# 65877\_Auto\_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 65877

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Risk assessment of hepatitis E transmission through tissue allografts

hepatitis E transmission through tissue allografts

Abstract

Hepatitis E virus is a small non-enveloped single stranded RNA virus whose genotypes

3 and 4 have been associated with zoonotic transmission in industrialized countries.

Hepatitis E virus infection is considered the main cause of acute hepatitis worldwide. In

some cases, transfusion of blood components or organ transplantation have been

reported as the source of infection. We have conducted a literature review on the risk of

transmission through cell and tissue allografts. Although no case was found, measures

to control this risk should be taken when donor profile (based upon geographical and

behavioural data) recommended it. Issues to be considered in donor screening and

tissue processing to assess and to reduce the risk of Hepatitis E virus transmission are

approached.

Key Words: Hepatitis E, tissue allograft, risk assessment, disease transmission, donor

screening, bioburden reduction

Villalba R, Mirabet V. Risk assessment of hepatitis E transmission through tissue

allografts. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol 2022; In press

Core Tip: This manuscript provide a novel perspective of the mode of transmission of

HEV. HEV is mainly transmitted via fecal-oral route, but in recent years other

transmission routes have been reported, including blood-borne transmission.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

There are several types of human tissues which are commonly used as allografts: bone, tendon, cartilage, skin, cornea, amniotic membrane, stem cells, heart valve, blood vessel, *etc.* Almost all surgical disciplines benefit of its availability. Thus, millions of human tissue transplants are performed worldwide every year<sup>[1]</sup>.

One of the drawbacks of these procedures is the potential for donor to recipient disease transmission. Although the real incidence of tissue allograft transmitted infection is unknown, some articles have published cases of viral, bacterial and fungal infections transmitted by tissues<sup>[2-5]</sup>. Regarding the different infectious agents, hepatotropic viruses have represented traditionally the real *workhorse* in maintaining the safety of tissues used for transplantation.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) can cause acute and chronic hepatitis and potentially lead to the development of cirrhosis, liver cancer and death. In the EU, estimated 4.7 million people have a chronic HBV infection, and 3.9 million people have chronic hepatitis C. Many of these infections may go undiagnosed as chronic infection is often asymptomatic and a hypothetical tissue donor could be a potential transmitter of the disease<sup>[6]</sup>.

Risk factors for HBV and HCV infection are now clearly established<sup>[7-11]</sup>. In recent decades, various factors have contributed towards changes in HBV and HCV epidemiology, including improvements in donor tissue safety. A rigorous evaluation of clinical, behavioral, and personal risks is now performed as it may completely exclude a donor<sup>[12-13]</sup>. In addition to this, all potential tissue donors must be tested for both serological anti-HBc, HBsAg anti-HCV and for HCV-HBV by nucleic acid testing. Based on both criteria, the risk of HCV and HCV transmission is currently very low established in 1 in 34,000 for HBV and 1 in 42,000 for HCV<sup>[14]</sup>.

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection is one of the main causes of acute hepatitis in both developed and developing countries. This infectious disease has a high prevalence and incidence in Europe and has a greater clinical impact in vulnerable populations, such as

immunosuppressed patients, pregnant women, and patients with underlying liver disease<sup>[10,15-16]</sup>.

To date, there are no specific recommendations for the screening of this disease in blood, tissue, or organ donors, which may cause this route to be an important source of disease transmission.

### Information retrieval system

A search using the following search string: (hepatitis E virus [Title/Abstract] OR HEV [Title/Abstract] NOT high endothelial venules [Title/Abstract]) was conducted. Applying these criteria on PubMed database (for articles published in last 20 years) 5,485 records were recovered (figure 1). This search was developed on 5th December 2020. Six hundred forty-three (11.7%) of them corresponded to reviews and 0.6% to systematic reviews (the first being published in 2009). When the search was restricted (using the Boolean operator AND) to the articles involving the word allograft, only 19 (0.3%) complied to the new condition. Seventy nine percent (15/19) of these last articles dealt only on organ transplantation, 2 on the transfusion of blood components (specially in relation to hematopoietic transplantation) and the other 2 were discarded because the reason for their recovery was the use of the acronym HEV (without description) to refer to high endothelial venules. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, the present paper is the first cross reference between HEV and tissue allografts.

## Hepatitis E virus

Hepatitis E virus is a small non-enveloped positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus, encased within an icosahedral capsid of between 27 and 34 nm in size belonging to the family Hepeviridae within the genus Orthohepevirus. Seven different genotypes have been described for the HEV. Five of them (1-4 and 7) can infect humans and the other two (5, 6) are found only in animals (boar). Genotypes 1 and 2 (HEV-1, HEV-2) have been found only in humans while genotypes 3 and 4 circulate in several animals (including pigs, rabbit, cattle, sheep, horse, boar, deer, and shellfish) and genotype 7 in camel. Genotypes 1 and 2 are directly transmitted fecal-orally, or indirectly, mainly *via* contaminated water. Genotypes 3 and 4 (HEV-3, HEV-4) are zoonotic infections with an

animal reservoir, being indirectly transmitted through food (when consumed raw or undercooked) or by direct contact with infected animals. Thus, professionals who work in contact with animals or their wastes and carcasses (farmers, veterinarians, workers attending animals, slaughterers, traders, and suppliers) could be in higher risk of HEV infection<sup>[14,15-18]</sup>. In an effort to avoid inconsistencies when the HEV subtypes are named, Smith *et al*<sup>[19]</sup> have proposed standardization for the assignation of HEV sequences to each subtype. Likewise, the World Health Organization promoted the development of international standards for diagnostic assays<sup>[15,20]</sup>.

Additionally to the host and mode of transmission, HEV genotypes also vary in geographical distribution. Genotype 1 is prevalent in Africa and Asia, whereas HEV-2 can be found in México and West Africa. Thus, HEV-1 and HEV-2 are responsible for HEV outbreaks in developing countries, with limited sanitary conditions, due to contaminated drinking water. Genotypes 3 and 4 are associated with zoonotic transmission as autochthonous (locally acquired) infection in industrialized countries<sup>[21]</sup>.

Clinical symptoms of HEV infection do not differ from other pathogens causing hepatitis. Therefore, diagnosis is performed by HEV RNA detection using real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) with primers detecting all 4 genotypes affecting humans. Additionally, detection of HEV IgM and IgG antibodies is performed by ELISA. These data characterize HEV infection as acute (demonstration of specific IgM, rising levels of IgG, or detection of HEV RNA), passed, or chronic (positive results for HEV-RNA for more than 6 mo)<sup>[14,22]</sup>. Likewise, HEV antigen detection assay has been found to be used when HEV RNA testing is not available or time is limited<sup>[16]</sup>. Although HEV Ag shows low sensitivity with viral loads lower than 1,000 copies/mL, it has shown good correlation with HEV-RNA, being useful in diagnosing infection in immunosuppressed patients<sup>[23-24]</sup>. Another issue to be considered, when Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus infection are present, is the risk of false positive results from anti-HEV IgM assays<sup>[25]</sup>.

HEV transmission between persons by direct contact has proven very inefficient probably due to the high infective dose required<sup>[26]</sup>. Although it is associated with low mortality rates (<1%) in the general population, this risk increases (approximately 20%) during pregnancy<sup>[14]</sup>.

Although hepatitis viruses have been suggested to play a role of in the development of autoimmune hepatitis (AIH)<sup>[27]</sup>, a large multicentre study did not find differences in the prevalence of anti-HEV IgG between AIH and healthy patients<sup>[28]</sup>. Additionally, they did not identify chronically HEV-infected patients within the AIH cohort.

HEV virions, as those of HAV (both hepatotropic virus but phylogenetically unrelated), are known to be non-enveloped in feces, but they circulate in the bloodstream coated in a lipid membrane. This kind of virus particles has been named quasi-enveloped virions<sup>[29]</sup>.

The main risk factors on HEV infection to be considered for donor screening can be summarized in:

Areas with limited access to essential services as water, sanitation, and health care facilities.

Consumption of undercooked or raw foodstuffs from animals.

Middle-aged and elderly men.

The severity of the consequences increases when these factors occur together with others related to the recipients, as pregnant women (because fulminant hepatitis occurs more frequently during pregnancy) or immunocompromised patients (as solid organ transplant recipients or patients receiving hematopoietic progenitor cell transplantation).

# Risk assessment of hepatitis E transmission through Substances of Human Origin (SoHO)

HEV is considered to be the most common cause of acute hepatitis worldwide<sup>[30]</sup>. Its infection typically follows a fairly routine clinical course with an incubation period of 2 to 6 wk, followed by a detectable viraemia in serum along to symptoms such as

abdominal pain, vomiting, jaundice, *etc.* Usually, the disease course is self-limiting. As said before, some individual profiles can lead to a more severe hepatic complication.

Whereas HEV-3 infection in healthy humans is mostly asymptomatic, HEV 3 can induce chronic infection in immunocompromised individuals and acute on chronic liver failure in patients with underlying liver diseases. Recent data suggest that the number of reported cases of HEV infections in Europe increased significantly during recent years<sup>[31]</sup>.

Although HEV is not routinely screened during blood donation in most countries, there have been prospective studies that have been conducted searching for markers of HEV infection in serum samples from potential blood donors to assess the local risk for transfusion related HEV<sup>[30,32]</sup>. The prevalence of detectable anti-HEV IgG positivity among blood donors varies among countries (table 1). Nevertheless, data can also vary among geographical regions of the same country<sup>[40]</sup>. Moreover, differences can also be observed depending on the type of diagnostic assay used for the seroprevalence assessment<sup>[38,55]</sup>.

A few cases of HEV infection have been reported to be transmitted by blood transfusion<sup>[56]</sup>. Since the first reported case of transmission human to human in Japan, some other cases have been reported in many countries<sup>[31]</sup>. In all of these, the HEV genomic sequence from blood donor and patient matched identically, confirming that the origin of the HEV infection was from the blood and had been transmitted to the patient by transfusion.

There are few data regarding the prevalence of HEV in organ transplant patients. HEV transmission through solid organ transplant have been reported after liver, heart, lung and kidney transplantation<sup>[57-60]</sup>, although to date the risk of HEV infection transmitted by transplantation is unknown.

We did not find data regarding HEV transmission by tissue allografts.

### Risk assessment of hepatitis E transmission through tissue allografts

Damaged or absent tissues can be replaced by biological (autografts and allografts) or artificial substitutes. Nowadays, tissue banks offer great availability of different kind of human tissues to be used as allografts, with high standards of safety and efficiency. Therefore, studies analyzing the prevalence of HEV among tissue donors would be needed, in addition to other studies carried out in tissue recipients that could reveal its potential infectivity.

The drawbacks of these studies must be taken into account since many recipients of bone, valves or skin are also recipients of blood components. It is therefore important in a risk assessment procedure to know the degree of *imputability* that human tissues could have at the implants for HEV transmission. Additionally, these studies could also provide data to evaluate the probability of transmission. The Netherlands provided a definition for both transfusion-associated hepatitis E and transplant-associated infection (Euro CDC). Based in that criteria, tissue transplant-associated HEV Infection can be defined as "an acute hepatitis E within 6-8 wk after tissue transplantation (detected by HEV-RNA), where the donor was HEV-RNA positive and at least HEV ORF1/ORF2 hypervariable regions of donor and recipient strains are identical by sequencing".

It would be important to know the possible medium-long-term side effects for HEV regardless of the implant results. These studies could be obtained by the knowledge about their *severity*, in order to complete the risk assessment.

There are tissues which can be sterilized since cell viability is not relevant for their clinical efficiency or their biomechanical properties are not significantly altered by the procedure. Likewise, the avascular character of some tissues (as cornea) carries lower risk than vascularized ones (as heart valves).

As very simple forms of life (small size and absence of free water) viruses can be preserved by freezing, not requiring controlled cooling or use of cryoprotectants, as glycerol, dimethyl sulphoxide or polyethylene glycol (the only presence of albumin in the storage solution could be effective for virus cryoprotection). Although virus infectivity can be compromised with long term storage at -20°C, temperatures ≤-80°C allow virus to survive. Additionally, virus can survive to several cycles of freezing/thawing<sup>[61]</sup>. Conversely, the process of drying and storing at room

temperature (conditions associated to lyophilization), could lead to the collapse of the lipid membrane<sup>[62]</sup>.

The storage in liquid nitrogen vs. vapour nitrogen has been related to higher risk of cross-contamination due to faulty seal, leak, or breakage of the containers (bags, cryovials, straws), by acting the liquid environment as vehicle for infectious agent diffusion<sup>[63,64]</sup>.

It is mandatory for tissue banks that provide sterile tissue allograft to follow several steps as donor screening, microbiological testing, aseptic harvesting and processing, disinfection, and, finally, terminal sterilization. According with the standards of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)<sup>[65]</sup>, sterilization is defined as a validated process to destroy, inactivate, or reduce microorganisms to a sterility assurance level (SAL) of 10<sup>-6</sup>. Achieving this SAL by a validated process allows labelling of terminally sterilized allografts as sterile<sup>[66]</sup>. Validation refers to establishing documentary evidence that provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce a product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes, and shall include the following elements<sup>[65]</sup>: (a) qualification of the tissue allografts and their packaging for sterilization; (b) qualification of the irradiation facility; (c) process qualification using a specified tissue allograft or simulated products in qualified equipment; (d) a certification procedure to review and approve documentation of *a-c*; (e) activities performed to support maintenance of validation.

A validated procedure for the sterilization of tissue allografts must demonstrate efficacy against all classes of microorganisms, throughout the tissue volume and, additionally, must not adversely affect the biological and biomechanical properties which are critical for its clinical use. The inclusion of a terminal inactivation step provides safety against not usually tested viruses in donor screening, such as HEV.

Both enveloped and non-enveloped viruses containing either DNA or RNA have been inactivated by low dose gamma irradiation of musculoskeletal tissues<sup>[67]</sup>. Both directly (by ionizing radiation) and indirectly (due to aqueous free radicals as intermediaries in

the transfer of radiation energy to biological molecules) effects are involved in the inactivation of allografts bioburden<sup>[68]</sup>.

Ethylene oxide inactivates all classes of microorganisms by alkylation of nucleic acids and proteins. However, concerns regarding its potential toxicity have led to a decrease of its use<sup>[69]</sup>.

HEV retained infectivity at temperatures up to  $60^{\circ}\text{C}^{[70]}$ , and heating for 1 min at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  yielded a log reduction of 0.48, which was increased up to 3.67 at  $95^{\circ}\text{C}^{[71]}$ . Thus, virus heat inactivation at 71°C for, at least, 20 min has been suggested [72]. Using a Lobator sd-2 system (telos, Marburg, Germany) validated to achieve a temperature of 82.5°C the centre of femoral heads with a diameter of  $\leq$ 56 mm, Pruss *et al*[73] obtained a titre reduction (4 Log<sub>10</sub> steps) of clinically relevant viruses.

Pruss et al<sup>[74]</sup> showed the treatment of spongiosa blocks with the peracetic acid-ethanol procedure as a methodology to sterilize bones (maximum thickness  $\leq$ 15mm). In this study, very slow inactivation kinetics for hepatitis A virus was observed. Thus, while a general reduction of virus titres by more than 4 Log<sub>10</sub> was determined, only HAV showed a reduction below that threshold (2.87), with residual infectivity.

#### CONCLUSION

Current evidence does not recommend to date the universal screening with HEV in tissue donors, although it could be advisable to include the revision of medical-social history about risk practices and in those cases be able to selectively screen for HEV.

# 65877\_Auto\_Edited.docx

$\sim$	-1		171/	DEE	PORT
( )K	1( - 11)	141	11 7	RFF	ואווי

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIN	//ARY	SOL	IR	٦FS
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	/// \/ \	$\mathcal{I}$	,,,,	ᅩᆫᄀ

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

 $\frac{1}{2}$  seimc.org 80 words -3%

 $\frac{\text{www.int-tissuebank.com}}{\text{Internet}} 74 \, \text{words} - 3\%$ 

publications.europa.eu 61 words -2%

van der Eijk, Annemiek A., Suzan D. Pas, Jan J.
Cornelissen, and Robert A. de Man. "Hepatitis E virus" 32 words — 1% infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients:",
Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases, 2014.

6 www-pub.iaea.org

29 words — 1 %