

89580_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*

Manuscript NO: 89580

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Evolving Strategies: Enhancements in Managing Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Pediatric Patients

Ahmed Elghoudi, Doaa Zourob, Eman Al Atrash, Fatima Alshamsi, Manal Alkatheeri, Hassib Narchi, Rana Bitar

Abstract

ABSTRACT

Eosinophilic esophagitis is a newly recognized disease first described around 50 years ago. The definition, diagnosis and management has evolved with new published consensus guidelines and newly approved treatment available to pediatricians enabling for better understanding of this disease and more targeted treatment for patients. We aim to describe the definition, presentation, diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis including management, challenges and future direction in children.

The definition, diagnosis and management of eosinophilic esophagitis has evolved over the last 50 years. Consensus guidelines and newly approved biologic treatment has enabled pediatricians to better understand this disease and allowed for more targeted treatment for patients. We aim to describe the definition, presentation, diagnosis, management, treatment, in addition to the challenges and future direction of eosinophilic esophagitis management in children.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Symptomatology in EoE can be widely variable, reflecting esophageal dysfunction and inflammation [13]. The esophagus is an obscure organ within the thoracic cavity, manifesting its ailments as vague symptoms and rarely results in specific physical signs

[14]. The developmental stage of the child impacts the clinical manifestations; while adolescents typically exhibit symptoms akin to those observed in adults, such as dysphagia to solids and food impaction, younger patients tend to manifest more general and non-specific complaints. These include abdominal or chest discomfort, vomiting, feeding difficulties, or extreme failure to thrive [13,14,15]. Interestingly, most children develop coping mechanisms, so feeding difficulty tends to be subtle and requires careful history to elicit positive findings [13,15]. Therefore, refusal to eat, inability to progress from liquids to solids, selective avoidance of hard consistencies after successfully tolerating a variety of solids, exaggerated chewing, prolonged meal time, or drinking excessive water to facilitate swallowing are all well-recognized patterns of coping mechanisms [13,14]. Another point worth discussing is that progressive symptoms are common and correlate strongly with transitioning from an inflammatory phenomenon in early childhood to a fibro-stenotic remodeling process that significantly hinders esophageal compliance and function [14]. The relationship between symptomology progression and age is outlined in Figure 1.

Multiple symptoms can coexist, and some are overlapping with other diseases, such as gastroesophageal reflux [16]. Subsequently, reflux symptoms that fail to respond to empiric Proton Pump Inhibitor (PPI) trial warrants endoscopic evaluation for EoE [13,16]. Many patients have associated atopic disorders including eczema, allergic rhinitis, respiratory symptoms related to asthma, and allergic reactions to food [14,16]. It is postulated that EoE shares a common pathologic background with these conditions, most remarkably with allergic rhinitis, which is found to be in up to 90% in one report [6]. Historical remarks of family members with atopic disorders, dysphagia, or even a formal diagnosis of EoE should increase the suspicion in the index and prompt targeted evaluation [13,14,16].

DIAGNOSIS

The expanding research and literature available on EoE in the past 20 years has shaped our better conceptualization of the pathophysiology and natural history of EoE. Nevertheless, due to the non-specific clinical picture in young children, EoE remains a

diagnostic challenge to pediatricians who need a vigilant correlation between symptoms and endoscopic and histologic findings [13,14,16,17]. Consequently, an average 3 to 5 years delay between symptoms onset and diagnosis was reported in one review, which was associated with a parallel increase in fibro-stenotic complication rates [13]. Accordingly, guidelines have been revised several times to guide clinicians and help bridge this gap [13,18].

The consensus outlines the definition of EoE as a clinicopathologic entity, with evident esophageal impaired function, combined with histologic proof of esophageal eosinophilic predominant inflammation after ruling out other causes of eosinophilia (Figure-2), [13,14,16]. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is the modality of choice for the gross examination of characteristic mucosal changes, obtaining biopsies for histological confirmation, and excluding other pathologies of the esophagus [13,14,16,18]. Endoscopically, features of inflammation or fibrotic transformation features such as furrows, exudate, edema, rings, trachealization, and stricture, can be observed. The endoscopic findings of Edema, Rings, Furrows, Exudate, and Stricture (ERFES) are given a grade and have been grouped into a scoring system called EoE ERFES scoring system to aid in the diagnosis of EoE and monitoring patients' disease progression. However, confirmation requires histologic evaluation [15]. It's crucial to remember that as many as 17% of individuals with EoE may exhibit normal endoscopic findings. Therefore, it is recommended that for all pediatric patients with nonspecific upper GI symptoms severe enough to necessitate endoscopic examination, esophageal biopsies need to be collected [13,16]. A minimum of six biopsies should be obtained from various levels spanning from the proximal to the distal esophagus, focusing on areas of inflammation to enhance the likelihood of positive findings in the microscopic examination [13,14,16].

In histopathological assessment, eosinophilic infiltration is confirmed when it attains or surpasses a threshold of 15 eosinophils per hpf, excluding eosinophilia in the stomach or duodenum is a pre-requisite for diagnosing EoE [13,16,18]. Apart from mucosal

esophageal eosinophil density, noteworthy histological characteristics in EoE encompass basal cell hyperplasia and the presence of eosinophilic layering [13].

The British Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (BSPGHAN) revised its guidelines in 2022. It is recommended to stop proton pump inhibitors (PPI), if prescribed previously for the patient, for at least three weeks before endoscopy [16]. The objective is to identify a subgroup of EoE patients referred to as PPI-responsive eosinophilia [13,16]. To elaborate further, PPI is uniquely anti-inflammatory in EoE by blocking IL13-mediated inflammatory cascade, masking the significant histological changes [13,16].

Additional laboratory testing, such as serum IgE levels and eosinophilic count, might serve as a clue to the atopic tendency of the patient. However, it has no diagnostic weight. Allergic testing could be sought and guided by an allergist based on initial assessment [16].

GENETICS

The multifactorial pathophysiology of EoE implicates a role in genetic susceptibility. Supporting evidence for the former can be found in the elevated risk observed among first-degree relatives of individuals with EoE in developing the condition [14]. Therefore, researchers have been adamant about mapping novel genetic loci involved in EoE [14,18]. A breakthrough finding revealed a distinctive genetic expression pattern in esophageal biopsies of EoE patients, involving 96 genes, differentiating their biopsies from non-EoE [14,18]. This work and later research confirmed that the degree of genetic expression is directly related to the abundance of inflammatory cells and the presentation of its relevant genetic material in biopsy samples [13,14].

Genotyping can also be utilized in stratifying patients with EoE into inflammatory predominant or fibrostenotic phenotype [14]. However, evidence is yet to be validated through future research to guide the implementation of such a sophisticated molecular testing strategy [14,17].

MANAGEMENT

Treatment options of EoE includes dietary elimination, drug treatment in addition to esophageal dilatation in some advanced cases. Some scholars came up with the abbreviation of 3" D"S, representing Drugs, Diet, and Dilatation ^[17]. Over the past year, Dupilumab, a new biologic, ⁶ a human monoclonal antibody that targets the interleukin-4 (IL-4) receptor alpha subunit of heterodimeric IL-4 and IL-13 receptors, has become the first food and drug administration (FDA) approved biologic treatment for EoE in adult and adolescent populations aged 12 years and older. EoE is one of those diseases which needs an individualized treatment plan for every patient. The decision of which treatment to start depends on the clinical picture, severity, practicality/suitability, other comorbid allergic disease and the patient or parents' choice. Clinicians usually decide on the treatment in a case-by-case basis. Hence, the treatment formulation will rely on mutual agreement between the child or the child's family and the treating clinicians. Efficient treatment will help ease the signs and symptoms and reverse inflammatory damage to the esophagus and help the clinician to liberate the child's diet which could positively impact the nutritional status and well-being of the child ^[19]. Patients will benefit from being cared for by a multidisciplinary team, which should include the treating physician or the gastroenterologist, allergist, psychologist, general pediatrician and dietitian to support patient care, avoid any conflicts regarding the advice given and reduce the number of clinic visits. Gastroenterologists will lead the investigations, endoscopies, and treatments and perform esophageal dilation when needed. Allergists work with gastroenterologists to provide comprehensive care for patients with EoE and recommend elimination diets and treatments. Allergists also investigate the atopic comorbidities common with EoE and provide additional treatment as necessary ^[16]. Dietitians are vital in arranging individualized dietary plans, providing replacements for the eliminated food groups, and ensuring that patients receive appropriate nutritional intake. General pediatricians can follow the child's growth, nutritional status, and general well-being. Nevertheless, a psychologist can help patients and their families manage the psychological concerns of the disease.

Dietary elimination

Dietary therapy is based on EoE being a non-IgE-mediated food allergy. Thus, eliminating certain allergenic foods could induce disease remission. Three different methods are used in dietary therapy, full elemental diet, 6 food exclusion diet, and gradual food elimination diet. An elemental diet is administered by providing an elemental liquid formula, this formula should encompass all the essential nutrients, including carbohydrates, fats, vital minerals, and vitamins [14]. A systematic review by Rank *et al*, involving 431 patients, concluded that histological remission was achieved in 93.65% of patients on elemental diet, in contrast to the control groups in the studies, where only 13.3% attained remission [20]. This approach is generally not preferred for most children and care givers, because, elemental milk has an unfavorable taste and can be relatively expensive. However, it remains a good option in infants with EoE especially that they are mostly dependent on milk for the first few months of their life. It is important to note that children with non-IgE-mediated food allergies have a potential risk of developing IgE-mediated allergies to the food eliminated, especially if food is excluded from their diet for an extended duration [20]. Therefore, allergy testing is required before introducing the common allergenic foods. [21]

The most commonly recommended dietary therapy eliminates the six common allergenic foods commonly linked to food allergy in EoE. These foods are ranked based on their allergenicity as follows: cow's milk, wheat, egg, soy, peanuts/tree nuts, and fish. As expected, this six-food elimination is more effective than gradual food elimination, where one or two foods are eliminated for up to 12 wk, followed by endoscopy. However, six food elimination is very challenging, especially for children, where compliance becomes an issue. Gradual food elimination diet focuses on excluding one or two types of food which are felt to be the expected foods causing the patients eosinophilic esophagitis after thorough assessment by the pediatric allergist and gastroenterologist while considering starting with the most allergenic foods such as cow's milk and wheat followed by egg and Soya and finally peanuts/tree nuts, and

fish. It is vital to explain to the patient/carer that exclusive elemental diet, six foods elimination or gradual elimination required repeated endoscopy to document remission before food(s) are reintroduced in a reversed order of the elimination based on level of allergenicity. In six food elimination diet, fish and shellfish are introduced first, while cow's milk is usually the last to be reintroduced [22]. It is essential that a pediatric dietician is involved in the patient medical care to perform a comprehensive nutritional assessment and replacement of all deficient nutrients in the child's diet.

Pharmacological treatment

The medications that can be used for controlling the inflammation in EoE include, proton pump inhibitors, corticosteroids, and dupilumab. Proton pump inhibitors (PPI) are used for eight weeks as a therapeutic option for treatment. Numerous studies have substantiated its effectiveness as a primary therapeutic choice for managing EoE. In addition, it is used by some clinicians to rule out conditions such as reflux esophagitis, especially in children whose endoscopy is not done or delayed for different reasons. In a systematic review by Rank *et al* with 1051 patients proved PPI's therapeutic effectiveness and efficacy. [20]

Corticosteroids are known for their potent anti-inflammatory effect. They can be swallowed as a thickened solution or from the metered dose inhaler device. A systematic review encompassing trials demonstrated that topical corticosteroids led to histological remission in 64% of patients, a significant contrast to the 13.3% remission rate observed in the control groups of the study (CI 0.85-1.19) [20]. Topical corticosteroids are proven to be very safe in children. transient oral candidiasis is probably the most reported side effect reported. This can be avoided by mouth washing after administering swallowed corticosteroid treatment.

Biological treatments

In May 2022, Dupilumab was approval by the FDA as the first and only drug specific for treating EoE for children aged 12 and above [23]. The biological drug is still not commonly used in children, and it's not clear where it fits in the stepwise approach for treating EOE in children. Nevertheless, the FDA's endorsement of it as the initial

sanctioned treatment for EOE supports its consideration as a first-line medication. On the other hand, as it is a novel drug, certain healthcare providers contemplate its usage in instances where traditional therapeutic agents fall short in achieving both clinical and histological remission. Adalimumab is not a new medication, it has an established role in the management of moderate to severe asthma, challenging cases of atopic dermatitis, and chronic sinusitis with polyps in children. ⁵ The Joint Task Force of The American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology, American College of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology, along with the American Gastroenterology Association, has recently issued expert opinion guidelines for the treatment of EoE. This paper guides healthcare professionals on using Dupilumab in treating patients with EoE. It emphasizes the significance of the FDA approving Dupilumab as the initial and sole pharmaceutical intervention for EoE management ^[21]. Furthermore, it states that Dupilumab is to be considered in patients who refuse or are unsuitable for food elimination and swallowed corticosteroids, patients with esophageal dilatation, those who developed side effects to ongoing treatment, patients with strictures or narrow caliber esophagus, and patients with refractory EoE ^[23]. Dupilumab is currently licensed for 12-year-old children and above. However, in atopic dermatitis, it is licensed for treating children from 6 months of age, and it's expected to be made available for younger groups of children with EoE.

³ Mepolizumab is a biologic agent developed to treat asthma. It represents a humanized monoclonal antibody of Immunoglobulin G1 κ type, which targets human IL-5 and thus prevents its interaction with the α -chain of the IL-5 receptor. ¹ A larger multicenter parallel group trial also demonstrated reductions in both peak and mean esophageal eosinophil counts of greater than 50% after receiving three doses of mepolizumab in up to 77% of patients. In addition, peripheral blood eosinophil counts were also decreased. However, most mucosal biopsies did not return completely to normal (defined as fewer than four eosinophils per high-power field for this study ^[16]). Although it has shown promise in reducing both peak and mean esophageal eosinophil counts it has not been FDA approved for treating eosinophilic esophagitis in children. Reslizumab was

approved by the FDA in March 2016, and is indicated as an add-on maintenance therapy for adults with severe asthma with an eosinophilic phenotype. Reslizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that occupies the region glutamic acid, arginine, arginine, arginine corresponding to amino acids 89–92 on IL-5, which is a region critical for its interaction with the IL-5 receptor on the eosinophil surface. Although reslizumab improved patient clinical symptoms the effect on lowering esophageal eosinophil level was modest. Other biologic agents including malizumab, cendaakimab, , lirentelimab are potential treatments that may be used in the future to treat patient with eosinophilic esophagitis.

Endoscopic intervention

With the progression of eosinophilic esophagitis, children may develop esophageal narrowing, loss of elasticity, and stricture formation, especially when the inflammatory component of the disease advances to fibrosis. If the narrowing is not improved with medical treatment or is severe, esophageal dilatation may be required to improve patient symptoms. In addition, dilatation can also be used to release food bolus obstruction in the acute setting. Although esophageal dilatation can offer immediate symptom relief of dysphagia, esophageal dilatation can't be considered an isolated treatment for EoE and must be used with appropriate medical therapy. Esophageal dilatation can be performed with balloon dilators or bougie dilators and is advised to be performed gradually with serial endoscopic sessions. There isn't much data on esophageal dilatation in children, and most evidence is extrapolated from adult studies. The meta-analysis conducted by Dougherty and colleagues encompassed 37 studies, comprising three focused on pediatric populations and two encompassing children and adults.[24].

A total of 977 patients underwent a combined 2034 dilations using either balloon or bougie dilators. Of these patients, 87% reported ameliorating dysphagia symptoms following esophageal dilation, although no concurrent improvement was observed in esophageal eosinophilia. Nine perforations were recorded during the procedures at a

rate of 0.033% (95%CI, 0%-0.226%) per procedure. Notably, none of these perforations necessitated surgical intervention or led to any mortalities. Furthermore, a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis, encompassing 27 studies involving 87 pediatric patients out of 845 with EoE, collectively underwent 1820 esophageal dilations [25]. This group's median number of dilations was 3 (range: 1-35). Clinical improvement was observed in 95% of patients in 17 studies. Notably, perforation occurred in 0.38% (95%CI: 0.18%-0.85%, I2: 0%, based on data from 27 studies), and hemorrhage was documented in 0.05% (95%CI: 0%-0.3%, I2: 0%, from 18 studies). Encouragingly, there were no reported fatalities. Therefore, esophageal dilatation in EoE is considered safe by using a balloon or bougie dilators and improves dysphagia in patients with esophageal strictures. Dilatation will reduce the narrowing of the esophageal diameter. Still, it will not treat the inflammation and thus needs to be combined with medical treatment such as medication or food exclusion.

LONG TERM CARE

The understanding of eosinophilic esophagitis is becoming increasingly evident in its natural progression. Pathogenesis starts with mucosal inflammation, leading to remodeling and fibrosis [18]. In a single center in Switzerland, two related studies were conducted on the progression of EoE in adults; these studies showed the persistence of dysphagia and eosinophilic inflammation, primarily observed in children, which subsequently progresses into subepithelial fibrosis in adults [26, 27]. Therefore, EoE is classified as a chronic disease that can be persistent or relapsing, necessitating a comprehensive long-term monitoring and treatment plan.

The primary objectives in treating Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE) are to effectively manage symptoms, mitigate the risk of complications associated with fibro stenotic remodeling, and improve patient quality of life [28]. To ensure treatment efficacy, it is advisable to schedule a follow-up endoscopy with esophageal biopsies within 6 to 12 wk after initiating therapy with an aim to evaluate the histological findings and adjust the treatment accordingly. [7, 29].

Given that the diagnosis relies on histology, symptoms, and endoscopic findings, a system has been implemented to evaluate the progress of patients within a spectrum that includes complete normalization, partial response, and nonresponse [30]. Patients are categorized to (i) non responders with persistent eosinophilia ≥ 15 eosinophils/hpf, (ii) partial responders with reduced eosinophilia of 7-14 eosinophils/hpf OR 1-6 eosinophils/hpf, and (iii) complete normalization with normal biopsy with <1 eosinophils/hpf. [30].

CHALLENGES

Clinicians and patients may face different challenges when managing eosinophilic esophagitis patients. Although diet therapy offers non-pharmacologic options for disease management, it can be difficult for patients to follow. This can result in non-compliance, isolation from peers, the extra cost of exceptional food alternatives, cross-contamination while preparing meals, or possibly nutritional deficiencies in poorly planned diets. In addition, repeated hospital visits and multiple endoscopies to identify the triggers during the elimination diet can lead to extra financial and psychological burdens on patients and their families. Symptoms do not fully reflect disease activity, which might demotivate patients during the lengthy period of food elimination and re-introduction. Also, clinicians may find difficulty in interpreting biopsies of refractory disease *vs* non-compliance to the elimination diet [31].

The potential consequences of untreated or undertreated eosinophilic inflammation includes the development of fibrosis, thickened esophageal walls, and the formation of strictures. Ultimately, these structural and functional changes can damage the esophagus [32] resulting in complications like esophageal stenosis, food impaction, esophageal perforation, and malnutrition. These complications will certainly affect patient quality of life [18]. Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) harms the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of patients and their families while also imposing a significant burden on the healthcare system. Although there is limited data available, the currently accessible treatments seem to impact HRQoL positively [33]. Therefore, it is crucial to

incorporate psychosocial support into patient care by actively engaging both the patient and their family in group support. Additionally, it is essential to ensure that they are well-informed about the appropriate resources available for EoE.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In addition to the well-established and approved biological treatment, dupilumab, some other drugs are now being explored for managing EoE and targeting type 2 inflammatory response with different cytokine pathways associated with EoE pathogenesis. Hirano *et al*, investigated anti-IL13 with half the patients having steroid-refractory disease. The trial demonstrated a reduced eosinophil counts and the patient's reported of disease severity with improvement in dysphagia symptoms, but it lacked statistical significance [34].

Also, a randomized control trial with chemoattractant receptor-homologous molecule expressed on Th2 cells (CRTH) antagonist showed a statistically significant reduction in eosinophil count compared to placebo [35]. Anti-IgE monoclonal antibody (Omalizumab) showed that, compared to placebo had no substantial improvement in clinical symptoms or decrease in eosinophil counts [36].

Other new therapeutic drugs under investigation include Siglec-8 blockers (Lirentelimab). KRYPTOS trial (Phase 2/3) showed that Lirentelimab achieved statistically significant eosinophil reduction. Despite improving dysphagia symptoms compared to placebo, it did not meet the primary endpoint of the change in daily Dysphagia Symptom Questionnaire score [37].

The new therapeutic options highlight the need for further comprehensive trials. Nonetheless, it provides hope for potentially treating many atopic conditions, including EoE, with a single medication.

EoE necessitates multiple endoscopies for diagnosing and monitoring the disease. Less invasive monitoring tools are needed. New minimally invasive methods are now being studied to assess EoE disease activity. Cytosponge is a sponge-containing capsule after being swallowed it collects esophageal tissue as it's pulled back, offering an easy method to assess EoE inflammatory activity [38]. Another monitoring tool currently being assessed is Esophageal String Test, which assesses eosinophil-derived granule proteins from secretions for the esophagus that stick to the string as it's removed [39].

Additionally, a new way to perform serial endoscopies is through a trans-nasal endoscopy. It provides an effective and less invasive test that can be done without sedation while maintaining a visual assessment of the esophagus and histopathologic testing [40]. These investigations are still in the initial stages of assessment and need further validation and evaluation of effectiveness.

Key Words: Eosinophilic esophagitis, Esophagitis, Gastroesophageal reflux disease, Food allergy, Dysphagia.

Elghoudi A, Zourob D, Al Atrash E, Alshamsi F, Alkatheeri M, Narchi H, Bitar R. Evolving Strategies: Enhancements in Managing Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Pediatric Patients. *World J Clin Pediatr* 2023; In press

Core Tip: Eosinophilic esophagitis is a newly recognized disease described in the last 50 years. The definition, diagnosis and management has evolved with new guidelines and medications available to allow for better understanding and treatment of pediatric patients. We aim to describe the definition, presentation and diagnosis, management including new biological treatment, long term follow-up and the challenges of eosinophilic esophagitis in children. We will discuss new management strategies and new future direction of monitoring eosinophilic esophagitis in children.

INTRODUCTION

Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is a persistent inflammatory disorder of the esophagus that arises in individuals with a genetic predisposition and is not associated with immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediation ^[1]. This condition ranks as the second most commonly encountered etiology of chronic esophagitis, trailing after gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Furthermore, it is the primary culprit responsible for dysphagia among the pediatric and young adult population ^[2].

The initial instances of this condition were documented in the late 1970s and early 1980s ^[3-5]. Subsequently, the inaugural consensus guidelines outlining the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for EoE were introduced in 2007, with subsequent updates provided in 2011 ^[6,7]. The current guidelines establish the criteria for EoE as a persistent clinical-pathological condition marked by the presence of eosinophils within the esophageal epithelium at a density of 15 or more eosinophils per high-power field (hpf), coupled with symptoms of esophageal dysfunction, after excluding other potential causes of esophageal eosinophilia ^[7].

In recent decades, EoE has emerged as a prevalent source of morbidity within the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract, affecting both pediatric and adult populations. The epidemiology of EoE has experienced a substantial upswing, closely mirroring the heightened awareness and understanding of this condition. Most epidemiological data stems from population-based studies, primarily conducted in North America and Europe ^[2,8,9]. In developed nations, the incidence rates and prevalence of EoE in children exhibit geographic variation ^[8]. Incidence figures span from 2.1 cases per 100,000 individuals annually in the Netherlands to 12.8 cases per 100,000 individuals annually in Ohio, United States ^[10,11]. EoE can manifest at any age and is more prevalent in males ^[12]. It exhibits a strong connection with other atopic conditions including asthma, eczema, rhinitis, and food allergies.

EoE has a substantial effect on the daily lives of affected individuals. The symptoms have a noteworthy impact on school performance and participation in educational

activities. While therapies may result in a better quality of life with reduced symptom severity, they may also introduce challenges in terms of dietary restrictions, potentially influencing overall quality of life.

CONCLUSION

EoE is a persistent clinical-pathological condition distinguished by eosinophilic infiltration within the esophageal epithelium, typically exceeding 15 eosinophils per hpf. This manifestation of EoE is associated with esophageal dysfunction symptoms, following the exclusion of alternative causes of esophageal eosinophilia [7]. Thorough clinical assessment and histological endoscopic biopsy remain the mainstay of diagnosis. Treatment is best achieved in a multidisciplinary setting after appropriate counseling. There is hope for targeted biologic therapy; these treatments are still in their infantile stages, and more studies are required. New minimally invasive methods are being evaluated to aid in the monitoring of patients. However, endoscopy and tissue histology remain the only method to assess response to treatment and monitor disease.

EoE continues to be a fascinating disease for clinicians. Despite the consensus and guidelines published on managing children with EoE [1,7,16,20,21,31], many unanswered questions still need to be answered, such as: What is the actual prevalence of the disease? What is the disease's natural clinical progression, and is complete recovery possible for patients? Which factors contribute to the development of fibrotic disease? What constitutes the most effective treatment approach? Lastly, what potential long-term side effects are associated with current biologic therapy? We hope to have readily available biomarkers that can help assess patients for response to treatment. Much research is still needed to answer all the remaining questions related to EoE.

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.tandfonline.com Internet	65 words — 1%
2	www.drugbank.ca Internet	41 words — 1%
3	pha.tanta.edu.eg Internet	37 words — 1%
4	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet	30 words — 1%
5	www.progettolibra.it Internet	27 words — 1%
6	jag.journalagent.com Internet	21 words — < 1%
7	"Paper alert", European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, 2017 Crossref	19 words — < 1%
8	Yagyesh Kapoor, Kapil Kumar. "Structural and clinical impact of anti-allergy agents: An overview", Bioorganic Chemistry, 2020 Crossref	17 words — < 1%
9	badgut.org Internet	12 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 12 WORDS