

87490_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 87490

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

3

Animal experimental study on magnetic anchor technique-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer

The safety and efficacy of MAT-ESD

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Gastric cancer (GC) has high morbidity and mortality. Moreover, because GC has no typical symptoms in the early stages, most cases are already in the advanced stages by the time the symptoms appear, thus resulting in poor prognosis and a low survival rate. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) can realize the early detection and diagnosis of GC and become the main surgical method for early GC. However, ESD has a steep learning curve and high technical skill requirements for endoscopists, which is not conducive to its widespread implementation and advancement. Therefore, a series of auxiliary techniques have been derived.

AIM

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of magnetic anchor technique (MAT)-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) in early GC.

METHODS

This was an *ex vivo* animal experiment. The experimental models were the isolated stomachs of pigs, which were divided into two groups, namely the study group ($n = 6$) with MAT-assisted ESD and the control group ($n = 6$) with traditional ESD. Comparing

the total surgical time, incidence of surgical complications, complete mucosal resection rate, specimen size, and the scores of endoscopist's satisfaction with the procedure reflected their feelings about convenience during the surgical procedure between the two groups. The magnetic anchor device for auxiliary ESD in the study group comprised three parts, an anchor magnet (AM), a target magnet (TM), and a soft tissue clip. Under gastroscopic guidance, the soft tissue clip and the TM were delivered to the pre-marked mucosal lesion through the gastroscopic operating hole. The soft tissue clip and the TM were connected by a thin wire through the TM tail structure. The soft tissue clip was released by manipulating the operating handle of the soft tissue clip in a way that the soft tissue clip and the TM were fixed to the lesion mucosa. *In vitro*, ESD is aided by maneuvering the AM such that the mucosal dissection surface is exposed.

RESULTS

The total surgical time was shorter in the study group than in the control group (26.57 ± 0.19 vs. 29.97 ± 0.28 , $P < 0.001$), and the scores of endoscopist's satisfaction with the procedure were higher in the study group than in the control group (9.53 ± 0.10 vs. 8.00 ± 0.22 , $P < 0.001$). During the operation in the study group, there was no detachment of the soft tissue clip and TM and no mucosal tearing. The magnetic force between the AM and TM provided good mucosal exposure and sufficient tissue tension for ESD. The mucosal lesion was completely peeled off, and the operation was successful. There were no significant differences in the incidence of surgical complications (100% vs. 83.3%), complete mucosal resection rate (100% vs. 66.7%, $P = 0.439$), and specimen size (2.44 ± 0.04 cm vs. 2.49 ± 0.02 , $P = 0.328$) between the two groups.

CONCLUSION

MAT-ESD is safe and effective for early gastric cancer. It provides a preliminary basis for subsequent internal animal experiments and clinical research.

Key Words: Endoscopic submucosal dissection; Gastric cancer; Digestive disease; Magnetic anchor technique; Magnetic surgery; Magnetic anchor device.

Pan M, Zhang MM, Zhao L, Lyu Y, Yan XP. Animal Experimental Study on Magnetic Anchor Technique-Assisted Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection of Early Gastric Cancer. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2023; In press

Core Tip: Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is helpful in the early detection and treatment of gastric cancer but has a long learning curve. Magnetic anchor technique (MAT) was used to shorten the total surgical time and improve the endoscopist's satisfaction with the surgical procedure by providing good mucosal exposure and sufficient tissue tension for ESD. MAT shows advantages over other assistive technologies, such as the flexibility to change the magnitude and direction of traction. This method shows great auxiliary potential in ESD and has good prospects for clinical application.

INTRODUCTION

1 Cancer continues to be an immense threat to human health and exerts a huge medical and economic burden. In 2020, there were more than 1 million new cases of gastric cancer (GC) and an estimated 769,000 deaths (equivalent to 1 in 13 deaths globally). GC has the fifth-highest incidence and the fourth-highest mortality of all cancers worldwide^[1]. Due to its large population, China accounts for approximately 44% of GC cases worldwide, and in 2020, GC in China had an adjusted incidence rate of 20.6/100,000 individuals^[2]. GC is usually at an advanced stage by the time the symptoms appear, which leads to a poor prognosis. Although the 5-year survival rate for advanced GC is 10%, the 5-year survival rate for early GC can be as high as 85%^[3]. Therefore, it is possible to carry out population-based screening in high-risk areas or high-risk groups to achieve early detection, diagnosis, and treatment of GC, thus reducing the burden of GC on public health. The use of endoscopy screening in

high-risk groups can reportedly significantly reduce GC mortality^[4, 5]. At present, the 5-year survival rates of GC in Japan and South Korea are relatively high at 60.3% and 68.9%, respectively^[6]. These rates are attributed to the effectiveness of large-scale endoscopic screening programs, which help identify a higher proportion of early GC cases at the time of screening^[7, 8].

The primary treatment option for early GC is endoscopic therapy, which includes endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD)^[9, 10]. ESD is developed on the basis of EMR and is used for dissecting large tumor lesions that are not suitable for EMR^[11]. Compared with EMR, ESD has higher *en bloc* resection rates (90.2 *vs.* 51.7%), higher histologic complete resection rates (82.1 *vs.* 42.2%), and lower recurrence rates (0.65% *vs.* 6.05%)^[12]. However, ESD is not like traditional surgery, and as the surgeon's hand cannot enter the alimentary canal lumen, it is difficult to obtain sufficient tension and good field. These challenges result in a long operation time, high risk of adverse events, and high incidence of postoperative complications (bleeding and perforation)^[13]. Therefore, to circumvent these challenges and avoid these complications, many assistive technologies have been developed^[13], such as the clip-with-line method^[14], pulley method^[15, 16], sheath traction method^[17, 18], external forceps method^[19, 20], double scope method^[21], the S-O clip^[22, 23], ring thread countertraction^[24], multiloop technique^[25], double clip and rubber band traction^[26], clip band technique^[27], pocket creation method with a traction device^[28], and clip-flap method^[29]. However, these auxiliary techniques have some disadvantages, such as inflexibility in changing the magnitude of the traction force, a single direction of the traction force, and inability to resect any lesion regardless of its location.

Magnetic anchor technique (MAT)-assisted ESD is a new type of assistive technology with some potential advantages, such as control over traction direction and traction size. It was first proposed by the Japanese scholar Kobayashi^[30] in 2004. The magnetic anchor system includes internal and external magnetic components. The outer magnetic assembly is usually a permanent magnet, and the inner magnetic assembly includes an inner magnet and a tissue clip^[31]. MAT-ESD has been successfully applied

to various thoracoscopy and laparoscopy procedures, such as laparoscopic cholecystectomy^[32] and thoracoscopic lobectomy^[33]. The application of this technique reduces surgical trauma and interference between surgical instruments, thus improving the exposure of the surgical field and the operability of the surgery^[31]. Therefore, in this study, we explored the safety and feasibility of MAT-ESD in early gastric cancer in an *in vitro* porcine model using the self-designed magnetic anchor device.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Magnetic anchor device

The self-designed magnetic anchor device made by Shaanxi Jinshan Electric Co., Ltd. comprises three parts (Figure 1): the anchor magnet (AM), the target magnet (TM), and the soft tissue clip. The AM is a cylinder made of the Nd-Fe-B permanent magnet material, and the surface is protected by nickel plating. To avoid interference from other ferromagnetic objects during use, the AM cylinder is covered with a 5-mm U-shaped resin shell. The AM is located outside the body and is used to pull the TM. The AM is 140-mm high and has a base diameter of 50 mm and a surface field strength of 6000 GS. The TM is also made of the Nd-Fe-B permanent magnet material. The TM is divided into a cylindrical magnetic core and a permalloy shell. The surface is coated with nickel or titanium nitride. The TM is sent into the digestive tract through the gastroscopic biopsy hole. To adapt to the size of the digestive tract, the magnetic core is a cylinder with a height of 5.5 mm and a bottom diameter of 4 mm, and the surface field strength is 3000 GS. In addition, the permalloy tail has a circular hole structure with a diameter of 1 mm for connection with soft tissue clips. The soft tissue clip is processed by the Micro-Tech (Nanjing) Co., Ltd. It can be connected to the tail end structure of the TM through a thin wire, and the TM can be fixed to the lesion mucosa.

Animals

This *ex vivo* experiment involved two groups: the study group (MAT-ESD) and the control group (traditional ESD). The pigs were obtained from the Experimental Animal

Center of Xi'an Jiaotong University. The animal protocol was designed to minimize pain or discomfort to the animals. The isolated pigs' stomachs were obtained from euthanized pigs; euthanasia was performed by an intravenous overdose of sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg) after the end of other experimental projects by our team. We used stomachs isolated from 12 Bama miniature pigs, with six pigs (three males and three females) in the study group and the other six pigs (three males and three females) in the control group. The sex of the animal was not a factor in data analyses. A total of six endoscopists completed the surgery for both groups. The experimental protocol was approved by the laboratory animal care committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University (approval NO. XJTULAC2019-1006) and was in accordance with the ethical standards for experimental animals of Xi'an Jiaotong University. All animal experiments complied with the ARRIVE guidelines and were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Eighth edition, 2011).

Surgical procedure in the study group

On the basis of the end of other animal experiments, the isolated pig stomachs were obtained, and about 5 cm of the esophagus and duodenal stump was retained. First, the duodenal stump was clamped with intestinal forceps, and then, a gastroscope was inserted from the esophageal stump to inflate and observe the airtightness of the stomach and the integrity of the mucosa (Figure 2A). Second, the mucosal lesion to be resected was marked by electrocautery under gastroscopic guidance (Figure 2B). Third, the TM was fixed to the mucosal lesion by a soft tissue clip. The soft tissue clip was inserted through the operation hole of the gastroscope, and the TM was connected to the soft tissue clip with a thin wire; however, the TM did not affect the opening and closing of the soft tissue clip (Figure 2C). Finally, the magnetic force between TM and AM was used to expose the mucosal dissection surface and maintain tissue tension. The AM was gradually brought close to the stomach *in vitro*, and the state of the TM was observed using the endoscope (Figure 2D). The TM was seen to be pulled toward the

AM, and at the same time, the soft tissue clip was driven to lift the lesion mucosa. The position of the AM was adjusted according to the operation requirements, and the pulling direction and strength of the mucosal lesion were flexibly changed until the lesion was completely removed (Figure 2E, 2F).

Statistical analysis

The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Xiao-Peng Yan from the first affiliated hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University before the submission. The quantitative data that were consistent with the normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Two-group mean comparison was performed using the independent t-test. Non-normally distributed data were expressed as the median (interquartile interval). The nonparametric test was used for comparisons between the two groups. Qualitative data were expressed as the number of actual cases (proportion, %), and its comparisons were drawn using the χ^2 test. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY), with $P < 0.05$ indicating statistical significance.

RESULTS

According to Table 1, the mean total surgical time was 26.57 min in the study group and 29.97 min in the control group. With the assistance of MAT, the total surgical time was greatly reduced and the endoscopist's surgical satisfaction scores were improved (9.53 vs. 8.00, $P < 0.001$). By clamping the duodenal stump, the isolated stomach was made airtight, and the mucosa was intact. In the study group, after the mucosal lesion was successfully marked, the gastroscope, the TM, and the soft tissue clip were smoothly entered into the stomach through the digestive tract and advanced until the lesion was reached. During the entire operation, the soft tissue clip was tightly connected with the TM to avoid falling off or separation, and the TM did not affect the opening, closing, and release of the soft tissue clip. Furthermore, surgical instruments, except the AM and TM, were not disturbed by the magnetic force. By changing the

position of the AM, the pulling direction and pulling force of the soft tissue clip could be easily changed, the mucosal dissection surface was well exposed, and sufficient tension was maintained. In addition, the soft tissue clip did not fall off and the mucosa was not torn. The marked mucosal lesions were completely stripped without any complications, but there was one case of perforation and two cases of incompletely stripped marked mucosal lesions in the control group. The diameters of specimen sizes did not significantly differ between the two groups (2.44 *vs.* 2.49, $P > 0.05$; Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Our results emphasize the safety and efficacy MAT-ESD in early gastric cancer. Six operations were successfully completed, and in all of these operations, the mucosal lesion was completely peeled off without tearing of the mucosa or detachment of the TM and soft tissue clip.

The MAT belongs to the category of magnetic surgery. It is currently an auxiliary technique for ESD with great application prospects. The MAT primarily uses the magnetic force between the magnets, and this helps overcome the disadvantage of ESD being difficult to operate and also gives the endoscope operator a “third hand.” The magnetic materials used in the magnetic anchor system are primarily electromagnets and permanent magnets^[34]. For electromagnets, the intensity of the magnetic field can be controlled by changing the amount of electricity. However, they are large and bulky, making it challenging to use them in the narrow digestive tract. Conversely, high-performance permanent magnets are based on compounds with excellent intrinsic magnetic properties and optimized microstructure and alloy composition. At present, the most powerful permanent magnet materials are RE-TM intermetallic alloys, which derive their exceptional magnetic properties from the favorable combination of rare earth metals (RE = Nd, Pr, and Sm) with transition metals (TM = Fe and Co); specifically, magnets based on (Nd, Pr)₂Fe₁₄B and Sm₂(Co, Cu, Fe, Zr)₁₇ are particularly good permanent magnets^[35]. In addition, considering the low corrosion resistance of neodymium magnets, which is of particular concern in the acidic environment of the

stomach, and the possibility of interference of the magnetic field with other surgical instruments, the shielding material used must be inert to the human body and unobstructed to the magnetic field, such as titanium alloys, ring oxygen resin, or a copper-based alloy (with additional coating)^[34].

The TM used in previous studies is a simple magnetic ring^[36-38], whereas our TM uses permanent magnets (Nd-Fe-B) and a permalloy shell to shield the impact of magnetic fields on surgical instruments and people, thus enhancing the attraction between the AM and TM. Finally, the size of the TM was optimized considering the size of the digestive tract and the physiological environment, and the tail suspension structure was designed for connection with the soft tissue clip considering both the characteristics of the digestive tract and magnetic requirements.

The main disadvantage of this experiment is that it was an external experiment, and the findings may differ in an internal animal experiment or a clinical study. However, we were unable to assess the risk of postoperative complications, such as bleeding, perforation, and strictures. Second, because the abdominal thickness in human beings differs from that in pigs, our findings cannot help predict the effect of abdominal wall thickness when this technique is applied to humans. Third, because the mucosal lesion is marked by the experimenter and is subjective, it was not possible to evaluate the influence of surgery on the size and location of the lesion.

However, MAT has shown great clinical potential when used as an auxiliary technique for ESD. The results of this study show that MAT-ESD is safe and effective. This study lays a solid foundation for the next animal experiment and clinical study and provides a preliminary foundation for the accuracy and optimization of the magnetic anchor device.

CONCLUSION

The safety and efficacy of MAT-ESD have been demonstrated in early gastric cancer, albeit only in external animal experiments. However, MAT shows advantages over other assistive technologies, such as flexibility to change the magnitude and direction of

traction. This method shows great auxiliary potential in ESD and has good prospects for clinical application.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Gastric cancer (GC) has high morbidity and mortality, which are already in the advanced stages when diagnosed, resulting in poor prognosis and a low survival rate. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) has become the main surgical method for early GC, improving the detection and therapy of GC. However, endoscopists are limited by some deficiencies of ESD, such as the steep learning curve and high technical skill requirements. Therefore, an assistant technique, the magnetic anchor technique (MAT), has been invented to improve the procedure of ESD.

Research motivation

ESD has become the standard therapy for early GC, but it still has the space for improvement. There are some assisted techniques, such as the clip-with-line method, pulley method, sheath traction method, and external forceps method, improving the endoscopists' feeling of operation. However, recent assisted techniques also have trouble controlling and maintaining tissue tension. Our own designed assisted technique, MAT, are objective to solve the mentioned problems above.

Research objectives

This study aims to evaluate the safety and efficacy of MAT-assisted ESD in early GC.

Research methods

This was an *ex vivo* animal experiment. The experimental models were the isolated stomachs of pigs, which were divided into two groups, namely the study group ($n = 6$) with MAT-assisted ESD and the control group ($n = 6$) with traditional ESD. The magnetic anchor device for assisting ESD in the study group comprised three parts, an

anchor magnet (AM), a target magnet (TM), and a soft tissue clip. The soft tissue clip and the TM, which were connected by a thin wire through the TM tail structure, were delivered to the pre-marked mucosal lesion through the gastroscopic operating hole under gastroscopic guidance. Then, The soft tissue clip was released by manipulating the operating handle of the soft tissue clip in a way that the soft tissue clip and the TM were fixed to the lesion mucosa. *In vitro*, ESD is aided by maneuvering the AM such that the mucosal dissection surface is exposed. Finally, Comparing the total surgical time, incidence of surgical complications, complete mucosal resection rate, specimen size, and the scores of endoscopist's satisfaction with the procedure reflected their feelings about convenience during the surgical procedure between the two groups.

Research results

ALL operations were successfully completed. The total surgical time was shorter in the study group than in the control group (26.57 ± 0.19 vs. 29.97 ± 0.28 , $P < 0.001$), and during the operation in the study group, and there were no significant differences in the incidence of surgical complications (100% vs. 83.3%), complete mucosal resection rate (100% vs. 66.7%, $P = 0.439$), and specimen size (2.44 ± 0.04 cm vs. 2.49 ± 0.02 , $P = 0.328$) between the two groups. In the study group, there was no detachment of the soft tissue clip and TM and no mucosal tearing. The magnetic force between the AM and TM provided good mucosal exposure and sufficient tissue tension for ESD. Therefore, the scores of endoscopist's satisfaction with the procedure were higher in the study group than in the control group (9.53 ± 0.10 vs. 8.00 ± 0.22 , $P < 0.001$).

Research conclusions

MAT-ESD is safe and effective for early GC.

Research perspectives

This *ex vivo* experiment provides a rudimentary for subsequent ² internal animal experiments and clinical research. With the accumulation of operational experience, this technique has broad clinical prospects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We appreciated all individuals who participate in or help with this research.

47%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.researchsquare.com Internet	1576 words — 44%
2	Min Pan, Miao-Miao Zhang, Shu-Qin Xu, Yi Lyu, Xiao-Peng Yan. "Magnetic anchor technique assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection for early esophageal cancer", World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2023 Crossref	87 words — 2%
3	assets.researchsquare.com Internet	16 words — < 1%
4	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	14 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES < 12 WORDS
EXCLUDE MATCHES < 12 WORDS