

80701_Auto_Edited-check.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80701

Manuscript Type: OPINION REVIEW

Hereditary polyposis syndromes remain a challenging entity of disease - old dilemmas and new insights

Pachler FR *et al.* Hereditary polyposis remain a challenge

Abstract

In this editorial we present an overview and insights in the management of hereditary polyposis syndromes. The primary focus is on familial adenomatous polyposis, juvenile polyposis syndrome and Peutz-Jegher syndrome. Genetic testing has become increasingly available, and is easier than ever to integrate in clinical practice. Furthermore, several genes have been added to the expanding list of genes associated with hereditary polyposis syndromes, allowing for precise diagnostics and tailored follow up. Endoscopic evaluation of patients with hereditary polyposis syndromes is paramount in the surveillance strategies. Current endoscopic procedures include both diagnostic procedures, surveillance, as well as therapeutic interventions. Recommendations for endoscopic procedures in the upper and lower gastrointestinal (GI) canal are described. Surgery is still a key component in the management of patients with hereditary polyposis syndromes. The increased cancer risk in these patients often render prophylactic procedures or intended curative procedures in the case of cancer development. Surgical interventions in the upper and lower GI canal are described with relevant considerations. Development of chemopreventive medications is ongoing. Few drugs have been investigated, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug's. It has been demonstrated that Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors may lower the number of polyps. Other medications are currently under investigation, but none has, to date, consistently been able to prevent development of disease.

Key Words: Hereditary polyposis; Familial adenomatous polyposis; Juvenile polyposis syndrome; Peutz-Jegher syndrome

Pachler FR, Byrjalsen A, Karstensen JG, Jelsig AM. Hereditary polyposis syndromes remain a challenging entity of disease - old dilemmas and new insights. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2022; In press

Core Tip: Genetic technologies and testing have evolved immensely over the past decades allowing for tailored surveillance of patients with hereditary polyposis syndromes. These include endoscopic follow up and surgery when endoscopic management is no longer possible. Chemopreventive drugs may serve as a cornerstone in future management, but it has yet to show consistent prevention of disease progression.

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that gastrointestinal (GI) polyps develop in 40%-50% of the population and risk factors include increasing age, male sex, smoking, and meat consumption^[1-4]. The management of one or a few polyps is in many cases straight forward; the polyp is removed, and the histopathology, localization, number, and size guide the need for endoscopic follow-up. But, when multiple or rare types of polyps are detected; or when a polyp is detected in young patients, the clinical work-up is less straightforward. In those cases, it may be relevant to consider whether the patient has a hereditary polyposis syndrome. It is important to distinguish polyposis syndromes from spontaneous polyps, as individuals with polyposis syndromes often have a considerable risk of GI cancer. Further, these patients may also have an increased risk of extraintestinal cancer and sometimes other manifestations that may contribute to increased morbidity and mortality^[5-7]. Furthermore, first degree relatives may be at risk, as many syndromes are inherited through an autosomal dominant or recessive inheritance pattern.

Clear recommendations on when to suspect a polyposis syndrome, are difficult to decide upon. The syndromes present with considerable intra- and interfamilial variability, and many patients only have a few polyps and a negative family history. In this paper ² familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS) and Peutz-Jegher syndrome (PJS) will be described, as these are the most common.

GENETIC REVOLUTION

Some of the polyposis syndromes, have been known for over a century as clinical and hereditary entities (Figure 1). Yet, knowledge of the underlying genetic cause of the syndromes increased when it became possible to investigate variants in the human genome. The first generation of sequencing methods, Sanger sequencing, was developed and commercialized in the 1970ies and 1980ies. This technique represented a revolution in genetic technology and made it possible to integrate genetic testing in clinical diagnostics^[8,9]. Some genes associated with polyposis were discovered in the 1990's and early 2000's, including Adenomatous Polyposis Coli (APC) associated with *FAP*, *mutY* homologue, *STK11*, *type IA bone morphogenetic protein receptor (BMPR1A)* and *axis inhibition protein 2* (Figure 1). However, Sanger sequencing is time-consuming and expensive, and it was not until the second (next) generation sequencing methods was developed, that further polyposis genes were detected. Next Generation Sequencing is a form of parallel sequencing, that was integrated in clinical practice around 2010. It facilitated fast and cheap sequencing of several genes simultaneously. Thus, genes such as *polymerase-epsilon (POLE)*, *polymerase delta 1 (POLD1)*, and *NTHL1* have been added as causative of hereditary polyposis^[10,11] (Figure 1).

EXPANDING THE PHENOTYPE

The increased knowledge of genetic causes has revealed that several polyposis syndromes have a phenotypic overlap and that they - at least in the GI-tract - mimic each other. Thus, patients with adenomatous polyposis, does not always have FAP, but may have other rarer syndromes including the polymerase proofreading-associated syndromes where pathogenic variants are detected in the exonuclease domain of *POLE* and *POLD1* or *NTHL1*-related polyposis^[12,13]. Concerning hamartomatous polyposis syndrome, especially JPS is sometimes misdiagnosed because juvenile polyps are mistaken for inflammatory polyps^[14]. Furthermore, a mixture of polyps with different histopathology sometimes blurs the clinical picture *e.g.*, in PTEN-hamartoma tumor syndrome (Cowden syndrome, Figure 2D) where adenomas, inflammatory,

hyperplastic and juvenile polyps can be present^[15]. Purely based on clinical manifestations it is often impossible to tell one polyposis syndrome from the other.

It is important to know the genetic subtype, as the risk profile of the patient is different from syndrome to syndrome. The risk of extraintestinal cancer differs with the subtype *e.g.*, a patient with *NTHL1*-related polyposis has, in addition to the risk of colorectal cancer, also an increased risk of breast and uterine cancer (Table 1). Accordingly, the surveillance strategies should be tailored. Genetic analysis should be integrated in the diagnostic work-up and should comprise a gene panel with polyposis-associated genes as seen in Table 1. Genetic analysis should be carefully interpreted and if a pathogenic variant is detected, genetic counseling is recommended.

Some efforts have been made to clarify a possible genotype-phenotype correlation especially in the most well-known syndromes like FAP and PJS^[16,17]. However, these studies are limited by the small number of patients. Furthermore, the underlying mechanisms which drives cancer development in several of the polyposis syndrome are largely unknown, especially in the hamartomatous polyposis syndromes. A hamartoma-adenoma-carcinoma sequence has been proposed but has yet to be confirmed^[18]. It is believed that cancer development in FAP follows the adenoma-carcinoma sequence caused by dysregulation of the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway^[19]. However, more knowledge on the detail of the pathophysiology is necessary to understand the background for polyposis and (extra)intestinal cancer.

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF HEREDITARY POLYPOSIS

When a patient is diagnosed, or suspected, of having a hereditary polyposis syndrome, the primary management include an endoscopic baseline examination with histopathological evaluation of polyps, as well as a physical examination with focus on extraintestinal manifestations as seen in the polyposis syndrome^[20] (Table 1). There is a phenotypic overlap, as polyposis for most of the syndromes - PJS excluded - primarily is located in the large intestine. *SMAD4*-related JPS and FAP often also have polyposis in the upper GI-tract (gastric and duodenal polyps, respectively). Common for all

syndromes is, that when endoscopic management is no longer sufficient, surgical resection is the treatment of choice. Several guidelines have been published on recommended surveillance, however, the evidence level is often low due to limited number of patients and lack of long-term follow-up studies evaluating different surveillance protocols^[7,20-24].

LOWER GI ENDOSCOPY AND SURGERY

Virtually all patients with polyposis syndromes undergo colonoscopic surveillance. The appropriate intervals and at what age to initiate surveillance are debated. It is widely recommended that individuals with FAP are examined annually or biannually from early teenage years^[20,24]. Development of cancer in patients with FAP is extremely rare before the age of 15^[20,24-26], and it could be argued that endoscopic examinations should not start earlier than this.

During colonoscopic investigation, the use of chromoendoscopy, either direct or virtual with narrow band imaging, is often used. Narrow band imaging has not been shown to increase detection of neoplastic polyps, but is a helpful tool in skilled hands^[27]. In patients with FAP a prophylactic colectomy is often indicated as most FAP patients will develop adenomatous lesions (Figure 2A) and endoscopic surveillance is insufficient^[20,24,26]. However, it is now clear, that patients who have a pathogenic variant in APC, have a very variable phenotype; some may develop polyps at a later age and thus family history should always be considered when recommending surveillance and deciding on operation. Patients with FAP are recommended subtotal colectomy with ileo-rectal anastomosis (IRA) or proctocolectomy, often with the intent of restorative proctocolectomy (RPC) with ileal-pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA)^[20,23,24,26,28]. Timing of surgery in patients who are known to have FAP from childhood, often occur in their late teenage years, but may be sooner or later if endoscopic findings dictate it. Surgery before the age of 15 years is not recommended^[20,23,24,26,28].

After colectomy endoscopic surveillance annually or biannually is recommended^[20,24]. However, it is heavily debated whether patients with IRA and

patients with RPC with IPAA should follow the same intervals. If polyposis progresses during surveillance in patients with IRA, proctectomy, possibly with IPAA, should be considered. Especially in patients with FAP, this may be the appropriate choice in the presence of numerous rectal polyps, since excessive resection may lead to functional problems and technical difficulty in future proctectomy^[20-22,24,28,29].

In all cases of colectomy, proctocolectomy with terminal ileostomy, is also an appropriate solution. However, most patients undergoing resection, are relatively young, and a permanent stoma may impact quality of life^[30]. Hence, it is often desirable to attempt anastomosis with preserved continence, but this should be a subject for discussion and individualization.

In patients with JPS and PJS the initial colonoscopy is usually recommended around the age of 12 years for JPS^[20,22,31] and 8-10 years for PJS^[22,29,31], and repeated every two to three years, although recommendations differ. Patients with JPS or PJS should be offered colectomy, either segmental or subtotal with IRA or RPC with IPAA, if the colorectal polyp burden is too high for endoscopic management or if cancer develops^[21,22,29]. A further indication for resection in this population may be severe bleeding from colonic neoplasia^[21,22,29] (Figure 2B).

UPPER GI ENDOSCOPY AND SURGERY

For some polyposis syndromes, upper GI-surveillance is recommended due to a high risk of polyposis and/or cancer. In patients with a pathogenic variant in APC associated with FAP, esophago-gastro-duodenoscopy (EGD) is recommended from 25 years of age. It may be initiated earlier if colonic polyposis is present in the teenage years^[20,24]. In recent years, it has become more frequent to perform EGD with a cap-assisted forward viewing endoscope, which has been shown to be safe and visualize the papilla in most patients^[32].

It is widely recommended to alter surveillance according to the Spigelman staging of polyps^[33,34]. Depending on the EGD findings and histopathological evaluation, total duodenectomy may be relevant in patients with FAP. As a guidance to the timing of

duodenectomy, the Spigelman classification may be used^[20,24]. Duodenectomy is recommended in patients with stage IV, or evidence of cancer^[20,24].

In some cases, it is recommended to perform a pancreas preserving total duodenectomy, which facilitates an easier endoscopic surveillance compared to after Whipples procedure. It is proposed that EGD-screening in patients with JPS should start in the early teenage years and be repeated every 2-3 years^[20,22]. Several upper GI resections may be relevant in patients with JPS, especially those who have a pathogenic variant in *SMAD4*, since they have a higher risk of gastric polyposis and gastric cancer compared to JPS and pathogenic variants in *BMPRI1A*^[14]. In the case of development of numerous and/or very large gastric polyps, partial- or total gastrectomy is advised^[21,22,29].

In patients with PJS, initial EGD is recommended at the same time as the initial colonoscopy, usually at age 8-10^[20-22,29]. The repeat interval should be based on endoscopic findings, but due to the increasing risk of polyposis with age, the interval should at minimum be every two to three years^[20-22,29] (Figure 2C). Upper GI resections in patients with PJS, should in general be segmental, although indication should be made with some restraint^[21,22,29]. It is recommended to perform the smallest possible, oncologically safe resection to prevent the risk of short bowel syndrome. Indications include suspicious lesions, repeated symptomatic bleeding and obstruction or intussusception caused by polyps^[21,22,29]. Small bowel resection in patients with JPS follow the same recommendations. It is advised to perform intraoperative enteroscopy when performing small bowel resections to evaluate the extent of polyposis.

OTHER ENDOSCOPIC PROCEDURES

In PJS, polyps mainly develop in the small bowel, and invagination is a frequent first symptom^[21,22,29]. It is usually recommended that patients with PJS undergo a baseline video capsule endoscopy (VCE) at the beginning of endoscopic screening^[21,22,29]. Intervals for VCE should depend on the endoscopic findings.

CONCLUSION

As more and more families are identified and genetic testing is becoming more sophisticated, research into preventing symptomatic disease has increased. In patients with FAP, the primary focus has been on nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)'s, which have been shown to decrease the number of adenomas. Especially two agents have been studied, sulindac and celecoxib. Both have demonstrated to decrease the amount of colorectal adenomas, but celecoxib also decreases the number of duodenal adenomas^[28]. Treatment with NSAID's inherently have a risk of GI-complications, most of which can be managed with proton-pump inhibitors. Celecoxib, being a selective Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor has the disadvantage of increased risk of cardiovascular side effects. Treatment with celecoxib, has shown similar results in patients with PJS. Polyps in PJS overexpress COX-2, and the decrease in polyp burden is thought to be due to inhibition of this expression^[20]. In addition some older studies have shown that rapamycin (sirolimus) affect the polyp burden and size in mouse models^[35,36]. Further therapeutics are under ongoing investigation and aims to target the involved pathway. In JPS, both *BMPT1A* and *SMAD4* encode proteins working in the transforming growth factor-beta pathway and as it is also frequently involved in sporadic cancer several attempts have been made to target this^[37]. Recommendations as to which patients should use chemoprevention differs, but since no agents have been shown to prevent development of disease, rather than slow it down, the endoscopic and surgical measures, as described above, should be of primary focus.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1 %

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	17 words — 1 %
2	C Durno. "Family history and molecular features of children, adolescents, and young adults with colorectal carcinoma", Gut, 2005 Crossref	12 words — < 1 %

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	< 12 WORDS
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 12 WORDS