

90472_Auto_EditedC.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 90472

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

PRaG 3.0¹ therapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: A case report

Kong YH *et al.* PRaG 3.0 therapy for HER2-positive metastatic PDAC

Yue-Hong Kong, Mei-Ling Xu, Jun-Jun Zhang, Guang-Qiang Chen, Zhi-Hui Hong, Hong Zhang, Xiao-Xiao Dai, Yi-Fu Ma, Xiang-Rong Zhao, Chen-Yang Zhang, Rong-Zheng Chen, Peng-Fei Xing, Li-Yuan Zhang

12
Abstract

BACKGROUND

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly fatal disease with limited effective treatment especially after first line chemotherapy. The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) immunohistochemistry (IHC) positive is associated with more aggressive clinical behavior and shorter overall survival in PDAC.

CASE SUMMARY

We present a case of multiple metastatic PDAC with IHC mismatch repair proficient but HER-2 IHC weakly positive at diagnosis that didn't have tumor regression after first-line nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine and PD-1 inhibitor treatment. A novel combination therapy PRaG 3.0 of RC48 (HER2-antibody-drug conjugate), radiotherapy, PD-1² inhibitor, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-2 was then applied as second-line therapy and the patient had confirmed good partial response with progress-free-survival of 6.5 months and overall survival of 14.2 month. She had not developed any grade 2 or above treatment-related adverse events at any point.

Percentage of peripheral CD8⁺Temra and CD4⁺Temra were increased during first two activation cycles of PRaG 3.0 treatment containing radiotherapy but decreased to the baseline during the maintenance cycles containing no radiotherapy.

CONCLUSION

PRaG 3.0 might be a novel strategy for HER2-positive metastatic PDAC patients who failed from previous first-line approach and even PD-1 immunotherapy but needs more data in prospective trials.

Key Words: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; PRaG 3.0 therapy; Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; Novel combination therapy; Case report

Kong YH, Xu ML, Zhang JJ, Chen GQ, Hong ZH, Zhang H, Dai XX, Ma YF, Zhao XR, Zhang CY, Chen RZ, Xing PF, Zhang LY. PRaG 3.0 therapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: A case report. *World J Gastroenterol* 2024; In press

Core Tip: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Herein, we present a case of multiple metastatic PDAC with immunohistochemistry (IHC) mismatch repair proficient but human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) IHC weakly positive at diagnosis that didn't have tumor regression after first-line nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine and PD-1 inhibitor treatment. A novel combination therapy PRaG 3.0 of RC48 (HER2-antibody-drug conjugate), radiotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interleukin-2 was then applied as second-line therapy and the patient had confirmed good partial response with progress-free-survival of 6.5 months. We proposed that PRaG 3.0 might be a good therapeutic strategy for HER2-positive metastatic PDAC patients who failed from previous first-line approach and even PD-1 immunotherapy.

4

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) accounts for more than 90% of pancreatic tumors. Due to it is typically diagnosed at an advanced stage and the tumor metastasis has been happened, the prognosis of PDAC is very poor with average survival time less than one year^[1]. Modified FOLFIRINOX (fluorouracil, folinic acid, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin) or gemcitabine and albumin-bound paclitaxel (AG) was recommended as first-line therapy for metastatic PDAC. However, PDAC demonstrates significant resistance to these chemotherapies. Besides, the effectiveness of novel immunotherapies has been limited to certain tumor types classified as highly "immunogenic," such as lung cancer and melanoma; while PDAC with a unique immunosuppressive microenvironment and a low tumor mutational burden^[2], has typically resisted to immunotherapies, as demonstrated in majority of phase I and II clinical trials.

The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) protein regulates cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation and angiogenesis. HER-2 overexpression is linked to tumorigenesis, more aggressive clinical behavior, and shorter overall survival (OS) in a variety of human malignancies. About 7% to 58% of pancreatic tumors exhibit an overexpression of the *HER-2* gene^[3]. Although HER-2 targeting therapy showed efficacy in diverse malignancies, including breast, gastric, and lung cancers, the clinical trials of targeted HER-2 therapy, including trastuzumab, did not improve OS nor progress-free-survival (PFS) in metastatic PDAC^[4]. New combination treatment approaches for HER2-positive metastatic PDAC are thus needed.

Here, we present a case of liver multiple metastatic PDAC patient with immunohistochemistry (IHC) microsatellite stability (MSS) and HER-2 positive. She failed from first-line AG chemotherapy and PD-1 treatment, but received a notable response after changing to a novel combination treatment of HER-2 antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), PD-1 inhibitor, hypofractionated radiotherapy, sequential granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and interleukin-2 (IL-2), which was named as PRaG 3.0 therapy.

6

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 53-year-old Chinese woman presented with abdominal pain and diagnosed with advanced pancreatic cancer.

3

History of present illness

The patient was diagnosed with metastatic pancreatic cancer in October 2021. She was initially treated with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine and tislelizumab. She received two cycles of therapy, and enhanced computed tomography (CT) was evaluated to find no decrease in both pancreatic tumor and hepatic metastases, leading to overall response as stable disease. Allergic reaction occurred with severe rash and itching in the second cycle of therapy, which was considered to be related to chemotherapy.

6

History of past illness

The patient had a history of pancreatitis in 2020, no history of hypertension, diabetes, or heart disease.

3

Personal and family history

No personal and family history of tumors.

Physical examination

Slight tenderness in the left abdomen.

Laboratory examinations

Liver mass puncture pathology showed pancreaticobiliary tumor, with IHC: HER-2(+), Hepar1(-), GPC3(-), CD34(+), CK19(+), CK(+), CK8/18(+), EGFR(1+), Ki67(+20%), MLH1(+), MSH2(+), PMS2(+), MSH6(+) (Figure 1A).

Imaging examinations

Mass shadow in the pancreatic tail with splenic artery invasion was shown in computed tomography (CT) scan. Multiple liver abnormal signal focus and multiple enlarged retroperitoneal lymph nodes were observed, which were considered as metastases.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Based on the above clinical history and findings, the patient was diagnosed with HER-2 positive metastatic PDAC.

TREATMENT

The patient came to started PRAG 3.0 therapy (NCT05115500) from 12-17-2021. Disitamab vedotin (RC48, a HER2-ADC) 110 mg (2 mg/kg) was intravenously administered on day 1, and then stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) (8 Gy × 2 fractions) was delivered to the pancreatic lesion on day 3 and 4. GM-CSF (molgramostim) 200 µg was injected subcutaneously daily for five days concurrently with SBRT (day 3-7), and then recombinant human IL-2 200 million IU was injected subcutaneously daily for five days sequential after GM-CSF (day 8-12). Anti-PD-1 antibody penpulimab was intravenously administered within one week after completion of SBRT. The PRAG 3.0 therapy was repeated every 21 d for a cycle (the protocol of PRAG 3.0 is shown in Figure 1B). After two activation cycles, she received maintenance cycle. In each maintenance cycle, RC48 (2 mg/kg) was intravenously administered on day 1, GM-CSF (molgramostim) 200 µg was injected subcutaneously daily for five days (day 3-7) and then recombinant human IL-2 200 million IU was injected subcutaneously daily for five days sequential after GM-CSF (day 8-12). Anti-PD-1 antibody penpulimab was intravenously administered every 21 d for a cycle.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

After two activation cycles of PRaG 3.0, the unirradiated liver metastatic lesions had a radiographic response of partial response (PR), and fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) metabolism was significantly lower than before. The unirradiated paraaortic lymph

nodes had no significant changes in size but decreased in FDG metabolism. The irradiated primary tumor reduced in size markedly and decreased in FDG metabolism (Figure 1E). Serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (Ca 19-9) decreased from 1617 to 176 U/mL, Ca 50 decreased from 1210 to 116 U/mL, Ca 242 decreased from 353.5 to 33.4 U/mL (Figure 1C). After four cycles, the radiographic evaluation confirmed good PR with further shrinkage of liver lesions. The paraaortic lymph nodes had no significant changes in size but increased in FDG metabolism (Figure 1E). After seven cycles, the paraaortic lymph nodes were slightly larger and higher in standard uptake value (SUV) metabolism. After eight cycles, new lesions of paraaortic lymph nodes were found with high SUV metabolism (Figure 1E) and the patient was evaluated progressive disease (PD) with PFS of 6.5 months and overall survival (OS) of 14.2 months. The serum Ca 19-9, Ca 50 and Ca 242 levels rebounded suddenly after the fifth cycle, and then decreased after six and seven cycles (Figure 1C). The patient got grade 1 fatigue, alopecia, and transient hyperthyroidism, which was self-recovered without medication. There were no grade 2 or above treatment-related adverse events at any point during PRaG 3.0 treatment.

DISCUSSION

PDAC is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. AG and FOLFIRINOX have been established as standard first-line treatment in metastatic PDAC. First-line AG chemotherapy was reported to lead to a more prolonged OS than single-agent gemcitabine (median OS, 8.5 months vs 6.7 months; $P < 0.001$) in metastatic PDAC in the phase III MPACT trial^[5]. Our case failed from first-line AG chemotherapy with grade 3 toxicity. She also received PD-1 treatment meanwhile with no satisfactory response. Indeed, MSS PDAC has little response to single-agent anti-PD-1 therapy, while the results of the combination of anti-PD-1 with anti-CTLA-4 were also disappointing. In a phase II trial, durvalumab (a PD-L1 inhibitor) alone or in combination with tremilimumab (a CTLA-4 inhibitor) was given to 65 patients with refractory metastatic PDAC^[6]. The results showed that the median OS was 3.6 months vs 3.1 months. It is considered that PDAC's immune-quiescent and -suppressive tumor microenvironment

is responsible for its resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Therapies that can convert its immunological “cold” to “hot” status may be effective for improving treatments outcomes.

PRaG therapy is an innovative oncology treatment modality that was first proposed in 2019, which consists of a combination of PD-1 inhibitor (P), radiotherapy (Ra), and GM-CSF (G), ¹⁸ for the treatment of chemo-refractory patients with metastatic solid tumors. In our previous study, PRaG therapy showed continuously synergistic anti-tumor immune effect^[7]. Thus, for HER-2 IHC positive metastatic solid tumor patients, we proposed PRaG 3.0 therapy (NCT05115500) this time.

Reprogramming the tumor microenvironment, radiotherapy may enhance the anti-PD-1 effects by inducing both anti-tumor immune and immunosuppressive cells. For example, SBRT treatment can increase the percentage of PD-1⁺T effectors in PDAC tumors, however, the amount and function of these effectors are likely constrained by overwhelming myeloid suppressor burden^[8], suggesting that more comprehensive therapies are necessary for best benefit. In PRaG therapy, GM-CSF is important for the maturation of dendritic cells and enhancing tumor antigens presentation to the immune system. Additionally, GM-CSF increases IL-2-activated killer activity and antibody-dependent cytotoxicity^[9].

In PRaG 3.0, we added HER2 ADC (RC48) and IL-2 to the original PRaG regimen. RC48 (Disitamab vedotin) is a novel ADC with a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting HER-2 conjugated to a small molecule toxin monomethyl auristatin E, a synthetic antineoplastic agent. RC48 has revealed a promising efficacy with acceptable safety ¹ in patients with HER-2 positive advanced tumors^[10]. IL-² can further activate natural killer cells to kill PDAC cells. The five components in PRaG 3.0 cooperate with each other to form a multi-target therapeutic system. The combination of RC48 and PD-1/PD-L1 demonstrated synergistic efficacy and exerted long-lasting anti-tumor immunity in pre-clinical study^[11]. SBRT can release tumor antigens and convert tumors into an in-situ vaccine, synergizing with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. GM-CSF and IL-2 are immunomodulatory cytokines, promoting antigen-presenting cell activities and

amplifying T cell immune response. In our case, the patient failed from previous first-line AG and PD-1 treatment. The HER-2 was weakly expressed in tumor tissues of this patient, which indicated that she may benefit from combination therapy. Actually, she received indeed an exerted favorable response from the novel combination therapy with mild adverse reactions. It indicates that PRaG 3.0 therapy had altered the patient's responsiveness to PD-1.

⁷ The levels of cytokines like IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17A, tumor necrosis factor and interferon- γ in peripheral blood were evaluated but no changes related to treatment efficacy were observed (Figure 1D). The percentage of peripheral effector memory CD3⁺CD197⁺CD45RA⁺CD8⁺T cells (Temra) (Figure 2A) and CD4⁺Temra in peripheral blood were increased after first two treatment cycles which contain radiotherapy but deceased to the baseline during the maintenance cycles without radiotherapy. The activated human leukocyte antigens (HLA)-DR⁺CD38⁺CD4⁺Temra, activated HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺CD8⁺Temra, the CD3⁺CD8⁺CD45RA⁺CD197⁺HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺ activated memory effector T cells (Tem) and activated CD3⁺CD4⁺CD45RA⁺CD197⁺HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺ Tem increased after the first treatment cycle but fell back afterwards. T cells cloned rapidly when activated and differentiated toward the shortly-lived effector cells or the memory progenitor cells (MPECs). MPECs then differentiate toward the central memory T cells and Tem, for a long-lasting immune response. Some subsets express CD45RA and become effector memory CD45RA re-expressing T cells (Temra), which are terminally differentiated. With high cytotoxicity and low proliferation, Temra's function is still controversial^[12]. Tregs' percentage decreased significantly after treatment, which may predict a good treatment effect. The changing of peripheral blood of T cell subsets was displayed in Figure 2B and C, which were more easily obtained than tissue samples but it was challenging for analysis due to their complexities. The exact relationship between the lymphocyte subsets and treatment response and their specific mechanism needs further investigation.

CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, it was the first time to combine RC48 with radiotherapy and anti-PD-1 therapy, which showed a significant reduction in irradiated and unirradiated lesions with PFS for 6.5 months after PRAG 3.0 therapy on a HER-2 positive metastatic PDAC patient who failed from previous first-line AG and PD-1 treatment. The efficacy and safety of this treatment pattern and its potential effects on peripheral lymphocyte subsets need further analyzed and confirmed in the open-label prospective study (NCT05115500).

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.science.gov Internet	52 words — 2%
2	www.frontiersin.org Internet	49 words — 2%
3	rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net Internet	32 words — 1%
4	www.mdpi.com Internet	32 words — 1%
5	Changqing Xie, Austin G. Duffy, Gagandeep Brar, Suzanne Fioravanti et al. "Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Combination with Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy in Patients with Metastatic Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma", Clinical Cancer Research, 2020 Crossref	27 words — 1%
6	www.wjgnet.com Internet	20 words — 1%
7	referencecitationanalysis.com Internet	18 words — 1%
8	old.oalib.com Internet	13 words — 1%

9	"Abstracts", Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 2009 Crossref	12 words — < 1%
10	Kaushik, S.. "Correlation of T-lymphocyte subpopulations with immunological markers in HIV-1-infected Indian patients", Clinical Immunology, 200606 Crossref	12 words — < 1%
11	Sung-Woo Lee, He Yun Choi, Gil-Woo Lee, Therasa Kim, Hyun-Ju Cho, In-Jae Oh, Sang Yun Song, Deok Hwan Yang, Jae-Ho Cho. " CD8 TILs in NSCLC differentiate into TEMRA via a bifurcated trajectory: deciphering immunogenicity of tumor antigens ", Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer, 2021 Crossref	11 words — < 1%
12	www.bioseek.eu Internet	10 words — < 1%
13	docslib.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
14	worldwidescience.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
15	www.nature.com Internet	9 words — < 1%
16	www.omicsonline.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
17	Rolf Hultcrantz, Rolf Olsson, Åke Danielsson, Gunnar Järnerot, Lars Löf, Bengt Olof Ryden, Brita Wahren, Ulrika Broomé. "A 3-year prospective study on serum tumor markers used for detecting cholangiocarcinoma	8 words — < 1%

in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis", Journal of Hepatology, 1999

Crossref

18

assets.researchsquare.com

Internet

8 words — < 1%

19

A. Oras, A. Peet, T. Giese, V. Tillmann, R. Uibo. "A study of 51 subtypes of peripheral blood immune cells in newly diagnosed young type 1 diabetes patients", Clinical & Experimental Immunology, 2019

Crossref

6 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES

OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY

OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES

OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES

OFF