

90649_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

Manuscript NO: 90649

Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT

Ductal carcinoma in situ within a fibroadenoma: a case report

DCIS within a fibroadenoma

10

Yazmin Olivares-Antúnez, Yesika Janett Dávila-Zablah, José Raúl Vázquez-Ávila,
Gabriela Sofía Gómez-Macías, Margarita Lilia Garza-Montemayor

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Fibroadenoma is the most common tumor found in young women, although it can occur in any age group. Ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS) that is confined in a fibroadenoma is rare; it is most frequently reported as an incidental finding.

CASE SUMMARY

We report a case of ductal carcinoma *in situ* within a fibroadenoma in a 46-year-old female without cancer-related personal and family histories. The patient was diagnosed with a breast conglomerate of nodules and was followed for 1 year. In the current control image study, we found suspicious microcalcification, as a new finding, within one of the nodules. Consequently, a core biopsy of the tumor, which appeared hypoechoic, oval, and circumscribed, was performed. The pathological diagnosis was carcinoma *in situ* within a fibroepithelial lesion. The patient underwent breast-conserving surgery and received radiotherapy as well as endocrine therapy (tamoxifen).

CONCLUSION

We recommend a multidisciplinary approach for adequate treatment and follow-up.

Key Words: Carcinoma in situ; fibroadenoma; case report; mammography; ultrasound; magnetic resonance imaging; radiology.

Olivares-Antúnez Y, Dávila-Zablah YJ, Vázquez-Ávila JR, Gómez-Macías GS, Garza-Montemayor ML. Ductal carcinoma in situ within a fibroadenoma: a case report. *World J Radiol* 2024; In press

Core Tip: Fibroadenoma ⁵ is the most common tumor found in women. Although it can occur in any age group, is important to consider when to suspicious a malignant change of fibroadenoma. The case we report is of great interest to the radiology community

because ductal carcinoma in situ within a fibroadenoma is a challenge to diagnosis. The aim of this case report is to present the current findings in imaging studies for an early diagnosis and to not delay the treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) arising within a fibroadenoma is rarely encountered, although pure fibroadenoma is the most common tumor found. Its incidence ranges from 0.02% to 0.125%, and it is incidentally found. We present a case of breast DCIS arising within a fibroadenoma and discuss the imaging findings.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

46-year-old female was diagnosed with a conglomerate of breast nodules which is stable for a period of 1 year. She came to our department for routine mammography and follow-up nodules by ultrasound.

History of present illness

She is asymptomatic.

History of past illness

None.

Personal and family history

Family history

Cervical cancer (her mother was diagnosed at age 81 and her sister was diagnosed at age 50).

Gastric cancer (her grandmother diagnoses at age 80)

Personal History

The patient had a history of augmentation mammoplasty.

Physical examination

Right breast without palpable mass. Right and left axillary regions, lymph nodes clinically negative.

Laboratory examinations

None.

Imaging examinations

¹ By mammography, the tissue breast is the extremely dense (category "D" of the American College of Radiology, 2013). In the right breast, a conglomerate of nodules with obscured margins is seen at the posterior third of the union of lower quadrants. In both axillary regions, no lymph nodes are shown (Figure 1).

Craniocaudal and Mediolateral Oblique projections with electronical magnification of right breast reveal two confluence nodules, which are isodense, oval morphology with obscured margins and microcalcifications associated. The findings are localized 2cm from skin adjacent to the mastoplasty surgical scar indicated by the linear tissue marker (Figure 2).

In addition, the magnification views in orthogonal projections reveal that one of the nodules is associated with fine and linear pleomorphic microcalcifications that extended in an area of 8 mm. (Figure 3).

By Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT), we corroborate the morphology of microcalcifications (Figure 4).

Subsequently, a bilateral breast ultrasound was performed. In the right breast is seen a conglomerate of two solid nodules that are hypoechoic, oval, circumscribed, avascular and non-palpable, located at the 6 o'clock position, 4 cm from the nipple in the right breast ⁹ (Figure 5). The bilateral axillary region demonstrates lymph nodes with

morphology and fat hilum conservation (Figure 6). These findings correspond to the category of BI-RADS 4B.

An ultrasound-guided core biopsy was indicated. A percutaneous biopsy was performed of the conglomerate solid nodules, using a 12-Gauge needle. Six cores were obtained and sent in formalin for pathology analysis. A tissue marker (or clip) is placed in one of the nodules which is associated with macrocalcifications. A projection of mammography is taken to confirm the position of the tissue marker (Figure 7).

The histopathology report corresponds to 1.5mm of high-nuclear grade ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) with comedonecrosis and microcalcifications associated within a fibroepithelial lesion that corresponds to a fibroadenoma. Hematoxylin and eosin stain slides revealed pleomorphic neoplastic cells within a fibroadenoma (Figure 8).




Figure 1. Craneocaudal and Mediolateral Oblique projections of mammography. The breast tissue is extremely dense (category "D" of the American College of Radiology,

2013). In the right breast exists a conglomerate of nodules (arrow) localized at the third posterior of the union of lower quadrants, they are two isodense, oval nodules with obscured margins.

Figure 2. Craniocaudal (CC) and Mediolateral Oblique (MLO) projections with electronical magnification of right breast. The two confluence nodules are associated with suspicious microcalcifications (arrow). The findings are localized 2cm from skin and are adjacent to mastoplasty surgical scar indicated by the linear tissue marker as it is seen in the MLO projection.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. University of Cambridge, on 02 Jun 2020 at 10:00:00, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007122620000000

Figure 3. Magnification views in orthogonal projections. One of the nodules is associated with fine and linear pleomorphic microcalcifications (head arrow) that extended in an area of 8 mm.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. University of Cambridge, on 02 Jun 2020 at 10:10:10, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007122619000000

Figure 4. Digital Breast Tomosynthesis with magnification of CC and MLO projections. The morphology of microcalcifications is corroborated as fine and linear pleomorphic appearance (arrow).

Figure 5. Gray-scale ultrasound and Doppler color images. A) In the right breast is seen a conglomerate of two solid nodules that are hypoechoic, oval, circumscribed and non-palpable with lateral acoustic shadowing, located at the 6 o'clock position, 4 cm from the nipple in the right breast. In one of the nodules, internal hyperechoic foci are seen, corresponding to microcalcifications shown in mammography. B) The nodules have neither internal nor peripheral vascularity.




Figure 6. Gray-scale ultrasound images. The bilateral axillary region shows lymph nodes with conservative morphology and fat hilum, with cortex of 3mm.

Figure 7. Ultrasound-guided core biopsy images. A) Percutaneous biopsy was performed of the conglomerate solid nodules, using a 12-Gauze needle. B) A tissue marker or clip is placed in one of the nodules (arrow) which is associated with macrocalcifications. C) **Lateral projection of mammography.** The tissue marker confirms the position of biopsied microcalcifications.




Figure 8. Hematoxylin and eosin stain slide. Pleomorphic neoplastic cells are seen within a fibroadenoma.

Figure 9. Gray-scale ultrasound images. A) Preoperative guidewire is inserted percutaneously into the breast to localize the non-palpable conglomerate of nodules done under ultrasound. B) y C) **Craniocaudal and Mediolateral Oblique projection.**

The adequate position of the hook wire is confirmed in the target lesion. D) **Histological slide of partial mastectomy.** The site of the previous biopsy is seen (arrow). Also, fibroadenoma is shown which contains pleomorphic neoplastic cells and nucleolus with pleomorphic appearance (head arrow) that corresponds to carcinoma ductal *in situ*.




Figure 10. Immunochemistry markers: ER (+) 90% and PR (+) 70%.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The pathological report corresponds to a 0.5mm foci of residual of high-nuclear grade ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), comedo subtype, central necrosis and microcalcifications associated within a complex fibroadenoma, that measures 2.5cm.

Stage 0, cTisN0M0 within a fibroadenoma, with the following immunochemistry markers: ER (+) 90% and PR (+) 70% (Figure 9).

Other histological findings: columnar cells changes and hyperplasia with microcalcifications and 2 peripheral papillomas, one measures 0.7mm.

TREATMENT

The patient underwent surgery, involving guidewire localization of the non-palpable conglomerate of nodules done under ultrasound (Figure 9). The treatment consists of conserving surgery and no sentinel lymph node biopsy was needed.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The patient subsequently received radiotherapy and endocrine therapy (tamoxifen). According to the final diagnosis the patient has a good prognosis.

5 DISCUSSION

Fibroadenoma (FA) is the most common benign fibroepithelial breast tumor in young women and can occur at any age, being most common between 20 and 40 years of age [1-13].

Fibroadenoma is a biphasic tumor composed of stromal (connective) and epithelial tissue that grows by estrogen and progesterone stimulation and is commonly found in pregnancy and lactation. During menopause, fibroadenomas suffer atrophy. [3,4,6,9,13]

Fibroadenomas are divided into simple and complex types. Fibroadenomas with 1 or more of the following characteristics are considered complex: epithelial calcifications, apocrine metaplasia, sclerosing adenosis and/or cysts larger than 3 mm. [1,4,5,6,7,9,11,12,14]

The risk of breast carcinoma in complex fibroadenomas is 3.10 times greater than that in patients with pure fibroadenomas and is associated with the percentage of epithelial proliferation. [1,3,5,13]

DCIS within a breast fibroadenoma is uncommon, with an incidence of 0.02 to 0.125%. Fewer than 130 cases have been reported in the literature. [1]

Cancer may arise from the surrounding breast tissue, in the fissures of the fibroadenoma, or the carcinoma may be completely confined within the fibroadenoma. The age at presentation of these patients is 42.5 to 46.9 years-old, approximately 20 years later than the maximum age at presentation of patients with pure fibroadenoma. [1,6,10,12]

There are no specific imaging characteristics to distinguish between a pure fibroadenoma and DCIS within a fibroadenoma. In most cases, DCIS presents as an incidental finding and is indistinguishable from benign lesions on imaging (Table 1). [1]

The suspicious findings of carcinoma in a fibroadenoma in mammography are a group of fine or linear pleomorphic microcalcifications within a nodule of indistinct margins.

[1,2,3,4,6,7,9,12,14]

By ultrasound (US), if the shape or margin of the nodule is irregular and has acoustic shadowing, an echogenic halo or distortion of the architecture should be considered suspicious for malignancy. [1,2,3,4,6,7]

By magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a typical fibroadenoma is observed as an oval mass, with circumscribed margins and persistent contrast enhancement. A carcinoma should be suspected when there is contrast enhancement with a rapid uptake curve and washout late phase (type 3). [1,3,6,7]

4 In adult patients, the American Society of Breast Surgeons recommends against routinely excising biopsy-proven FA that are <2 cm. The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for palpable breast masses even states that short term imaging follow-up (such as every 6 months for 2 years) is a reasonable alternative to biopsy for solid masses with probably benign features suggesting FA. [19]

6 A core biopsy should be performed on a nodule that presents rapid growth. The criteria for rapid growth are: (1) volume growth rate $\geq 16\%$ per month for patients younger than 50 years, (2) volume growth $\geq 13\%$ per month for patients ≥ 50 years, and (3) mean change in dimension over a 6-month interval of >20 , especially in patients over 40 years of age to exclude the possibility of phyllodes tumor or malignancy. [19]

1 The indications for excision include size $>30\text{mm}$, considering that pre-operative biopsy is also insufficient to distinguish phyllodes tumor from fibroadenoma, and there is the possibility of underestimation. Other indications for surgical removal are for growing FA, a nodule with increased BI-RADS classification grade during the follow-up and core needle biopsy suggesting atypical hyperplasia or unusual pathologic features. Persistent discomfort and pain from a fibroadenoma are a relative indication to consider surgical excision. Another indication for surgical removal is patient's request or cosmetic concerns. [6,15,16,17,18]

The differential diagnoses to consider for a nodule with suspicious microcalcifications are fat necrosis, initial degenerating fibroadenoma, phyllodes tumor, triple-negative, mucinous, papillary, and metaplastic carcinoma (Table 1).^[6,22]

³ Fat necrosis can be seen as a circumscribed soft-tissue mass with or without macro- or microcalcifications. ³ At mammography, fat necrosis may be characterized by lipid cysts, microcalcifications, coarse calcifications near architectural distortion of surgical scar and focal masses^[22, 30].

The classic degenerating fibroadenoma contains coarse pathognomonic calcifications. Occasionally, at the initial period of involution, the calcifications are small, numerous and may have a malignant appearance. Mammography helps to distinguish from ductal carcinoma. The microcalcifications in carcinomas are commonly small and asymmetrically located in a small area, ³ whereas those in fibroadenomas tend to be denser, and more diffusely spaced or peripheral located in a nodule.^[22]

The phyllodes tumor is observed as a dense, round, or oval mass with circumscribed or indistinct margins. *Lieberman et al* described 4 cases of benign and malignant phyllodes tumors containing coarse, amorphous, and punctuate microcalcifications. Also, the presence of benign-looking specks or suspicious coarse punctate clusters of microcalcifications ¹¹ is reported in less than a third (29%) of phyllodes tumors with a carcinoma component, which would significantly change the subsequent management plan. By US the phyllodes tumor is round or oval, heterogeneous with cystic areas and posterior acoustic enhancement. By MRI, it is a round, lobulated mass with circumscribed margins. When the tumor presents cystic areas, the mass is hypointense on T1-sequences and hyperintense on T2-sequences. Only 33% of these tumors show enhancement, including the internal septa.^[6,20, 21]

Mucinous carcinoma occurs more frequently in older people, and the average age at presentation is 71 years. It represents 1% to 4% of all breast cancers. On mammography, mucinous carcinoma appears as a circumscribed, round, or oval mass of low density, which may resemble a cyst or fibroadenoma. Microcalcifications are rare, but when are present, they appear as round, coarse, amorphous and/or rarely pleomorphic.

³ Microcalcifications most commonly occur in those tumors that demonstrate papillary or comedo growth patterns. Psammomatous microcalcifications that are seen with other types of mucin-producing tumors have been found in some cases. Ultrasound shows a round or oval, circumscribed, and isoechoic mass with posterior acoustic enhancement. MRI demonstrates a circumscribed, oval mass, hyperintense on T2 and with heterogeneous enhancement. [6,22, 23]

² The intraductal papillary neoplasms of the breast include papilloma, papilloma with atypical ductal hyperplasia or ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), papillary DCIS (pDCIS), encapsulated papillary carcinoma (EPC), solid papillary carcinoma (SPC) and invasive papillary carcinoma (IPC). Papilloma, papilloma with ADH, DCIS, and papillary DCIS are associated with microcalcification. Papillary carcinomas with ⁸ microcalcifications within the tumor are usually pleomorphic but may occasionally be coarse or stippled in appearance. Ultrasound can reveal a hypoechoic solid mass or a complex cyst with septations or mural-based papilliform nodularity. The most common finding of EPC on ¹³ MG is a solitary oval or round mass with microlobulated or circumscribed margins and calcifications are not uncommon and are mainly amorphous or pleomorphic. In SPC ² concomitant microcalcification on MG was rarely reported in the literature cases and ² 33.32% were accompanied by amorphous calcifications. Sonographically ² has been reported as multiple nodules accompanied by ductal ectasia, well-circumscribed, complex, cystic lesion, and homogeneous solid lesions. ² Ciurea *et al* reported IPCs as round or lobulated masses, often associated with mammographic calcification. Micropapillary DCIS ² is frequently associated with “snake skin-like” microcalcification. [24, 25]

In *Conniff Krizmanich et al* series found that 7% of triple-negative carcinoma appeared as clustered microcalcifications, whilst another 29% manifested as masses with associated ⁷ microcalcifications. The rapid growth, with no precancerous stage, also explains the low incidence of microcalcifications. Microcalcifications inside a mass or isolated segmental type calcifications were more often associated with a ductal carcinoma in situ and a HER2+ status. On ultrasound and MRI images, commonly these tumors appear as a

round or oval mass with irregular, spiculated, or circumscribed margins with rapid early enhancement and a late washout curve. [6, 26, 27]

Metaplastic breast cancer, on mammography, are commonly large masses of 4.2 cm, with high density and smooth, well-defined and spiculated margins. Microcalcifications are very rare within the mass; when this happens, they are more likely to be amorphous, fine pleomorphic, fine linear, and lastly coarse heterogeneous. [28, 29]

It is important to understand that suspicious microcalcifications within masses can indicate the presence of malignancies such as mucinous carcinoma, metaplastic carcinoma with less incidence than CDIS within fibroadenoma. In our case, microcalcifications have to be distinguished from fat necrosis because their localization is near surgical scar. Is not frequent to encounter CDIS within a nodule but the radiologist has to be aware of it. A stereotactic biopsy may be used to remove the group of microcalcifications however there were at 2cm from the skin, which contraindicated this interventional procedure. According to the site of the findings in mammography, we corroborated that the microcalcifications that were seen within one of the nodules were the suspicious group, therefore we were able to make the biopsy by ultrasound instead of used Stereotactic-Guided Vacuum-Assisted system. After the diagnosis of DCIS was reported by pathologist, to assurance a successful surgery for nonpalpable nodule, wire localization is needed. The limitation of our case is that MRI images are not available in our Institution, and we cannot detail the findings by this method.

The treatment of choice is conservative surgery, if 2 or fewer suspicious lymph nodes are found on imaging, or 2 or fewer positive lymph nodes are confirmed by needle biopsy, then is recommended sentinel lymph node mapping. Adjuvant therapy includes radiotherapy and endocrine therapy. The majority have good prognosis, with no difference between young and older women. Ten percent of patients present with recurrence or metastasis. [1,2,3,6,9]

CONCLUSION

Fibroadenomas are common in imaging studies, but the presence of a DCIS within the nodule is rare and has nonspecific findings on imaging. Faced with a circumscribed nodule within microcalcifications is a diagnostic challenge and by imaging studies can simulate benign and malignant pathologies. The radiologist has to consider in the differential diagnosis DCIS within a fibroadenoma or other round tumors associated with microcalcifications, and the core biopsy should be performed. We recommend a multidisciplinary approach for adequate treatment and follow-up.

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet	103 words — 3%
2	doi.org Internet	85 words — 3%
3	D A Wilson, L Kalisher, J E Port, J M Titus, H L Kirzner. "Breast imaging case of the day. Pure mucinous carcinoma with calcifying matrix.", RadioGraphics, 1997 Crossref	75 words — 2%
4	abs.amegroups.com Internet	62 words — 2%
5	Xi-Hao Ni, Ran An, Qian-Wen Shi, Chang-Liang Wang. "Low-Grade Ductal Carcinoma in situ Within a Fibroadenoma of the Breast: A Rare Case Report and Review of the Literature", OncoTargets and Therapy, 2023 Crossref	43 words — 1%
6	journals.lww.com Internet	43 words — 1%
7	c.coek.info Internet	37 words — 1%
8	www.ajronline.org Internet	33 words — 1%

- 10 Yazmin Olivares Antúnez, Yesika Janett Dávila Zablah, José Raúl Vázquez Ávila, Gabriela Sofía Gómez Macías et al. "Secondary breast lymphoma: A case report", Radiology Case Reports, 2024
Crossref 19 words — 1%

- 11 doaj.org
Internet 15 words — < 1%

- 12 Hans-Christian Kolberg, Thorsten Kühn, Maggie Banys-Paluchowski. "Axillary surgery in the case of limited involved axillary lymph nodes", Gland Surgery, 2023
Crossref 14 words — < 1%

- 13 RAEK Matsumoto, BH Bresciani, BM Thompson, N de Barros. "Encapsulated Papillary Breast Carcinoma: Anatomopathological and Clinicoradiological Aspects", Hong Kong Journal of Radiology, 2018
Crossref 13 words — < 1%

- 14 link.springer.com
Internet 13 words — < 1%

- 15 Wing Nam Yuen, Joshua J.X. Li, Man Yi Chan, Gary M. Tse. "High-grade ductal carcinoma in-situ detected by microcalcification within borderline phyllodes tumor: Report of a case and literature review", Human Pathology Reports, 2023
Crossref 12 words — < 1%

