

80237_Auto_EditedC.docx

3

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 80237

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

6

Role of the combination of biologics and/or small molecules in the treatment of patients with inflammatory bowel disease

11

Balderramo D *et al.* Combination of biologics and/or small molecules in IBD

Domingo Balderramo

Abstract

1

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a group of chronic diseases that includes ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease and indeterminate colitis. Patients with IBD require prolonged treatment and a high utilization of healthcare resources for proper management. The treatment of patients with IBD is focused on achieving therapeutic goals including clinical, biochemical, and endoscopic variables that result in an improvement of the quality of life and prevention of disability. Advanced IBD treatment includes tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, integrin antagonist, antagonist of the p40 subunit of interleukin-12/23 and small molecule drugs. However, in spite of the multiple treatments available, about 40% of patients are refractory to therapy and present persistent symptoms that have a great impact on their quality of life, with hospitalization and surgery being necessary in many cases. Dual therapy, a strategy sometimes applicable to refractory IBD patients, includes the combination of two biologics or a biologic in combination with a small molecule drug. There are two distinct scenarios in IBD patients in which this approach can be used: (1) refractory active luminal disease without extraintestinal manifestations; and (2) patients with IBD in remission, but with active extraintestinal manifestations or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. This review provides a summary of the results (clinical response and remission) of different

12

2

combinations of advanced drugs in patients with IBD, both in adults and in the pediatric population. In addition, the safety profile of different combinations of dual therapy is analyzed. The use of newer combinations, including recently approved treatments, the application of new biomarkers and artificial intelligence, and clinical trials to establish effectiveness during long-term follow-up, are needed to establish new strategies for the use of advanced treatments in patients with refractory IBD.

Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; Ulcerative colitis; Crohn's disease; Dual therapy biologic therapy; Small molecule drugs; Clinical remission

Balderramo D. Role of the combination of biologics and/or small molecules in the treatment of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; In press

Core Tip: Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) require prolonged treatment and high utilization of healthcare resources. About 40% of patients are refractory to different treatments with an increase need for hospitalization and surgery. Dual therapy, a strategy applicable to refractory IBD patients, includes the combination of two biologics or a biologic in combination with a small molecule drug. There are two distinct scenarios in IBD therapy in which this approach can be used: (1) refractory active luminal disease without extraintestinal manifestations; and (2) patients with IBD in remission, but with active extraintestinal manifestations or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases.

INTRODUCTION

¹Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a group of ⁷chronic diseases that ¹⁰includes ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn's disease (CD) and indeterminate colitis. Patients with IBD require prolonged treatment and a high utilization of healthcare resources for its proper management^[1]. Medical treatment includes the use of so-called conventional drugs (mesalazine, immunosuppressants such as azathioprine or methotrexate and corticosteroids), and biologics [anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF), anti-integrins, and anti-interleukins (IL)], with small molecules (Janus kinase inhibitors and sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators) having recently been added to the possible advanced treatments^[2-5].

After the onset of therapy, the treatment of patients with IBD is focused on achieving therapeutic goals which include improvement or normalization of clinical, biochemical, endoscopic variables and also the quality of life and disability^[6]. In spite of the multiple treatments available, about 40% of patients are refractory to several treatments with different mechanisms of action, and these patients present persistent symptoms that often ¹have a great impact on their quality of life, due to the need for hospitalization and the requirement of surgery, which has to be carried out several times in some cases^[7].

Extraintestinal manifestations (EIM) are present in about one third of patients after diagnosis^[8]. These mainly involve osteoarticular and dermatological manifestations. Some EIM are independent of IBD activity and require independent therapeutic management. In addition, some patients have multiple comorbidities throughout the course of the disease associated with prolonged corticosteroid treatment (diabetes, osteoporosis, adrenal insufficiency and others), which is frequently used in these patients with a suboptimal response to advanced treatments^[9].

Different studies have described a therapeutic window of opportunity, which implies the early use of advanced treatment in patients with IBD, especially in patients with early CD (less than 2 years)^[10]. These interventions have been shown to be associated with a decrease in the progression of intestinal damage and complications such as stenosis and fistulas, and consequently reducing the need for hospitalization and

surgery^[10]. Finally, patients with long-standing IBD with persistent inflammatory activity represent a group ⁹ at higher risk for the development of colorectal cancer, which develops by a different sequence to that of non-IBD colorectal cancer^[11]. It has also been described that a better control of inflammatory activity may have an impact the development of this complication during long-term evolution^[12,13].

DEFINITION AND INDICATIONS OF DUAL THERAPY

The development of new molecules and the implementation of new strategies are necessary to achieve a better control of IBD activity in patients who are refractory to currently available treatments^[14]. However, there are multiple pathways of inflammatory activity activated in patients with IBD, and for this reason, treatment with monotherapies may not be sufficient for the management of all patients^[15]. Related to this, there are many scenarios in medicine in which dual therapy is used in both induction and maintenance of treatment. This strategy involves the combination of two or more treatments with the aim of achieving optimal control of pathologies with different therapeutic targets. Indeed, this modality has seen a great development in oncological or hematological treatments^[14]. Similarly, in patients with rheumatologic pathologies, this approach is used in some patient subgroups^[16]. This approach is also applicable to patients with refractory IBD to advanced treatments (dual therapy), by using ² two biologics simultaneously or a biologic in combination with a small molecule^[16,17]. In patients with IBD, there are two distinct scenarios in which it can be used: (1) patients with refractory IBD without MEI; and (2) patients with IBD in remission, but with active EIM or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMID)^[18].

EVIDENCE RELATED TO DUAL THERAPY

The first clinical trial that assessed a combination of biologics was developed in 2007^[19,20]. Later, in 2010, the SONIC trial demonstrated that the association of infliximab and azathioprine was more effective compared with either infliximab or azathioprine monotherapy in CD patients, since which time multiple publications have described the

results of different combinations of advanced drugs in patients with UC and CD, both in adults and in the pediatric population^[21-35] (Figure 1). These combinations have varied according to the availability and practical experience of the drugs that were approved after the anti-TNFs. Table 1 shows the data from publications related to drug combination in patients with IBD. A major limitation of the dual therapy data is that they are mostly retrospective^[19]. For this reason, the definitions of response evaluation (clinical, endoscopic and biochemical) are abbreviated and with the exception of few series are only described for short periods^[33]. In addition, the definition of complications and the requirement for hospitalization and surgery can be subject to biases related to the follow-up time and the clinical condition prior to the start of the combined treatment^[16]. Also, the differential evaluation of this strategy in patients with UC *vs* CD is not reported in many publications, which makes assessment difficult in some cases. Finally, some series include data on patients who received more than one combination, and it is possible that the effectiveness and adverse events could be different depending on the sequencing order of these combinations.

Effectiveness

The partial or complete response in patients with indication for dual therapy for refractory IBD has been evaluated using different meta-analyses^[16,19,36]. In these studies, the patients included were mainly those with CD (70%), and in the great majority, the indication for dual therapy was for refractory endoluminal activity^[16]. Overall, the observed clinical response varied between 60%-84% in most of the publications^[16,19]. However, clinical remission, which is a difficult clinical situation to achieve considering that these are multirefractory patients, ranged between 47%-80% of the patients who received combined therapy^[16,18]. The therapeutic response of the different combinations has not been reported to reveal significant variations with respect to the main indication (refractory luminal activity *vs* active EIM or IMD)^[16]. Persistence in the treatment of dual therapy varies according to the follow-up period. It has been published that globally 45% of patients may discontinue the dual scheme during its evolution, with loss of response

being the main cause (64%) and intolerance together with adverse effects representing a smaller percentage (12%)^[33]. It is noteworthy that in a recent study 21% of patients were able to discontinue one of the drugs in the combination without impacting on the subsequent evolution^[33]. It is important to mention that many series have included a recycling strategy. This involves the use in the combination of a drug which the patient did not respond to^[14]. Several publications have mentioned such a situation, and have observed that the response in these patients was similar to that observed in those who had not been previously exposed to that drug^[18]. This strategy requires further evolution, especially in areas with limited resources for access to new advanced treatments.

Safety

2 The combination of two biologics or a biologic plus a small molecule has been associated with a higher rate of complications in other indications^[17,18]. This has been observed in studies of patients with rheumatologic diseases who received combination therapy^[14]. However, in these series, a significant percentage of patients received different treatments with medications that present a higher rate of adverse events, such as the use of rituximab, abatacept and tocilizumab^[18]. On the other hand, in patients with IBD, most of the proposed combinations include drugs with a high relevant safety profile such as vedolizumab or ustekinumab, which are used in both the pediatric and adult populations^[25,29]. In a recent meta-analysis, the presence of adverse events varied from 6%-24% according to the combinations^[16]. However, the presence of severe adverse events with indication for hospitalization or surgery was only present in 0%-12% of patients^[16]. Within these severe adverse events, 75% were due to both intestinal and soft tissue infections^[16]. In a recently published European series, a higher number of infections requiring hospitalization was observed in patients who received anti-TNF, corticosteroids and immunomodulators, and who had a concomitant diagnosis of IMID/EIM (most frequently ankylosing spondylitis)^[33]. Nevertheless, in this series, these complications developed only in patients with CD. Importantly, no case of reactivation of herpes zoster has been reported in any publication. Although one case of herpetic

meningoencephalitis was diagnosed in a 43-year-old patient with CD who had received a combination including certolizumab, vedolizumab and methotrexate, this was resolved after treatment^[33]. Finally, one incident case of benign skin neoplasia (clear cell acanthoma) and one case of recurrence of basal cell skin cancer were reported^[33,35]. No other cancers or treatment-related deaths have been reported.

Data in pediatric population

Different case series in pediatric patients have reported results with various combinations in both CD and UC^[37]. In one study, 75% of patients with luminal activity achieved a clinical remission free of corticosteroids at 6 mo, with the median time to achieve this goal being 88 d^[31]. Interestingly, another potential indication that has been described in pediatric patients is the use of dual therapy (vedolizumab and tofacitinib) in patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis^[31]. Nevertheless, more data are needed to explore this indication in an urgent and severe situation in patients with IBD. Different adverse events have been described in pediatric patients, but in general there are less frequent than in adult patients^[25]. In a series of 16 pediatric patients, 1 (6%) patient presented septic arthritis and subsequent deep vein thrombosis^[31].

NEW HORIZONS

It is necessary to establish new strategies for the use of advanced treatments in patients with refractory IBD, which must take into account health costs in order to be sustainable^[14]. The sequencing of biologics or small molecules in patients in remission is a strategy that probably results in a better cost balance. Related to this, some series described patients who achieved remission with two biologics, with the subsequent suspension of one of these (usually the anti-TNF) not leading to the presence of disease reactivation during follow-up^[22]. In addition, other studies have shown that patients in remission on infliximab were able to maintain their clinical status after initiation of vedolizumab and discontinuation of anti-TNF^[38]. The implementation of these strategies

requires further research, and in particular clinical trials are needed to establish their effectiveness during long-term follow-up.

The use of artificial intelligence and the implementation of new biomarkers in the future will possibly be able to differentiate the patients who will benefit from certain combination schemes. Artificial intelligence may also enable remote monitoring to provide new data as well as algorithms to ensure better decision making in refractory patients^[39]. In addition, biomarkers might improve patient stratification. Recent data has shown that HLA-DQA1*05 is non-uniformly distributed in patients with or without anti-TNF failure^[40]. Likewise, IL-23 receptor expansion is a mechanism of anti-TNF resistance and is reflected as a secondary loss of response^[41]. According to this, the use of ustekinumab may allow to regained response in patients with prior anti-TNF.

It is possible that in the near future new combinations with different effectiveness and safety profile will be described, with the use of ozanimod, upadacitinib, risankizumab, guselkumab and mirikizumab, among others, expanding the current options^[18]. ⁸ In this regard, it is important to note that future clinical trials will be developed to compare current therapy with the combination of two biologic treatments (golimumab and guselkumab) or the combination of two biologics (vedolizumab and adalimumab) and an immunomodulator (methotrexate)^[42-44]. Moreover, the design of the new pivotal studies has been modified. Recently, a phase 2 study in patients with CD compared different doses of guselkumab with placebo but also included a ustekinumab arm as this provides better comparative information^[45].

Another point to consider is that some good results have been reported after the change of formulation (from intravenous to subcutaneous) of the same drug such is the case of as infliximab or vedolizumab^[46,47]. ⁴ This could be important in future combinations, since it would facilitate logistics and reduce associated costs. In addition to the combination of biological drugs or small molecules, the future role of other approaches should be determined, such as the use of probiotics and gut flora regulators as well as the role of microbiota transplantation^[48,49].

Finally, the development of more real-life evidence will be of great importance. Currently most of the data comes from Europe and North America^[16]. In this sense, it would be very useful to develop international registries involving several countries currently experiencing a clear increase in the incidence of IBD, such as Latin America and Asia, and which have greater difficulty in accessing advanced treatments^[50-52]. In this regard, the costs associated with dual therapy are the main limitation to access, which restrict the provision of a personalized treatment in patients with indication for this strategy^[53]. Moreover, it is of great relevance to inform the health insurance of these patients about the objectives and advantages of the dual therapy strategy in order to obtain the appropriate approval in a timely manner for the indication.

CONCLUSION

The combination of **5 biologics and/or small molecules is** a strategy applicable **to refractory** IBD patients in two distinct scenarios: (1) refractory active luminal disease without extraintestinal manifestations; and (2) patients with IBD in remission, but with active extraintestinal manifestations or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. The observed clinical response using this strategy varied between 60%-84% in most of the publications and severe adverse events were observed in few patients. However, most of the data on dual therapy are retrospective and with short-term follow-up. New clinical trials are needed to establish dual therapy effectiveness and safety during long-term follow-up. Finally, it is expected that new combinations using new drugs with different efficacy and safety profiles will be described in the coming years, expanding the current options.

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1

www.science.gov
Internet

50 words — 2%
- 2

Quazim A Alayo, Marc Fenster, Osama Altayar, Kerri L Glassner et al. "Systematic Review with Meta-analysis: Safety and Effectiveness of Combining Biologics and Small Molecules in IBD", Crohn's & Colitis 360, 2022
Crossref

44 words — 2%
- 3

f6publishing.blob.core.windows.net
Internet

28 words — 1%
- 4

bsdwebstorage.blob.core.windows.net
Internet

21 words — 1%
- 5

Sudheer K. Vuyyuru, Saurabh Kedia, Vineet Ahuja. "Considerations when starting patients on multiple biologics and small molecules", Current Opinion in Gastroenterology, 2022
Crossref

20 words — 1%
- 6

Pramoda Koduru, Ayah Oglat, Bincy Abraham. "Combination of 2 Biologics and/or Small Molecules in Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease", American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017
Crossref

12 words — < 1%
- 7

www.frontiersin.org
Internet

12 words — < 1%

8 Daniel P. Hayes. "Resveratrol and vitamin D: Significant potential interpretative problems arising from their mutual processes, interactions and effects", Medical Hypotheses, 2011

Crossref

9 words — < 1%

9 Keisuke Hata. "Surveillance colonoscopy for colitic cancer in inflammatory bowel disease", Digestive Endoscopy, 10/2003

Crossref

9 words — < 1%

10 cme.healio.com

Internet

9 words — < 1%

11 Laurent Goessens, Jean - Frédéric Colombel, An Outtier, Marc Ferrante et al. "Safety and efficacy of combining biologics or small molecules for inflammatory bowel disease or immune - mediated inflammatory diseases: A European retrospective observational study", United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2021

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

12 www.falk-foundation-symposia.org

Internet

8 words — < 1%

13 Ada Adriano, Dean Mark Thompson, Christel McMullan, Malcolm Price, David Moore, Lesley Booth, Jonathan Mathers. "Peer Support in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD): A Systematic Review", Research Square Platform LLC, 2022

Crossref Posted Content

6 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF