90746_Auto_Edited.docx

2

Name of Journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 90746

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Inhaled Volatile Anesthetics in the Intensive Care Unit

Erin D Wieruszewski, Mariam ElSaban, Patrick M Wieruszewski, Nathan J Smischney

Abstract

The discovery and utilization of volatile anesthetics has significantly transformed surgical practices since their inception in the mid-19th century. Recently, a paradigm shift is observed as volatile anesthetics extend beyond traditional confines of the operating theatres, finding diverse applications in intensive care settings. In the dynamic landscape of intensive care, volatile anesthetics emerge as a promising avenue for addressing complex sedation requirements, managing refractory lung pathologies including acute respiratory distress syndrome and status asthmaticus, conditions of high sedative requirements including burns, high opioid or alcohol use and neurological conditions such as status epilepticus. Volatile anesthetics can be administered through either inhaled route via anesthetic machines/devices or through ECMO circuitry, providing intensivists with multiple options to tailor therapy. Furthermore, their unique pharmacokinetic profiles render them titratable and empower clinicians to individualize management with heightened accuracy, mitigating risks associated with conventional sedation modalities. Despite the amounting enthusiasm for the use of these therapies, barriers to widespread utilization include expanding equipment availability, staff familiarity and training of safe use. This article delves into the realm of applying inhaled volatile anesthetics in the intensive care unit through discussing their pharmacology, administration considerations in intensive care settings, complication considerations, and listing indications and evidence of the use of volatile anesthetics in the critically ill patient population.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery and application of volatile anesthetics has revolutionized surgical practices, with some of the earliest applications dating back to the mid-19th century when ether and chloroform were first utilized [1,2]. Since then, the use of inhaled anesthetics has evolved to be a fundamental component of anesthetic applications worldwide. Traditionally confined to the realm of operating theatres, volatile anesthetics are starting to carve niche uses among intensive care practices.

In the dynamic landscape of intensive care, the utilization of volatile anesthetics is emerging as a promising avenue for various applications. These include addressing complex sedation requirements, managing refractory lung pathologies such as acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and status asthmaticus, employing them in conjunction with mechanical circulatory support, and managing neurological pathologies like status epilepticus [3-7]. The unique pharmacokinetic profiles of volatile anesthetics, characterized by rapid onset and offset, make them particularly well-suited for the intricate balance required in intensive care units [8]. Furthermore, their ability to achieve precise titration empowers clinicians to tailor management with heightened precision and thereby mitigating the risks associated with traditional modalities of sedation such as benzodiazepines [9,10].

This paper delves into the application of inhaled volatile anesthetics in intensive care units (ICUs) by exploring their pharmacological characteristics, administration modalities, listing various applications, and providing a comprehensive review of the evidence and potential future advances in this field.

PHARMACOLOGY

Over a century ago, scientists proposed the Meyer-Overton rule, which states that the potency of an anesthetic is linearly correlated to its oil/water partition coefficient. The

downstream or indirect effects of this theory postulate that volatile anesthetics disrupt the lipid bilayer of cell membranes, according to their potency, thereby inducing conformational changes to proteins in the membrane resulting in its anesthetic effect. However, numerous examples (short chain 1-alkanols, perfluorinated alkanes, perfluoroalkyl methanols) have been described that seem to contradict this theory, suggesting alternative mechanisms by which volatile anesthetics exert their effect. Although data are sparse, some theorize that volatile anesthetics bind to specific ligand-gated ion channels within the cell membrane exerting a more direct effect. Others theorize that the anesthetic effects of volatile anesthetics are the result of disrupted lateral stresses in the lipid bilayer (lateral pressure profile) that are mechanistically linked to altered protein conformational equilibria [11]. Given the aforementioned evidence and admitting a degree of uncertainty, in general, volatile anesthetics appear to exert their effects through the central nervous system by augmenting signals to chloride and potassium channels through γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, and attenuating excitatory neurotransmission pathways through glutamate, acetylcholine, nicotinic, serotonin, and N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptors (**Figure 1**) [12].

ADMINISTRATION CONSIDERATIONS

Delivery devices (intensive care unit only)

In general, there are two main methods of delivery of volatile anesthetics to ICU patients. One option includes the use of an anesthesia machine that allows for similar ventilator modes of ICU ventilators yet allows for rebreathing and scavenging of volatile anesthetics. Case reports have described the use of anesthesia machines used in the operating theatre that have been transported to the ICU for administration of volatile anesthesia in patients with acute respiratory failure such as from status asthmaticus [13,14].

The second option is to use a device, such as the Anesthesia Conserving Device (AnaConDa), placed at the y-connector of the breathing circuit that allows for heated humidification, vaporization of anesthetic, and reflection of volatile gas to allow for rebreathing, minimizing the total requirement overall [15]. This system requires a medication pump to administer the liquid anesthetic, a gas scavenging system attached to the ICU ventilator, and a gas monitor to measure the end-tidal concentration of volatile anesthetic. The MIRUSTM (TIM, Koblenz, Germany) device is a newer system that also uses a reflector and allows administration of isoflurane and sevoflurane, but unlike the AnaConDa, it also allows for administration of desflurane [16]. Additionally, the MIRUSTM system also has a feature for adaptive regulation of end-tidal anesthetic concentration.

Delivery through extracorporeal membrane oxygenation membrane

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is a form of temporary life supportive therapy that provides a bridge to recovery, transplantation, or durable ventricular support in patients with medically refractory cardiac and/or respiratory failure. There is evolving evidence supporting a so-called 'awake ECMO' wherein sedation is minimized, allowing for participation in physical rehabilitation to promote recovery, particularly in the pre-transplantation setting [17]. However, sedation may still be necessary to facilitate safe ECMO flow, promote comfort, and prevent excessive respiratory effort in certain circumstances which may lead to patient self-induced lung injury, as was increasingly seen during the coronavirus infectious disease (COVID)-19 pandemic [18]. The utilization of ultra-protective ventilator settings during ECMO support poses challenges to traditional inhalational anesthetic delivery through orotracheal tubes due to excessively low minute ventilation [17].

During ECMO, circulating blood is oxygenated and decarboxylated by a membrane that is composed of hollow fibers. A sweep gas (typically blended oxygen) passes through these fibers to facilitate gas exchange (**Figure 2**). Case reports have described successful

administration of sevoflurane (using the AnaConDa device) [19] and isoflurane (using an isoflurane vaporizer) [20] through direct insertion in the ECMO sweep gas airline, between the blender and the membrane oxygenator. The sweep gas flow rate can be used to calculate the anesthetic consumption and estimate the effective concentration [21]. While oxygenators constructed of polymethylpentene fibers are becoming increasingly popular in ECMO for their longer-term durability and reducing the 'plasma leakage' phenomenon, their non-porous (diffusion-based) surface appears to limit the transfer of volatile anesthetics [22,23]. Microporous polypropylene oxygenators may be preferred if delivering volatile anesthetics through the membrane during ECMO support. Modifying the ECMO circuity to deliver anesthetic gas requires careful considerations including a collection system for gas from the membrane gas outlet and any gas from the native expiration of the ventilator [19].

Malignant hyperthermia

Malignant hyperthermia (MH) is a rare but serious adverse effect of all inhaled anesthetics, except nitrous oxide, as well as depolarizing neuromuscular blockers, such as succinylcholine, whereby the skeletal muscles exhibit a hypermetabolic state [24]. It occurs seldom, less than once in the professional lifetime of an anesthesiologist (approximately 1 in every 250,000 anesthetic exposures). Although rare, it can be a serious and deadly complication of volatile anesthetics, fortunately however, it is readily treatable when recognized. Despite evidence of a genetic link, it can also occur in individuals that don't have a genetic predisposition (no documented family history).

The features of MH are non-specific and include a rapidly increasing carbon dioxide level despite increased minute ventilation (usually the first sign), high fever (≥ 103°F), muscle rigidity, tachycardia, arrhythmias, hypotension, and a new unexplained lactic acidosis ^[24]. MH can be readily treated by stopping the offending agent, administering dantrolene, and providing supportive care ^[24]. Having an emergency treatment plan and pre-prepared kits available in the ICU is critical as it is an environment that may be

less familiar with MH (**Figure 3**). There is an MH hotline to call in case of an emergency or questions, +1-800-644-9737.

APPLICATIONS IN THE ICU

Traditionally, intravenous-based anesthesia has been the mainstay for providing sedation in the ICU. With the development of specific devices to safely deliver volatile anesthetics, there has been growing interest in applying them in the ICU, without the need for traditional anesthesia machines that are used in operating theatres. In a general ICU population requiring mechanical ventilation, randomization to isoflurane using the AnaConDa device resulted in less opioid consumption, more frequent day 1 spontaneous breathing, and faster awakening with shorter time to extubation when compared to propofol [25]. A meta-analysis of 13 trials assessing volatile anesthesia in a variety of ICU clinical conditions found shorter awakening times and time to extubation, but no differences in length of stay [26]. The following sections will discuss specific conditions encountered in ICU practice that may be of particular interest for use of volatile anesthesia.

Short-term postoperative sedation

Although volatile anesthesia is commonly deployed throughout the operating theatres, continuation into the early postoperative setting following surgery has been a growing area of interest (**Table 3**). There have been a few trials of brief postoperative volatile anesthesia following non-cardiac operations [3,27,28], and several following cardiac operations that utilize cardiopulmonary bypass [29-34]. In general, use of volatile anesthetics upon arrival to the ICU for brief (few hours) sedation until appropriate for extubation, appears to allow for quicker awakening and faster time to extubation compared to propofol-based sedation (**Table 3**). Despite this, no study has demonstrated that this benefit results in any differences in ICU length of stay.

In patients undergoing cardiac surgery (mainly coronary artery bypass grafting) with use of cardiopulmonary bypass, some trials suggest a myocardial protective effect with postoperative volatile anesthesia [29,33], while others have shown no differences [32,34]. Furthermore, hemodynamics and use of vasoactive agents were also similar between volatile anesthetic and propofol groups.

Prolonged sedation during mechanical ventilation

The Society of Critical Care Medicine Pain Agitation and Delirium 2018 guidelines make no recommendations for the use of inhaled anesthetics for prolonged sedation due to lack of randomized control trial evidence [35]. There are several advantages to utilizing inhaled anesthetics for prolonged sedation during mechanical ventilation in the ICU, including associated opioid-sparing effects, increased time spent at goal sedation targets, decreased time to extubation, and ease of titration when compared to continuous intravenous sedation (**Table 2**) [36,37].

A 2016 retrospective cohort study by Bellgardt *et al* compared surgical ICU patients receiving ventilation and sedation for at least 96 h via continuous infusion propofol and midazolam with or without inhaled isoflurane with an AnaConDa delivery device within 72 h of an initial intubation event [38]. A Ramsay sedation score of 2-4 was targeted for all patients and the primary outcome of interest was inpatient mortality. One hundred twenty-eight patients were included in the intravenous sedation group, and 72 patients were in the intravenous plus inhaled anesthetic group over a 6-year time frame. Isoflurane utilization was associated with decreased in hospital mortality 40% vs 63%, adjusted odds ratio 0.39 (95%CI, 0.22-0.71, P = 0.002). While this study has many limitations, including its retrospective nature and mixed surgical population, it raises questions of the benefits of inhaled anesthetic use in the ICU for prolonged sedation [38].

A 2022 meta-analysis of 15 studies, including 1520 patients, compared inhaled vs intravenous anesthetics and their effect on patient outcomes. This study revealed similar mortality rates with inhaled anesthetics vs intravenous sedation but the used of inhaled anesthetics was associated with decreased duration of ventilation (P = 0.03), time to awakening (P = 0.04) and cardiac troponin levels (p<0.001). These outcomes remained true in the subpopulations examined, including surgical vs medical ICU patients, as well as those treated with propofol vs other continuous intravenous sedatives [5].

Status asthmaticus

Status asthmaticus is defined as life-threatening bronchospasm refractory to treatment that has significant morbidity and mortality implications. Importantly, 21% of patients with status asthmaticus requiring mechanical ventilation die [39]. In a retrospective report of 30-year experience of management of status asthmaticus, 61.2% of patients required intubation and experienced an overall mortality of 0.4% [40]. Aside from the algorithmic managements of status asthmaticus that include hallmark use of short acting inhaled beta-agonists, systemic steroids, anticholinergics, and magnesium [41,42]. Advanced therapies such as venovenous ECMO and inhaled volatile anesthetics have been trialed and reported in case reports/series [43,44]. Volatile anesthetics are proposed to facilitate bronchorelaxation through direct relaxation of airway bronchial smooth muscles [45], beta-2-adrenergic stimulation, inhibition of inflammatory markers such as tumor necrosis factor alpha, transforming growth factor beta, and vascular endothelial growth factor [46], and inhibition of vagal-mediated reflexes. Volatile anesthetics may be delivered via direct inhalation, during mechanical ventilation, or through ECMO circuits as previously described [13,14,45-48]. Limitations to volatile anesthetic use in this context include limited availability of resources such as anesthesia machines outside the operating theatres, sufficient technology to integrate existing anesthetic conserving systems seamlessly and safely to ECMO circuitry, and trained personnel availability for the duration of treatment.

The majority of data for treatment of status asthmaticus with inhaled volatile anesthetics is in the pediatric population, although the concepts of mechanism and outcomes may be extrapolated to adults, evidence is lacking [41,49]. In a 2015 case series of three pediatric patients treated with volatile anesthetics showed use of isoflurane in addition to intubation and standard care for asthma resulted in safe use for 3-17 days, resulting in improved arterial carbon dioxide and tidal volumes. The only adverse effect reported was mild hypotension in one patient [41]. A recent retrospective, descriptive cohort of 45 pediatric ICU patients receiving isoflurane with or without ECMO showed improved arterial carbon dioxide levels and acidosis within four hours of anesthetic initiation [50].

Status epilepticus

Refractory status epilepticus is a severe subset of status epilepticus (continuous clinical/electroencephalogram-based seizure activity or recurrent seizures without recovery) that continues despite first and second line therapies [51]. Often prolonged sedation with barbiturates, propofol, and benzodiazepines is needed to break through this refractory condition. Volatile anesthetics pose as an option in the management of status epilepticus particularly in refractory states. Volatile agents apply their anticonvulsant properties through promotion of the inhibitory GABA-a pathways and inhibition of the excitatory NMDA pathways (**Figure 1**) [52,53]. In addition, with prolonged seizures NMDA receptors are upregulated resulting in a vicious cycle that potentiates glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity [54]. Volatile anesthetic gases counteract that through their cerebral protective properties with inhibition of this glutamate mediated NMDA excitotoxicity. In particular, isoflurane and desflurane have been shown to possess NMDA inhibitive properties.

A case report by Zhumadilolv *et al* reveals a remarkable response to isoflurane for the management of refractory status epilepticus ^[55]. Reviews and case series showing

efficacy of their use in pediatric and adult populations have also been reported ^[56-58]. With regards to which agents can be used, in general the majority of inhaled anesthetics result in electroencephalographic burst suppression with the best evidence surrounding isoflurane use. In particular, enflurane should be avoided as it lowers the seizure threshold and can induce seizure activity ^[59]. The epileptogenicity of sevoflurane has been scrutinized with conflicting evidence, where some studies reveal increased epileptiform discharges, and animal studies also reveal some epileptogenic effects ^[60-62].

Acute respiratory distress syndrome

ARDS is a pulmonary disorder defined by non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema, resulting in severe hypoxemia and is treated with protective mechanical ventilation and often requires deep levels of sedation to promote ventilation synchrony and prevent patient self-induced lung inury [63,64]. In 2020 with the COVID-19 pandemic, patients in ICUs across the world developed ARDS and had extremely high sedative requirements, and often required neuromuscular blocking agent administration to allow for lung protective ventilation [65,66].

Inhaled halogenated anesthetics have a potential multimodal benefit in ARDS, as they have opioid or other intravenous sedative agent sparing effects as well as potential for lung protective effects. Halogenated anesthetics in animal models have been shown to preserve epithelial tight junction integrity and decrease capillary leak, therefore decreasing direct acute injury to alveoli which is a hallmark effect of ARDS [67,68].

Several small case series have been published, showing promising effects of isoflurane and sevoflurane, with or without intravenous sedation, specifically in patients who were unable to reach optimal sedation goals on intravenous sedative agents alone [69-71]. This decrease in intravenous sedation use was critical at the peak of COVID-19 due to widespread drug shortages of commonly used sedative agents and continuous

intravenous opioids. The use of inhaled anesthetics is attractive for their ability to spare the use of these agents in these challenging settings [72].

In the largest study to date of this population, Coupet and colleagues conducted a retrospective cohort study of 196 patients with COVID-19 ARDS from 10 European and United States centers; 85 patients received intravenous sedation only, 111 received intravenous and inhaled sedation [4]. Patients receiving inhaled sedation were administered it for a median of 5 days and it was most commonly sevoflurane. The primary outcome of interest was ventilation free days through 28 days. After propensity matching and multivariable adjustment, there were no differences in ventilation-free days between the two groups, although both groups had a median of 0 ventilation-free days at 28 days, highlighting the severity of illness in this cohort [4].

Conditions with very high sedative requirements

Similar to COVID-19 ARDS, several patient populations have extraordinarily high anesthetic requirements and would benefit from the opioid and sedative sparing effects of inhaled anesthetics, including those with burns, alcohol use, or high opioid use at baseline. Patients with significant alcohol, benzodiazepine or opioid tolerance at baseline have altered neurotransmitter sensitivity and typically require higher doses of sedatives to achieve sedation goals [73]. In small retrospective studies, isoflurane and sevoflurane have been shown to decrease opioid, propofol, and neuromuscular blockade requirements in patients with ARDS [69-71]. This makes inhaled anesthetics an attractive adjunctive agent in these patient populations.

Burn patients experience pharmacokinetic changes, systemic inflammation, and increased volume of distribution which alters drug metabolism and further complicates the ability to manage the severe pain they experience ^[74,75]. Sevoflurane has anti-inflammatory properties, wherein it down regulates interleukin-8; this is hypothesized to be beneficial in the highly inflammatory state post-burn. A study of 12 mechanically

ventilated burn patients receiving sevoflurane for procedural sedation, such as dressing changes (2-4 h periods of sedation), compared to non-burn controls has been conducted to assess the pharmacokinetics in this population ^[76]. The authors concluded that use of sevoflurane in this population is safe but has altered metabolism and prolonged clearance. More studies are needed to assess the clinical utility of sevoflurane for pain management in this population ^[6].

GAPS AND ONGOING TRIALS

Although positive data are emerging leading to enthusiasm for use of volatile anesthesia in the ICU, barriers remain [77]. Staff education and development of safe devices and technology will be paramount to continued successful implementation of volatile anesthetics in the ICU. In addition, further development of technology, such that devices can be safely adapted to unique scenarios, such as integration with ECMO circuitry, is needed. And lastly, high quality studies assessing volatile anesthesia and intravenous anesthesia in the ICU, across various clinical conditions are needed.

Currently, there are two parallel phase 3, multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label, assessor blinded trials on-going in the United States to evaluate the efficacy and safety of inhaled isoflurane delivered *via* the Sedaconda anesthetic conserving device-S compared to intravenous propofol for sedation of mechanically ventilated intensive care unit adult patients (NCT05312385, NCT05327296). Additional ongoing trials include a multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label trial in France evaluating the frequency of occurrence of delirium of intravenous propofol compared to inhaled sedation with isoflurane (NCT04341350) and another multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label trial in Canada evaluating the effects on ventilatory parameters and survival between intravenous sedation and inhaled sedation with either isoflurane or sevoflurane (NCT04415060).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, evidence is accumulating suggesting benefits of employing volatile anesthetics for patients in the ICU with indications ranging from ARDS to status epilepticus. While volatile anesthetics are widely utilized in operating theatres worldwide, their underutilization in the ICU persists, potentially influenced by a multitude of structural or medical considerations and lingering uncertainties on quality of evidence supporting benefits. Of note, technological developments are changing the landscape, whereby the simplification of volatile anesthetic handling poses a possible avenue for broader implementation in ICU settings, particularly for conditions refractory to traditional intravenous modalities.

90746_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

clinicaltrials.ucsd.edu

27 words — 1 %

2 lume.ufrgs.br

14 words — < 1 %

3 stroke.ahajournals.org

12 words — < 1 %

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES

OFF

< 12 WORDS