in this study are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) or ranges, and categorical variables are summarized as frequencies and percentages. The clinicopathological variables for the patients with and without pouch adenomas were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test and Fisher's exact test. The time to pouch adenoma formation was defined as the time from the date of surgery to the date of detection of the first histologically confirmed adenoma. Pouch adenoma–free survival was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Multivariate Cox regression analysis based on backward elimination was used to assess the impact of variables on pouch adenoma–free survival with adjustment for variables reported to be associated with pouch adenoma–free survival in previous reports (colorectal polyp burden, time interval after IPAA, presence of desmoid tumors, and presence of gastric polyps and duodenal adenomas) in addition to basic patient characteristics, such as age and sex. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated. Statistical significance was established with a two-sided test at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

RESULTS

A total of 175 patients with FAP who underwent pouch surgery were identified from the hospital database. Among these patients, we excluded 48 with attenuated FAP with fewer than 100 polyps according to histopathology reports. We also excluded six patients who underwent total colectomy procedures with ileorectal anastomosis, two patients who underwent end-ileostomy without ileal pouches, and a single patient whose pouch was

removed due to postoperative bleeding. We further excluded 23 patients who did not undergo postoperative follow-up sigmoidoscopy. The final study cohort, thus, comprised 95 patients with FAP who underwent TPC/IPAA (Figure 1).

The characteristics of the 95 FAP patients enrolled in this study are summarized in Table 2. The median follow-up period after pouch surgery was 88 mo (IQR, 61-141 mo). The median age at the time of IPAA was 32 years (IQR, 24-41 years). The median number of follow-up endoscopies was 5 (IQR, 3-7). We observed that 24 (25.3%) of our study patients had adenomas that had been histologically confirmed in the pouch mucosa above the anastomosis. The median time to first pouch adenoma detection was 52 mo (IQR, 28.3-114.3 mo). Adenomas arising from the ATZ below the anastomosis were detected in seven (7.4%) patients. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was also performed for all patients. Gastric polyps were present in 70 (73.3%) patients; these were mainly fundic gland polyps (75.7%) as per biopsy results. Duodenal adenomas were confirmed in 46 (48.5%) patients. Twenty-four, 12, and 10 patients were classified as having Spigelman stage I, II, and III lesions, respectively, and there were no stage IV cases. Twenty-three patients (24.2%) developed desmoid tumors. At the time of surgery, 43 (45.3%) patients had colorectal cancer.

8 Mutation analysis

Information on the underlying germline mutation was available for 40 (42.1%) FAP patients, among whom 28 (70.0%) harbored *APC* mutations, most commonly within exon 15 of the *APC* gene (64.3%). No significant difference was found in terms of the distribution of germline mutations between patients with and without pouch adenomas (P = 0.21).

Presence and distribution of pouch adenomas

The cumulative incidences of pouch adenomas at 5, 10, and 15 years after IPAA were 15.2%, 29.6%, and 44.1% (95%CI: 7.2%-22.4%, 15.8%-41.1%, 25.3%-58.2%), respectively (Figure 2). Among the 24 patients with pouch adenomas, 13 (54.2%) had fewer than 12

countable lesions, whereas others had numerous polyps within the pouch. All countable adenomas were removed endoscopically regardless of size. Only one patient had to undergo a transanal excision to remove a pouch adenoma 31 mm in diameter. The median value of the maximum diameter was 3 mm (range, 2.0-31.0 mm). Tubular adenomas were detected in 23 (95.9%) patients, and tubule-villous adenomas were detected in two (8.4%) patients. There were no cases of high-grade dysplasia or malignancy in our study sample. During follow-up for the 13 patients who underwent complete removal of all detected adenomas, eight (61.5%) patients had no recurrence, and four (38.5%) patients developed recurrent adenomas, which were endoscopically resected. The remaining patient in this group was lost to follow-up, and the disease course after adenoma removal was not documented. For the 11 (45.8%) patients with numerous polyps within the pouch, only those larger than 5 mm were removed, and surveillance biopsy specimens were collected. In four (36.4%) patients, the adenomas remained unchanged, while seven (63.6%) patients exhibited pouch adenoma progression (Figure 3).

Clinical characteristics of the study patients with and without pouch adenomas

Comparisons of the clinical characteristics among the FAP patients according to the presence of pouch adenomas are presented in Table 2. Compared with patients without adenomas, the pouch adenoma group had a significantly higher mean number of colorectal polyps at the time of surgery ($700 \ vs \ 250, P = 0.001$). Severe polyposis involving more than 1000 colorectal polyps was also significantly more common in the pouch adenoma group (P = 0.006). Additionally, patients with pouch adenomas (relative to those without pouch adenomas) were more likely to have duodenal adenomas ($66.7\% \ vs \ 42.3\%, P = 0.039$). There was no significant intergroup difference in the Spigelman adenoma staging distributions (P = 0.30) and no differences in the gastric polyp status (absent or present) or gastric polyp burden. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) treatment for desmoid tumors—including with celecoxib and meloxicam—was more common among patients without pouch adenomas, but this difference was not

statistically significant (4.2% vs 19.7%, P = 0.07). There were no differences between the clinical characteristics of the study patients when stratified by the presence of colorectal cancer at the time of surgery (P = 0.38).

Associations between different clinical factors and pouch adenoma-free survival

Associations between different patient factors and pouch adenoma–free survival were evaluated (Table 3). Among the clinical factors analyzed, multivariate analysis revealed severe colorectal polyposis (with more than 1000 polyps) to be a significant risk factor for pouch adenoma development (HR, $\frac{6}{2.49}$; 95%CI: $\frac{1.04-5.96}{2.49}$; $\frac{7}{2.49}$

DISCUSSION

TPC/IPAA is now being implemented as a standard treatment to eliminate the risk of colorectal cancer in patients with FAP. However, the postoperative development of adenomas in the ileal pouch in these individuals has raised new concerns about appropriate postoperative surveillance and management approaches. In this study cohort, the incidence of pouch adenoma was 25.3% at a median follow-up of 88 mo (IQR, 61-141 mo) after IPAA. The cumulative risk of pouch adenoma was 15.2%, 29.6%, and 44.1% at 5, 10, and 15 years after TPC/IPAA, respectively. There were no cases of high-grade dysplasia or carcinoma in our cohort. We found that a higher colorectal polyp burden at the time of surgery was positively associated with the occurrence of pouch adenoma formation (P = 0.001). These results are consistent with the findings reported in prior studies[9,10,16-18].

Several potential risk factors associated with pouch adenoma development have been investigated previously, but they remain controversial. Consistent with the findings of Tonelli $et\ al^{[10]}$, we found in our present analyses that a high colorectal polyp count at the time of IPAA was positively associated with pouch adenoma development. This result is likely to be assumed to be more aggressive disease. However, other authors have not

observed an association between the severity of colonic disease and development of pouch adenoma^[5].

Mutations of the APC gene predispose the carrier to benign polyps and malignancies in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, the risk of developing small bowel adenomas remains in these individuals even after TPC/IPAA. In this context, gastric or duodenal adenomas may be relevant to development of pouch adenoma. Ganschow et $el^{[9]}$ analyzed these variables previously and found that the presence of a gastric adenoma was a significant risk factor for developing a pouch adenoma (P = 0.0019). Several investigator groups have also reported that the presence of a duodenal adenoma is associated with pouch adenoma formation[10,16]. Tonelli et al[10] observed that the severity of duodenal polyposis was also relevant in this regard. As with the findings of other studies, our experience has been that patients who develop pouch adenomas are more likely to have had duodenal adenomas, although this was not observed to be a significant risk factor in our present multivariate analysis.

There has been little research conducted on the association between the site of an APC mutation and the occurrence of a pouch adenoma. No such association has been identified in earlier studies^[9,10,16], nor was such an association identified in our present analysis. However, in previous reports and in our present series, APC mutation data were not available for all subjects. Moreover, the low number of patients who underwent this mutation analysis in our present series and in prior study cohorts could not yield the statistical power needed to determine whether the APC mutation site is associated with the tendency to develop pouch adenomas. Additionally, a recently published study of genotype-phenotype associations between APC mutations and pouch adenomas found that patients with either indel/deletion mutations or exon 15 mutations had a higher tendency for pouch adenoma formation (P = 0.002; P = 0.019, respectively)^[19]. Hence, further larger-scale research is required to investigate the association between underlying germline mutations and pouch adenoma development.

Several authors have implicated colonic metaplasia of the ileal mucosa—an adaptive response of the neorectum—as a predisposing condition to the onset of ileal adenoma

development^[20]. Advanced adenomas with high-grade dysplasia were observed in about 18% of previous study samples^[5,18]. However, no case of an advanced adenoma was detected in our present study cohort, possibly because adenomas were aggressively removed from our patients, even if small. If ileal pouch adenomas progress to carcinoma, following the classic adenoma-carcinoma sequence, a higher number and larger size of polyps may be associated with the severity of the dysplasia. Tajika et al^[18] reported that the postoperative time interval was significantly associated with the maximum ileal pouch adenoma size (P = 0.0214). Progression of a pouch adenoma to invasive carcinoma appears to be rare, with an incidence of less than 1% in patients that undergo IPAA^[8,9,19]. Moreover, the majority of carcinomas observed after IPAA arise in the residual rectal mucosa or anal canal, although several cases of carcinoma inside the ileal pouch have been described in the past few years[18]. Tonelli et al[10] found that the development of malignancy in the terminal ileum can present with a rapid disease course and does not seem to follow the classic adenoma-carcinoma sequence. In some patients, ileal polyps are not detected by endoscopic follow-up until the development of a pouch carcinoma, and the mean interval between pouch construction and the diagnosis of carcinoma can be very short (range, 3-16.4 years).

Clinically, the treatment of pouch adenomas depends on the number, size, shape, and histological features — as for the gastric or duodenal polyps. According to the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and British Society of Gastroenterology Guidelines, all types of gastric or duodenal polyps detected *via* endoscopy need to be sampled using biopsy forceps for evaluation^[21-23]. In the case of adenomatous polyps, these guidelines recommend complete endoscopic removal when it is safe to do so, given the higher probability of malignant transformation^[22,23]. Endoscopic follow-up should be repeated at 6 mo for incompletely resected polyps or for those with high-grade dysplasia; it can be conducted again at 12 mo for all other polyps^[22]. Although the data are currently limited, 62% of our cohort did not develop adenoma recurrence after adenoma removal, whereas 63% of our patients under observation showed progression. There was no spontaneous diminution or disappearance of any adenoma in our study cohort. Hence, it

is important to be aware of the risk of pouch neoplasia when caring for FAP patients after IPAA, and—to enable evaluation and treatment at the same time—we recommend endoscopic resection when the adenoma is detected. If numerous polyps are present, random biopsy sampling is required, and polyps larger than 5 mm should be removed.

Interestingly, our FAP patients who used NSAIDs as a treatment for desmoid tumors rarely developed pouch adenomas. This finding is presumed to be related to the chemopreventive effect of these drugs. Chemoprevention with NSAIDs is a treatment option considered to facilitate the management of the remaining rectum or pouch in selected postoperative patients^[13]. In a prior randomized controlled study, patients with FAP and attenuated FAP treated with celecoxib following prophylactic surgery showed reductions in polyp number and diameter^[24]. NSAIDs may thus be considered if not all of the numerous polyps within the pouch can be removed, although there are currently no Food and Drug Administration–approved drugs for this indication,

There were some limitations to this study. First, this was a retrospective cohort study with a small sample size, and there may have been unknown confounders existed. However, as we were investigating a rare disease, there have been few studies to date that have analyzed a substantial number of affected patients. Therefore, in relative terms, our sample size was large, and the patients were followed for a considerable length of time. A second limitation was that whereas all of the patients had a clinical phenotype of 100 or more colorectal polyps and biopsy-confirmed FAP, APC genetic mutation analysis was only performed for about 50% of the patients. This limited the strength of our findings related to these mutations. Additionally, there was no consistent endoscopic treatment standard in our cohort. When a polyp was countable, it was removed regardless of its size. In patients with multiple polyps, however, the individual judgment of the endoscopist determined whether to perform endoscopic resection or observation. The indications used for polyp removal were, therefore, not clear.

Overall, our study findings provide further evidence for the need for standardized endoscopic surveillance of FAP patients following TPC/IPAA. Pouch endoscopy should be performed yearly for these patients, and standardized biopsy and removal protocols

are needed if pouch adenomas are observed. Furthermore, surveillance should be tailored depending on the presence and characteristics of the pouch adenoma. In the future, validation of whether an annual endoscopic interval is appropriate is required, as is an evaluation of the feasibility and effectiveness of endoscopic resection of a pouch adenoma.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, pouch adenomas occur at a fairly high rate over time in association with FAP after IPAA. A high colorectal polyp count increases the risk of developing a pouch adenoma in this patient population. In our experience, the progression of pouch adenomas to high-grade dysplasia or carcinoma is rare. However, this risk is not negligible, and the long-term risk of this cannot presently be well quantified. Close surveillance of the pouch should thus be mandatory, and new guidelines for the management of pouch adenomas are essential.

75649_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT



SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- Francesco Tonelli, Ferdinando Ficari, Tatiana Bargellini, Rosa Valanzano. "Ileal Pouch Adenomas and Carcinomas After Restorative Proctocolectomy for Familial Adenomatous Polyposis", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 2012 Crossref
- Petra Ganschow, Silke Trauth, Ulf Hinz, Anja Schaible, 29 words 1 % Markus W. Büchler, Martina Kadmon. "Risk Factors Associated With Pouch Adenomas in Patients With Familial Adenomatous Polyposis", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 2018

 Crossref
- Tajika, Masahiro. "Risk of ileal pouch neoplasms in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis", World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013. $^{\text{Crossref}}$
- W. J. Cunliffe, P. S. Hasleton, D. E. F. Tweedle, P. F. $_{19}$ words <1% Schofield. "Incidence of synchronous and metachronous colorectal carcinoma", British Journal of Surgery, 1984

 Crossref
- bmcgastroenterol.biomedcentral.com

| 6 | www.frontiersin.org Internet | 12 words — < | 1% |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|----|
| 7 | "Orals", Colorectal Disease, 07/2011 Crossref | 11 words — < | 1% |
| 8 | epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de Internet | 11 words — < | 1% |
| 9 | www.researchsquare.com | 11 words — < | 1% |
| 10 | Yann R. Parc. "Familial Adenomatous Polyposis: Prevalence of Adenomas in the Ileal Pouch After Restorative Proctocolectomy", Annals of Surgery, Crossref | 10 words — < 03/2001 | 1% |
| 11 | raw.githubusercontent.com | 10 words — < | 1% |
| 12 | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov | 10 words — < | 1% |