

82548_Auto_EditedC.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 82548

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Initial management of suspected biliary injury after laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Siiki A *et al.* Management of biliary injuries after cholecystectomy

Antti Siiki, Reea Ahola, Yrjö Vaalavuo, Anne Antila, Johanna Laukkarinen

Abstract

Although rare, iatrogenic bile duct injury (BDI) after laparoscopic cholecystectomy may be devastating to the patient. The cornerstones for the initial management of BDI are early recognition, followed by modern imaging and evaluation of injury severity. Tertiary hepato-biliary centre care with a multi-disciplinary approach is crucial. The diagnostics of BDI commences with a multi-phase abdominal computed tomography scan, and when the biloma is drained or a surgical drain is put in place, the diagnosis is set with the help of bile drain output. To visualize the leak site and biliary anatomy, the diagnostics is supplemented with contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. The location and severity of the bile duct lesion and concomitant injuries to the hepatic vascular system are evaluated. Most often, a combination of percutaneous and endoscopic methods is used for control of contamination and bile leak. Generally, the next step is endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERC) for downstream control of the bile leak. ERC with insertion of a stent is the treatment of choice in most mild bile leaks. The surgical option of re-operation and its timing should be discussed in cases where an endoscopic and percutaneous approach is not sufficient. The patient's failure to recover properly in the first days after laparoscopic cholecystectomy should immediately raise suspicion of BDI and this merits immediate investigation. Early consultation and referral to a dedicated hepato-biliary unit are essential for the best outcome.

Key Words: Cholecystectomy; Laparoscopy; Bile duct injury; Iatrogenic; Adverse event; Complication

Siiki A, Ahola R, Vaalavuo Y, Antila A, Laukkarinen J. Initial management of suspected biliary injury after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2023; In press

Core Tip: A rare, but potentially disastrous bile duct injury (BDI) after laparoscopic cholecystectomy may easily go unnoticed at first. Thus, any unwell patient or anyone not recovering properly in the first post-operative days after surgery should be considered as having a surgical complication unless proven otherwise. The right initial management in suspected BDI is essential for prognosis. Early referral to a hepato-biliary unit, combination of modern imaging modalities and consequent evaluation of the severity grade of the injury are the foundations of management. The initial treatment options range from percutaneous and endoscopic methods to surgery, the timing and details of which need a multi-disciplinary hepato-biliary approach.

INTRODUCTION

Rare bile duct injury (BDI) after cholecystectomy should be recognized early to allow prompt diagnosis and treatment^[1-3]. As most cholecystectomies are operated on laparoscopically, BDI occurs in 0.2-0.9 of patients; the variation depends on whether all bile leaks or only surgically reconstructed injuries are included^[4-6]. Commonly graded by Strasberg's classification^[7], bile duct injuries are graded from A to E, where A represents a simple cystic duct leak and C-E complex injuries to the hepatic ducts or common bile duct (CBD)^[7-9] (Figure 1). Most post-cholecystectomy bile leaks are mild type A-B lesions, where endoscopic treatment combined with external drainage is usually successful^[10-12]. More severe grades often require re-operation or even a combination of endoscopy, interventional radiology and surgery^[4,13,14]. Surgical repair of BDI should be avoided between two and six weeks after the cholecystectomy due to the elevated risk of postoperative morbidity and hepaticojejunostomy stricture compared to re-operation at some earlier or later time point^[15]. At worst, BDI may lead to morbidity and mortality^[11], series of re-interventions, long hospitalization and substantial costs^[1,16,17]. BDI has a considerable impact on long-term quality-of-life; *e.g.* surgically repaired BDI may require re-interventions for strictured hepatico-jejunostomy anastomosis in 10%-20%^[14,18,19].

REFERRAL AND DIAGNOSIS IN SUSPECTED BDI

Most mild bile duct injuries are recognized a few days after the operation^[6,10,20,21]. Prompt referral and evaluation with modern imaging is crucial whenever a patient is not recovering properly after any type of cholecystectomy^[2,11,20]. The clinical symptoms of BDI are vague: symptoms such as abdominal pain, fever, sepsis and jaundice are not specific. Liver function tests and inflammatory markers may be normal in the early phase. Noteworthy, elevated liver function test results are associated with biliary obstruction or vascular damage, but in cases with bile leak these are usually normal. The only symptom of the most common iatrogenic injury, a leak from the cystic duct (Strasberg A), is often the patient's unspecific deterioration early in the postoperative course. The key to correct diagnosis is high level of suspicion with consequent swift consultation or referral to a

tertiary centre when the patient is unwell in the early days after cholecystectomy^[2,10,20,22]. It is known that severe BDIs, especially with concomitant vascular injury, are best treated by early referral to the unit with the most experience and extensive resources for interventional radiology, advanced endoscopy and complex reconstructive surgery^[8,23-25].

In the emergency department, abdominal imaging should be performed urgently to rule out any post-operative complications (Figure 2). While transabdominal ultrasound may visualize a fluid collection or dilation of the intrahepatic biliary ducts, a multi-phase abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan is required for BDI diagnosis. The common findings are perihepatic fluid accumulation around the right perihepatic space, gallbladder fossa and inferiorly into the right paracolic gutter^[26]. Contrast enhanced CT with arterial phase is necessary to exclude the possibility of arterial injury with associated hypoperfusion of the liver due to damage typically to the right branch of the hepatic artery, occurring in up to 20% of BDIs^[24]. CT scan may be followed by hepato-biliary specific contrast media enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to identify the leak site and accurately identify the biliary anatomy. When contrast enhanced MRI is not available, standard magnetic resonance cholangio-pancreatography (MRCP) without contrast allows evaluation of the fluid-filled bile ducts and provides indirect evidence of a leak^[26]. MRI may also reveal CBD stones predisposing to leak from the stump of the cystic duct.

In evaluating the extent of the BDI, it is often useful to consult the original notes from the time of the primary operation. Sometimes crucial information can be obtained on how the anatomy appeared during the cholecystectomy and ascertaining if the critical view of safety was obtained^[27,28], how the intra-operative cholangiography (*e.g.*, anatomy, stones, sludge) appeared^[29] and what the pre-operative MRI or CT looked like^[30,31], or whether the gallbladder was acutely or chronically inflamed, thereby increasing the risks for BDI^[4,21,32].

CONTAINING THE CONTAMINATION

The first step in the initial management of BDI is to contain the contamination (Figures 2 and 3). The placement of a percutaneous drain in the biloma is often enough to control the infection combined with wide-spectrum antibiotic treatment. When a drain is placed in the accumulation or a surgical bile-producing drain is left in place in cholecystectomy, samples for bacterial culture and drain bilirubin should be obtained. In cases where interventional radiology is unavailable, especially in a septic patient, emergency laparoscopic lavation may be considered to contain the contamination followed by surgical drains^[13]. In these cases, drainage or laparoscopic lavation may be performed in the referring hospital to avoid delays. However, reconstructive surgery for BDI should not be attempted before the severity grade of BDI and possible associated vascular injury are properly evaluated. At the latest, the referral to or consultation with a tertiary hepato-biliary unit should be made at this point, even before any interventions^[1,2,20,22,25].

TREATMENT: CONTROLLING THE LEAK

After proper imaging and external drainage, endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERC) is the preferred next step in most cases (Figures 2 and 3). When bile drain output continues for more than ca 24 h, ERC is often indicated. Endoscopy is used to locate the leak and determine its severity grade and also to treat the bile leak with sphincterotomy and stents. In ERC a leak is carefully visualized with fluoroscopy by injecting pressurized contrast medium over an occlusion balloon^[33]. Generally, the most common forms of mild BDI, type A leak from the cystic duct or aberrant duct from the gallbladder fossa, can be easily diagnosed and treated with standard ERC^[12,34]. It is often wise to have a hepato-biliary surgeon present in the endoscopy room to see live fluoroscopy findings when a complex BDI is suspected.

When a complete transection of CBD is not present, trans-papillary downstream control of the leak by ERC allows healing in more than 90% of biliary leaks^[9,10,35]. In ERC occult CBD stones obstructing the bile flow and predisposing to leak can also be easily removed. In the European guideline, it is recommended to insert a temporary plastic biliary stent rather than to decompress the CBD with sphincterotomy only: stenting

provides faster leak resolution than sphincterotomy alone^[35]. Stents seem to be equally effective whether biliary sphincterotomy is performed or not. However, while biliary sphincterotomy may be associated with some complications, it is usually necessary for the removal of retained stones. In simple grade A leaks, without bile duct stones, a single plastic stent inserted for four to eight weeks is often enough without sphincterotomy^[35]. However, when a stent has been inserted, biliary sludge, stones, or occasionally even a persistent leak may be found at the time of stent removal. Thus, a stent may be preferable to sphincterotomy alone, which can be considered a mere secondary alternative when stone clearance is confirmed and second endoscopy for stent removal would be too risky^[9,35]. However, a recent randomised controlled trial proved that after appropriate patient selection in a simple Strasberg type A leak, endoscopic sphincterotomy may be a safe and cost-effective single procedure without stent insertion^[12].

When biodegradable biliary stents are available, stent removal in second endoscopy may be avoided^[36]. However, in that case, an adequate sphincterotomy followed by careful cleansing of the CBD of stones and sludge should be performed at the index ERC.

In case of more complex BDI, ERC also gives more detailed information for locating the bile leak. It helps to assess the relation of the lesion to the hilum and the main biliary ducts even if the lesion itself may not eventually be treatable by endoscopy only^[34]. When only the right hepatic duct is injured or anomalous right segmental biliary branches draining to the cystic duct have been damaged, ERC may be misinterpreted as having no leak despite drain bile output^[8]. In this scenario, comparing ERC cholangiography to pre-operative MRI may be the way to diagnose this type of lesion correctly^[30]. A fistulography performed *via* the catheter drain may also help in determining the extent of the lesion^[9]. When the bile duct is disconnected or the main branches entirely transected, early surgery or a combination of external drainage of biloma and percutaneous transhepatic cholangiogram catheter (PTC) for proximal control may be necessary in the early phase in order to gain time for definitive treatment^[8].

Instead of plastic stents, self-expanding large bore covered metal stents may be used in ERC in iatrogenic BDI^[33,37,38]. Correctly positioned, they may successfully bridge and

seal even grade D injuries to the CBD and common hepatic duct^[39]. The benefits of self-expanding metal stents are their greater diameter and the sealing effect of the plastic or silicone covering of the stents^[37]. Large diameter stents with 8-10 mm bore may be associated with faster leak resolution than plastic stents with 3 mm (10F) calibre^[36]. However, care should be taken not to insert a stent with too large a diameter into a narrow normal CBD to prevent circumferential ischaemia and consequent biliary stricture due to stent expansion. Most importantly, before embarking on percutaneous or endoscopic treatment of complex lesions, all diagnostic methods should be undertaken with multi-disciplinary evaluation of the surgical or endoscopic options in a hepato-biliary centre^[20,22,25].

SURGICAL OPTIONS

Surgery is the mainstay treatment in cases not manageable by ERC^[8]. When BDI is identified during the cholecystectomy, immediate surgical repair should be attempted^[16,32]. In these cases, it is important to call a senior surgeon into the operation, carefully evaluate the anatomy and the extent of the lesion with cholangiography^[22,29] if this has not been done at an earlier phase of the operation. In the prevention of BDI, a bail-out strategy by stopping further dissection and performing *e.g.*, partial cholecystectomy may be indicated^[19,28,40]. Open conversion with Kocher mobilisation or laparoscopic suture, placement of a T-tube with external drainage or even reconstruction with roux-Y hepaticojejunostomy may be considered, depending on the lesion (Figures 1-3), the condition of the patient and the expertise of the surgical team. In complex cases, the best solution may be to do nothing further but call a hepato-biliary specialist during the surgery or place drains and consequently transfer the patient to a centre providing definitive care^[8]. When CBD stones are present, it is important to remove them in the same procedure with repair by choledochoscopy *via e.g.*, choledochotomy. Early or immediate repair may give a good prognosis when performed by experienced specialists only^[8,20,41]. In practice, the prerequisites for immediate or early reconstruction with roux-Y hepatico-jejunostomy are: an experienced hepato-biliary team present, the entire biliary

tree well visualised in cholangiography, no sepsis or severe biliary peritonitis, no significant co-morbidities and no vascular injury.

According to the literature, the optimal timing of definite reconstructive re-operation varies^[9,15]. However, when a complex BDI unamenable to treatment by ERC is diagnosed after the operation, early surgical repair is generally not recommended, especially when diagnosis is delayed or sepsis, biliary peritonitis or arterial injury are concomitant^[8,9]. In these cases, the definitive reconstruction should preferably be delayed for up to a few months and preceded by *e.g.*, PTC, multiple drains and also adequate nutritional support^[8]. Due to the high risk of morbidity and late stricture formation, surgical reconstruction should be avoided for at least from weeks two to six post-operatively^[15]. While the options for re-operation range from reconstruction with roux-Y hepaticojejunostomy^[11] to liver resections and even up to liver transplantation^[23], any reconstructive late-phase surgery should be performed in an expert hepato-biliary centre^[8,20,25].

2

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is a safe procedure with low morbidity and mortality^[4,5]. Any unwell patient with abdominal problems in the immediate post-operative period after cholecystectomy should be managed and referred to specialist care by reason of suspected complication until this possibility can be excluded^[1,2,6,20]. Early recognition of BDI improves survival^[29]. In addition to BDI related morbidity and mortality^[11,14], substantial cost stresses the importance of proper initial management^[16,17].

In the surgical emergency department, evaluation starts with blood samples and multi-phase abdominal CT scan^[26]. The first step in the treatment of BDI is to contain contamination by percutaneous radiologic catheter drainage, which usually allows time for further decision-making as well as bacterial culture and bile analysis of the drain output^[13]. The second step is the evaluation of leak severity, which in practice means contrast-enhanced MRI or MRCP^[26] followed by ERC, cholangiography and placement of a temporary stent for down-stream control^[34]. The diagnostics of the severity of BDI

thus requires a combination of modern imaging modalities. When endoscopic treatment is not sufficient, urgent multi-disciplinary evaluation for further interventional radiologic procedures or re-operation and their optimal timing are called for^[8]. While in complex lesions, surgery is the preferred treatment, immediate or early repair by non-specialists is not recommended^[8,41]. The long-term consequences of rare severe BDI may be devastating to the patient and the health care system^[14,16,17]. Any reconstructive surgery should be performed in an expert hepato-biliary centre^[23,25,41].

Although BDI or bile leak may be inevitable when large numbers of patients are operated on^[27], for the most common simple leaks prognosis after ERC is excellent^[21,33,37]. Additionally, the vast majority of complex BDIs can be successfully managed surgically in experienced hepato-biliary centres^[4,20,25,41]. However, for the prevention of BDIs, all guidelines stress the importance of proper surgical training, paying due attention to the critical view of safety, use of intraoperative cholangiography to ascertain the anatomy and understanding the role of bail-out strategy^[19,22,28,31,40]. In BDI, early recognition, diagnosis and referral are essential^[2,20,25], thus a structured algorithm-based approach for the evaluation and referral pattern of complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy could be useful, possibly similar to that developed for pancreatic surgery^[42].

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1 %

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Neel Patel, Kyle K. Jensen, Akram M. Shaaban, Elena Korngold, Bryan R. Foster. "Multimodality Imaging of Cholecystectomy Complications", RadioGraphics, 2022

30 words — 1 %

Crossref

2

Andrew M. Taylor. "LAPAROSCOPIC MANAGEMENT OF COMPLICATIONS FOLLOWING LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY", ANZ Journal of Surgery, 12/1994

12 words — < 1 %

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF