83890_Auto_Edited.docx

Basic Study

Fibroblast growth factor 15, induced by elevated bile acids, mediates the improvement of hepatic glucose metabolism after sleeve gastrectomy

Wei M et al. SG-promoted FGF15 improves hepatic glucose metabolism

Meng Wei, Wei-Bo Cao, Ru-Dong Zhao, Dan-Ping Sun, Yi-Ze Liang, Ya-Di Huang, Ze-Wei Cheng, Jun Ouyang, Wen-Shuo Yang, Wen-Bin Yu

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of obesity along with the relative metabolic abnormalities^[1], especially type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)^[2], is on the rise globally. Bariatric surgery becomes the most efficient treatment option for obese patients with diabetes^[3] due to its prominent weight reduction and durable metabolic improvement effect^[4,5]. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and sleeve gastrectomy (SG) are the most commonly performed surgical procedures currently^[3]. Moreover, SG has now exceeded RYGB as the preferred approach for most surgeons^[6,7]. Still, the mechanisms underlying effects of bariatric surgery remain to be fully elucidated.

Bile acid (BA) has been considered as prospective factor for the metabolic actions of bariatric surgery^[8] because of the considerable significance of its receptors, Takeda G protein-coupled receptor 5^[9] and farnesoid X receptor (FXR)^[10]. Through intestinal FXR, BAs could induce the expression and secretion of fibroblast growth factor (FGF)15/19 in the distal ileum^[11,12]. Recent studies illustrate that both BAs and FGF19 -the human ortholog of rodent FGF15^[13]- are elevated after bariatric surgery^[14-17]. Hence, the elevation of FGF19 after bariatric surgery probably results from the induction of FXR by elevated BAs, which needs further investigation. Moreover, FGF15/19 also plays a role in regulating the metabolic homeostasis, especially the hepatic glucose metabolism^[18]. Similar to insulin's actions, FGF15/19 could act to lower the blood glucose level by repressing gluconeogenesis^[19] and promoting glycogen synthesis^[20] in the liver. Taken

together, the ileal FXR-FGF15/19 pathway triggered by BAs might be another potential mechanism underlying the metabolic effects of bariatric surgery. However, to prove this, we need to confirm that the elevation of FGF15/19 results from the induction of FXR by elevated BAs and FGF15/19 participates in the improvement of hepatic glucose metabolism after bariatric surgery.

As insulin and FGF15/19 can exert comparable metabolic actions on liver, only to detect the activities of glycogen synthesis and gluconeogenesis of liver could not distinguish the action of FGF15/19 from insulin. Previous studies demonstrated that FGF15/19 functions in an insulin-independent manner through activating FGF receptor 4 (FGFR4)^[21] and its corresponding signal pathways. FGF15/19 could promote glycogen synthesis by activating the Ras- extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK) pathway^[20] and inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis by suppressing the cAMP regulatory element-binding protein (CREB)- peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) pathway^[19]. However, insulin induces these effects through phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt pathway. The signaling pathways engaged in regulation of hepatic glucose metabolism by FGF15/19 and insulin permit overlapping, but can distinct the biological effects of these two hormones. Hence, to detect the expression of FGFR4 and the activities of its corresponding signaling pathways after bariatric surgery would help to confirm the action of FGF15/19 on hepatic glucose metabolism.

We conducted SHAM and SG operations on an obese diabetic rat model induced by high-fat diet (HFD) and streptozotocin (STZ) in this study. BAs levels in serum and portal vein, and the ileal expression of FXR and FGF15 were detected to confirm the elevation of the FGF15 as well as the underlying mechanism after surgery. Moreover, we detected the hepatic expression of FGFR4 and the activity of its corresponding signaling pathways involved in glucose metabolism to investigate whether FGF15 mediates the improvement of hepatic glucose metabolic after SG.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

We used Wistar rats in this study, and the rats (8-wk-old, 200 g on average) were purchased and housed in Laboratory Animal Center of Shandong University (Jinan, Shandong Province, China). The obese diabetic rat model was induced as previously reported^[22,23]. The rats received a HFD (40% fat, 42% carbohydrate, 18% protein, Huafukang Biotech, China) for 1 mo, followed by low dose intraperitoneal STZ injection (35 mg/kg, Sigma, United States). The glucose level of peripheral blood obtained from tail vein was tested using a glucometer (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). Diabetes induction was confirmed based on the Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) results and blood glucose levels examined at random time-points (\geq 16.7 mmol/L). The diabetic rats then randomly divided into matched SHAM (n = 10) and SG (n = 10) group. All actions and interventions applied on rats were approved and guided by Laboratory Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of Shandong University Cheeloo College of Medicine.

Before operation, rats were fasted for about 12 h after two-days feeding of 10% Ensure (Abbott, United States). Moreover, anesthesia for rats was induced by 10% chloral hydrate (3 mL/kg).

Surgical procedures

SG: First, the relevant vessels and gastro-epiploic structures were ligated and transected to externalize the greater curvature of the stomach. Then, we conducted gastrectomy of 80% of the whole stomach from greater curvature, including the whole gastric fundus. The residual stomach was closed and continuously sutured with a 5-0 silk suture (Ningbo medical, China). The cardia, pylorus of stomach and continuity of stomach with esophagus and duodenum were all remained unaffected.

SHAM: In order to minimize the concomitant influence and bias triggered by surgical operation and anesthesia, we set SHAM group as previously reported. First, we isolated the greater curvature of stomach similarly as what we did in SG group. Then we clamped the stomach along the greater curvature with a pair of blunt forceps between

cardia and pylorus to exert a similar pressure on the stomach. The exposed area and time of surgical field were extended as that of SG group to eliminate the undeserving influence of operation and anesthesia.

Postsurgical care: After surgery, the rats were only supplied with some water during the first 24 h. Then following 3-d feeding of 10% Ensure, the rats resumed the HDF diet till the end of the study. We recorded the body weight and calorie intake of every rat in both groups every day. Antibiotics were unnecessary and all rats in both groups survived and were sacrificed until the end of the study (week 12 post-surgery).

OGTT

We conducted OGTTs preoperatively to confirm diabetes induction and to evaluate the anti-diabetic effects of SG at week 12 post-surgery. After 12-h fasting, the rats received 20% glucose (1 g/kg) chow *via* oral gavage. Blood glucose levels were measured at time point of 0 min, 10 min, 30 min, 60 min, and 120 min after administration.

Detection of BAs

At 12 wk after surgery, total BAs (TBA) levels were examined in both the systemic serum and portal vein. In addition, the specific levels of the following four FXR-agonist BA species were also examined: Chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), DCA, lithocholic acid (LCA), and cholic acid (CA). One hour before sacrificed, all rats were gavaged with 10% Ensure after 12-h fasting. When sacrificing the rats, we collected blood samples from the retrobulbar venous plexus and portal vein. Portal and systemic serum levels of TBA were detected on the Roche Cobas 8000 system using the enzyme cycling method. As previously described^[22,23], the levels of BA species (CDCA, DCA, LCA, and CA) in these samples were evaluated using high-pressure liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). Standard substrates for these four BA species were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (United States).

Histological analysis of ileum and liver tissues

After sacrificing, ileum and liver tissues in both groups were divided into three parts. Some were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and then embedded in paraffin for Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS) staining and immunohistochemistry staining; some were stored at -80 °C for western blotting and hepatic glycogen content detection; and the others were stored in RNA*later*TM Stabilization Solution (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM) for real-time PCR.

Real-time PCR

The levels of FGF15, FXR in ileum and FGFR4, glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Pepck) in liver were measured using RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from the tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, United States) and then reversely transcribed to cDNA by the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (TOYOBO, Japan). Subsequently, the relative level of mRNA amplification was determined through the SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix Kit (TOYOBO, Japan) according to manufacturer's instructions. The following primers were used analysis: FGF15: 5'-GCCATCAAGGACGTCAGCA-CTTCCTCCGAGTAGCGAATCAG- 3'(R); FXR: 5'-TCCGGACATTCAACCATCAC-3' (F), 5'-TCACTGCACATCCCAGATCTC-3' (R); FGFR4: GGCCAGGTATACGGACATCA-3' (F), 5'-GAGTCAGGCTGTCACATGTG-3' (R); G6Pase: 5'-GTGGCAGTGGTCGGAGACT-3' (F), 5'-ACGGGCGTTGTCCAAAC-3' (R); Pepck: 5'-CACCATCACCTCCTGGAAGA-3' (F), 5'-GGGTGCAGAATCTCGAGTTG-3' (R).

Glycogen detecting and immunohistochemistry staining

We detected the hepatic glycogen content using the Glycogen Assay kit (ab65620, Abcam, United States) according to manufacturer's protocol. Sections of the paraffinembedded tissues were prepared for PAS and immunohistochemistry staining. We stained the glycogen in hepatocytes with the PAS Stain Kit (Mucin Stain) (ab150680,

Abcam, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. And we also examined the ileal expression of FGF15 and hepatic expression of FGFR4 by immunohistochemistry staining. The antibody for FGF15 (sc-16816) and FGFR4 (sc-136988) were both purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (United States). Percentage of PAS- positive cells was calculated and quantification of immunoreactive signal was performed using Image J software.

Western blotting

We detected the hepatic expression of ERK1/2, p-ERK1/2, glycogen synthase (GS), p-GS, CREB, p-CREB and PGC-1α by western blotting. Anti-ERK1/2, anti-p-ERK1/2, anti-GS, anti-GS, anti-CREB, and anti-p-CREB for western blotting were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (CST, United States), and anti-PGC-1α, anti-Actin were purchased from Abcam (United States).

Statistical analysis

All quantitative data are presented in the pattern of mean \pm SEM. The area under the curve (AUC) was evaluated using trapezoidal integration. We used unpaired Student's \pm test to analyzed statistical significance, and \pm 0.05 indicated statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS version 20.0.

RESULTS

Weight loss and anti-diabetic effects of SG

According to our previous studies, we evaluated the weight-loss and anti-diabetic effects of SG postoperatively. First, we assessed the weight-loss action by tracking the body weight and daily food intake of the rats in SHAM and SG group. After a sharp decrease during the first week after surgery, the body weight and food intake gradually increased in both groups. Since week 4 post-surgery, the increase of body weight and food intake in SG group became significantly slower than that in SHAM group (Figure 1A and B). And the body weight gain of SG group was correspondingly lower than that

in SHAM group till week 12 post-surgery (Figure 1C). Then, we conducted the OGTT in both groups to evaluate the anti-diabetic effects of SG. The OGTT curves and result of AUC_{OGTT} showed much better glucose tolerance in SG group than SHAM group (Figure 1D and E), which indicated a considerable anti-diabetic effect of SG.

Effects of SG on BA levels and ileal FGF15, FXR expressions

We detected the TBA levels both in systemic serum and portal vein at week 12 post-surgery, together with four BA species: CDCA, DCA, LCA, and CA, which are all FXR agonists. TBA levels of SG group in serum and portal vein were significantly higher than that in SHAM group (Figure 2A and B). Both CDCA and LCA levels in these two kinds samples were elevated and the portal vein DCA level was also higher in SG group (Figure 2C and D).

Then, we detected the influence of SG on FGF15 and FXR expressions in distal ileum. Both mRNA and protein expression levels of FGF15 in SG group were significantly higher than that in SHAM group (Figure 3A and D; Figure 4). Also, FXR mRNA level was also considerably elevated after SG (Figure 4).

SG significantly improved the hepatic glucose metabolism

To evaluate the hepatic glucose metabolism, we detected the activities of hepatic glycogen synthesis and gluconeogenesis, together with the glycogen content in both groups after SG. The expression of glycogen synthase (GS) was significantly higher in the SG-operated rats, while the phosphorylation degree of GS (p-GS) was declined (Figure 5). In other words, the hepatic glycogen synthetic activity was significantly promoted after SG. Correspondingly, the glycogen content and the percentage of PAS-positive cells in liver were significantly higher in SG-operated rats (Figures 2A, 3B and E).

We then measured two key enzymes within hepatic gluconeogenesis, G6Pase and Pepck mRNA levels of both enzymes were significantly declined in SG group compared with SHAM group, which illustrated an inhibited gluconeogenesis process in SG-operated rats (Figure 4).

SG activated the receptor and regulated activities of the corresponding signal pathways of FGF15

FGF15/19 can activate its receptor, FGFR4 in the liver with unique specificity^[25]. The expression of FGFR4 was significantly promoted at both mRNA and protein levels in SG group (Figure 4). FGF15-FGFR4 regulates hepatic glycogen synthesis and gluconeogenesis through Ras-ERK pathway and CREB-PGC-1α pathway, respectively. ERK1/2 regulates the phosphorylation degree of GS. We detected no difference in the expression of total ERK1/2 protein level. Whereas, the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was increased in SG-operated rats, which indicates the activation of ERK1/2 pathway (Figure 5). On the contrary, the declined phosphorylation degree of CREB and decreased expression of PGC-1α (Figure 5) together with the inhibition of G6Pase and Pepck (G6Pase and Pepck are two target genes of PGC-1α) illustrated the inhibition of CREB-PGC-1α pathway in SG group.

DISCUSSION

In this study, SG induced considerable weight loss and significantly improved the glucose homeostasis, especially the hepatic glucose metabolism in an obese diabetic rat model. Levels of TBA and FXR-agonistic BA subspecies were all increased in the serum of both peripheral circulation and portal vein. Besides, the expression of FGF15 which was induced by FXR activation in the ileum was increased after SG. And the FGF15 receptor FGFR4 in the liver together with its corresponding signal pathways involved in hepatic glycogen synthesis and gluconeogenesis were also activated.

Liver plays a key role in the metabolic regulation of the whole body, especially in maintaining homeostasis of blood glucose level through synthesis or breaking down of glycogen and the action of gluconeogenesis. One of the insulin's actions is to promote glycogen synthesis and inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis which is impaired in diabetic

patients. While, we found that SG improved the glucose tolerance of diabetic rats in this study, the hepatic glycogen content was elevated and the expression of two key enzymes^[19] (G6pase and Pepck) responsible for hepatic gluconeogenesis were decreased in the SG-operated rats. In other words, SG increased the insulin sensitivity by promoting the synthesis of glycogen and inhibiting the hepatic gluconeogenesis. Moreover, recent studies found that FGF15/19 could also exert the similar effects as insulin on hepatic metabolism in an insulin-independent pattern^[19,20]. Furthermore, the serum FGF19 Level of diabetic patients was elevated after bariatric surgery^[14-17]. Therefore, except the improvement of insulin sensitivity, the improvement of glucose tolerance post-surgery may also be partly mediated by the elevated FGF15/19.

Consistent with previous findings of FGF 19 in human, the ileal expression of FGF15 was increased in SG-operated rats in our study and the TBA levels in systemic serum and portal vein were also increased after SG. This may inconsistent with previous reports that FGF15 acts as an endocrinal factor to repress BA synthesis in the liver[11]. However, our previous studies have already proved that the elevation of serum BA level was mainly a result of the promoted ileal reabsorption rather than hepatic synthesis^[22,23]. According to the process of the enterohepatic circulation, systemic serum BAs is a combinational result of hepatic uptake and ileal reabsorption of BAs and portal vein BAs levels can reflect the ileal reabsorption and the BA concentration of distal ileum^[26,27]. Hence, the elevation of TBAs in systemic serum and portal vein in this study must be a results of the promoted ileal reabsorption. Then, we also explored the cause for the elevated expression of FGF15 in our study. FGF15/19 is mainly expressed in distal ileum and can be induced by FXR^[28,29]. The increased ileal mRNA level of FXR in our study should be responsible for the elevation of FGF15 after SG. Moreover, FXR can be activated by different BA subspecies, among which CDCA is the most efficacious^[30]. Furthermore, oral or intraduodenal infusion of CDCA triggers increase of serum FGF19 Level in humans[31,32]. Consistent with our previous study[22,23], CDCA levels as well as other FXR agonists were elevated after SG both in systemic serum and portal vein. Hence, activation of ileal FXR in this study would result from the elevation of these

agonists among the SG-operated rats. Taken together, enhanced reabsorption of BAs after SG activated FXR in the ileum, which then promoted the expression and secretion of FGF15.

Stimulated by ileal FXR, FGF15/19 is promoted and secreted into the enterohepatic circulation. In addition of the regulation of BA homeostasis, FGF15/19 also plays a role in the hepatic glucose metabolism. Evidence have shown that FGF 15 knockout mice showed impaired glucose tolerance with decreased hepatic glycogen storage and advanced hepatic gluconeogenesis^[20]. Diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance was failed in FGF19 transgenic mice[33,34]. These effects of FGF15/19 are all mediated through FGFR^[35-37], the receptor of FGF15/19 with an unique specificity^[21,25]. Moreover, expression of FGFR4 in liver was decreased among STZ-induced diabetes^[36]. FGFR4deficient mice displayed glucose intolerance and insulin resistance as well as increased weight gain^[35]. As described above, FGF15/19-FGFR4 plays an important role in hepatic glucose metabolism. Considering the elevation of FGF15, we then examined whether FGF15-FGFR4 functions in the improvement of hepatic glucose metabolism after SG. The hepatic expression of FGFR4 among the HFD and STZ-induced diabetic rats was elevated after SG in this study, which indicates that FGF15-FGFR4 was activated in SG-operated rats. However, we still can't conclude that FGF15-FGFR4 acts in improving the hepatic glucose metabolism because the regulation of BAs synthesis by FGF15 also needs activation of FGFR4.

To further confirm whether FGF15-FGFR4 contributes to the improvement of glucose metabolism in SG-operated rats, we detected the corresponding pathways of FGF15-FGFR4 involved in the hepatic glucose metabolism. Comparable to insulin, FGF15/19 could regulate hepatic glucose metabolism by promoting glycogen synthesis and inhibiting hepatic gluconeogenesis. But unlike the insulin-inducing PI3K-Akt patway, FGF15/19 acts through two different pathways. Moreover, FGF15/19 the inhibiting effect on BA synthesis of FGF15/19 was through a c-Jun N-terminal kinase-dependent pathway^[12]. FGF15/19 promotes the hepatic glycogen synthesis by activating Ras-ERK pathway^[20]. FGF15/19-FGFR4 triggers the phosphorylation of ERK1/2, which then

increase the activity of GS by inhibiting the phosphorylation of this enzyme. Therefore, the increased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and the declined phosphorylation of GS observed in this study indicated that FGF15-FGFR4-Ras-ERK pathway was activated in SG-operated rats. Together with the elevated glycogen content, we can conclude that the action of FGF15 (and its corresponding pathways) contributed to the glycogen synthesis promoting effect of SG. Moreover, FGF15/19 inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis by supressing the CREB-PGC-1α pathway^[19]. FGF15/19 could induce dephosphorylate and suppress the transcription factor CREB and correspondingly inhibited the expression of PGC-1a. Besides, G6Pase, and Pepck, the two key enzymes for hepatic gluconeogenesis, are two target genes of PGC-1a. So, integrating together the depressed phosphorylation of CREB, reduced expression of PGC-1a and the inhibited expression of G6Pase and Pepck, we could predicate that FGF15 inhibited the CREB-PGC-1a pathway and participated in suppressing the hepatic gluconeogenesis in SG-operated rats. Hence, the activated Ras-ERK1/2 pathway and inhibited CREB-PGC-1α pathway indicated that elevated FGF15 after SG played a role in regulating the hepatic glucose metabolism in parallel to insulin.

In this study, we confirmed the effect of elevated BAs and FGF15 on the hepatic glucose metabolism. FGF15, induced by elevated BAs in ileum, acts as an endocrine factor on the liver through portal vein. By specifically binding and activating the FGFR4 and its corresponding signal pathways, FGF15 improves the hepatic glucose metabolism through the intestine-liver crosstalk. Similarly, human FGF19, which is an ortholog of rodent FGF15, was also elevated after SG together with BAs. Besides, FGF19 can also bind and activate the FGFR 4 specifically. Thus, the results of this study presented basics for the research of human FGF19 after SG, and the FGF19 would be developed to be a target for clinical treatment of T2DM in the future.

Certainly, this study has several limitations. First, we did not directly detect the FGF15 Levels in serum and portal vein because no ELISA kits for FGF15 was available at that time. However, we detected the expression of FGF15 in the ileum at both mRNA and protein levels. As FGF15 is mainly expressed and secreted from the ileum into

enterohepatic circulation, the ileal expression could reflect the FGF15 Levels in serum and portal vein. Second, the postprandial FGF15 Level varies with time, it is better to trace the FGF15 Levels at different time points after gavage. However, we can only sacrifice the rat and detect the expression of FGF15 in the ileum once. And, as previously reported, the expression level of FGF15 mRNA in ileum and the phosphorylation degree of downstream hepatic ERK1/2 reach the peak 1h after feeding in rodent^[19]. So, we sacrificed the rats 1h after gavage and the following results then may be more meaningful and advisable. Third, the improvement of hepatic glucose metabolism after SG should surely be mainly mediated by insulin. Though the action of FGF15 underlying the effects of SG was proved in our study, the proportion of these effects accounted by FGF15 still needs further evaluation. Finally, the data presented in this study only show associations, but no causal relationships between the alteration of FGF15 and improvement of hepatic glucose metabolism after SG, which would have to be further investigated by using KO models.

CONCLUSION

BAs levels were elevated in the SG-operated rats and induced FGF15 expression by activating their receptor, FXR in distal ileum. Moreover, the action of FGF15 on hepatic glycogen synthesis and gluconeogenesis further contributes to the anti-diabetic effects of SG.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 The weight-loss and anti-diabetic effects of sleeve gastrectomy. A: Body weight; B: Food intake; C: Body weight gain after surgery; D: The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) curves; E: Area under the curve for OGTT curves of SHAM and sleeve gastrectomy (SG) group at week 12 postoperation. $^{a}P < 0.05$, $^{b}P < 0.01$, $^{c}P < 0.001$; SG vs SHAM group. SG: Sleeve gastrectomy.

Figure 2 Sleeve gastrectomy increased the bile acids levels and hepatic glycogen content. A: The concentration of total bile acid; B and C: The levels of chenodeoxycholic acid, DCA, lithocholic acid, and cholic acid in serum and portal vein, respectively; D: The glycogen content in liver at week 12 postoperation in SHAM and SG group. $^{a}P < 0.05$, $^{b}P < 0.01$, $^{c}P < 0.001$; SG vs SHAM group. BA: Bile acid; TBA: Total bile acid; SG: Sleeve gastrectomy; CDCA: Chenodeoxycholic acid; LCA: Lithocholic acid; CA: Cholic acid.

Figure 3 Sleeve gastrectomy promoted fibroblast growth factor 15, fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 expression and hepatic glycogen synthesis. A and D: The result of immune-histochemistry staining for ileal fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 15; B and E: The result of Periodic Acid-Schiff staining for glycogen in hepatocytes; C and F: Immune-histochemistry staining of hepatic FGF receptor 4 in SHAM and sleeve gastrectomy group at week 12 postoperation. Scale bar: 100 μm. SG: Sleeve gastrectomy; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; FGFR4: Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4.

Figure 4 Farnesoid X receptor- fibroblast growth factor 15-FGFR4 were stimulated and hepatic gluconeogenesis were depressed after sleeve gastrectomy. The mRNA relative expression of ileal fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 15, farnesoid X receptor, and hepatic glucose-6-phosphatase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 in SHAM and sleeve gastrectomy (SG) group at week 12 postoperation. ^{a}P < 0.05, ^{b}P < 0.01, ^{c}P < 0.001, SG vs SHAM group. SG: Sleeve gastrectomy; FXR: Farnesoid X receptor; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; FGFR4: Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4; Pepck: Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; G6Pase: Glucose-6-phosphatase.

igure 5 Sleeve gastrectomy regulated activities of the corresponding pathways of fibroblast growth factor 15. Western blotting for expression of extracellular signal

regulated kinase (ERK), p-ERK, cAMP regulatory element-binding protein (CREB), p-CREB, glycogen synthase (GS), p-GS, and proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α) in the liver of both SHAM and sleeve gastrectomy group at week 12 postoperation. PGC-1 α : Proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1 α ; ERK: Extracellular signal regulated kinase; CREB: cAMP regulatory element-binding protein; GS: Glycogen synthase; SG: Sleeve gastrectomy.

83890_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 2 Xiang Zhang, Yanmin Wang, Mingwei Zhong, Teng Liu_{42 words} 1 % et al. "Duodenal-Jejunal Bypass Preferentially Elevates Serum Taurine-Conjugated Bile Acids and Alters Gut Microbiota in a Diabetic Rat Model", Obesity Surgery, 2015
- Nan Hu, Chunhao Wang, Baihui Wang, Libo Wang,
 Jian Huang, Jinhui Wang, Chunli Li. "Qianghuo
 Shengshi decoction exerts anti-inflammatory and analgesic via
 MAPKs/CREB signaling pathway", Journal of
 Ethnopharmacology, 2022
 Crossref
- www.frontiersin.org

 Internet

 18 words < 1 %
- $_{\text{Internet}}^{\text{www.e-sciencecentral.org}}$ $_{\text{Internet}}^{\text{www.e-sciencecentral.org}}$ $_{\text{Internet}}^{\text{www.e-sciencecentral.org}}$
- Jian Zhang, Vasanthanathan Poongavanam,
 Dongwei Kang, Chiara Bertagnin et al.
 "Optimization of N-Substituted Oseltamivir Derivatives as
 Potent Inhibitors of Group-1 and -2 Influenza A
 Neuraminidases, Including a Drug-Resistant Variant", Journal of
 Medicinal Chemistry, 2018

J.D. Schumacher, B. Kong, Y. Pan, L. Zhan et al. "The effect of fibroblast growth factor 15 deficiency on the development of high fat diet induced non-alcoholic steatohepatitis", Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2017

Crossref

- 8www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Internet14 words < 1%9coek.info
Internet13 words < 1%10tel.archives-ouvertes.fr
Internet12 words < 1%11tessera.spandidos-publications.com
Internet11 words < 1%
- Dong Sun, Shaozhuang Liu, Guangyong Zhang, Punsiri Colonne, Chunxiao Hu, Haifeng Han, Mingxia Li, Sanyuan Hu. "Sub-sleeve gastrectomy achieves good diabetes control without weight loss in a non-obese diabetic rat model", Surgical Endoscopy, 2013

11 words -<1%

Crossref

Internet

www.science.org

Kazim Sahin, Mehmet Tuzcu, Cemal Orhan, Nurhan Sahin, Osman Kucuk, Ibrahim H. Ozercan, Vijaya Juturu, James R. Komorowski. "Anti-diabetic activity of chromium picolinate and biotin in rats with type 2 diabetes induced by high-fat diet and streptozotocin", British Journal of Nutrition, 2012

e-century.us

 $_{10 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$ $_{10 \text{ words}} - < 1\%$

patents.google.com

ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

< 10 WORDS

< 10 WORDS