

75945_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 75945

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

Hepatobiliary phases in magnetic resonance imaging using liver-specific contrast for focal lesions in clinical practice

Daniel Alvarenga Fernandes, Eduardo Andreazza Dal Lago, Felipe Aguera Oliver, Bruna Melo Coelho Loureiro, Daniel Lahan Martins, Thiago José Penachim, Ricardo Hoelz de Oliveira Barros, José de Arimatéia Batista Araújo Filho, Larissa Bastos Eloy da Costa, Áurea Maria Oliveira da Silva, Elaine Cristina de Ataíde, Ilka de Fátima Santana Ferreira Boin, Nelson Marcio Gomes Caserta

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Challenging lesions, difficult to diagnose through non-invasive methods, constitute an important emotional burden for each patient regarding a still uncertain diagnosis (malignant x benign). In addition, from a therapeutic and prognostic point of view, delay in a definitive diagnosis can lead to worse outcomes. One of the main innovative trends currently is the use of molecular and functional methods to diagnosis. Numerous liver-specific contrast agents have been developed and studied in recent years to improve the performance of liver MRI. More recently, one of the contrast agents introduced in clinical practice is gadoxetic acid (gadoxetate disodium).

AIM

To demonstrate the value of the hepatobiliary phases using gadoxetic acid in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) for the characterization of focal liver lesions (FLL) in clinical practice.

METHODS

Overall, 302 Lesions were studied in 136 patients who underwent MRI exams using gadoteric acid for the assessment of FLL. Two radiologists independently reviewed the MRI exams using four stages, and categorized them on a 6-point scale, from 0 (lesion not detected) to 5 (definitely malignant). The stages were: stage 1- images without contrast, stage 2- addition of dynamic phases after contrast (analogous to usual extracellular contrasts), stage 3- addition of hepatobiliary phase after 10 minutes (HBP 10'), stage 4- hepatobiliary phase after 20 minutes (HBP 20') in addition to stage 2.

RESULTS

¹ The interobserver agreement was high (weighted Kappa coefficient: 0.81- 1) at all stages in the characterization of benign and malignant FLL. The diagnostic weighted accuracy (Az) was 0.80 in stage 1 and was increased to 0.90 in stage 2. ¹ Addition of the hepatobiliary phase increased Az to 0.98 in stage ³, which was also 0.98 in stage 4.

CONCLUSION

³ The hepatobiliary sequences improve diagnostic accuracy. With growing potential in the era of precision medicine, the improvement and dissemination of the method among medical specialties can bring benefits in the management of patients with focal liver lesions that are difficult to diagnose.

Key Words: Liver; Liver neoplasms; Liver Transplantation; Medical oncology; Diagnostic imaging; Magnetic resonance imaging.

Fernandes DA, Dal Lago EA, Oliver FA, Loureiro BMC, Martins DL, Penachim TJ, Barros RHO, Araújo Filho JAB, Eloy da Costa LB, da Silva ÁMO, de Ataíde EC, Boin IFSF, Caserta NMG. Hepatobiliary phases in magnetic resonance imaging using liver-specific contrast for focal lesions in clinical practice. *World J Hepatol* 2022; In press

Core Tip: The translational objective was to determine the value of hepatobiliary phases (HBP) using gadoxetic acid as a liver-specific agent in MRI in the characterization of benign and malignant FLL in clinical practice. Morphofunctional MRI with gadoxetic acid in addition to the usual dynamic phases after contrast medium (arterial, portal and transitional/ equilibrium) increased the proportion of hits for differentiation between benign and malignant FLL in relation to the definitive diagnosis. The results suggest a relevant impact on the definition of strategies for the approach of focal hepatic lesions, as well as in the assessment of the treatment employed.

INTRODUCTION

The accurate characterization of focal liver lesions (FLL) has great clinical relevance. Although ultrasonography (US) and computed tomography (CT) are the most important diagnostic tools for screening FLL, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a well-established diagnostic imaging method in clinical practice and produces images without ionizing radiation, with good spatial resolution and excellent tissue resolution, thus allowing a very reliable assessment. Challenging lesions, difficult to diagnose through non-invasive methods, constitute an important emotional burden for each patient regarding a still uncertain diagnosis (malignant x benign). In addition, from a therapeutic and prognostic point of view, delay in a definitive diagnosis can lead to worse outcomes. One of the main innovative trends currently is the use of molecular and functional methods. Combined with diffusion and dynamic studies of the liver after administration of a contrast medium, MRI stands out as the most accurate non-invasive imaging method for the detection and characterization of FLL [1].

Numerous liver-specific contrast agents have been developed and studied in recent years to improve the performance of liver MRI, specifically those that are captured by liver cells by hepatocytes (gadolinium-based compounds), such as gadobenate dimeglumine (Gd-BOPTA), mangafodipir trisodium (Mn-DPDP), or by Kupffer cells which are particles of super magnetic iron oxide. Recently, one of the

contrast agents introduced in clinical practice is gadoxetic acid (gadoxetate), formed by gadolinium and the ligand ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)^[2]. The gadoxetic acid has hepatocellular uptake and biliary excretion (about 50% in healthy patients), which allows to carry out routine three-phase dynamic studies at first (arterial, portal and transitional/ equilibrium), with the characteristics of the liver parenchyma and FLL similar to the extracellular gadolinium, such as gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA), followed by hepatobiliary assessment in the same exam ^[3-6]. Given the particular importance in each patient's outcome of the correct diagnosis of a challenging focal liver lesion, the recent introduction of this contrast medium in MRI and its potential uses, the objective was to determine ⁴ the value of hepatobiliary phases (HBP) using gadoxetic acid as a liver-specific agent in MRI in addition to the non-contrast and dynamic phases after contrast in the characterization of benign and malignant FLL in clinical practice, including hepatocellular carcinoma and metastases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

Controlled diagnostic clinical trial. Identification of the study under the Universal Trial Number (UTN): U1111-1247-9655.

Inclusion criteria

- Abdominal MRI exams with the use of a liver-specific contrast agent for the assessment of FLL characterized as challenging- assessments that had already been identified in previous exams (US and CT with contrast and/or MRI with conventional gadolinium), but that remained undetermined, requiring diagnostic complementation for clarification.

Exclusion criteria

- Absence of definitive diagnostic criteria for FLL.
- Previous radiofrequency ablation and/or chemoembolization of the lesion to be analyzed.

- Artifacts in the exam preventing adequate characterization of the lesion to be analyzed.
- Absence of detection of FLL in the MRI exam.

Criteria used for the definitive diagnosis

The definitive diagnostic criterion for malignant lesions (liver metastases and hepatocellular carcinoma- HCCs) and adenomas was based on anatomopathological confirmation. The histopathological slides were blindly reviewed by an experienced pathologist at the liver transplant unit of the hospital. The criteria used for the definitive diagnosis of other benign lesions (focal nodular hyperplasia- FNH, cysts, and hemangiomas) was the histopathological assessment or the absence of changes in the imaging follow-up (CT or MRI) of two years without treatment.

Technical parameters

The exams were performed in a 1.5 T (Tesla) MRI scanner, with a 4-channel body sense coil. The patients were required to fast for 6 h, prior to scanning. Non-contrast T1-weighted sequences, in-phase and out-of-phase, and T2-weighted coronal sequences were performed. A dynamic study was conducted following injection of the contrast medium with T1-weighted sequences with fat saturation before and after intravenous injection of the contrast medium, with a dose of 0.1 mL/kg of weight (equivalent to 0.025 mmol/kg) in bolus, using an automatic injector, at a rate of 1.5 mL/s, followed by a flush of 20 mL of saline solution at the same rate of infusion. After the injection of gadoxetic acid, axial images and T1-weighted gradient echo sequences with fat saturation were obtained in these dynamic phases: arterial within 15 to 20 s after the start of the intravenous injection, portal after 60 s, transition after 120 s, and in the hepatobiliary phase within 10 and 20 min after the start of the intravenous injection. Between the transition phase and the hepatobiliary phase, T2-weighted images with and without fat saturation and diffusion-weighted sequences (DWI, b-value 1000) were acquired. The technical parameters used in each sequence are shown in Figure 1.

Image analysis

Two radiologists (radiologist A with 5 years of experience in abdominal radiology, while radiologist B has more than 10 years) independently assessed the four stages of images in the following order: stage 1: non-contrast images (T1-pre-contrast; T2-weighted images with and without fat saturation; DWI, b-value 1000); stage 2: non-contrast images and dynamic phases following injection of gadoxetic acid (arterial, portal, and transition phase); stage 3: addition of hepatobiliary phase ten minutes (HBP10') following the injection of gadoxetic acid in stage 2; stage 4: addition of hepatobiliary phase twenty minutes (HBP 20') following the injection of gadoxetic acid in stage 2. A 6-point scale was created by the author for the assessment of each focal liver lesion in each stage as follows: score 0: lesion not detected in this stage; score 1: definitely benign; score 2: probably benign; score 3: undetermined; score 4: probably malignant; score 5: definitely malignant. The total time of analysis for each observer was three months, respecting the time interval of fifteen days between stages to avoid the influence of previous findings, to thus obtain an independent assessment of each stage. The two radiologists blindly assessed clinical-laboratory data and definitive diagnoses, and each issued its own report according to the parameters proposed by the researcher. The objective was to carry out an independent double assessment and subsequent comparison. Each observer reported the number of lesions diagnosed for each stage, the location (Couinaud segmentation ^[7]), and the proposed scores for each stage. The findings of each observer were analyzed with an assessment of the interobserver agreement. The cases of disagreement were discussed, and a consensus was reached.

Statistical analysis

Only lesions that appeared in the same location at the different stages of MRI and in the criteria for definitive diagnosis were considered correctly detected and characterized by the observers. The method of Generalized Estimating Equations- GEE ^[8] was used to compare the stages. The estimates were calculated by maximum likelihood to weight the difference in the number of repetitions for each patient. The statistical review of the study was performed by a medical statistician. The Receiver

Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for repeated measurements was used to assess the accuracy of each stage in relation to the definitive diagnosis [9]. The observations in each patient are not independent, and intra-patient correlation and variation were introduced in the analyses using a generalized linear mixed model. The accuracy of each stage was compared estimating a logistic regression model for repeated measurements using the method of generalized estimation equations- GEE [10]. A level of significance was adopted to be 5%.

RESULTS

Characterization of lesions according to the criteria for the definitive diagnosis

After approval of the project by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee, it was found that 290 MRI exams had been performed consecutively during the study period in patients over 18 years of age who had used gadoxetic acid in the characterization of FLL that had already been identified in previous exams (US and CT and/or MRI with conventional gadolinium), that had undetermined characterization, requiring diagnostic complementation. The exclusion criteria are shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the final sample according to the criteria used for the definitive diagnosis was composed of 302 Lesions from 136 patients who performed MRI exams using gadoxetic acid for the assessment of FLL, with 160 benign lesions (53.0%) and 142 malignant lesions (47.0%). Benign lesions included: focal nodular hyperplasia ($n = 90$; 56.2%); cysts ($n = 36$; 22.5%); hemangiomas ($n = 22$; 13.7 %); adenomas ($n = 12$; 7.5%). Malignant lesions included: metastases ($n = 87$; 61.3 %) and hepatocellular carcinomas-HCCs ($n = 55$; 38.7%). The number of lesions according to the criteria for the definitive diagnosis in each patient ranged from 1-5 Lesions (mean 2.4; SD 1.8). The diameter of the 160 benign lesions ranged from 0.4 cm to 8.8 cm (mean 2.7 cm; SD 1.9 cm). The diameter of the 142 malignant lesions ranged between 0.4 cm and 7.8 cm (mean 2.1 cm; SD 1.7 cm).

Characterization of patients

The final sample, based on the criteria used for the definitive diagnosis, was composed of 302 Lesions from 136 patients who performed MRI exams using gadoxetic acid for the assessment of FLL. Of these 136 patients, 80 (58.8%) were female, with a mean age of 43 years (SD 19). Personal history of cancer was present in 52.9% of patients (colorectal 95.5%; gastric 11.8%; breast 8.8%; prostate 8.1%; melanoma 7.3%; pheochromocytoma 4.4%).

Interobserver agreement

The weighted Kappa coefficient is used to describe the agreement between two or more observers when performing a nominal or ordinal assessment of the same sample and demonstrated high agreement (between 0.81 and 1) for all stages in the characterization of benign and malignant FLL. Of the total 302 Lesions, there was disagreement between observers in ten lesions in stage 1; eight lesions in stage 2; seven lesions in stages 3 and 4. For lesions where in there was no agreement between observers, the consensus of the radiologists was used for the final definition.

Diagnostic performance parameters

The accuracy weighted by the number of repetitions of lesions in each patient showed a good proportion of correct answers for differentiating between benign and malignant lesions (Figure 3). There were significant differences between the accuracy of the four stages ($P = 0.0002$, GEE, Figure 3).

The comparison of the weighted accuracy (area under the curve - AUC) showed that the accuracy of stage 1 was lower than the accuracy of stages 2 and 3/4. The accuracy of stage 2 was lower than the accuracy of stages 3 and 4. There were no significant differences between stages 3 and 4 (Figure 3).

Results of the generalized estimation equations (GEE) to study the size factor (numerical and categorization) in the stages

The characterizations in the stages of only the malignant lesions were associated with the numerical size (in cm) of the FLL. Each unit of increase in the size of the malignant lesion increases the chance of characterization with higher scores by 1.26 at each of all stages. Characterizations in the stages of only malignant lesions were

associated with the size of the FLL categorized as $<1\text{cm}$ and $\geq 1.0\text{ cm}$. Malignant lesions $\geq 1\text{ cm}$ are 2.4 times more likely to be characterized with higher scores at all stages than lesions $< 1\text{ cm}$ (Table 1). Figure 4 shows subcentimetric metastasis in a cancer patient detected only in the hepatobiliary phases and a pseudo lesion.

DISCUSSION

The present study found a significant increase in the diagnostic reliability of malignant lesions (HCC and metastases) with the inclusion of stage 3 compared to stage 2. An ideal diagnostic tool by liver imaging should have a high diagnostic accuracy to provide an adequate therapeutic approach in malignant and benign cases. The MRI with gadoxetic acid has revealed excellent diagnostic performance for detecting metastases in recent meta-analyses [11-13]. The combined use of diffusion weighted sequences (DWI) and hepatobiliary phases in clinical practice is recommended in patients with potentially resectable liver metastases [14-15].

Still on the significant increase in the diagnostic reliability in the characterization of malignant lesions found in our study, the HCC is one of the few malignancies that can be diagnosed by imaging alone, without the need for confirmation by biopsy when the image is typical. Different guidelines established by medical groups and entities are used in patients at risk for HCC and reflect clinical and epidemiological differences, underlying etiologies of liver disease, socioeconomic background, and specificities of each region, such as surveillance and available therapeutic options [16-25]. The additional benefit of diffusion and a liver-specific contrast is recognized by the American College of Radiology (ACR) and is incorporated into the Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LIRADS) [26, 27].

There was an increase in diagnostic reliability in the characterization of benign lesions with the addition of the hepatobiliary phases (stage 3) compared to stage 2. For benign lesions, a recent systematic review concludes that the low signal intensity in the hepatobiliary phases can help distinguish between adenomas and FNH [28].

Our research also showed the value of morphofunctional MRI with gadoxetic acid as a liver-specific contrast in the diagnosis of pseudo lesions, since 11 exams were excluded (3.8%) from the initial sample of 290 due to the absence of detectable lesions in the MRI exam. The lesions had been observed in other previous imaging methods, remaining undetermined. It is also noteworthy that 12 exams (4.1%) were excluded from the 290 of the initial sample due to ¹artifacts preventing adequate characterization of the lesion to be analyzed, such as the phenomenon of “transient dyspnea”. Studies relate this artifact to the use of gadoxetic acid, although the data is not consistent and the pathophysiology is not yet fully elucidated ^[29-31].

In this study, stages 3 and 4 showed identical results in the characterization of FLL. Although recommendations point to the acquisition of HBP20', some evidences suggest the possibility of earlier acquisition (HBP10') in the assessment of part of the cases of FLL ^[32-35]. Other cases individualized according to the diagnostic suspicion in clinical practice may require phases after 20 or possibly up to 30 minutes after contrast medium injection, for example the differentiation between biliary lesions and extra biliary cysts ²that do not communicate with bile ducts, such as duodenal duplication cysts, duodenal diverticula and pseudo cysts. The liver-specific contrast ²delineates the biliary tract demonstrating the communication of the biliary cystic lesions. ²Considering the complexity of the hepatic anatomy as well of the more refined surgical techniques, ³the previous Knowledge of the biliary anatomy and its variations becomes increasingly important in the preoperative planning. ²The anatomical and functional characterization of intra and extrahepatic biliary tract ⁸is provided through biliary excretion of the gadoxetic acid, and can ⁸reduces the occurrence of postoperative complications. In addition, hepatobiliary contrast-enhanced cholangiography allows for the accurate detection of postoperative complications (biliary fistulas, bilomas) ^[36, 38].

Some considerations should be made about this study. The assessed ¹MRI exams are from patients who are part of a cohort at the institutional FLL outpatient clinic; thus, the results of this research with an institutional-based sample may differ from results with population-based samples. Moreover, all images were acquired with the same

parameter and the observers are familiar with the specific technical protocols, as in the usual clinical routine conditions.

Given the reality of the higher cost of liver-specific contrast in most countries, we highlight the value of morphofunctional MRI with the hepatobiliary phase, notably in specific situations after, for example, the diagnosis of a FLL has remained undetermined in previous exams (US and CT with contrast and/or MRI with extracellular contrast routinely used), as in the screening of patients in our study. The use and additional analysis in clinical practice of hepatobiliary stages (steps 3 and 4 in this study) as a criterion for information aggregation in relation to other sequences routinely performed in CT and MRI scans (stage 1: non-contrast images and stage 2 - dynamic phases after contrast, analogous to the phases with extracellular contrast- arterial, portal and equilibrium/transition) may benefit a specific group of patients. Good cost-effective practices for the use of this methodology in morphofunctional MRI with liver-specific contrast may include, therefore, 1- the elucidation of possible pseudo-lesions (perfusion alterations x HCC, for example; ² most HCCs, except the well-differentiated ones, present hypo signal in the hepatobiliary phases) and and/or problem solving in patients with lesions with atypical characteristics by imaging; 2- the diagnosis of small metastatic lesions in potential patients for surgical treatment; 3- the search to complement information to increase diagnostic assertiveness in benign lesions still undetermined (hepatocellular x non-hepatocellular origin; or biliary lesions x extra biliary cysts); 4- the definitive diagnosis in the non-invasive era of malignant lesions hitherto uncharacteristic in previous exams with routine extracellular contrast agents (either through the potential increase in the LIRADS category in hepatocellular carcinomas or through a more assertive diagnosis of secondary liver involvement), as demonstrated herein. These applications mentioned above refer to the context more focused on FLL, without including the other important potential indications like those mentioned in the discussion of this study.

Other potential benefits in living laboratories integrating translational research and technological innovations have brought to light new uses of this methodology in

morphofunctional MRI with liver-specific contrast, such as imaging biomarkers, outcome predictions and co-creation intelligences for the resolution and/or amelioration of specific diseases to patients, emerging as promising prospects. Further potential liver-specific contrast applications include assesment of liver fibrosis, the evaluation of the functional hepatic reserve before partial hepatectomy; evaluation of live donor's hepatic function as well as evaluation of early liver failure after transplantation. In another active area of investigation, morphofunctional MRI with liver-specific contrast may provide a system for stratifying patients according to risk of recurrence with a likely influence on the outcomes of locoregional HCC treatments [39]. The congruence of different knowledge is evident in medical practice and in the necessary advances.

CONCLUSION

The value of morphofunctional MRI with gadoxetic acid as a liver-specific contrast in addition to the usual dynamic phases after contrast medium (arterial, portal and transitional/ equilibrium) was to increase the proportion of hits for differentiation between benign and malignant FLL in relation to the definitive diagnosis. The interobserver agreement was high (0.81-1). With growing potential in the era of precision medicine, the improvement and dissemination of the method among medical specialties can bring benefits in the management of patients with focal liver lesions that are difficult to diagnose.

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|----------|---|---------------|
| 1 | ensaiosclinicos.gov.br
<small>Internet</small> | 82 words — 2% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 2 | www.scielo.br
<small>Internet</small> | 81 words — 2% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 3 | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
<small>Internet</small> | 65 words — 2% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 4 | repositorio.unicamp.br
<small>Internet</small> | 61 words — 2% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 5 | Daniel Alvarenga Fernandes, Daniel Lahan Martins, Thiago José Penachim, Ricardo Hoelz de Oliveira Barros et al. "The value of morphofunctional magnetic resonance imaging with hepatospecific contrast agent in the characterization of hepatocellular carcinoma in a non-cirrhotic patient with hepatitis C", Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira, 2020
<small>Crossref</small> | 47 words — 1% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 6 | doaj.org
<small>Internet</small> | 25 words — 1% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 7 | Antônio Prates, Bruno Colognese, Wolnei Caumo, Luciana Cadore Stefani. "Development of a recovery-room discharge checklist (SAMPE Checklist) for safe handover | 22 words — 1% |

and its comparison with Aldrete and White scoring systems",
Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology (English Edition), 2021

Crossref

8

rb.org.br

Internet

21 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	< 1%
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 10 WORDS