

78977_Auto_Edited_25-10-2022.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 78977

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

Accuracy ³ **of the rotator cuff reparability score**

Rotator cuff reparability score

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears is difficult to determine pre-operatively. We previously identified age ≥ 65 years, acromiohumeral interval (AHI) ≤ 6 mm, and anteroposterior (AP) tear size ≥ 22 mm as risk factors for rotator cuff repair failure. We therefore developed a rotator cuff reparability score where each of the above risk factors is assigned a score of one point.

AIM

The objective of this study was to determine the accuracy of a rotator cuff reparability score.

METHODS

This retrospective cohort study of recruited patients with large or massive rotator cuff tears treated at our institution between January 2013 and December 2019. Exclusion criteria were revision surgery and patients with contraindications to surgery. All patients underwent arthroscopic rotator cuff repair and were categorized into either complete or partial rotator cuff repair. Rotator cuff reparability scores were calculated for each patient. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, and positive and negative likelihood ratio were assessed. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves was plotted to determine the optimal cut-off rotator cuff reparability score.

RESULTS

Eighty patients (mean age, 61 years; range, 25–84 years; 41 females and 39 males) were recruited. Intra- and inter-observer reliabilities were good to excellent. The number of patients with 0, 1, 2, and 3 risk factors for rotator cuff repair failure were 24, 33, 17, and 6, respectively. Complete repair was performed in all patients without risk factors. Two of the 33 patients with one risk factor and seven of the 17 patients with two risk factors

underwent partial repair. One of the six patients with three risk factors underwent complete repair. The area under the curve was 0.894. The optimal cut-off score was two points with a sensitivity of 85.71% and a specificity of 83.33%.

CONCLUSION

A rotator cuff reparability score of two was determined to be the optimal cut-off score for predicting the reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears.

Key Words: Rotator cuff tear; Reparability; Prognostic factors; Rotator cuff reparability score

Prasathaporn N, Kuptniratsaikul V, Thamrongskulsiri N, Itthipanichpong T. Accuracy of the rotator cuff reparability score. *World J Orthop* 2022; In press

Core Tip: This is retrospective study evaluated the accuracy of a novel rotator cuff reparability score. In large or massive rotator cuff tears, arthroscopic rotator cuff repair is not always feasible. The reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears can be more accurately determined after intra-operative arthroscopy. The identification of pre-operative risk factors for rotator cuff tear repair failure may facilitate improvements in management and provide patients with more accurate treatment information. Accordingly, we developed a novel scoring system to predict the likelihood of rotator cuff repair failure.

INTRODUCTION

The recommended treatment option for rotator cuff tears is arthroscopic surgery. Complete rotator cuff repair yields superior functional outcomes with a lower rate of recurrent tears compared to partial rotator cuff repair or arthroscopic debridement^[1,2]. Partial rotator cuff repair may initially improve functional outcomes; however, half of patients are reportedly dissatisfied with the results of partial rotator cuff repair at long-

term follow-up.^[3] Prior to arthroscopic rotator cuff surgery, a variety of salvage operations, such as superior capsular reconstruction, tendon transfer, subacromial balloon spacer, and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty, should be planned. Further, arthroscopic repair of large or massive rotator cuff tears may not always be feasible. The reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears can be more accurately determined during intra-operative arthroscopy. Pre-operative risk factors for rotator cuff repair failure may facilitate improved management planning and provide patients with more reliable treatment information.

Age, tendon retraction, rotator cuff tendon tear size, fatty infiltration, muscle atrophy, and superior humeral head migration are reported predictors of the reparability of large rotator cuff tears.^[4-7] Our previous study identified age, acromiohumeral interval (AHI), anteroposterior (AP) tear size, and acromiohumeral interval (AHI) as pre-operative clinical and radiographic parameters associated with the reparability of rotator cuff tears.^[5] Pre-operative evaluations have been shown to provide better treatment outcomes and lower re-tear rates after complete rotator cuff repair.^[1,2] In cases where complete repair is not feasible, alternative salvage techniques with superior results to partial rotator cuff repair should be considered.^[8] Accordingly, we developed a novel scoring system for predicting the reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears. The objective of the present study was to determine the accuracy of our novel pre-operative scoring system in predicting the probability the reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears. We hypothesized higher rotator cuff reparability scores predict rotator cuff repair failure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present retrospective cohort study collected data from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2019 after the Queen Savang Vadhana Memorial Hospital's Research Ethics Committee gave its approval to the study protocol. All patients with large or massive rotator cuff injuries identified by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) prior to surgery and verified during surgery by arthroscopy were included in the current study. Rotator

cuff tears were classified using the Snyder classification and modified Millstein.^[9,10] The term "complete supraspinatus tendon tears" was used to describe large rotator cuff tears. At least two tendons must be involved for a rotator cuff injury to be considered massive. The current study excluded patients with recurrent rotator cuff injuries or surgical contraindications.

Our rotator cuff reparability scoring system consisted of three factors: age ≥ 65 years, acromiohumeral interval (AHI) ≤ 6 mm, and anteroposterior (AP) tear size ≥ 22 mm.^[2] AHI is used to assess superior humeral head migration and is measured as the distance between the inferior border of the acromion and the superior aspect of the humeral head on anteroposterior plain radiography.^[11] (Figure 1) AP tear size was determined using T2-weighted MRI in the sagittal oblique view as the largest straight distance from anterior to posterior tendon edge.^[12] No measurements were taken from frayed tissues at the tendon edge (Figure 2). Two independent observers measured both imaging parameters twice.

Arthroscopic repair was performed by three fellowship-trained sports medicine surgeons. General anesthesia was used to sedate the patients, who were then placed in a beach chair position. If an acromial spur was identified, acromioplasty was performed. Arthroscopic capsular release and manipulation under anesthesia were performed in cases with adhesive capsulitis. After confirming the size of the tear using an arthroscopic probe, tendon adhesions were released and mobilized to cover as much of the native footprint as feasible. The interval sliding technique was used where necessary. Where feasible, double-row rotator cuff repair or trans-osseous equivalent repair were performed to improve tendon healing, lower the risk of re-rupture, and improve functional outcomes compared to single-row repair.^[13-15] Single-row or partial repair were performed in cases where double-row repair was not possible.

Partial repair was defined as having less than 50% of the anatomical footprint covered by tendon. Complete repair was defined as 50% tendon coverage or greater.^[7,11] (Figure 3A, 3B) On the first post-operative day, passive range-of-motion exercises were permitted for all patients. Patient were provided an arm sling for 6 weeks post-

operatively. In the third or fourth post-operative week, progressive active-assisted passive motion exercises were initiated for muscle strengthening.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26. Each risk factor score's sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, and positive and negative probability ratio were assessed. The optimal cut-off score and area under the curve (AUC) values were determined using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. Intra-observer and inter-observer reliabilities were calculated using Kappa analysis and the intra-class correlation coefficient for categorical and continuous data, respectively.

RESULTS

Eighty patients (mean age, 61 years; range, 25–84 years; 41 females and 39 males) met the study inclusion criteria. A total of 64 massive rotator cuff tears (82.5%) and 14 Large rotator cuff tears (17.5%) were identified by MRI and confirmed by arthroscopic examination.

AP distance and AHI had intra-observer reliability values of 83.8 and 84.6 %, respectively. The inter-observer reliability values for AP distance and AHI were 81.2 and 81.6 %, respectively. Both outcomes were rated as good to excellent. Sixty-six patients underwent complete arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Partial arthroscopic rotator cuff repairs were performed in 14 patients. All 24 patients who had no risk factors for rotator cuff surgery failure had complete arthroscopic repair of their rotator cuffs. Only two of the 33 patients with one risk factor underwent partial repair. Seven patients with two risk factors got partial repair, while ten patients with two risk factors obtained complete repair. One of the six patients with all three risk factors underwent complete repair. The numbers of patients undergoing complete or partial repair according to number of risk factors for rotator cuff repair failure are shown in Table 1.

For each rotator cuff reparability score, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, as well as positive and negative likelihood ratios, were investigated (Table 2). The area under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC)

curve was 0.894 (Figure 4). Two was the optimal cut-off score for rotator cuff reparability, with a sensitivity of 85.71% and a specificity of 83.33%.

DISCUSSION

Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair is currently the standard treatment for rotator cuff tears. However, arthroscopic surgery is technically challenge in cases of large or massive rotator cuff tears. Previous studies have demonstrated that complete rotator cuff repair leads to superior functional results compared to partial rotator cuff repair. [1,2,16,17] Shon *et al.* posited that arthroscopic partial repair may result in initial clinical improvements at two-year follow-up; however, over half of the study participants were dissatisfied with surgical outcomes which had worsened over time. [3] Heuberer *et al.* conducted a 45-month follow-up study and demonstrated partial rotator cuff repair was associated with higher re-rupture rates compared to complete rotator cuff repair. [1]

Many salvage procedures have recently been developed for irreparable rotator cuff tears. However, there is a lack of studies comparing partial rotator cuff repair with other salvage procedures. A recent prospective cohort study comparing a latissimus dorsi muscle transfer with a partial rotator cuff repair in irreparable posterosuperior rotator cuff tears showed reported higher UCLA functional scores, forward flexion, and shoulder strength in the muscle transfer group. [6] A cost-effective study by Makhni *et al.* revealed that arthroscopic rotator cuff repair may be a more cost-effective initial treatment for massive rotator cuff tears compared with primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. However, reverse total shoulder arthroplasty had superior outcomes in cases of rotator cuff repair failure or re-tear. [8] The results of the study by Makhni *et al.* demonstrate the importance of comprehensive evaluations of the reparability of rotator cuff tears. Salvage procedures including superior capsular reconstruction, subacromial spacer, tendon transfer, and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty are recommended treatments in cases of irreparable rotator cuff tears after demonstrating utility in significantly improving functional outcomes. [19,20] To our knowledge, no comparative studies of partial rotator cuff repair and salvage procedures have been reported to date. Accordingly, the assessment of rotator cuff reparability is clinically challenging,

particularly in cases with large or massive rotator cuff tears. However, many factors have been reported to be associated with rotator cuff tear reparability and have utility in predicting treatment outcomes pre-operatively. [1-3,17]

Rotator cuff injuries are a phenomenon of natural aging. [21] The majority of rotator cuff injuries are asymptomatic. However, between 30 and 40 percent of patients with asymptomatic tears get symptoms in the subsequent 2 to 5 years. [22-23] Larger rotator cuff tears are typically associated with degeneration and fatty infiltration. [21,24] Moreover, increasing age, particularly ≥ 65 years, has been shown to significantly correlate with rotator cuff tear irreparability. [5-6]

Rotator cuff tears cause an imbalance between the forces acting on the glenohumeral joint, which can result in the humeral head superior migration, [25] which is one of the earliest signs of rotator cuff tear arthropathy (RCTA). [26] The humeral head superior migration, as measured by the acromiohumeral interval (AHI) or inferior glenohumeral distance (IHD), is an important factor in predicting the reparability and clinical outcomes of rotator cuff tears. [4-7,27] Previous studies have reported that an AHI ≤ 6 mm is associated with rotator cuff tear irreparability. [4-5]

Tear size is another significant factor, which many studies reported as a main predicting factor of reparability. [4-7,11,21] Previous studies have determined rotator cuff tear size using different imaging modalities and in coronal and sagittal oblique views. Our previous study reported that both an ML tear size ≥ 36 mm or an AP tear size ≥ 22 were associated with rotator cuff tear irreparability. This result corroborates a previous study by Di Benedetto *et al.*; [4-5] however, their multiple logistic regression model demonstrated only AP tear size was significant correlated with rotator cuff tear irreparability.

A previous retrospective study reported the development of a quantitative scoring system for large-to-massive rotator cuff tears. [6] Their scoring system, which included AP tear size, ML tear size, muscle atrophy, and fatty infiltration, had a sensitivity of 73.5% and a specificity of 96.2%. However, this study included only pre-operative MRI factors and not clinical and radiographic factors.

Our previous study analyzed all pre-operative clinical and radiographic factors to estimate the reparability of large and massive rotator cuff tears, [5] demonstrating age, AHI, and AP tear size were correlated with rotator cuff reparability. As all three factors had similar odd ratios, we weighted them equally to develop the present three-point scoring system.

In this study, the AUC for our rotator cuff reparability score was 0.894, indicating good accuracy. A score of two, which had a sensitivity of 85.71% and a specificity of 83.33%, was found to be the optimal cut-off rotator cuff reparability score. Accordingly, rotator cuff tears are most likely to be irreparable in patients with a score rotator cuff reparability of two or three. We recommend pre-operative consideration of backup procedures in such cases.

This study had several limitations. First, the retrospective nature of the present study may have introduced selection or information into the study analysis. Second, there was a wide range of participant ages which may have affected tissue quality and the likelihood of traumatic rotator cuff tears. Third, the small sample size and small number of patients in the partial repair group may have influenced the study results. Finally, clinical outcomes and follow-up were not assessed in the present study. Further studies are required to validate the clinical utility of our rotator cuff reparability score in improving clinical outcomes and provided satisfactory results after long-term follow-up.

CONCLUSION

A score of two is the optimal rotator cuff reparability score for predicting the reparability of large or massive rotator cuff tears. Patients with a pre-operative rotator cuff reparability score of two or greater are likely to have irreparable rotator cuff tears.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

It is challenging to ¹predict the reparability of a large and massive rotator cuff injury before surgery. Age, tendon retraction, tendon ¹³tear size, fatty infiltration, muscle atrophy, and ³superior humeral head migration are all factors that influence whether or not large or massive rotator cuff tears can be repaired.

Research motivation

The better result and lower recurrent rate of complete rotator cuff repair, make the pre-operative evaluation much more important. If a complete repair is not possible, alternative salvage techniques with better results than partial rotator cuff repair should be considered.

Research objectives

²¹The aim of the current study was to determine accuracy of the rotator cuff reparability score.

Research methods

Retrospective cohort diagnostic study of ¹all patients with large and massive rotator cuff ³⁵tears between January 2013 to December 2019 were included. All patients underwent an arthroscopic rotator cuff repair and were classified as either complete or partial rotator cuff repair. The ⁷sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, and positive and negative likelihood ratio were assessed. The ²receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was analyzed to define the optimal cut-off level for the reparability of ⁵the rotator cuff tear.

Research results

¹Eighty patients were recruited for this study. The intra- and inter-observer reliabilities were good to excellent. ²The number of patients with 0, 1, 2, and 3 positive factors were 24, 33, 17, and 6 respectively. The complete repair was done in all patients without any positive factors. Two of thirty-three patients with one positive factor and seven of

seventeen patients with two positive factors were partially repaired. Only one of six patients with three positive factors was in a complete repair group. The area under the curve was 0.894. The optimal cut-off point was two with the sensitivity of 85.71% and the specificity of 83.33%.

Research conclusions

The optimal cut-off points for predicting the reparability of a large or massive rotator cuff tear is a rotator cuff reparability score of two. If the pre-operative score is two or above, the rotator cuff tear is likely to be irreparable.

Research perspectives

Further studies are required to validate the clinical utility of our rotator cuff reparability score in improving clinical outcomes and provided satisfactory results after long-term follow-up.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors very much appreciate the support of the Biostatistics Excellence Center of Chulalongkorn University Faculty of Medicine for statistical consultation. The authors also acknowledge the Thai Orthopedic Society for Sports Medicine (TOSSM) for academic support.



28%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|
| 1 | bmcmusculoskeletdisord.biomedcentral.com
<small>Internet</small> | 148 words — 5% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 2 | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
<small>Internet</small> | 127 words — 4% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 3 | "Massive and Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020
<small>Crossref</small> | 85 words — 3% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 4 | Vanasiri Kuptniratsaikul, Thongchai
Laohathaimongkol, Vantawat Umprai, Chuenrutai
Yeekian, Niti Prasathaporn. "Pre-operative factors correlated
with arthroscopic reparability of large-to-massive rotator cuff
tears", BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2019
<small>Crossref</small> | 55 words — 2% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 5 | Shoulder Arthroscopy, 2014.
<small>Crossref</small> | 37 words — 1% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 6 | coek.info
<small>Internet</small> | 36 words — 1% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 7 | docksci.com
<small>Internet</small> | 29 words — 1% |
| <hr/> | | |
| 8 | Greenspoon, Joshua A., Maximilian Petri, Ryan J.
Warth, and Peter J. Millett. "Massive rotator cuff | 27 words — 1% |

tears: pathomechanics, current treatment options, and clinical outcomes", Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, 2015.

Crossref

-
- 9 Tidarat Nualyong, Akkradate Siriphorn. "Accuracy of the figure of 8 walk test with and without dual-task to predict falls in older adults", Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies, 2022

27 words — 1%

Crossref

-
- 10 Tim Dwyer, Helen Razmjou, Patrick Henry, Simon Gosselin-Fournier, Richard Holtby. "Association between pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging and reparability of large and massive rotator cuff tears", Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2013

21 words — 1%

Crossref

-
- 11 ikee.lib.auth.gr

Internet

20 words — 1%

-
- 12 Guo-qiang Zhang, Xiao-Hua Li, Xiao-Jian Ye, Hai-Bin Chen, Nan-Tao Fu, An-Tao Wu, Yong Li. "Internal Versus External Drainage With aPancreatic Duct Stent For Pancreaticojejunostomy During Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Patients at High Risk for Pancreatic Fistula: A Comparative Study", Journal of Surgical Research, 2018

17 words — 1%

Crossref

-
- 13 Jeung Yeol Jeong, Pill Ku Chung, Sang Min Lee, Jae Chul Yoo. "Supraspinatus muscle occupation ratio predicts rotator cuff reparability", Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, 2017

15 words — 1%

Crossref

-
- 14 revistas.urp.edu.pe

Internet

14 words — < 1%

-
- 15 www.jcancer.org Internet 12 words — < 1%
-
- 16 www.karger.com Internet 12 words — < 1%
-
- 17 oudconsultancy.nl Internet 11 words — < 1%
-
- 18 www.science.gov Internet 11 words — < 1%
-
- 19 Bryan Wall, Laurent Nové-Josserand, Daniel P. O'Connor, T. Bradley Edwards, Gilles Walch. "Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty", The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, 2007 Crossref 10 words — < 1%
-
- 20 Kosuke Kuratani, Makoto Tanaka, Hiroto Hanai, Kenji Hayashida. "Accuracy of shoulder joint injections with ultrasound guidance: Confirmed by magnetic resonance arthrography", World Journal of Orthopedics, 2022 Crossref 10 words — < 1%
-
- 21 worldwidescience.org Internet 10 words — < 1%
-
- 22 Sung-Jae Kim, Sung-Hwan Kim, Su-Keon Lee, Jae-Wan Seo, Yong-Min Chun. "Arthroscopic Repair of Massive Contracted Rotator Cuff Tears", The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, 2013 Crossref 9 words — < 1%
-
- 23 Gregory L. Cvetanovich, Brian R. Waterman, Nikhil N. Verma, Anthony A. Romeo. "Management of the Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tear", Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 2019 8 words — < 1%

24 Laurent Lafosse, Roman Brozska, Bruno Toussaint, Reuben Gobezie. "The Outcome and Structural Integrity of Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair with Use of the Double-Row Suture Anchor Technique", The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, 2007

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

25 Madeline M. McGovern, Ashley J. Bassett. "Superior capsular reconstruction for management of massive irreparable rotator cuff tears: current concepts", Current Orthopaedic Practice, 2022

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

26 Yang-Soo Kim, Sung-Eun Kim, Sung-Ho Bae, Hyo-Jin Lee, Won-Hee Jee, Chang Kyun Park. "Tear progression of symptomatic full-thickness and partial-thickness rotator cuff tears as measured by repeated MRI", Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2016

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

27 Ziyuan Liu, Shan Du, Yun Wu, Tiange Chen, Xiangying Luo, Changlong Bi, Song Lan, Xin Chen, Jinfang Liu. "Intracranial Pressure after Closure of Dura Predicts Decompressive Craniectomy in Patients with Head Trauma", Journal of Neurotrauma, 2022

Crossref

8 words — < 1%

28 f6publishing.blob.core.windows.net

Internet

8 words — < 1%

29 jnm.snmjournals.org

Internet

8 words — < 1%

30 Alexander Berth, Wolfram Neumann, Friedemann Awiszus, Géza Pap. "Massive rotator cuff tears:

7 words — < 1%

functional outcome after debridement or arthroscopic partial repair", Journal of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, 2010

Crossref

31 Lo, I.K.. "Arthroscopic revision of failed rotator cuff repairs: technique and results", Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery, 200403

7 words — < 1%

Crossref

32 M.-A. Malahias, G. Avramidis, E. Brilakis, A. Trellopoulos, E. Antonogiannakis. "Non-anatomic arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears versus anatomic complete arthroscopic repair: a case-control study", MUSCULOSKELETAL SURGERY, 2019

7 words — < 1%

Crossref

33 Massive Rotator Cuff Tears, 2015.

7 words — < 1%

Crossref

34 Sanjeev Sanjeev, Michael Pettersen, Jorge Lua, Ronald Thomas, Seetha Shankaran, Thomas L'Ecuyer. "Role of Plasma B-Type Natriuretic Peptide in Screening for Hemodynamically Significant Patent Ductus Arteriosus in Preterm Neonates", Journal of Perinatology, 2005

7 words — < 1%

Crossref

35 Nicholas D. Iagulli, Larry D. Field, E. Rhett Hobgood, J. Randall Ramsey, Felix H. Savoie. "Comparison of Partial Versus Complete Arthroscopic Repair of Massive Rotator Cuff Tears", The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 2012

6 words — < 1%

Crossref

36 Zubair Wani, Mohamed Abdulla, Awais Habeebullah, Socrates Kalogriantis. "Rotator cuff tears: Review of epidemiology, clinical assessment and operative treatment", Trauma, 2016

6 words — < 1%

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF