86612.docx

Direct oral anticoagulants for the treatment of splanchnic vein thrombosis: A state of art

DOACs for splanchnic vein thrombosis

Abstract

Splanchnic vein thrombosis (SVT) is a manifestation of venous thromboembolism in an unusual site. Portal, mesenteric, and splenic veins are the most common vessels involved in SVT which occurs mainly in patients with liver cirrhosis, although non-cirrhotic patients could be affected as well. Thrombosis of hepatic veins, also known as Budd-Chiari syndrome, is another manifestation of SVT. Prompt diagnosis and intervention are mandatory in order to increase the recalization rate and reduce the risk of thrombus progression and hypertensive complications. Traditional anticoagulation with heparin and vitamin-K antagonists is the treatment of choice in these cases. However, recent studies have shown promising results on the efficacy and safety of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) in this setting. Available results are mainly based on retrospective studies with small sample size, but first clinical trials have been published in the last years. This manuscript aims to provide an updated overview of the current evidence regarding the role of DOACs for SVT in both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients.

Monaco G, Bucherini L, Stefanini B, Piscaglia F, Foschi FG, Ielasi L. Direct oral anticoagulants for the treatment of splanchnic vein thrombosis: A state of art. *World J Gastroenterol* 2023; In press

Core Tip: The term splanchnic vein thrombosis (SVT) includes portal vein thrombosis (PVT) and Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS). Both conditions could occur in patients with and without an underlying liver disease. The cornerstone of treatment is anticoagulation. Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are a novel class of drugs that have strongly affirmed their role in the management of patients with atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism. In the last few years, several studies have been published showing promising results in efficacy and safety of DOACs in patients with SVT.

INTRODUCTION

Splanchnic vein thrombosis (SVT) is a rare but potentially life-threatening condition that occurs when blood clots form in the veins that drain the digestive system from the lower esophagus to the upper two-thirds of the rectum. Among different SVT, we can distinguish two main conditions: Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS) and portal vein thrombosis (PVT).

BCS is caused by the thrombotic obstruction of hepatic venous outflow, localized anywhere from the hepatic veins to the entry of the inferior vena cava into the right atrium. BCS could also be caused by extra-vascular compression (secondary BCS), but this non-thrombotic form of the disease will not be discussed further.

There is no standardized definition of PVT. Generally, it refers to the thrombosis of the main portal trunk or its lobar branches with or without extension to the splenic or mesenteric veins.

SVT can develop both in patients with and without underlying liver disease. (1)

In the first case, SVT represents a rare condition with a prevalence of less that 0.2% in the general population and it is commonly associated with strong risk factors for thrombosis. (2)

In the second case, liver cirrhosis represents the mainstay of the pathogenesis of SVT and the co-presence of thrombophilic risk factors is uncommon. Cirrhotic patients generally present a PVT with an incidence that ranges from 11% to 24% at 5 years; prevalence increases according to liver disease severity (10% in compensated cirrhosis, 17% in decompensated cirrhosis, and 26% in liver transplant candidates). (3–5)

In patients with SVT, the development of portal hypertension is common; the increase of portal venous pressure could be caused by either pre-hepatic (in PVT) or post-hepatic (in BCS) venous flow obstruction.

As a thrombotic condition, anticoagulation is generally required for these patients as first line treatment. Over the last few years, interventional endovascular approaches (e.g. transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement, angioplasty, suction thrombectomy, catheter-directed thrombolysis) have shown interesting results mainly in the management of acute symptomatic PVT with an inadequate response to medical treatment. (6–8) They could be used in isolation or in conjunction with systemic anticoagulation. Description of these procedures and their indications go beyond the aim of this paper, so it will not be discussed further.

Traditional anticoagulants commonly used for SVT are heparins and vitamin-K antagonists (VKA).

Low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) is generally preferred to unfractionated heparin (UFH) due to its lower incidence of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, unless there are contraindications to LMWH such as severe renal failure. LMWH also has the advantage that it has a short half-life and no need of monitoring, but daily subcutaneous administration may reduce patients' compliance.

VKA are usually used for long-term anticoagulation. They have the advantage of oral administration and reversibility with vitamin K supplementation, but they require INR monitoring and a personalized dose schedule.

Beside traditional anticoagulants, in recent years direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) have become the first choice of treatment in several conditions, such as stroke prophylaxis

in atrial fibrillation (9) and treatment of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. (10)

DOACs have the advantage of oral administration, fixed dosing schedule, predictable anticoagulant effect, and they do not require frequent monitoring.

DOACs exert their activity by directly inhibiting factor X-activated (such as rivaroxaban, apixaban and edoxaban) or factor II-activated (such as dabigatran). Their metabolism is generally both renal and hepatic, with different percentage among single drugs. Rivaroxaban, apixaban and edoxaban are metabolized by cytochromes without forming active metabolites; dabigatran is a prodrug not metabolized by cytochromes and it is the DOAC with the higher amount of renal excretion (approximatively 80%). (11)

Despite the aforementioned considerations, at present the use of DOACs for SVT remains poorly investigated. If chosen as anticoagulation therapy, they have to be prescribed off-label as they are currently not licensed for this indication in many countries.

Nevertheless, on the thrust of the advantages demonstrated in other conditions, interest on the use of DOACs in this setting is recently emerging, and data obtained by several recent reports are encouraging. (12,13)

In this review, we analyzed all the studies available in the literature concerning patients with cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic PVT and BCS treated with DOACs; case reports were systematically excluded.

NON-CIRRHOTIC PORTAL VEIN THROMBOSIS

Causes of SVT in patients without underlying liver disease could be classified as systemic acquired risk factors for thrombosis, inherited thrombophilia and local factors. More than one risk factor is found in 10-23% of patients. (14,15)

Systemic acquired thrombophilic factors represent the cause of up to 50% of SVT. (16) The main related conditions are myeloproliferative neoplasms (mostly those related to JAK2-V617F mutation), (17,18) hormonal factors (oral contraceptive or pregnancy), (19,20) antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, (21) and other systemic

inflammations/infections (e.g. connective tissue disease, sarcoidosis, cytomegalovirus infection, (22) SARS-CoV-2 infection, (23,24) sepsis).

Inherited thrombophilic disorders could be detected in about 20% of cases. (16) The most common clotting factor alteration is factor V Leiden mutation (8% of cases), followed by G20210A prothrombin mutation and antithrombin deficiency (5% of cases each); protein S and protein C deficiency are less frequent (less than 2% and 1%, respectively).(25–27) Local factors are involved in about 20% of cases. (16) These are represented mainly by abdominal surgery and infectious or inflammatory diseases involving abdominal organs, such as pancreatitis, (28) diverticulitis, inflammatory bowel disease, abdominal vasculitis and abdominal cancers. (17)

Notably, in 15-40% of cases of SVT without cirrhosis no causative factors are identified. The treatment of the underlying disease is crucial in the management of patients, so an accurate work-up should be performed at SVT diagnosis. (16)

Although not all guidelines agree on this definition, it is widely accepted that PVT can be divided in

acute or chronic, based on the onset of the disease within 6 mo or beyond, respectively. The latter also includes the transformation in portal cavernoma, that is the replacement of the native portal vein with multiple tortuous collateral venous vessels that develop in response to chronic venous outflow obstruction.

In case of acute non-cirrhotic PVT, the main goal is to achieve portal recanalization and to prevent extension of the clot and sequelae such as intestinal infarction and the development of portal hypertension. Spontaneous resolution of acute PVT is rare, and early anticoagulation treatment is associated with higher rates of recanalization. (29) Therefore, full dose anticoagulation treatment should be started at diagnosis. (15,29–33) Moreover, a study showed that the risk of developing recurrent thrombotic events among subjects with non-abdominal thromboembolism and non-cirrhotic PVT is comparable. (34)

Treatment should be continued for at least 3-6 mo for all patients. Similar to guideline recommendations for deep vein thrombosis occurring in typical sites, indefinite

anticoagulation is recommended in all cases of persistent identified risk factors, such as acquired or congenital thrombophilia, but should also be considered in case the evidence of a persistent underlying prothrombotic factor is lacking. (30,35)

As mentioned above, PVT may evolve into portal cavernoma if left untreated. In the presence of chronic PVT or portal cavernoma, even though the benefit of anticoagulation is less clear, it is recommended to treat patients as in the case of acute PVT. (36–38) However, since bleeding is the most common complication of chronic PVT, (39) in patients with high risk esophageal varices anticoagulation treatment should be postponed until an adequate prophylaxis for portal hypertensive bleeding has been initiated. (35)

Regarding the choice of anticoagulants, initial treatment with LMWH and subsequent switch to VKA is supported by extensive evidence and still represents the established therapy for most patients. The treatment is administered with the same therapeutic regimens and dose adjustments as for typical site venous thromboembolism.

Several studies have been recently published regarding the use of DOACs in this setting showing their efficacy and safety; at present, no randomized controlled trial has been published yet (Table 1).

Janczak et al were the first to investigate the use of DOACs for thrombosis in atypical sites. They conducted a prospective study enrolling patients that were treated with anticoagulants for thromboembolism occurring both in typical and atypical sites. Considering the subgroup with PVT, 16 patients were treated with DOACs (rivaroxaban and apixaban), and 13 patients were treated with LMWH. The results did not reveal any statistically significant difference between DOACs and LMWH both in terms of efficacy and safety. (40)

Scheiner et al. performed a retrospective study with 51 cirrhotic patients with concomitant non-malignant PVT. No anticoagulation therapy was started in 39 patients, whereas 12 patients received warfarin. Additionally, they also enrolled 10 patients treated with DOACs after traditional anticoagulation. In particular, 4 patients received edoxaban 30 or 60 mg OD, 3 apixaban 5 mg BID, 2 rivaroxaban 10 mg OD, 1 dabigatran 100 mg BID.

The mean follow-up time was 9.2 mo. In the DOAC group 70% of patients were non-cirrhotic. Regression of thrombus was observed in 20% of patients, and stability in 80%; no thrombus progression has been reported. Since cavernous transformation of the chronic PVT was already present in all patients treated with DOACs (therefore achieving recanalization could be difficult), the authors could not extrapolate data to compare the success rates of conservative or traditional therapy to DOACs. Only one bleeding episode was described in a patient in therapy with DOAC, so authors concluded that there was no statistically significant difference in bleeding events between DOAC and VKA groups. (41)

Naymagon et al published several retrospective studies comparing traditional anticoagulants *vs* DOACs for treatment of SVT in non-cirrhotic patients. In a study that compared VKA/LMWH and DOACs for non-cirrhotic PVT, recanalization rates (defined as complete radiological resolution) were higher in DOAC group compared to VKA, but similar to the group treated with enoxaparin. Nevertheless, a lower rate of bleeding was observed in patients treated with DOACs. (42)

Another retrospective study from the same authors evaluated a cohort of 58 patients with inflammatory bowel disease associated-PVT who were treated either with DOACs or traditional anticoagulants. Complete radiological response rate in the DOAC group was two-fold higher than in the warfarin group; moreover, the DOAC group needed a shorter course of anticoagulation to achieve recanalization. (43)

Similar results in terms of vein recanalization have been shown in patients who developed PVT within three months after abdominal surgery. The first group was treated with DOACs, the second with conventional anticoagulants or no anticoagulation. Recanalization rate was higher with DOAC than with VKA (77% *vs* 45%), but similar to LMWH. Of note, in the group receiving no anticoagulation treatment, only 17% of patients recanalized spontaneously. (44)

Ilcewicz et al. analyzed retrospectively a cohort of 33 patients with PVT, including 10 patients with cirrhosis. Patients were treated with either warfarin or DOACs; 4 treatment

failure and one major bleeding were recorded in the warfarin group but none was recorded in the DOAC group. (45)

Recently, *Ageno et al* conducted the first interventional study evaluating the safety and efficacy of DOACs in non-cirrhotic PVT. The study was a single-arm prospective multicentric study enrolling patients presenting with a first episode of non-cirrhotic, symptomatic, objectively diagnosed SVT who were treated with rivaroxaban 15 mg twice daily for 3 wk followed by 3 mo of rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily. Major bleeding was the primary endpoint of the study; secondary endpoints included death, recurrent SVT, and complete vein recanalization within 3 mo. During the 6-months follow-up period, non-life-threatening major bleeding events occurred in 2 patients; recurrence of thrombosis was observed in 2 patients, and 1 death unrelated to thrombosis was recorded. The recanalization at 3 mo was achieved in more than 80% of patients, with a complete recanalization rate of 47%. (46)

From what has emerged from the aforementioned studies, the use of DOACs in non-cirrhotic PVT seems to be promising; results suggest that DOACs are superior to traditional anticoagulants in terms of recanalization rate (42–44,46) although they have a similar safety profile to VKA. (40)

However, it is important to emphasize that these results are affected by several limitations: firstly, at present no randomized controlled trial has been published; secondly, the results are based on small patients cohorts, the therapeutic regimens of DOACs vary widely between studies and the duration of follow-up was also extremely heterogeneous.

CIRRHOTIC PORTAL VEIN THROMBOSIS

Liver cirrhosis is an irreversible end-stage liver disease characterized by the progressive deposition of fibrotic tissue and a diffuse conversion of the normal liver architecture into structurally abnormal nodules, eventually leading to impaired liver function.

The increased liver stiffness causes a reduced portal blood flow and an increase of portal pressure, (i.e., portal hypertension); the blood stasis together with the pro-thrombotic

status typical of cirrhotic patients lead to a higher cumulative risk of splanchnic thrombosis, mainly PVT. (47,48)

A recent meta-analysis on cirrhotic PVT not treated with anticoagulation showed an improvement in 30% of cases and a progression of thrombus in approximately 25% of cases. (49)

According to the Baveno VII consensus, anticoagulation is recommended in cirrhotic patients with recent (<6 mo) and >50% occlusive thrombosis of the main portal vein trunk, in those with symptomatic PVT or in potential candidates for liver transplantation. In the last group of patients, the aim of anticoagulation is the prevention of recurrence of thrombosis or the progression of thrombus in order to with the aim facilitate the portal anastomosis during the surgical procedure.

Anticoagulation should also be considered in patients with <50% occlusive thrombosis of the main portal vein trunk with progression during follow-up or with extension to the superior mesenteric vein.

Once anticoagulation is started, it should be maintained until portal vein recanalization and for a minimum of 6 mo; longer anticoagulation therapy should always be considered in patients awaiting liver transplantation, even after complete portal vein recanalization.(35)

Early initiation of anticoagulation seems to be related to a higher recanalization rate. (50,51)

Different classifications, indications and duration of treatment, and anticoagulation of choice according to the main clinical practice guidelines (35,30,52,38) are resumed in Table 2; a deep analysis of the differences among guidelines is not the aim of this paper, so it will not be discussed further.

The assessment of the bleeding risk in cirrhotic patients is mandatory but it is always challenging. Profound alteration in coagulation pathways, related to a reduced synthesis of prothrombotic and antithrombotic clotting factors, as well as thrombocytopenia, related to hypersplenism and decreased hepatic thrombopoietin synthesis, define a

hemostatic imbalance and, consequently, the management of anticoagulation therapy in cirrhotic patient could be very difficult in clinical practice. (53–55)

However, anticoagulation therapy in cirrhotic patients seems to be quite safe, as demonstrated in a meta-analysis of *Loffredo et al.* reporting no difference in major and minor bleeding rates between patients with or without anticoagulation therapy for PVT. (56) Moreover, a recent competing-risk meta-analysis showed that anticoagulation in patients with cirrhosis and PVT reduces all-cause mortality independently of portal recanalization. (57)

The presence of hepatocellular carcinoma does not contraindicate anticoagulation for non-malignant PVT; safety and efficacy of anticoagulation seem to be similar to patients without hepatocellular carcinoma. (58,59)

The choice of the best anticoagulation is still debated, and guidelines do not give strong recommendations on this topic. LMWH is the best-known treatment option, largely used and with the most solid data in the literature; for these reasons consensus panels suggest at least to start anticoagulation with this drug class. (35) Fondaparinux may be another option, although there are no significant data in the literature, especially on safety. (60,61) VKA are potentially usable, (62) but physicians have to be aware that INR accuracy for treatment monitoring is significantly lower in patients with liver dysfunction. (63)

Over the last few years, the clinical experience in using DOACs in patients with liver cirrhosis has been growing. (64)

Despite cirrhotic patients have been excluded from phase III trials of DOACs for atrial fibrillation (65–68) and venous thromboembolism, (69–72) several studies on their use in this cohort of patients have been published, demonstrating DOACs safety in patients with compensated liver disease (Child-Pugh A). (73–77) DOACs should be used with caution in Child-Pugh B patients (78,79) and they are contraindicated in Child-Pugh C patients. (80,81)

Moreover, further pharmacokinetics considerations should be considered in DOACs prescription in patients with underlying liver disease, such as altered plasma protein binding, cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism and biliary excretion. (53)

Another issue is the possible hepatotoxicity of DOACs. All four available DOACs can induce hepatotoxicity with an idiosyncratic mechanism; rivaroxaban seems to have a minimally higher risk of liver injury compared to other three molecules. (82) However, recent studies have definitively shown that liver injury is a very rare adverse event and, more importantly, this rate is significantly lower than with warfarin. (83–85)

Recently, several studies have been published investigating the efficacy and safety of DOAC in patients with liver cirrhosis and PVT (Table 3); In 2019, *Hanafy et al* published a randomized controlled trial on rivaroxaban 10 mg bid *vs* warfarin, but it has been recently retracted for methodological issues, (86) therefore it will not be considered in our review.

First data were obtained by *Hum et al*. in a single-centre retrospective cohort study of cirrhotic patients treated with anticoagulants for any indications. In the small subgroup of patients with PVT (7 patients), 4 received DOACs (rivaroxaban or apixaban) and 3 received LMWH or VKA. Of particular note, the total number of bleeding events was similar in both groups even if results are given for the entire population of study. (87)

As already mentioned above, *Scheiner et al*. investigated a cohort of both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients presenting with non-neoplastic PVT. Out of the 10 patients receiving DOACs, only 30% presented concomitant liver disease. (41)For more details about this study, refer to the previous paragraph on non-cirrhotic PVT.

De Gottardi et al. retrospectively analyzed data from 17 European centers on cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients all treated with DOACs (either rivaroxaban, apixaban, or dabigatran at different doses) for any indication, mainly PVT. Patients were either initially prescribed with DOACs or switched to DOACs after traditional anticoagulants. The main reasons for switching were the development of recurrent thrombosis, clinically relevant side effects, and INR instability or unreliability for monitoring cirrhotic patients. Among the entire population of 94 patients, there were 22 and 38 patients with cirrhotic

and non-cirrhotic PVT, respectively. The median follow-up time was 9.6 mo. In the group of non-cirrhotic patients, bleeding event rate was 15.5% *vs* 13.9% in the cirrhotic group, suggesting that the safety of DOACs is comparable between two groups. Despite the majority of the patients presented a PVT, the results presented by the authors are referred to the entire population and actual conclusions on PVT patients alone cannot be extrapolated. (88)

Another study examining DOACs safety in cirrhosis, but this time in comparison with conventional anticoagulants, was conducted by *Intagliata et al*. After collecting data from a research database, a cohort of 39 cirrhotic patients treated with anticoagulants for various indications was identified. Since no patients with decompensated liver disease (Child-Pugh C) were treated with DOACs, only patients with Child-Pugh A or B cirrhosis were included. In the group treated with DOACs (apixaban or rivaroxaban, either in therapeutic or prophylactic doses) 20 patients were included, and the most common indication for treatment was PVT (60%). In contrast, most patients treated with VKA or LMWH presented non-splanchnic venous thromboembolism (63%). No statistically significant difference in bleeding rates was observed between the two groups. (89)

Also *Davis et al*. investigated the safety of cirrhotic patients treated with DOACs or VKA for any indication. Since only 3 patients received DOAC for PVT, this study was not included in our review. (90)

Nagaoki et al. conducted a retrospective cohort study to evaluate the efficacy of edoxaban as maintenance therapy in 50 cirrhotic patients with PVT. Child–Pugh classification was grade A in 29 patients, B in 16, and C in 5. All patients were initially treated with danaparoid sodium for two weeks and then switched to either warfarin or edoxaban 60 or 30 mg OD, depending on renal function (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min), body weight (<60 kg) and concomitant treatment with a strong P-glycoprotein inhibitor. Among study population, 17 patients had concomitant hepatocellular carcinoma, but all were diagnosed with non-neoplastic PVT. All patients were screened with endoscopy before the initiation of anticoagulation. In case of high risk esophageal and/or gastric varices, endoscopic prophylactic treatment was systematically performed. Median time

from PVT to treatment was similar between edoxaban and VKA group (4.2 *vs* 4.3 mo, respectively). Complete recanalization, assessed by CT scan at 6 mo, was observed in 14 of 20 patients (70%) in the edoxaban group and in 6 of 30 patients (20%) in the warfarin group. However, given the potential risk of bleeding, a target INR of 1.5–2.0 was chosen for patients undergoing warfarin treatment. This underdosing in VKA therapy, may explain the low efficacy rate in this cohort. Additionally, safety was considered comparable between edoxaban and warfarin groups with 3 and 2 gastrointestinal bleedings, respectively. (91)

In a prospective cohort study performed by *Ai et al.* 80 patients with cirrhosis and chronic PVT were examined. Patients with history of recent bleeding (<3 mo), high risk esophageal varices, systemic malignancies, severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min), concomitant antiplatelet therapy and low platelet count (<50 × 10^9/L) were excluded. Of the 40 patients treated with DOACs, 26 Child-Pugh A patients were treated with rivaroxaban 20 mg OD and 14 Child-Pugh grade B or C patients with dabigatran 150 mg BID. The other 40 patients received no anticoagulation. Recanalization rates and improvements in portal vein flow velocity were analyzed at 3 and 6 mo. The recanalization rate was higher in the DOAC group than in the control group, especially after 6 mo of treatment (12.8% at 3 mo *vs* 28.2% at 6 mo), whereas the bleeding rate was similar between the 2 groups. Of note, authors considered PVT as chronic if lasting more than one month, commensurate to definition of chronic deep vein thrombosis. Overall recanalization rates were low compared to previous studies; authors suggested that the delayed initiation of anticoagulation therapy might be associated with a worse outcome. (92)

Finally, *Lv et al.* designed a prospective observational study investigating the role of both anticoagulation and transjugular intrahepatic porto-systemic shunt (TIPS) in 396 cirrhotic patients with non-malignant PVT either acute or chronic, confirmed with CT scan. Patients with intra or extrahepatic malignancy at baseline, presence of previous TIPS, isolated mesenteric or splenic vein thrombosis, and liver transplantation recipients were excluded. Forty-eight patients received no treatment, 63 patients were treated with

anticoagulants only, 88 patients received TIPS only, and 197 started anticoagulation after TIPS insertion. When patients received anticoagulation, they were treated with either VKA, LMWH, or rivaroxaban 10 mg OD, and anticoagulation treatment was extended for 12 mo after complete recanalization was achieved. A combined strategy with TIPS and subsequent anticoagulation showed the highest complete recanalization rate (188/197 patients); long-term anticoagulation with LMWH or rivaroxaban resulted in minor incidence of re-thrombosis and longer survival compared with VKA. (93)

Overall, the proposed studies show that DOACs are at least non-inferior to conventional anticoagulants in cirrhotic non-malignant PVT, both in terms of efficacy and safety, but several limitations pose some issues regarding the results obtained.

First, most studies were conducted retrospectively with a limited number of patients and very heterogeneous cohorts.

Second, PVT classification, definition of bleeding events, drug dosage, and treatment duration vary widely among studies, making it difficult to compare results and to identify a standardized treatment algorithm.

Nonetheless, DOACs may represent a viable alternative to conventional anticoagulants in cirrhotic PVT, but further evidence and RCTs are needed.

BUDD-CHIARI SYNDROME

Causes of primary BCS are essentially the same of non-cirrhotic PVT. (16) Compared with PVT, there is a greater prevalence of association with myeloproliferative neoplasm (30-57% of cases). (17,94) Some acquired thrombophilic conditions, such as paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and Behçet's disease have also a higher causative link in BCS compared with PVT (12% *vs* <1%, respectively). (95–97) To the contrary, BCS caused by local factors is rare, with the only exception of hepatic hydatid cysts in countries where *Echinococcus granulosus* is endemic. (98)

As for PVT, more than one risk factor could be found in 26-46% of patients and no causative factors are identified in 10-29% of patients. (16,99)

Prompt identification and treatment of an underlying disease is mandatory for the management of BCS patients since both are positively related with outcome. (96,100) Anticoagulation is the cornerstone of BCS treatment and it should be initiated at diagnosis; long-term anticoagulation is generally recommended even in the absence of an identified prothrombotic disorder. (35) LMWH is currently the drug of choice, based on several previous studies reporting a higher rate of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in BCS patients treated with UFH. (101,102) When a stability of the disease is achieved, a switch to VKA is usually the preferred choice in clinical practice.

The role of DOACs in BCS patients has been poorly investigated compared to PVT patients.

First data came from the aforementioned retrospective study of *De Gottardi et al.* about the use of DOACs in both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients with SVT. In the study population (94 patients) there were 9 patients with BCS treated with DOACs (dabigatran, rivaroxaban or apixaban), but as results are presented for the entire population, ~~so~~ it is not possible to extrapolate conclusions about efficacy and safety in this cohort of patients. (88)

A recent multicentric Austrian study aimed to analyze the outcome of 22 patients treated with DOACs (all four drugs were prescribed, but almost a half of patients received edoxaban) *vs* 19 patients treated with only traditional anticoagulation (i.e. LMWH/VKA). Authors reported better efficacy results in the DOAC cohort (64% of complete recanalization rate and 92% of overall transplant-free survival at 5 years) and a comparable risk of major spontaneous and major procedure-related bleedings. Even though the results presented are interesting, there are some general considerations about the heterogeneity of the study population to be highlighted. (103)

Firstly, in the DOAC cohort 16 patients (72.7%%) were already anticoagulated with traditional drugs; among these, 8 patients (50%) had already achieved a complete response at the time of switching to DOAC.

Secondly, among the 16 patients receiving DOACs it is not known the time from LMWH/VKA start to the switch to DOACs, so it is difficult to evaluate the actual efficacy or failure of DOACs in patients previously treated with traditional anticoagulation.

Lastly, the rate of objective response to the first-line anticoagulation therapy (6 patients with DOACs *vs* 37 patients with LMWH/VKA) was comparable (66.6% *vs* 67.5%, respectively). (103)

Another retrospective monocentric study, made by *Sharma et al.*, has investigated the role of dabigatran (36 patients) following endovascular intervention for BCS compared to VKA (62 patients). Authors concluded that stent patency rate, mortality and bleeding complication rate were comparable between dabigatran and VKA groups at 6 and 12 mo. (104)

Although results from the literature are limited, DOACs seem effective and safe in patients with BCS and international guidelines have consequently added these drugs as an option of treatment, but prospective studies are needed.

CONCLUSION

In the last few years, several studies have shown promising results in the use of DOACs for the treatment of SVT in term of efficacy and, above all, safety. Unfortunately, the majority of studies are retrospective, with small sample size and with extremely heterogeneous examined populations, not allowing to give strong recommendations about the use of DOACs in this setting. Moreover, there is no conformity among studies in dosage schedule, time of initiation and duration of treatment and bleeding event definition. In some cases, it is even not specified the DOAC used.

On the other hand, international guidelines have added this new class of drugs as an option of treatment, recognizing their potential role both in cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients with SVT. Although in some countries there are strict limitations in prescription, more and more physicians prescribe DOACs for SVT in their clinical practice worldwide.

Further studies and clinical trials are needed in order to increase the level of evidence in this field, but current knowledge on DOAC use is already changing the therapeutic scenario of SVT.

86612.docx

4%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1. Laure Elkrief, Audrey Payancé, Aurélie Plessier, Louis d'Alteroche et al. "Management of splanchnic vein thrombosis", JHEP Reports, 2023
   Crossref
   46 words — 1%

2. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
   Internet
   28 words — 1%

3. Neil Mehta. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma—How to Determine Therapeutic Options", Hepatology Communications, 2020
   Crossref
   17 words — < 1%

4. Yuko Nagaoki, Hiroshi Aikata, Kana Daijyo, Yuji Teraoka et al. "Efficacy and safety of edoxaban for treatment of portal vein thrombosis following danaparoid sodium in patients with liver cirrhosis", Hepatology Research, 2018
   Crossref
   16 words — < 1%

5. www.medicalnewstoday.com
   Internet
   16 words — < 1%

6. Marco Biolato, Mattia Paratore, Luca Di Gialleonardo, Giuseppe Marrone, Antonio Grieco. "Direct oral anticoagulant administration in cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis: What is the evidence?", World Journal of Hepatology, 2022
   Crossref
   15 words — < 1%

7   Yi-Long Wu, Masahiro Tsuboi, Jie He, Thomas John et al. " Osimertinib in Resected -Mutated Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer ", New England Journal of Medicine, 2020
Crossref

15 words — < 1%

8   Walter Ageno, Jan Beyer Westendorf, Laura Contino, Eugenio Bucherini et al. "Rivaroxaban for the treatment of noncirrhotic splanchnic vein thrombosis: an interventional prospective cohort study", Blood Advances, 2022
Crossref

14 words — < 1%

9   Laura Turco, Emmanuelle de Raucourt, Dominique-Charles Valla, Erica Villa. "Anticoagulation in the cirrhotic patient", JHEP Reports, 2019
Crossref

12 words — < 1%

10   Portal Hypertension VI, 2016.
Crossref

12 words — < 1%