

86465_Auto_Edited-check.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 86465

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

**Examining the impact of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery
in patients undergoing surgical treatment for gastrointestinal neoplasms**

Chen Z *et al.* Early enteral nutrition support accelerates postoperative recovery

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Patients with gastrointestinal tumours often suffer from poor nutritional status during treatment. Amongst these, surgery is the main treatment, but the long postoperative recovery period is often accompanied by digestive and absorption dysfunction, leading to further deterioration of the nutritional status. Early enteral nutrition support is thought to be potentially helpful in improving this situation, but the exact effects have yet to be studied in depth.

AIM

To observe the effect of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients with surgically treated gastrointestinal tract tumours, with the expectation that by improving the nutritional status of patients, the recovery process would be accelerated and the incidence of complications would be reduced, thus improving the quality of life.

METHODS

A retrospective analysis of 121 patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours treated in our hospital from January 2020 to January 2023. Fifty-three of these patients received complete parenteral nutrition support as the control group for this study. The other 68 patients received early enteral nutritional support as the observation group of this study. The clinical indicators comparing the two groups included, time to fever, time to recovery of postoperative bowel function, time to postoperative exhaustion and length of hospital stay. The changes in immune function and nutritional indexes in the two groups were compared. Furthermore, we utilized the SF-36 scale to compare the changes in the quality of life between the two groups of patients. Finally, the occurrence of postoperative complications between the two patient groups was also compared.

RESULTS

The postoperative fever time, postoperative bowel function recovery time, postoperative exhaustion time and hospitalization time were all higher in the control group than in the observation group ($P < 0.05$). The levels of CD3+, CD4+, immunoglobulin A (IgA), IgM and IgG in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group at 1 d and 7 d postoperatively, while CD8+ was lower than that in the control group ($P < 0.05$). Total protein, albumin, prealbumin, and transferrin levels were significantly higher in the observation group than in the control group at 7 d postoperatively ($P < 0.05$). The SF-36 scores of patients in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group ($P < 0.0001$). The overall incidence of adverse reactions after the intervention was significantly lower in the observation group than in the control group ($P = 0.021$).

CONCLUSION

We found that patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours are nutritionally vulnerable, and an early enteral nutrition support programme is important for enhancing their nutritional status, accelerating postoperative recovery and reducing the incidence of complications. The programme not only enhances the immune function of patients and protects intestinal function, but also helps to improve the quality of life of patients.

Key Words: Early enteral nutrition support; Surgical treatment; Gastrointestinal tumour; Postoperative recovery; Immune function

Chen Z, Hong B, He JJ, Ye QQ, Hu QY. Examining the impact of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients undergoing surgical treatment for gastrointestinal neoplasms. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2023; In press

Core Tip: This study demonstrates the critical role of early enteral nutritional support in the postoperative recovery of patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal tract tumours. This strategy not only helps to improve patients' nutritional status, speed up

postoperative recovery and reduce the incidence of complications, but also improves patients' quality of life by enhancing immune function and protecting intestinal function. Early enteral nutritional support becomes an important component of post-surgical care for gastrointestinal tumours and helps to improve the overall outcome of patients.

INTRODUCTION

The continuous development of medical technology has significantly improved the effectiveness of prevention and treatment of many diseases^[1]. Nonetheless, the comprehensive fight against cancer remains an unfinished task that seriously threatens the lives and health of patients^[2]. According to the Global Cancer Statistics 2020 report^[3], colorectal and gastric cancers ranked third and fifth in global cancer incidence, and second and fourth in mortality, respectively. Gastrointestinal tract tumours, especially gastric and colorectal cancers, are commonly seen in people over 50 years of age and are among the common diseases in the malignancy category^[4]. These two types of cancers often have no obvious specific clinical manifestations in their early stages, resulting in most patients not receiving timely and effective interventions^[5]. As the cancer progresses, the demand for nutrients by the cancerous cells increases and the location occupied by the tumour affects the normal absorption function of the intestine, leading to depletion of the body's nutrient reserves and destruction of immune function^[6]. For elderly patients with gastric and colorectal cancer, their nutritional status and immune function are often substantially reduced by the effects of cancer, while the stress response of the body triggered by surgery may further exacerbate this situation^[7]. Therefore, it is particularly important to implement postoperative clinical nutritional support measures for this specific population.

Parenteral nutrition is widely used in clinical practice by a wide range of physicians to provide effective nutritional supplementation for patients with impaired postoperative gastrointestinal function^[8]. It has demonstrated remarkable efficacy in energy supplementation and maintenance of water-electrolyte balance in patients in the

perioperative period^[9]. However, as its application has expanded, medical professionals have identified progressive shortcomings after in-depth studies and comparisons^[10]. Long-term reliance on parenteral nutrition after gastrointestinal surgery may go against the normal physiology of the gut, resulting in reduced basic activity of the gastrointestinal mucosa, impaired absorption and secretion, and disturbance of the balance of the intestinal flora^[11,12]. These effects disrupt the normal microecological environment of the gut, raising the risk of infectious complications and further delaying the patient's postoperative recovery.

Conventional wisdom tends to suggest that early feeding after gastrointestinal surgery may increase the patient's risk of abdominal pain, bloating, nausea and vomiting as well as complications such as anastomotic fistula and abdominal infection, and that patients are usually allowed to eat after gastrointestinal function has been restored^[13]. However, with the in-depth study of early enteral nutrition support modalities after gastrointestinal surgery, some studies have pointed out^[14] that providing enteral nutrition support early to patients after radical gastric cancer surgery can promote the absorption and secretion function of the gastrointestinal tract and shorten the length of hospital stay of patients. Moreover, compared with parenteral nutrition support, enteral nutrition support early after gastric cancer surgery does not increase the incidence of postoperative complications^[15]. Early postoperative feeding is more in line with the normal physiological needs of the body and has a stimulating effect on the digestive and secretory functions of the gastrointestinal tract, which is conducive to the recovery of the gastrointestinal mucosa, promotes the absorption of nutrients and reduces postoperative adverse reactions. However, there are insufficient studies on whether early enteral nutritional support has an impact on the postoperative recovery of patients with gastrointestinal tumours.

In the present study, we aimed to analyse the effect of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients with surgically treated gastrointestinal tract tumours, and provide reference for clinical gastrointestinal tract tumour nutritional supplementation protocols.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical statement

This study was conducted with the approval of our Medical Ethics Committee, ethical approval number: 2023-(k)-41.

Clinical data

Retrospective analysis of 121 patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours treated at our hospital from January 2020 to January 2023. Fifty-three of these patients received complete parenteral nutrition support as the control group for this study. The other 68 patients received early enteral nutritional support as the observation group of this study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: Patients with diagnosed gastric and colorectal cancer; having undergone radical tumour resection or partial gastrointestinal resection; over 60 years of age; clear state of consciousness and normal communication skills; having complete clinical information.

Exclusion criteria: Presence of severe psychological or psychiatric illness; presence of other types of malignancy; insufficiency of vital organs such as the heart, liver and kidneys; co-morbidities at other sites.

Support programme

Control group: Complete parenteral nutrition support is used in the control group. After central venous cannulation, prepared nutritional solutions such as sodium chloride injection and compounded amino acids were administered *via* intravenous drip starting 20 h after surgery. The indwelling gastric tube was removed after the patient's gastrointestinal function was restored.

Observation group: The observation group received early enteral nutritional support. A nasojejunal tube and a gastrointestinal decompression tube were left in place during the operation. The jejunal tube was placed under direct vision along a guide wire into the jejunal output collaterals, while the end of the gastric tube was placed into the stomach. Twenty hours after surgery, warm saline is dripped through the enteral tube and a slow drip of enteral nutrition mix is administered. The temperature of the nutrient solution should be kept at 37 to 40 degrees Celsius. After the anus has begun to pass, remove the gastric tube and start a small amount of liquid food by mouth, while reducing the amount of nutrient solution injected through the jejunal tube. Once the patient is able to eat normal liquid food, the nutrition tube is removed. The nursing staff used positive and optimistic words to encourage the patient during daily rounds, identified any negative emotions in time and provided targeted psychological counselling to help the patient build up confidence in overcoming the disease.

Indicator testing

Immune function: Venous blood was collected on an empty stomach before, 1 d after and 7 d after surgery, and peripheral blood T-cell subsets (CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+) activity was measured by flow cytometry; immunoglobulins [immunoglobulin A (IgA), IgM and IgG] were measured by enzyme-linked immunoassay.

Nutritional parameters: Venous blood was collected before, 1 d after and 7 d after surgery, respectively, on an empty stomach, and total protein (TP) and albumin (ALB) were measured by the bicuculline method, prealbumin (PAB) by immunoturbidimetric method and transferrin (Tf) by immunoscattering turbidimetric method.

Observation indexes

Main observation indexes: Compare the clinical indexes of the two groups including, time to fever, time to recovery of intestinal function after surgery, time to postoperative exhaustion and length of hospital stay. Compare the changes in immune function and nutritional indicators between the two groups.

Secondary observation indexes: Compare the clinical data of the two groups of patients. Using the SF-36 scale^[16], compare the changes in quality of life of patients in the two groups. To compare the occurrence of postoperative complications between the two groups.

Statistical analysis

The data collected in this study were statistically analysed using the SPSS 26.0 software package, and the GraphPad Prism 9 was used to plot the pictures of this data, where the count data were expressed in % using the chi-square test as well as the Fisher test, the measurement data were expressed using the mean \pm standard deviation (mean \pm SD), and the pre- and post-operative comparisons between the same groups were made using the paired *T*-test, and independent samples *t*-test for comparison between two groups. Statistical differences were indicated when $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Comparison of general clinical information

A comparison of the clinical data between the two groups revealed that there was no statistical difference in age, gender, body mass index, tumour location, degree of tumour differentiation, history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking and alcohol abuse between the control group and the observation group ($P > 0.05$, Table 1).

Comparison of clinical indicators

In this study we also compared the clinical indicators of the two groups of patients after treatment. Our results found that the time to postoperative fever, time to recovery of postoperative bowel function, time to postoperative evacuation and time to hospitalisation were all higher in the control group than in the observation group ($P < 0.001$, Figure 1).

Comparison of immune function

In this study, we compared the immune function of the two groups of patients. We compared and found that there was no difference in CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, IgA, IgM and IgG between the two groups of patients before surgery ($P > 0.05$). In both groups, there was a decrease in CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG and an increase in CD8+ at 1 d postoperatively compared to the preoperative period (Figures 2 and 3, $P < 0.05$). However, further comparison revealed that CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG levels were significantly higher in the observation group than in the control group at 1 d postoperatively, while CD8+ was lower than in the control group (Figures 2 and 3, $P < 0.05$). At the 7th postoperative day, CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG levels increased in both groups, while CD8+ decreased in both groups (Figures 2 and 3, $P < 0.05$). In addition, the levels of CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group at 7 d postoperatively compared with those at 1 d, while CD8+ was lower than that in the control group (Figures 2 and 3, $P < 0.05$). Further comparison revealed that the CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG levels in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group at 7 d postoperatively, while CD8+ was lower than that in the control group (Figures 2 and 3, $P < 0.05$).

Comparison of nutritional function

In this study we compared the nutritional function of the two groups of patients. Our comparison revealed no difference in preoperative TP, ALB, PAB and Tf between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). TP, ALB, PAB and Tf decreased in both groups at 1 d postoperatively compared to preoperatively (Figure 4, $P < 0.05$). However, further comparison revealed that TP, ALB, PAB and Tf levels were significantly higher in the observation group than in the control group at 1 d postoperatively (Figure 4, $P < 0.05$). At the 7th postoperative day, TP, ALB, PAB and Tf were all increased in both groups (Figure 4, $P < 0.05$). The TP, ALB, PAB and Tf levels in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group at day 7 compared to day 1, while CD8+ was lower than that in the control group (Figure 4, $P < 0.05$). Further comparison

revealed that TP, ALB, PAB and Tf levels ¹ in the observation group were significantly higher than those in the control group at 7 d postoperatively (Figure 4, $P < 0.05$).

Comparison of quality of life

The quality of life was assessed between the two groups of patients before surgery and before discharge. The results showed that ² there was no difference in the preoperative SF-36 scores between the two groups ($P > 0.05$), and the SF-36 scores of patients in both groups increased significantly after the intervention compared with those before the intervention ($P < 0.0001$). The SF-36 score was significantly higher in the observation group than in the control group before discharge (Figure 5, $P < 0.0001$).

Adverse reaction statistics

Statistics on adverse reactions after treatment in both groups showed that the overall incidence of adverse reactions after intervention ¹ was significantly lower in the observation group than in the control group ($P = 0.021$, Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The nutritional management of patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours remains a difficult issue globally. Studies have shown that these patients are at very high nutritional risk and that patients suffer from concomitant malnutrition^[17]. The stomach and intestines are important components of the digestive system and they play an important role in the digestion and absorption of nutrients, and tumour invasion can severely interfere with these normal digestive and absorption functions^[18]. Surgery is the main treatment modality, but it is also associated with significant surgical trauma, long recovery times and the absence of parts of the gastrointestinal tract^[19]. These problems, together with the metabolic disorders caused by the tumour, can affect the nutritional status of the patient.

Patients' digestive and metabolic capacity is reduced as a result of the disease, and they eat less, which in turn leads to a decrease in immune function^[20]. This

immunosuppression is more likely to occur in post-surgical patients due to their inability to eat normally^[21]. Therefore, it is crucial to provide professional nutritional support after surgery for patients with gastrointestinal tumours, not only to improve the safety of surgery but also to speed up the recovery of the disease. In this study, we found that patients in the observation group who received the early enteral nutrition support protocol had significantly lower postoperative fever time, postoperative bowel function recovery time, postoperative exhaustion time and hospital stay than the control group who did not receive the protocol. In addition, ¹the overall incidence of postoperative complications was significantly lower in the observation group than in the control group. These findings suggest that an early enteral nutrition support programme can accelerate disease recovery and effectively reduce the incidence of postoperative complications in patients with gastric cancer. This finding is in line with the Meta-analysis by Li *et al*^[22], which found that patients with gastric cancer combined with diabetes were more effective in maintaining glycaemic stability after early enteral nutrition intervention compared to those receiving total parenteral nutrition intervention, resulting in better outcomes. Another study conducted by Yan *et al*^[23] also pointed out that early enteral nutritional support after surgery for patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours significantly reduced the incidence of postoperative complications and shortened the length of hospital stay. We believe that early enteral nutrition support protocol is effective because, on the one hand, it helps the synthesis of visceral proteins, thus shortening the recovery time of postoperative bowel function and postoperative fever; on the other hand, early enteral nutrition can reduce the damage to the intestinal mucosa and is more in line with the physiological state of the body, thus reducing the impact on the circulatory system and decreasing the time of postoperative exhaustion. This effect not only helps to reduce the length of the patient's hospital stay, but also reduces the cost of treatment to a certain extent.

The immune system plays a vital role in our bodies. However, in serious diseases such as gastrointestinal tumours, the function of the immune system may be compromised^[24]. For example, treatment modalities such as surgery, chemotherapy or

radiotherapy may lead to a reduction in immune cells, which may affect immune function^[25]. In such cases, enteral nutritional support can play an important role. In the current study, we found by comparison that patients in the observation group had significantly higher levels of CD3+, CD4+, IgA, IgM and IgG and lower CD8+ than the control group at postoperative day 1 and day 7 after the intervention, suggesting that early enteral nutritional support can maintain the stability of immune function in patients. Previously, in a study by Wang *et al*^[26], early enteral nutritional support was found to improve immune function in patients after radical chemotherapy gastric cancer to reduce the occurrence of postoperative complications. This is largely consistent with our study. We believe this is due to the fact that the immune system requires high amounts of energy and nutrients, including protein, fat, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals, to function properly. Early enteral nutritional support ensures that patients receive these essential nutrients to maintain and enhance the function of the immune system. Secondly, early enteral nutritional support can protect the function of the intestinal tract from damage caused by tumour treatment (*e.g.*, surgery, chemotherapy) and maintain the immune function of the intestinal tract. Finally early enteral nutritional support can speed up patients' post-operative recovery and reduce surgical complications, such as infection and delayed wound healing.

At the end of the study we analysed the nutritional function and quality of life of patients in both groups. In our results, TP, ALB, PAB and Tf levels in the observation group were not different from those in the control group before and 1 d after the intervention, but at 7 d after the intervention TP, ALB, PAB and Tf were higher than those in the control group. These results suggest that early enteral nutrition support can improve patients' nutritional function and enhance their quality of life. This is because early enteral nutrition support, in line with the natural physiological characteristics of human diet, is beneficial to the growth of intestinal mucosal cells and maintains the integrity of the intestinal mucosal barrier, thus ensuring the normal intake of nutrients^[27-29]. The application of this nutritional support strategy can rapidly provide patients with the necessary nutrients to effectively improve their nutritional status,

enhance their body protein content, as well as improve their negative nitrogen balance. Effective psychological care by nursing staff also plays an important role, which helps to improve the emotional state of patients, their confidence in treatment and their resistance to the disease. This holistic approach to care, which includes nutritional and psychological support, provides strong support for the patient's full recovery.

In this study, we have determined the value of early enteral nutritional support in patients with gastrointestinal tumours, but there are still limitations to this study. Firstly, we did not obtain long-term prognostic data in this study, and more experimental data are needed to verify whether early enteral nutrition support has an effect on patients' prognosis. Secondly, this study is a single-centre retrospective study and the data collected are small, so more data are needed to support whether it is representative. Finally, we hope that more clinical studies will be conducted in subsequent studies to refine our findings.

CONCLUSION

We found that patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours are nutritionally vulnerable and an early enteral nutrition support programme is important to enhance their nutritional status, accelerate post-operative recovery and reduce the incidence of complications. The programme not only enhances the immune function of patients and protects intestinal function, but also helps to improve their quality of life.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Patients with gastrointestinal tumours often suffer from malnutrition, and surgical treatment may further affect nutrient absorption and metabolism. In this context, nutritional interventions to improve patients' postoperative recovery and quality of life become critical. Early enteral nutrition support as a form of nutritional management can theoretically help to improve the nutritional status of patients and accelerate recovery, but its actual effectiveness needs to be supported by clinical evidence.

Research motivation

The postoperative nutritional management of patients with gastrointestinal tumours remains a global challenge and one that has a significant impact on patient recovery and overall prognosis. Our motivation was to investigate the impact of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients with gastrointestinal tract tumours.

Research objectives

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the use of early enteral nutrition support in patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal tract tumours and how it improves postoperative complications, enhances quality of life, promotes immune function, and improves nutritional status.

Research methods

In a retrospective study, we compared patients who received early enteral nutrition support with those who did not, examining the incidence of postoperative complications, time to recovery, nutritional parameters and quality of life.

Research results

The results showed that early enteral nutrition support significantly improved recovery time, nutritional status, reduced the incidence of postoperative complications and improved quality of life.

Research conclusions

We conclude that early enteral nutrition support plays a key role in the postoperative recovery of patients with surgically treated gastrointestinal tract tumours, suggesting that the importance of early enteral nutrition support in the postoperative management of these patients should not be overlooked.

Research perspectives

Further research is needed to examine the impact of early enteral nutrition support on the long-term prognosis of patients with gastrointestinal tumours and its potential application in a broader clinical context. We look forward to future clinical studies that will provide more data and insight into this area.

4%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1

rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net
Internet

66 words — 2%
- 2

Jie Wang, Yahui Tong, Yingqing Jiang, Hongxia Zhu, Hui Gao, Rong Wei, Xianfeng Que, Luoluo Gao. "The effectiveness of extended care based on Internet and Home Care Platform for Orthopedics after hip replacement surgery in China", Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2018
Crossref

23 words — 1%
- 3

Liu-Ping Wu, Wen-qing Kang. "Effect of dexmedetomidine for sedation and cognitive function in patients with preoperative anxiety undergoing carotid artery stenting", Journal of International Medical Research, 2020
Crossref

18 words — < 1%
- 4

wprim.whocc.org.cn
Internet

18 words — < 1%
- 5

Min Guo, Man Wang, Lu-Lu Chen, Fu-Juan Wei, Jin-E Li, Qing-Xiu Lu, Li Zhang, Hai-Xia Yang. "Effect of intradermal needle therapy at combined acupoints on patients' gastrointestinal function following surgery for gastrointestinal tumors", World Journal of Clinical Cases, 2022
Crossref

17 words — < 1%
- 6

journals.hainmc.edu.cn
Internet

17 words — < 1%

7 www.spandidos-publications.com
Internet

15 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	< 15 WORDS
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 15 WORDS