

82051_Auto_Edited-check.docx

Risk factors predict microscopic extranodal tumor deposits in advanced stage III colon cancer patients

Jhuang YH *et al.* Tumor deposits in colon cancer

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Colorectal cancer is a frequent cause of cancer-related mortality in patients with lymph node or distant metastases. Pericolonic tumor deposits (TDs) are considered prognostically distinct from lymph node metastases.

AIM

To investigate risk factors for extranodal TDs in stage III colon cancer.

METHODS

This was a retrospective cohort study. We selected 155 individuals diagnosed with stage III colon cancer from the database of the Cancer Registry of the Tri-Service General Hospital. The patients were allocated into the groups with/without N1c. Multivariate Cox regression analysis and Kaplan-Meier method were done. The primary outcomes investigate the association between the covariates and extranodal TDs, and prognostic significance of the covariates regarding the survival.

RESULTS

There were 136 individuals in the non-N1c group and 19 individuals in the N1c group. Patients with lymphovascular invasion (LVI) had a higher risk of TDs. Overall survival rates of patients with and without LVI were 6.64 years and 8.61 years, respectively ($P = 0.027$). The N1c patients without LVI had higher overall survival than those who with LVI (7.73 years *vs* 4.42 years, $P = 0.010$).

CONCLUSION

Patients having stage III colon cancer with LVI have a higher probability of having TDs than those with stage III colon cancer without LVI. Stage III colon cancer patients with TDs and LVI could have poor prognosis and outcome.

Key Words: Colon cancer; Tumor deposits; Lymphovascular invasion; Risk factor

Jhuang YH,³ Chou YC, Lin YC, Hu JM, Pu TW, Chen CY. Risk factors predict microscopic extranodal tumor deposits in advanced stage III colon cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2023; In press

Core Tip: Tumor deposits

INTRODUCTION

¹²Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the fourth most common cancer and the fifth most frequent cause of cancer-related mortalities worldwide⁴. The International Union Against Cancer/American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM classification has been utilized for cancer staging² and its function has aimed to determine patient care and treatment, as well as to predict cancer prognosis. The TNM staging system is revised every few years as knowledge of cancer continually expands³.

A pericolonic tumor deposit (TD) is a perineural, peri- or intra-vascular tumor extending beyond the muscularis mucosae. It is different from lymph node metastases and should not be contemplated its prognostic value⁴⁻⁷. The disease-free survival influence of even small pericolonic TDs is significant⁸, recommending that pericolonic TDs of all volumes should be contemplated clinically important^{7,9,10}.

⁹The TNM classification of pericolonic TDs as lymph node metastases or sporadic tumor extensions is perhaps inaccurate. The quantity and greatest dimension of pericolonic TDs should be stated separately from lymph node metastases. In the seventh edition of the AJCC TNM staging system, TD was classified as pN1c in stage III colon cancer patients without lymph node metastasis¹¹.

¹⁰Extranodal deposits are a different form of metastatic disease in patients with CRC. The relationship with vascular invasion and earlier development of metastases probably implies that a significant information of extranodal deposits may represent blood-borne spread. Some researchers have indicated worse prognosis of patients with TDs and have claimed that TDs should be categorized as M classification^{6,9}.

⁴In this single-institution retrospective study, we investigate the risk factors predicting extranodal TDs in stage III CRC patients according to the new (eighth edition) AJCC TNM staging. In regard to possible poor prognosis of stage III CRC patient with factors predicting

extranodal TDs, adjust the adjuvant chemotherapy regimens with target therapy or immunotherapy might be considered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

All data were obtained retrospectively from the Cancer Registry database of the Tri-Service General Hospital (TSGH), Taipei, Taiwan. The cancer registry of the TSGH included 4067 patients with CRC from 2010 to 2016. The inclusion criteria were described as follows: (1) All the patients received colonoscopy with tumor biopsy and pathology proved malignancy; and (2) abdomen computed tomography or whole body positron emission tomography scan showed no distant metastasis. All patients received regular postoperative follow-up at our colorectal outpatient department. The exclusion criteria were described as follows: (1) Lack of comprehensive data in long-term follow-up; (2) death within 30 d of surgery; (3) local excision or uncertain procedure; (4) synchronous tumors; and (5) rectal cancer or non-stage III colon cancer patients (Figure 1). A total of 155 individuals diagnosed with stage III colon cancer from a single institution of a medical center were enrolled. All patients signed informed consents, and the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of TSGH permitted our study (TSGHIRB No. C202005173). Our study was conducted only for medical research and was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The definition of N1c

TDs, also called extranodal TDs, were defined as tumor cells in the pericolic or perirectal fat tissue without proof of residual lymph node tissue in the relevant lymphatic system of the primary tumor site^[6]. According to the latest TNM 8th staging system announced in 2016, aiming to eliminate any lesion with identifiable structures pointing towards LN metastasis, extramural venous invasion or perineural invasion^[12]. The hematoxylin eosin (HE) staining of extra-nodal TDs (N1c group) showed that there are nodules made up of tumor cells found in the structures near the colon that do not seem to be lymph nodes (Figure 2A). The HE staining of non-TDs (non-N1c group) showed that tumor cells revealed in regional lymph nodes (Figure 2B). A list of potential microscopic features that may be valuable to aid in the difference between tumor deposit and a positive lymph node was compiled and sent out for ranking with the virtual slides. The virtual slides were sent to three pathologists with a certain interest in gastrointestinal pathology for review. Each pathologist was asked to render an opinion of either tumor deposit or lymph node metastasis for each slide.

Covariates

The covariates comprised age, gender, body mass index (BMI), cigarettes smoking and alcohol consumption habits, hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR)^[13], carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CA 19-9, and tumor characteristics. The tumor characteristics included tumor location (right and left), T stage, mean tumor size, tumor type (ulcerative and polypoid), tumor grade (well, moderate, and poorly differentiated), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression, and lymphovascular invasion (LVI). LVI was defined as tumor cells invading the lymphatic or blood vessels microscopically^[14]. In our study, all specimens had been fixed immediately in formalin solution. For definite diagnosis, two pathologists resected our specimens for routine pathological examination independently by hematoxylin and eosin staining (H&E staining) and immunohistochemistry based on the eighth edition of the AJCC TNM system and the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics software (IBM Corp., Released 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for MAC version 24.0. Armonk, NY, United States). Student's *t*-test was used to analyze quantitative variables in terms of the mean with SD. The chi-square test was used to analyze the qualitative variables in terms of frequency and percentage. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed to investigate the association between the covariates and extranodal TDs. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate the overall survival and disease-free survival rates. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

We included 155 individuals with stage III colon cancer in our study; their clinicopathological characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were 136 (88%) individuals in the non-N1c group and 19 (12%) individuals in the N1c group. The mean age of the N1c and non-N1c groups was 66.8 years and 65.2 years, respectively. N1c was observed mainly in male patients. The other characteristics showed no significant difference between the N1c and non-N1c groups, except for LVI ($P = 0.049$).

Risk factors of predicting extranodal TDs (N1c)

We investigated the association between the covariates and extranodal TDs using multivariate analysis (Table 2). There was no significant relationship between N1c and other confounding factors, such as age (HR = 1.03, 95%CI = 0.99-1.08), BMI (HR = 1.03, 95%CI = 0.68-1.04), HTN (HR = 0.87, 95%CI = 0.21-3.85), type II DM (HR = 0.69, 95%CI = 0.19-2.52), NLR (HR = 1.03, 95%CI = 0.92-1.15), CEA (HR = 1.00, 95%CI = 1.00-1.01), CA 19-9 (HR = 1.02, 95%CI = 0.99-1.04), and tumor characteristics. Notably, in stage III colon cancer, male patients (HR = 6.16, 95%CI = 1.24-30.1) with LVI (HR = 4.62, 95%CI = 1.17-18.33) had a higher risk of extranodal TDs.

Overall survival

The overall survival rates of patients with and without LVI were 6.64 years and 8.61 years, respectively ($P = 0.027$, Figure 3A), indicating that patients without LVI had higher overall survival than those with LVI. Furthermore, we divided the patients into N1c and non-N1c groups for subgroup analysis in regards to the impact of LVI on overall survival. In the non-N1c group, the overall survival rates of the patients with and without LVI were 6.91 years and 8.56 years, respectively ($P = 0.13$, Figure 3B), whereas the overall survival rates of the individuals with and without LVI in the N1c group were 4.42 years and 7.73 years, respectively ($P = 0.01$, Figure 3C).

Disease-free survival

The disease-free survival rates of patients with and without LVI were 5.92 years and 8.16 years, respectively ($P = 0.005$, Figure 4A), indicating that patients without LVI had higher disease-free survival than those with LVI. As before, subgroup analysis of LVI was performed by patient grouping into N1c and non-N1c groups. The disease-free survival rates of the patients in the non-N1c group with and without LVI were 5.98 years and 8.18 years, respectively ($P = 0.013$, Figure 4B). However, in the N1c group, the disease-free survival rates were 4.42 years and 7.56 years, respectively, for patients with and without LVI ($P = 0.097$, Figure 4C).

DISCUSSION

Patients with stage III colon cancer are contemplated to have a clinically significant hazard of distant metastasis after surgical resection. However, individuals with stage III colon cancer have a spectrum of risk of progressive disease. Beside tumor stage, the NCCN identifies LVI, TDs, and perineural invasion as histopathological characters related with patient survival^[15]. In addition, TDs have been comprehensively studied in colon cancer, with most studies representing that they are an essential prognostic variable^[15]. Some studies have even stated that TDs and tumor

² budding are the only histological variables that individually predict tumor recurrence in stage III colon cancer and should be comprised as part of a regular comprehensive pathological risk appraisal. TDs are defined as a discrete focus of tumor within the lymph node drainage area of the primary carcinoma with no distinguishable lymph node¹⁵.

TDs are defined as extramural focal aggregates of cancer cells located in the peritumoral fatty tissue (either mesocolon or mesorectum), which have no continuity with the main tumor mass and are not associated with a lymph node¹⁶. However, there is still a debate about what TDs really are, as they usually share different morphologies that make their origin unclear¹⁶. Some studies believe that TDs simply represent a stage of the LVI and/or perineural invasion process during which malignant cells begin to proliferate, giving rise to distinct nodules of cancer¹⁶ that have to be distinguished from the involved lymph nodes. Other studies believe that TDs either represent a sporadic tumor spread, a totally replaced lymph node (LN), venous invasion with extravascular extension, and/or less commonly, a small vessel or perineural invasion¹⁷. TDs are generally present in about 4.5%-45.0% of CRC patients¹⁶, while their incidence looks to be greater in advanced and/or metastatic tumors¹⁶. Jin *et al*¹⁷ demonstrated that about 10% of CRCs have TDs, and 2.5% of colon cancers and 3.3% of rectal cancers have TDs without positive LNs.

Interobserver variability exists among pathologists in interpreting TDs¹⁷. It is clear that the determination of TD remains subjective, and no single criterion or group of criteria are comprehensively used or agreed upon. However, knowledge of the potential challenges and possible solutions may help reduce interobserver variability. In our study, we used the multivariable Cox regression model to analyze characteristics, including age, sex, comorbidities, tumor location, tumor staging, and tumor markers, of the CRC patients. We showed that LVI could predict CRC patients with N1c component, and this could allow pathologists to pay more attention to this subgroup of patients.

LVI positivity, characterized by the extension of tumor cells into lymphatic and/or blood vessels⁸, has long been recognized as a probable indicator of lymph node metastasis, prognostic indicator, and predictor of patient outcomes. Many studies have investigated the presence of LVI in CRC and have determined it to be a strong stage-independent prognostic marker¹⁸. Patients with LVI usually have a higher chance of disease progression and poorer prognosis¹⁸. On the other hand, in recent years, TDs have become a hotspot in colon cancer study. In the seventh and eighth editions of the AJCC staging system, TDs were included in the nodal staging¹⁹. TD patients without regional lymph node metastasis were correlated with other high-risk characters, because there was more LV and perineural invasion in this group. This finding correlates with

histopathologic results in other studies because TDs were revealed to be of perineural origin in 77% of cases, intravascular origin in 83% of cases, and a combined perineural, perivascular, and intravascular origin in 40%^[20].

In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of stage I-IV CRC, TDs were always related with worse overall survival and disease-free survival^[20]. One study stated that the survival curves of all patients in stages I-III with TDs were more similar to the survival curves of the stage IV than stage III patients, and patients with TDs in stages I-III showed similar mortality rates as stage IV patients^[21]. Another up-to-date study has indicated that the presence of TD in individuals with stage III colon cancer is related with a 2.2-fold increased risk of developing disease recurrence^[22]. In our study, the results reported that LVI could predict TDs in patients with stage III colon cancer. The subgroup of N1c stage III colon cancer patients with LVI showed poor prognosis regarding overall survival, while the non-N1c subgroup patients showed no significant difference.

Despite showing that the predicted risk factor of LVI makes the prognostic significance of TDs in stage III colon cancer patients more promising, there are still some limitations to the study. This is a retrospective study of observational data using a small sample of patients, the prevalence of N1c in colon cancer could as low as 1.59% in the previous study^[23], which might result in statistical bias with inconsistent results between overall and disease-free survival. Further prospective studies with more patients involved might address our result more promising. There might also be systematic differences in the pathological evaluation of the surgical specimens, which may have biased the outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Patients with stage III colon cancer with LVI might be more likely to have TDs. Interobserver variability among pathologists and the multidisciplinary committee might at times influence consistent interpretation and reporting, and the frequent association between co-occurrence of TDs and LVI may postulate extra insight into the nature and derivation of TDs. Pathologist should not miss these subgroups of N1c patients, because TDs in combination with LVI could predict poor patient outcomes. Greater attention must be paid to the subject of TD positivity and prompt suitable risk stratification by considering a more robust therapeutic approach and closer survivorship planning for this subgroup of high-risk stage III colon cancer patients, who might be undertreated and require adjustment of adjuvant chemotherapy regimens. Amendment in the delivery of proper care to these patients may increase survival and should be an object of future quality ambition.

5

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

In the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system, tumor deposit (TD) was classified as pN1c in stage III colon cancer patients without lymph node metastasis, but extranodal deposits are a distinct form of metastatic disease in patients with colon cancer in some studies.

Research motivation

To conduct a retrospective study to investigate risk factors for extranodal TDs in stage III colon cancer.

Research objectives

We used SPSS Statistics software. Student's *t*-test and the chi-square test were utilized to investigate quantitative variables and qualitative variables. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed to investigate the association between the covariates and extranodal TDs. The Kaplan-Meier method was utilized to analyze the overall survival and disease-free survival rates.

Research methods

We selected 155 patients diagnosed with stage III colon cancer from the database of the Cancer Registry of the Tri-Service General Hospital retrospectively. The patients were categorized into the groups with/without N1c. Multivariate Cox regression analysis and Kaplan-Meier method were done. The primary outcomes investigate the association between the covariates and extranodal TDs, and prognostic significance of the covariates regarding the survival.

Research results

Patients with lymphovascular invasion (LVI) had a higher risk of TDs. Overall survival rates of patients with and without LVI were 6.64 years and 8.61 years, respectively. The N1c patients without LVI had higher overall survival than those who with LVI.

Research conclusions

Stage III colon cancer patients with TDs and LVI could have poor prognosis and outcome.

Research perspectives

Greater attention must be paid to the issue of TD. Amendment¹ in the delivery of proper care to these patients may increase survival and should be a target of future quality ambition.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Description of the study flowchart.

Figure 2 Hematoxylin-eosin staining. A: The hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining of N1c; B: The HE staining of non-N1c.

Figure 3 Overall survival. A: The overall survival of the patient with/without lymphovascular invasion (LVI); B: The overall survival of the non-N1c patient with/without LVI; C: The overall survival of the N1c patient with/without LVI.

²⁷
Figure 4 Disease-free survival. A: The disease-free survival of the patients with/without lymphovascular invasion (LVI); B: The disease-free survival of the non-N1c patient with/without LVI; C: The disease-free survival of the N1c patient with/without LVI.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of N1c group and non-N1c group, *n* (%)

Item	N1c	Non-N1c	<i>P</i> value
Sample size (<i>n</i>)	19	136	
Gender			0.08
Male	13 (68.4)	64 (47.1)	
Female	6 (31.6)	72 (52.9)	
Mean age (yr)	66.79	65.17	0.09
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.77	23.41	0.15
Habit of smoking	8 (42.1)	46 (33.8)	0.48
Habit of alcoholic drinking	4 (21.1)	13 (9.6)	0.13
Hypertension	6 (31.6)	46 (33.8)	0.85
Diabetes mellitus	4 (21.1)	29 (21.3)	0.98
¹⁴ Neutrophil to Lymphocyte ratio	4.33	4.62	0.42
CEA (mg/dL)	12.83	18.23	0.62
CA 19-9 (mg/dL)	23.61	29.14	0.20
Tumor characteristics			
Location			0.27

Right colon	6 (9)	61 (91)	
Left colon	13 (14.8)	75 (85.2)	
T stage			0.90
T1	1 (5.3)	5 (3.7)	
T2	1 (5.3)	12 (8.8)	
T3	16 (84.2)	110 (80.9)	
T4a	0 (0)	4 (2.9)	
T4b	1 (5.3)	5 (3.7)	
Mean tumor size (cm)	4.24	4.8	0.98
Tumor type			0.26
Polypoid	8 (42.1)	76 (55.9)	
Ulcerative	11 (57.9)	60 (44.1)	
Tumor grade			0.62
Well	0	5 (100)	
Moderate	16 (13.3)	104 (86.7)	
Poor	3 (10.0)	27 (90.0)	
EGFR	17 (89.5)	117 (86.0)	0.68
Lymphovascular invasion	3 (15.8)	53 (39.0)	0.049

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9.

Table 2 N1c group *vs* non-N1c group by multivariable cox regression

Item	Multivariable logistic regression		
	Exp (B)	95%CI	P value
Gender			
Female	Reference		
Male	4.62	(1.17-18.33)	0.030
Age	1.03	(0.99-1.08)	0.190
BMI	0.84	(0.68-1.04)	0.110
Habit of smoking	0.92	(0.27-3.07)	0.890
Habit of alcoholic drinking	1.41	(0.29-6.81)	0.670

Hypertension	0.87	(0.21-3.85)	0.850
Diabetes mellitus	0.69	(0.19-2.52)	0.570
Neutrophil to Lymphocyte ratio	1.03	(0.92-1.15)	0.660
CEA	1.00	(1.00-1.01)	0.770
CA 19-9	1.02	(0.99-1.04)	0.200
Tumor characteristics			
Location			
Right colon	Reference		
Left colon	1.67	(0.45-6.21)	0.440
T stage			
Tumor size	0.99	(0.96-1.02)	0.550
Tumor type			
Polypoid	Reference		
Ulcerative	1.75	(0.62-4.92)	0.290
Tumor grade	0.51	(0.12-2.21)	0.370
EGFR	1.05	(0.20-5.48)	0.950
Lymphovascular invasion	6.16	(1.24-30.10)	0.027

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA 19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9.

31%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1 Nathalie Wong-Chong, Jill Motl, Grace Hwang, George J. Nassif, Matthew R. Albert, John R.T. Monson, Lawrence Lee. "Impact of Tumor Deposits on Oncologic Outcomes in Stage III Colon Cancer", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 2018 150 words — 4%

Crossref

2 Michael A. Landau, Benjamin Zhu, Frances N. Akwuole, Reetesh K. Pai. "Histopathological Predictors of Recurrence in Stage III Colon Cancer: Reappraisal of Tumor Deposits and Tumor Budding Using AJCC8 Criteria", International Journal of Surgical Pathology, 2018 131 words — 4%

Crossref

3 Mingyu Shi, Hongzhi Zhang, Guozhong Yao, Jianjun Wu, Chuming Zhu, Xu Zhang, Yuan Ren. "The Role of Tumor Deposits in Predicting the Efficacy of Chemotherapy in Stage III Colon Cancer", Frontiers in Oncology, 2020 78 words — 2%

Crossref

4 Nagayoshi, Kinuko, Takashi Ueki, Yasunobu Nishioka, Tatsuya Manabe, Yusuke Mizuuchi, Minako Hirahashi, Yoshinao Oda, and Masao Tanaka. "Tumor Deposit Is a Poor Prognostic Indicator for Patients Who Have Stage II and III Colorectal Cancer With Fewer Than 4 Lymph Node Metastases but not for Those With 4 or More :", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 2014. 69 words — 2%

Crossref

5	www.wjgnet.com Internet	63 words — 2%
6	www.archivesofpathology.org Internet	59 words — 2%
7	www.dovepress.com Internet	54 words — 1%
8	Ali Aktekin, Selvinaz Özkara, Günay Gürleyik, Mehmet Odabaşı, Tolga Müftüoğlu, Abdullah Sağlam. "The Factors Effecting Lymphovascular Invasion in Adenocarcinoma of the Colon and Rectum", Indian Journal of Surgery, 2013 Crossref	49 words — 1%
9	Neal S. Goldstein, Jerrold R. Turner. "Pericolonic tumor deposits in patients with T3N+M0 colon adenocarcinomas", Cancer, 2000 Crossref	44 words — 1%
10	Ashish Prabhudesai. "Impact of Microscopic Extranodal Tumor Deposits on the Outcome of Patients With Rectal Cancer", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 11/01/2003 Crossref	38 words — 1%
11	"Meeting Abstracts from the 2022 Annual Scientific Meeting of the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons", Diseases of the Colon & Rectum, 2022 Crossref	30 words — 1%
12	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	29 words — 1%
13	Peilin Zheng, Qiaoxing Chen, Jiake Li, Canguang Jin, Lina Kang, Donghan Chen. "Prognostic Significance	27 words — 1%

of Tumor Deposits in Patients With Stage III Colon Cancer: A Nomogram Study", Journal of Surgical Research, 2020

Crossref

14 journals.plos.org 25 words — 1 %
Internet

15 Wen-Xiao Wu, Da-Kui Zhang, Shao-Xuan Chen, Zhi-Yong Hou, Bai-Long Sun, Li Yao, Jian-Zheng Jie. 24 words — 1 %
"Prognostic impact of tumor deposits on overall survival in colorectal cancer: Based on Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database", World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, 2022
Crossref

16 jultika.oulu.fi 21 words — 1 %
Internet

17 www.science.gov 21 words — 1 %
Internet

18 orca-mwe.cf.ac.uk 17 words — < 1 %
Internet

19 onlinelibrary.wiley.com 16 words — < 1 %
Internet

20 mhealth.jmir.org 13 words — < 1 %
Internet

21 www.cph.co.nz 13 words — < 1 %
Internet

22 Resch, Annika. "Lymph node staging in colorectal cancer: Old controversies and recent advances", 12 words — < 1 %
World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013.
Crossref

23	cyberleninka.org Internet	12 words — < 1%
24	www.e-ultrasonography.org Internet	12 words — < 1%
25	topsecretapiaccess.dovepress.com Internet	11 words — < 1%
26	journals.lww.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
27	medsci.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
28	pdfs.semanticscholar.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
29	Toshiki Mukai, Yosuke Fukunaga, Masashi Ueno, Satoshi Nagayama et al. "Needlescopic surgery for left-sided colorectal cancer", International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2014 Crossref	9 words — < 1%
30	bsdwebstorage.blob.core.windows.net Internet	9 words — < 1%
31	Kirby I Bland. "Adjuvant therapy for colorectal cancer", Current Surgery, 1999 Crossref	8 words — < 1%
32	core.ac.uk Internet	8 words — < 1%
33	www.frontiersin.org Internet	8 words — < 1%

34 F Maire. "Prognosis of malignant intraductal papillary mucinous tumours of the pancreas after surgical resection. Comparison with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma", Gut, 2002

Crossref

7 words — < 1%

35 Stefano Cascinu, Vincenzo Catalano, Andrea Piga, Rodolfo Mattioli et al. "The Role of Levamisole in the Adjuvant Treatment of Stage III Colon Cancer Patients: A Randomized Trial of 5-Fluorouracil and Levamisole Versus 5-Fluorouracil Alone", Cancer Investigation, 2003

Crossref

7 words — < 1%

36 Yunxiao Liu, Hao Zhang, Yuliuming Wang, Chunlin Wang et al. "How Best to Play the Role of Tumor Deposits in Stage III Colon Cancer?", Frontiers in Oncology, 2022

Crossref

7 words — < 1%

37 Chang-Zheng Du, Wei-Cheng Xue, Yong Cai, Ming Li, Jin Gu. "Lymphovascular invasion in rectal cancer following neoadjuvant radiotherapy: A retrospective cohort study", World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2009

Crossref

6 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF