

86850\_Auto\_Edited.docx

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 86850

**Manuscript Type:** ORIGINAL ARTICLE

*Basic Study*

**Fatty acid binding protein 5 is a novel therapeutic target for <sup>1</sup>hepatocellular carcinoma**

Yan Li, William Lee, Zhen-Gang Zhao, Yi Liu, Hao Cui, Hao-Yu Wang

<sup>10</sup>  
**Abstract**

**BACKGROUND**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an aggressive subtype of liver cancer and is one of most common cancers with high mortality worldwide. Reprogrammed lipid metabolism plays crucial roles in HCC cancer cell survival, growth, and evolution. Emerging evidence suggests the importance of fatty acid binding proteins (FABPs) in contribution to cancer progression and metastasis; however, how these FABPs are dysregulated in cancer cells, especially in HCC, and the roles of FABPs in cancer progression, have not been well defined.

**AIM**

To understand the genetic alterations and expression of FABPs and their associated cancer hallmarks and oncogenes in contributing to cancer malignancies.

**METHODS**

We used TCGA datasets of pan cancer and liver hepatocellular carcinoma LIHC as well as patient cohorts with other cancer types in this study. We investigated genetic alterations of FABPs in various cancer types. mRNA expression was used to determine if FABPs are abnormally expressed in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor controls, and to investigate whether their expression correlates with patient clinical outcome,

enriched cancer hallmarks and oncogenes previously reported for patients with HCC. We determined the protein levels of FABP5 and its correlated genes in two HCC cell lines and assessed the potential of FABP5 inhibition in treating HCC cells.

## RESULTS

We discovered that a gene cluster including five FABP family members (*FABP4*, *FABP5*, *FABP8*, *FABP9* and *FABP12*) is frequently co-amplified in cancer. Amplification, in fact, is the most common genetic alteration for FABPs, leading to overexpression of FABPs. *FABP5* showed greatest differential mRNA expression comparing tumor with non-tumor tissues. High *FABP5* expression correlates well with worse patient outcomes ( $p < 0.05$ ). *FABP5* expression highly correlates with enrichment of G2M checkpoint ( $r = 0.33$ ,  $P = 1.1e-10$ ), TP53 signaling pathway ( $r = 0.22$ ,  $P = 1.7e-5$ ) and many genes in the gene sets such as *CDK1* ( $r = 0.56$ ,  $P = 0$ ), *CDK4* ( $r = 0.49$ ,  $P = 0$ ), and *TP53* ( $r = 0.22$ ,  $P = 1.6e-5$ ). Furthermore, *FABP5* also correlates well with two co-expressed oncogenes *PLK1* and *BIRC5* in pan cancer especially in LIHC patients ( $r = 0.58$ ,  $P = 0$ ;  $r = 0.58$ ,  $P = 0$ ; respectively). *FABP5*<sup>high</sup> Huh7 cells also expressed higher protein levels of p53, BIRC5, CDK1, CDK2, and CDK4 than *FABP5*<sup>low</sup> HepG2 cells. FABP5 inhibition more potently inhibited the tumor cell growth in Huh7 cells than in HepG2 cells.

## CONCLUSION

We discovered that *FABP5* gene is frequently amplified in cancer, especially in HCC, leading to its significant elevated expression in HCC. Its high expression correlates well with worse patient outcome, enriched cancer hallmarks and oncogenes in HCC. FABP5 inhibition impaired the cell viability of *FABP5*<sup>high</sup> Huh7 cells. All these support that FABP5 is a novel therapeutic target for treating *FABP5*<sup>high</sup> HCC.

## INTRODUCTION

*FABP5* is frequently amplified in HCC leading to its abnormal expression. High *FABP5* expression correlates well with worse patient outcome, enriched cancer hallmarks and oncogenes in HCC. Targeting *FABP5* by SBFI-26 is more effective in *FABP5*-high expressing cells than *FABP5*-low expressing cells.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this study, we discovered *FABP5* gene is frequently amplified together with other adjacent family members *FABP4*, *FABP8*, *FABP9* and *FABP12* as a gene cluster. However, only *FABP5* and *FABP4* are highly expressed in HCC patients, and are significantly upregulated in tumor cells compared to non-tumor controls. Compared to *FABP4*, *FABP5* is expressed at higher levels in cancer including LIHC and more differentially expressed in tumor cells compared to non-tumor controls. Consistent with our data, Ohata T et. al. [14] performed immunohistochemical staining of *FABP5* for 243 paired HCC and adjacent non-tumor liver tissue samples. The study confirmed that all normal liver cells were stained negatively, while liver tumor cells can be divided into two groups, *FABP5* positive (57.2%) and *FABP5* negative (42.8%). Therefore, this data supports that *FABP5* is overexpressed in 57.2% of patients with liver tumors. Our data of *FABP5* expression in HepG2 and Huh7 cells is consistent with published data in this study as well. This study showed a positive correlation of high *FABP5* expression with distant metastasis and invasion. However, Huh7 and HepG2 are both considered to be low metastatic. Our data showed that high expression of *FABP5* mRNA correlated well with G2M checkpoint ( $P = 1.1\text{e-}10$ ,  $r = 0.33$ ) and TP53 signaling in liver cancer cells ( $P = 1.7\text{e-}5$ ,  $r = 0.22$ ) (Figure 4-5). We confirmed some of these gene expression differences involved in these two signaling networks including CDK1, CDK2, CDK4, and BIRC5 by western blotting in *FABP5* Low expressing HepG2 cells and *FABP5*-high expressing Huh7 cells (**Figure 7A**). The hotspot mutation Y220C of *TP53* gene results in its decreased DNA binding and reduced p53 tumor suppressor function, leading to cancer progression<sup>[15-17]</sup>. This may explain that Huh7 cells carrying TP53 Y220C mutation grow much faster than hepG2 cells with wild type TP53 (cell doubling time, 24 and 48 h,

respectively). Therefore, it is possible that the TP53 genetic status affects the cell proliferation and expression of FABP5, which requires further validation.

Furthermore, the correlation of *FABP5* expression with poor patient survival is more significant than that of *FABP4* expression. These data suggest *FABP5* is the predominant gene across FABPs that are dysregulated in cancer, and it is the most important member that contributes significantly to cancer malignancy and progression, especially in patients with HCC. It is interesting to find out that high *FABP5* expression correlates well with top cancer hallmarks and two oncogenes *PLK1* and *BIRC5* that were identified in HCC patients in our and other studies earlier [12, 13]. These data strongly suggest that *FABP5* is a novel therapeutic target for treating HCC and provides valuable insights for potential therapeutic development in treating patients with HCC.

Small molecule inhibitors targeting FABP proteins, especially FABP4, are currently under development by multiple efforts. Early preclinical data provide evidence that targeting FABP4 by BMS309403 is promising in treating cancer for multiple cancer disease models [2, 7]. In this study, our data suggests that FABP5 is a novel therapeutic target in patients with HCC and other cancer types. FABP5 inhibitors such as SFBI-26 are emerging and demonstrate that targeting FABP5 is feasible and promising in treating cancer [18]. Our data support HCC cells (Huh7) with high FABP5 expression are sensitive to FABP5 inhibition.

We found that the gene cluster with *FABP4*, *FABP5*, *FABP8*, *FABP9*, and *FABP12* in adjacent loci in chromosome 8 are often co-amplified in many cancer types but with highest frequencies in PRAD and HCC. Amplification is the most common genetic alteration type for these FABPs. In contrast, other family members *FABP1*, *FABP2*, *FABP3*, *FABP6* and *FABP7* also showed expression in cancer, ubiquitously or selectively, but are not frequently altered at genetic level. Interestingly, not all co-amplified family members are expressed in cancer due to amplification. Only *FABP4* and *FABP5* are expressed across various cancer types, suggesting that genetic amplification itself is necessary but not sufficient for their abnormal expression in

cancer. Expression of *FABP4*, as a major target of PPAR $\gamma$  [19], has been shown to be controlled by PPAR $\gamma$  [4] and *FABP4* has been shown to negatively regulate PPAR $\gamma$  expression level, likely through a negative feedback signaling loop. In contrast to *FABP4*, *FABP5* has been shown to facilitate fatty-acid induced PPAR $\gamma$  activation and downstream signaling, and activated PPAR $\gamma$  in turn upregulates *FABP5* expression levels in prostate cancer [10]. Whether this is also the case in HCC requires further investigation. In this study, we discovered that *FABP5* is the one with greatest changes in mRNA expression across family members in patients with LIHC comparing tumor with non-tumor tissues and its expression highly correlates with poor patient outcome and enriched cancer hallmarks involved in cell cycle progression.

Dysregulated cell metabolism and cell cycle progression are key interconnecting events for cancer malignancy and progression [20]. The top three cancer hallmarks previously reported in HCC patients are E2F targets, G2M checkpoint and DNA repair [13]. All these lead to dysregulated cell cycle progression. Interestingly, we discovered that *FABP5* expression highly correlates with top cancer hallmarks enriched in LIHC patients (G2M checkpoint), which likely drive cancer cell survival and proliferation [13]. In our previous study, we demonstrated that two oncogenes *PLK1* and *BIRC5* are highly co-expressed in HCC and co-targeting of *PLK1* and *BIRC5* synergistically inhibited tumor growth of HCC preclinical models *in vitro* and *in vivo* [12]. Both *PLK1* and *BIRC5* are master regulators in cell cycle, powerful in promoting cell cycle progression and inhibiting cell death [21, 22]. In this study, we confirmed that *PLK1* and *BIRC5* are co-expressed in cancer including HCC. More interestingly, *FABP5* expression correlates very well with expression of *PLK1* and *BIRC5* in multiple cancer types. The strong correlation of *FABP5* with cell cycle hallmark and cell cycle master regulators suggests its critical role in contribution to cancer cell progression when overexpressed.

In addition to its upregulation and functions in tumor cells, *FABP5* is also found to be dysregulated in multiple immune cell types and can serve as a novel immune-related prognostic marker and a target of immunotherapy [23]. *FABP5* was reported to regulate mitochondrial integrity and functions as cell-intrinsic checkpoint for Treg suppressive

function in tumor microenvironment [24]. However, how FABP5 is dysregulated and the underlying mechanism in anti-tumor immunity has not been fully understood and requires further investigation.

## **RESULTS**

### ***FABP4, FABP5, FABP8, FABP9 and FABP12 are frequently co-amplified in cancer.***

We discovered that a cluster of FABP genes *FABP4, FABP5, FABP8, FABP9 and FABP12* at the adjacent loci of chromosome 8, but not other FABPs located at different chromosomes, showed high frequencies of genetic alteration (3%) in the pan-cancer cohort (**Figure 1A**). The frequency of genetic alterations of these FABPs reaches 5-6% in the LIHC cohort (**Figure 1B**). The prevalent type of alteration is amplification and co-occurrence of amplification of these genes *FABP4, FABP5, FABP8, FABP9 and FABP12* is highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) (**Figure 1C**). Other genetic alterations such as mutations, structural variants and homo-deletion also occur but at much lower frequencies (**Figure 1A-B**).

### ***FABP5 and FABP4 amplifications occur at the highest frequencies in patients with prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) or HCC.***

Interestingly, when we checked genetic alterations of FABPs in different cancer types, we discovered that patients with PRAD or HCC have the highest genetic alteration frequencies among others and again with genetic amplification as the prevalent type. *FABP4* showed the highest frequency in PRAD (7.9%) followed by HCC (7.8%) using TCGA pan cancer cohort, while *FABP5* showed the highest frequency in HCC (8.1%) followed by prostate PRAD (**Figure 2A and 2C**). Consistent with these, when we checked their genetic status in various liver cancer cohorts, we observed high *FABP4* alteration frequencies up to 12.5% and *FABP5* up to 12.2% in the aggressive subtype HCC (**Figure 2B and 2D**). Importantly, *FABP5* mRNA expression is much higher in the patients with *FABP5* amplification than those with *FABP5* gain, diploid, or deletion ( $p <$



0.0001) (**Figure 2E**). Moreover, HCC cases at stage II-IV showed higher expression of *FABP5* ( $P = 0.071$ ), but not *FABP4* ( $P = 0.179$ ) (**Figure 2F**).

***FABP5* and *FABP4* are expressed at much higher levels in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor counterparts in HCC.**

To find out whether amplification and resulting high expression of FABP family members has clinical significance in cancer, we compared their expression in tumor tissues and non-tumor controls for various cancer types (**Figure 3A**). Among all family members, *FABP3*, *FABP4*, and *FABP5* are expressed ubiquitously across various cancer types (**Figure 3A**), while *FABP1*, *FABP6*, *FABP7*, and *FABP8* are expressed selectively in restricted cancer types. In contrast, *FABP2*, *FABP9*, and *FABP12* are expressed at extremely low levels, if any (**Figure 3A**). *FABP1*, *FABP3*, *FABP4*, and *FABP5*, but not other family members, are selectively expressed in LIHC patients (**Figure 3A and Supplementary Figures S1A**), while *FABP3*, *FABP4* and *FABP5* are also selectively expressed in PRAD patients (**Figure 3A and Supplementary Figures S1A**). When we checked the expression of FABPs in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor controls, we found that *FABP5* is significantly upregulated in ACC (adrenocortical carcinoma), GBM (glioblastoma multiforme), KIRC (kidney renal clear cell carcinoma), LGG (brain lower-grade glioma), LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma), LUAD (lung adenocarcinoma), SKCM (skin cutaneous melanoma), and UVM (uveal melanoma), while *FABP4* is significantly upregulated only in COAD (colon adenocarcinoma), LUSC (lung squamous cell carcinoma) and STAD (stomach adenocarcinoma) (**Figure 3B**). In patients with LIHC, among the selectively expressed FABPs, only *FABP5* and *FABP4* showed significant upregulation of mRNA expression in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor controls. Other FABPs, such as *FABP1* (restricted expression in normal liver), did not show differential expression in tumor and non-tumor tissues, even though it is expressed at prominent levels in patients with LIHC (**Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S1A-C**). More importantly, high *FABP5* expression significantly correlates with overall patient survival ( $P = 6.6\text{e-}5$ ) and disease-free survival ( $P = 6.6\text{e-}5$ ) (**Figure 3D-E**).



We found that high *FABP5* expression significantly correlates with overall survival not only in LIHC but also in other cancer types including ACC, GBM, KIRC, LGG, LUAD, SKCM, and UVM, where *FABP5* is found to be upregulated in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor control (**Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S1D**). In contrast, high *FABP4* expression showed less significant correlation with poor overall survival ( $P = 0.047$ ) (**Figure 3E**). In addition, expression of other FABPs (*FABP1* and *FABP3*) expressed in LIHC, does not significantly correlate with overall survival ( $P = 0.21$  and  $0.091$ , respectively) (**Supplementary Figure S1E-G**). Together, these data indicate that *FABP5*, among other FABPs, is selectively upregulated in tumor tissues and its expression correlates well with poor clinical outcomes in patients with HCC.

#### **High *FABP5* expression selectively associated with enrichment of cancer hallmarks G2M checkpoint and TP53 signaling.**

E2F targets, G2M checkpoint and DNA repair have been identified to be the top three cancer hallmarks enriched in HCC tumor cells compared to non-tumor controls [13], which supported that cell cycle and DNA repair signaling networks are critical for HCC cancer malignancies and progression. Therefore, we are interested in checking if *FABP5* overexpression correlates with enrichment of these important cancer hallmarks in HCC. We found that *FABP5* expression correlates well with G2M checkpoint gene signature ( $r = 0.33$ ,  $P = 1.1\text{e-}10$ ) (**Figure 4A-B**), and most of the genes in the dataset, including *ATR* ( $r = 0.35$ ,  $P = 3.9\text{e-}12$ ) [13], *BRCA1* ( $r = 0.23$ ,  $P = 8.1\text{e-}6$ ), *CCNB1* ( $r = 0.61$ ,  $P = 0$ ), *CDK1* ( $r = 0.56$ ,  $P = 0$ ), *CDKN2D* ( $r = 0.37$ ,  $P = 1.2\text{e-}13$ ), *CHEK1* ( $r = 0.46$ ,  $P = 0$ ), *CHEK2* ( $r = 0.17$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), *PI4KA* ( $r = 0.29$ ,  $P = 9.3\text{e-}9$ ), *PRKDC* ( $r = 0.43$ ,  $P = 1.4\text{e-}11$ ), *RPS6K1* ( $r = 0.3$ ,  $P = 3.6\text{e-}9$ ), and *YWHAH* ( $r = 0.38$ ,  $P = 5.7\text{e-}14$ ) (**Figure 4C**). Among these, expressions of *CCNB1* and *CDK1* showed the highest correlation with *FABP5* expression (**Figure 4C**). The strong *CCNB1-FABP5* correlation is observed in LIHC but also in many other cell types including COAD ( $r = 0.43$ ,  $P = 6.5\text{e-}14$ ), DLBCL ( $r = 0.54$ ,  $P = 6.5\text{e-}14$ ), KICH ( $r = 0.8$ ,  $P = 6.7\text{e-}16$ ), KIRC ( $r = 0.46$ ,  $P = 0$ ), READ ( $r = 0.36$ ,  $P = 0.00043$ ), TGCT ( $r = 0.43$ ,  $P = 1.3\text{e-}7$ ), and UVM ( $r = 0.75$ ,  $P = 1.3\text{e-}15$ ) (**Supplementary Figure S2A-B**).

In an independent analysis using cBioportal platform, we found that most genes involved in cell cycle network are dysregulated with *TP53* as the top gene (**Figure 4D**). Therefore, we checked if *FABP5* expression is associated with expression of *TP53* and p53 signaling gene signature. We found that *FABP5* expression correlates well with *TP53* expression ( $r = 0.22$ ,  $P = 1.6\text{e-}5$ ), its signaling gene signature ( $r = 0.33$ ,  $P = 1.1\text{e-}10$ ) (**Figure 5A-B**), and almost half of the genes in the dataset, including *BCL2* ( $r = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0.0044$ ), *CDK2* ( $r = 0.28$ ,  $P = 6.6\text{e-}8$ ), *CDK4* ( $r = 0.49$ ,  $P = 0$ ), *E2F1* ( $r = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0.0029$ ), *PCNA* ( $r = 0.31$ ,  $P = 2.2\text{e-}9$ ), and *RB1* ( $r = 0.21$ ,  $P = 5.2\text{e-}5$ ) (**Figure 5C**). In an independent analysis using cBioportal platform, we found that most genes involved in *TP53* signaling network are dysregulated with *TP53* as the top gene (**Figure 5D**).

#### **High *FABP5* expression correlated well with *PLK1* and *BIRC5* expression.**

In our previous study, we demonstrated that that *PLK1*, a master regulator of cell cycle, and *BIRC5*, a multifunctional gene only expressed at G2M phase, are two important oncogenes that <sup>1</sup>are highly co-expressed in HCC and the co-targeting of *PLK1* and *BIRC5* synergistically inhibited tumor growth of HCC preclinical models *in vitro* and *in vivo* [12]. To investigate the relationship between *FABP5* expression and *PLK1-BIRC5* co-expression in cancer, we first checked expression of selected FABPs together with *CCNB1*, *TP53*, *BIRC5* and *PLK1* (**Figure 6A**). Expression of *FABP5*, but not other FABPs including *FABP1*, *FABP3*, *FABP4* and *FABP6* appeared to be well-correlated with expression of *PLK1* and *BIRC5* across cancer types, in addition to *CCNB1* and *TP53* (**Figure 6A**). Consistent with our previous findings [12], expression of *PLK1* and *BIRC5* showed remarkable correlation in pan cancer ( $r = 0.73$ ,  $P = 0$ ) and even higher in LIHC ( $r = 0.83$ ,  $P = 0$ ) (**Figure 6B-C**). Expression of *FABP5* also showed some correlation with *PLK1* ( $r = 0.19$ ,  $P = 0$ ) or *BIRC5* ( $r = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0$ ) in cancer and much better correlation in LIHC patient cohort ( $r = 0.58$ ,  $P = 0$ ;  $r = 0.58$ ,  $P = 0$ , respectively) (**Figure 6D-G and Supplementary Figure S3A-B**). These data demonstrate that *FABP5* is highly correlated

to the expression of *PLK1-BIRC5* co-expression, which is selectively in patients with HCC.

### **HCC cells with high *FABP5* expression are sensitive to *FABP5* inhibition.**

The stabilizing *TP53* mutation Y220C in Huh7 cells resulted in overexpression of p53, which is much higher than that in HepG2 cells harboring wild type *TP53* gene. Interestingly, *FABP5* protein expression is also expressed at a much higher level in Huh7 cells than HepG2 cells (**Figure 7A**). *BIRC5*, *CDK1*, *CDK2*, and *CDK4*, but not *MCL-1*, are also expressed at much higher levels in *FABP5*<sup>high</sup> Huh7 cells than *FABP5*<sup>low</sup> HepG2 cells (**Figure 7A**). Huh7 cells are more sensitive to *FABP5* inhibition by SBFI-26, a specific inhibitor of *FABP5*, than in HepG2 cells ( $IC_{50}$  = 89 and 145  $\mu$ M) at 6 days upon treatment (**Figure 7B-C**). Long treatment for 6 days led to further inhibition of cell viability of Huh7 cells than shorter treatment for 3 days ( $IC_{50}$  = 89 and 749  $\mu$ M, respectively) (**Figure 7D-E**). This demonstrated that like many other compounds targeting regulators of cellular mechanism, the anti-tumor effect of *FABP5* inhibitor SBFI-26 is a slow process, which requires time to show the anti-tumor effect. Together, these data indicate that HCC cells with high *FABP5* expression are sensitive to *FABP5* inhibition.

## **DISCUSSION**

### ***Cells and reagents***

HCC cell lines <sup>1</sup>HepG2 and Huh7 were obtained from our laboratory for long-term storage and cultured in high glucose DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. SBFI-26 (S9957) was purchased from SelleckChem. The antibodies against *FABP5* (39926), p53 (9282), *BIRC5* (2808), *CDK1* (77055), *CDK2* (2546), *CDK4* (12790), *MCL-1* (94296) and *GAPDH* (2118) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. A CellTiter-Glo 2.0 cell viability assay kit (G9241) was purchased from Promega.

### ***Collection of datasets and bioinformation analysis platforms.***

We performed data analysis for the TCGA pan-cancer and LIHC patient cohort through cBioPortal (<https://www.cbioportal.org/>) and a well-established web bioinformatic platform **Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis 2** (GEPIA2, <http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#index>), developed by Zhang Lab, Peking University <sup>[11]</sup>. GEPIA2 collected RNA sequencing data of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 non-tumor samples from the TCGA and the GTEx projects.

### ***Identification of genetic alterations of FABP family members.***

To find out the genetic alterations of FABPs (*FABP1*, *FABP2*, *FABP3*, *FABP4*, *FABP5*, *FABP6*, *FABP7*, *PMP2*, *FABP9* and *FABP12*) in cancer cells, we used cBioPortal tools. We checked the frequencies of each genetic alteration using TCGA pan-cancer (70655 samples from 217 non-redundant studies) and LIHC cohort (1829 samples from eleven studies) and their correlation with *FABP5* mRNA expression and HCC cancer stages.

### ***Expression of FABP5 in tumor and non-tumor cells and its correlation with patient survival.***

We compared mRNA expression of FABPs in tumor *vs* non-tumor tissues using TCGA pan-cancer (9664 tumor tissue samples and 711 non-tumor tissue samples) and LIHC cohort (360 tumor tissue samples and 50 non-tumor tissue samples) and checked if high expression correlates with poor patient outcomes using the GEPIA2 platform.

### ***Correlation of FABP5 expression with cancer hallmarks and oncogenes in HCC cells***

We assessed correlation of *FABP5* expression with cancer hallmarks enriched in HCC cells (G2M checkpoint and TP53 signaling) and highlighted genes within the gene sets using the GEPIA2 platform.

### ***Cell viability assay***

The cell viability assay was performed as described in our earlier study <sup>[12]</sup>. Briefly, 2000 HepG2 or Huh7 cells per well were pre-seeded into white 96-well plates overnight. The cells were then treated with a 2-fold serial dilution of SBFI-26 (0-100  $\mu$ M). The cell viability was measured using a VICTOR Nivo Multimode Microplate Reader from PerkinElmer at 72 h post treatment by using CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Reagent.



### *Statistical and computational analysis*

We performed Pearson's or Spearman's correlation test to determine whether there was a significant link between the two variables. The Log-rank test was used to determine the statistical significance of gene expression in correlation with patient outcome. \*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $p < 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $p < 0.0001$ .

### **CONCLUSION**

Cancer cells are heavily dependent on cellular metabolism pathways for their disease malignancy and progression. Lipid metabolism has been increasingly recognized to be reprogrammed and plays crucial roles in cancer cell survival, growth, and evolution [1]. There is emerging evidence suggesting the critical roles of fatty acid binding proteins (FABPs) in contribution to cancer progression and metastasis [2, 3]. FABPs are a family of chaperone proteins that bind to long-chain fatty acids, retinoids, and other hydrophobic molecules [3]. There are ten FABP genes identified in the human genome, each with restricted tissue distribution in healthy individuals. Some of the family members including *FABP4*, *FABP5*, and *FABP7* are abnormally expressed in cancer cells beyond tissue expression restriction and play important roles in cancer malignancy and progression [2, 3]. *FABP4* is normally expressed in adipocytes at high levels and its expression is controlled by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR $\gamma$ ) [4]. Accumulating evidence shows that *FABP4* plays important roles in cancer progression in multiple cancer types, including breast cancer [5], ovarian cancer [6], and colon cancer [7]. In contrast, loss of *FABP1* expression in colorectal cancer is associated with poor patient outcome [8]. *FABP5* is normally expressed in cells of epidermal origin and emerging evidence shows that it functions to regulate fatty acid trafficking, lipid metabolism and cell growth [9]. *FABP5* was found to be upregulated in many cancer types [10]. However, the mechanism leading to abnormal FABP expression in cancer is not clear and the roles of these FABPs in contributing to cancer progression have not been well defined. In this study, we aimed to investigate the genetic alterations leading

to abnormal expression of FABPs in cancer and the missing links of abnormal FABP expression to cancer gene signatures and patient survival.

# 6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

### PRIMARY SOURCES

1	<a href="http://www.wjgnet.com">www.wjgnet.com</a> Internet	86 words — 2%
2	<a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a> Internet	35 words — 1%
3	<a href="http://www.frontiersin.org">www.frontiersin.org</a> Internet	25 words — 1%
4	<a href="http://www.researchsquare.com">www.researchsquare.com</a> Internet	22 words — 1%
5	<a href="http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a> Internet	14 words — < 1%
6	<a href="http://molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com">molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com</a> Internet	13 words — < 1%
7	<a href="http://translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com">translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com</a> Internet	13 words — < 1%
8	Francesco Lasorsa, Nicola Antonio di Meo, Monica Rutigliano, Matteo Ferro et al. "Emerging Hallmarks of Metabolic Reprogramming in Prostate Cancer", International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2023 Crossref	12 words — < 1%



---

9

Libin Zhou, Min Yin, Fei Guo, Zefeng Yu, Guobin Weng, Huimin Long. "Low ACADM expression predicts poor prognosis and suppressive tumor microenvironment in clear cell renal cell carcinoma", Research Square Platform LLC, 2023  
Crossref Posted Content

12 words — < 1%

---

10

abstracts.asco.org  
Internet

12 words — < 1%

---

11

lilloa.univ-lille.fr  
Internet

12 words — < 1%

---

EXCLUDE QUOTES

ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES

OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY

ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 12 WORDS