# 77425\_Auto\_Edited-check.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 77425

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Vitamin D deficiency may predispose patients to increased risk of kidney transplant rejection

Buyukdemirci S et al. Vitamin D and rejection

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Vitamin D deficiency occurs in more than 80% of kidney transplant recipients. Its immunomodulatory effects can predispose transplant recipients to rejection and chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN). This study aimed to determine the association between the serum 25 (OH) vitamin D, biopsy-proven allograft rejection, and CAN rates.

#### AIM

To determine the relationship between the serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level and the biopsy-proven allograft rejection and CAN rate in renal transplant recipients.

#### **METHODS**

Adult renal transplant recipients followed at the clinic between January 2013 and 2018 were included. Recipients requiring graft biopsy due to declined function, hematuria, and proteinuria were reviewed. The two groups were compared regarding collected data, including the biopsy results, immunologic parameters, vitamin D, parathyroid hormone (PTH), phosphorus, albumin levels, and graft function tests.

# **RESULTS**

Fifty-two recipients who underwent graft biopsy met inclusion criteria. In all, 14 had a vitamin D level of > 15 ng/mL (group 1)  $vs \le 15$  ng/mL (group 2) in 38. In total, 27 patients had biopsy-proven rejection, and 19 had CAN. There was only one recipient with biopsy-proven rejection in group 1, whereas there were 24 patients with rejection in group 2. The rejection rate was significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1 (P < 0.001). Four patients were diagnosed with CAN in group 1 vs 15 in group 2. There was no significant difference in the CAN rate between the two groups. PTH was higher at the time of graft biopsy (P = 0.009, P = 0.022) in group 1 with a mean of 268 pg/mL. Donor-specific antibodies were detected in 14 (56.0%) of the recipients with rejection. Vitamin D level was  $9.7 \pm 3.4$  ng/mL in the rejection group vs  $14.7 \pm 7.2$  in the non-

rejection group; this difference was statistically significant (P = 0.003). The albumin levels were significantly lower in patients with rejection than in those without rejection (P = 0.001). In univariate regression analysis of risk factors affecting rejection; gender, serum vitamin D, phosphorus and albumin were found to have impact (P = 0.027, P = 0.007, P = 0.023, P = 0.008). In multivariate regression analysis, the same factors did not affect rejection.

#### CONCLUSION

The serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level in kidney transplant recipients remained low. Although low serum vitamin D level emerged as a risk factor for rejection in univariate analysis, this finding was not confirmed by multivariate analysis. Prospective studies are required to appreciate the effect of serum vitamin D levels on allograft rejection.

**Key Words:** Kidney transplantation; Rejection; 25 (OH) vitamin D; Vitamin D; Chronic allograft nephropathy

Buyukdemirci S, Oguz EG, Cimen SG, Sahin H, Cimen S, Ayli MD. Vitamin D deficiency may predispose patients to increased risk of kidney transplant rejection. *World J Transplant* 2022; In press

Core Tip: The immunomodulatory features of vitamin D have been observed in autoimmune diseases such as psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis and in experimental transplant models. The vitamin D receptor is found in almost every immune cell, including macrophages, CD4+/CD8+ T lymphocytes, and dendritic cells. This study analysis the results of 130 kidney transplant recipients. Fifty-two recipients who underwent graft biopsy met the study inclusion criteria. In all, 14 had a vitamin D level of > 15 ng/mL (group 1)  $vs \le 15$  ng/mL (group 2) in 38. Kidney transplant recipients serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level remains low despite vitamin D replacement recommended by Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes guidelines which served

as a risk factor for rejection in univariate analysis. However, the multivariate regression analysis did not find the same variables effective on rejection. Nonetheless, diagnostic and predictive accuracy is limited when a single test is used, and larger-scale prospective clinical studies are needed to more clearly discern the effects of the serum vitamin D level on the renal allograft rejection rate.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Kidney transplantation is the best treatment option for patients with terminal kidney failure. Successful transplantation prolongs longevity and significantly improves the quality of life. In addition, following kidney transplantation, 75% of recipients return to work, and approximately 1 in 50 females can get pregnant<sup>[1]</sup>. For recipients to experience these benefits, close follow-up and optimization of modifiable risk factors are crucial. One of the modifiable risk factors is the serum vitamin D level<sup>[2]</sup>.

It is known that 25 (OH) vitamin D plays a significant role in calcium and phosphate balance. Furthermore, a low vitamin D level can have deleterious effects on renal allografts<sup>[3,4]</sup>. A large prospective clinical study on kidney transplant recipients reported that a low 25 (OH) vitamin D level was associated with a reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) at nine months post-transplantation<sup>[5]</sup>. Moreover, vitamin D has a wide range of effects on the immune, renal, and cardiovascular systems<sup>[6]</sup>. The vitamin D receptor (VDR) is found in almost every immune cell, including macrophages, CD4+/CD8+ T lymphocytes, and dendritic cells. VDR induces allograft tolerance by directing naive T lymphocytes to transform into T helper type 2 cells phenotypically; this process is defined as vitamin D-influenced immunomodulation<sup>[7]</sup>.

The immunomodulatory features of vitamin D have been observed in autoimmune diseases such as psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis and in experimental transplant models showing that vitamin D analogs amplified cyclosporin A's inhibitory effects on acute and chronic allograft rejection<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Likewise, vitamin D analogs inhibit adventitial inflammation and intimal hyperplasia in rat aortic allografts<sup>[10]</sup>; however, the effect of the vitamin D level on the allograft rejection and chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN)

rates have not been studied in detail in kidney transplant recipients. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the relationship between the serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level and the biopsy-proven allograft rejection and CAN rate in renal transplant recipients.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Study design and population

This single-center retrospective cohort study was performed at the Health Sciences University of Turkey, Diskapi Research and Training Hospital, Department of Nephrology and Transplantation, Ankara, Turkey. All adult renal transplant recipients followed at the transplant clinic between January 2013, and July 2018 were reviewed. Among these patients, recipients requiring allograft biopsy due to progressive graft function decline, new-onset hematuria, and proteinuria were included in the study.

Allograft biopsies were performed as per Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) practice guidelines<sup>[11]</sup>. Banff 97 criteria were used to evaluate biopsy specimens<sup>[12]</sup>. Biopsy specimens were considered adequate if they had ≥ 10 glomeruli and two arteries; patients with inadequate biopsy specimens were excluded from the study. Additionally, patients with post-transplant follow-up < 1 year were excluded from the study to establish a homogeneous cohort. The serum vitamin D level was measured every three months, as per the KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, prevention, and treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder. All recipients received vitamin D replacement therapy considering their serum vitamin D levels, as per KDIGO guidelines<sup>[13]</sup>.

Demographic characteristics, medical history, prior type and duration of dialysis, donor type, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) mismatches, maintenance immunosuppression, biopsy results, and the serum vitamin D level at the time of graft biopsy were obtained from hospital records by a research nurse. In addition, as this study aimed to determine the relationship between the serum vitamin D level and allograft biopsy results, other biochemical parameters associated with rejection and

CAN, such as the GFR, and serum creatinine, albumin, calcium, phosphate, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels at the time of graft biopsy, were also recorded. The study protocol was approved by the hospital's ethical review committee (06.08.2018-no. 53/20) and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Declaration of Istanbul. All the patients provided written informed consent.

### Immunosuppression

Recipients of live donor kidneys were induced with interleukin-2 receptor blockers and steroids, whereas recipients of deceased donor kidneys were induced with anti-thymocyte globulin and steroids. Maintenance immunosuppression was based on mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), prednisone, and calcineurin, or mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors.

# Vitamin D status

The serum vitamin D level was measured using the chemiluminescence method (Beckman Coulter, Inc. Kit no: A98856). A serum vitamin D level > 30 ng/mL (*i.e.*, > 75 nmol/L) was considered adequate. Concentrations between 15 and 30 ng/mL (40-75 nmol/L) were considered vitamin D insufficiency, whereas < 15 ng/mL (< 37.5 nmol/L) was considered vitamin D deficiency according to the KDIGO guideline<sup>[13]</sup>.

# **Biochemistry**

The serum PTH concentration was measured *via* immunochemiluminescent assay (Beckman Coulter Kit No: A16972). Total calcium, phosphate, glucose, blood cell count, albumin, uric acid, total cholesterol, triglyceride, C-reactive protein (CRP), and creatinine levels were measured using standard methods (Beckman Coulter, Inc. Kit No: OSR61117, OSR6222, OSR 6221, DW20180105, OSR6202, OSR 6298, OSR 6116, OSR6199, OSR6178 respectively). The GFR was calculated using the modification of diet in renal disease formula.

# Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows v.22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States). The distribution of data was analyzed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Mean  $\pm$  SD was used for descriptive analysis of parametric quantitative data, whereas number and percentage were used to analyze qualitative data. The student's *t*-test was used for parametric data analysis, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-parametric data analysis. Pearson's chi-square test was used to analyze qualitative data. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to determine the Independent factors related to rejection. After excluding multi-collinear variables, clinically relevant variables and parameters presenting statistical significance were subject to the binary logistic regression analysis. The odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to show the factors affecting the outcomes.

#### **RESULTS**

Among 130 kidney transplant recipients,  $\overline{52}$  met the study inclusion criteria. The mean age of the recipients was  $41 \pm 11.9$  years, of which 38 (73.1%) were male, and 14 (26.9%) were female. During the post-transplantation period, 25 (48.1%) of the patients were detected to have hypertension and 15 (28.8%) diabetes mellitus. Pre-transplantation duration of dialysis was  $5.8 \pm 4.71$  years, and hemodialysis was the most common therapy (82.7%). The majority (65.4%) of the study population received live donor kidney transplants, of which 3 (5.8%) were transplanted preemptively. Of the 34 live donors, 20 were spousal donations, 10 were first-degree relatives, and 4 were second-degree relatives.

The average age of the donors was  $49.6 \pm 9.7$  years, and the majority of them were 29 (55.8%) male. The mean post-transplant duration of follow-up was  $5.91 \pm 1.83$  years. The mean number of HLA mismatches was  $3 \pm 1$ . Delayed graft function developed in 9 (17.6%) patients. Fourteen (27.5%) patients were donor-specific antibody (DSA) positive at the time of renal biopsy. Kidney failure had occurred due to hypertension in 25

(48.1%), diabetes mellitus in 15 (28.8%), glomerulonephritis in 7(13.5%), post-renal kidney disease in 3 (5.8), and unknown reasons in 2 (3.8%) of the recipients (Table 1).

Maintenance immunosuppressive regimens at the time of graft biopsy were as follows; 38 (73.4%) patients were on a combination of MMF, tacrolimus, and prednisone, while 11 (20.9%) were receiving a combination of MMF, cyclosporine, and prednisone. Only 3 (5.7%) of the recipients used mechanistic target of rapamycin inhibitor-based regimens. At the time of allograft biopsy, the average serum trough calcineurin level was  $4.8 \pm 0.8$ , cyclosporine serum level ng/mL was  $545 \pm 89$ , and the mean daily intake of MMF was  $1.7 \pm 0.3$  gr/d. Within the study cohort 20 patients were receiving vitamin D treatments according to the KDIGO guidelines. Among the 52 allograft biopsies, 25 (48%) showed rejection. Acute T-cell-mediated rejection, acute antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR), and chronic active ABMR were observed in 6 (11.5%), 10 (19.2%), and 9 (17.3%) of the recipients, respectively. CAN was noted in 19 (36.5%) of the recipients. Calcineurin toxicity was observed in 3 (5.8%) patients, whereas BK virus nephropathy and recurrent nephritis were noted in 4 (7.7%) and 1 (1.9%), respectively.

The study population was divided into two groups based on the serum vitamin D level (Table 2). Patients with a vitamin D level > 15 ng/mL constituted group 1, and those with a level  $\leq$  15 ng/mL constituted group 2. The two groups were compared concerning graft, function, HLA mismatches, biochemical parameters, GFR, and rejection status. Group 1 included 14 (27%) patients, and group 2 included 38 (73%). There were no significant differences concerning age, comorbidities, or HLA mismatches between the groups (P > 0.05). Males were predominant in group 2 (P = 0.035). Four (28.6%) recipients in group 1 and 15 (39.5%) recipients in group 2 were diagnosed with CAN. There was no significant difference in the CAN rate between the two groups (P > 0.05). Only 1 (7.1%) recipient was diagnosed with rejection in group 1 and 24 (63.2%) recipients in group 2. The biopsy-proven rejection rate was significantly higher in group 2 compared to group 1 (P < 0.001) (Figure 1).

The estimated GFR (eGFR) was  $38 \pm 18.3$  in group 1 and  $41 \pm 19.7$  in group 2. There was no significant difference between these groups regarding eGFR (P > 0.05). In addition, hemoglobin, serum glucose, albumin, CRP, calcium, phosphate, uric acid, total cholesterol, triglyceride, blood urea nitrogen, and creatinine did not differ significantly between the two groups (P > 0.05). The mean PTH level was 205 pg/mL in group 1 and 268 pg/mL in group 2. PTH level was higher in group 2 than in group 1 (P = 0.007).

The study cohort was also divided into two groups based on the presence or absence of biopsy-proven rejection (Table 3). The mean age was 39 ± 12.9 in the rejection group and 42 ± 10.9 in the no-rejection group. In the rejection group females were predominant [22 (88%) vs 16 (59.3%), P = 0.020]. The comorbid status, previous dialysis vintage, and donor characteristics did not differ between these two groups (P > 0.05). Hemoglobin, glucose, CRP, calcium, uric acid, lipid profile, and the number of HLA mismatches did not differ between the groups (P > 0.05). Nevertheless, there were significant differences in the serum albumin, phosphorus, PTH, vitamin D, and DSA levels. The albumin was  $4.0 \pm 0.5$  g/dL in the no-rejection group  $vs 3.5 \pm 0.6$  g/dL in the rejection group (P = 0.001). Phosphorus, PTH, and vitamin D levels in the no-rejection group were  $3.9 \pm 1.52$  mg/dL, 197 pg/mL, and  $17.4 \pm 7.2$  ng/mL, respectively. The results of these parameters in the rejection group were 5.3 ± 1.96 mg/dL for phosphorus, 310 pg/mL for PTH, and  $9.7 \pm 3.4$  ng/dL for vitamin D serum levels. The P values of these comparisons showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups (P = 0.009, P = 0.022, and P = 0.003, respectively). DSA positivity was present in 14 (56%) of those with rejection (56%), whereas no patients in the nonrejection group had DSA positivity ( $\overline{P}$  < 0.001). There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding serum cutaneous neurogenic inflammation levels and daily MMF dose (P > 0.05). Kidney failure with a GFR < 15 mL/min was observed in 5 (18.5%) patients in the non-rejection group and 12 (48%) in the rejection group. The kidney failure rate was significantly higher in the rejection group (P = 0.024); patients in the rejection group had lower GFRs and higher serum creatinine levels (P = 0.012 and P

= 0.016, respectively). The serum vitamin D level was significantly lower, and the PTH level was significantly higher in the rejection group than in the non-rejection group (P = 0.003 and P = 0.022). A regression analysis was performed using rejection risk factors (Table 4). In univariate regression analysis; female gender, serum vitamin D level, phosphorus and albumin were found effective in the development of rejection (P = 0.027, P = 0.007, P = 0.023, P = 0.008). However, these risk factors did not demonstrate a significant effect (P > 0.05)

#### DISCUSSION

Vitamin D deficiency is associated with a broad spectrum of diseases, including autoimmune conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, and type 1 diabetes. In addition, vitamin D deficiency is associated with a severe decrease in the GFR and shorter life expectancy in patients with chronic kidney diseases<sup>[14-16]</sup>.

Epidemiological studies conducted with kidney transplant recipients reported that the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is as high as 90%; it was suggested that the high rate might be due to the side effects of immunosuppressive regimens and a reduction in sun exposure related to the recommendation that these patients avoid sunlight[23,17,18]. Falkiewicz *et al*[19] reported severe 1.25-dihydroxyvitamin D deficiency in 83% of kidney transplant recipients and that these patients had a high graft failure rate, which is in agreement with the present finding that the mean serum vitamin D level was  $12.3 \pm 6.2$  ng/mL, indicating severe vitamin D deficiency. Findings regarding the relationship between vitamin D and organ rejection are inconsistent. For example, Zimmerman *et al*[15] reported no relationship between the vitamin D level and acute allograft rejection. In contrast, Kim *et al*[20] who conducted a prospective clinical trial that considered 25 nmol/L as the threshold for vitamin D deficiency, observed a correlation between a low vitamin D level and the acute rejection rate. Similarly, Lee *et al*[21] reported that kidney transplant recipients with a vitamin D level < 50 nmol/L within 30 d of transplantation had a higher risk of acute rejection during the first year post-transplant. Additionally,

Bienaimé *et al*<sup>[22]</sup> showed that vitamin D deficiency led to interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy within the kidney parenchyma in kidney transplant recipients.

Vitamin D deficiency is associated with glomerular disease in native and transplanted kidneys, and this finding has been attributed to endothelial cell dysfunction. Therefore, it was proposed that a low serum vitamin D level and an elevated fibroblast growth factor-23 level hinder endothelial cell function and lead to endothelial injury  $^{[23-25]}$ . Although normal endothelium expresses major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I antigens only, in endothelial injury and inflammation cases, MHC class II antigens are also expressed on the cell surface. These MHC class II antigens increase the recruitment and adhesion of CD4+ T cells and initiate allorecognition. Alloantigen recognition subsequently triggers the production of inflammatory mediators and activates the complement cascade  $^{[26-28]}$ . The present study could not evaluate endothelial dysfunction or MHC class II antigen expression due to its retrospective design; however, a correlation between a low serum vitamin D level and the kidney rejection rate was observed (P < 0.001).

On the other hand, as graft rejection and CAN share some immunological pathways, we suggest that the serum vitamin D level might play a role in CAN risk<sup>[29]</sup>. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine the relationship between vitamin D deficiency and CAN. In the present study, the CAN rate did not differ according to the vitamin D level (P = 0.534).

The present findings indicate that the long-term graft survival rate remains moderate, even with meticulous management of risk factors, including vitamin D replacement. In this study, patients with rejection had higher phosphorus and PTH measurements at the time of graft biopsy (P = 0.009, P = 0.022), and vitamin D and albumin levels were significantly lower in this group (P = 0.003, P = 0.001). Univariate regression analysis elucidated that female gender, serum vitamin D, phosphorus, and albumin were significant risk factors affecting rejection. However, in the multivariate regression analysis, these risk factors did not affect the rejection status (P > 0.05).

The present study has some limitations, including a retrospective single-center design; the retrospective design might have led to selection and recall biases, and its single-center nature precludes generalization of the findings. In addition, the study population was small and might have been insufficient for establishing the existence of cause and effect relations.

# **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, kidney transplant recipients` serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level remains low despite vitamin D replacement recommended by KDIGO guidelines. However, the multivariate regression analysis did not find the same variables effective on rejection. Nonetheless, diagnostic and predictive accuracy is limited when a single test is used, and larger-scale prospective clinical studies are needed to more clearly discern the effects of the serum vitamin D level on the renal allograft rejection rate.

# ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

# Research background

Vitamin D deficiency is commonly diagnosed in patients with kidney transplantation. Deficiency rate remains high despite replacement therapies as per the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes guidelines.

#### Research motivation

Vitamin D has immunomodulatory effects and vitamin D receptors can be found in various types of cells including T cells and dendritic cells. Its deficiency may predispose transplant recipients to rejection and chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN).

# Research objectives

This study aimed to determine the association between the serum 25 (OH) vitamin D, biopsy-proven allograft rejection, and CAN rates.

#### Research methods

Retrospective clinical study involving adult kidney transplant recipients requiring graft biopsy due to declined function, hematuria, and proteinuria.

#### Research results

Vitamin D level was  $9.7 \pm 3.4$  ng/mL in the rejection group vs  $14.7 \pm 7.2$  in the non-rejection group; this difference was statistically significant (P = 0.003). In univariate regression analysis of risk factors affecting rejection; gender, serum vitamin D, phosphorus and albumin were found to have impact (P = 0.027, P = 0.007, P = 0.023, P = 0.008). In multivariate regression analysis, the same factors did not affect rejection.

#### Research conclusions

The serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level in kidney transplant recipients remained low. Although low serum vitamin D level emerged as a risk factor for rejection in univariate analysis, this finding was not confirmed by multivariate analysis. Prospective studies are required to appreciate the effect of serum vitamin D levels on allograft rejection.

#### Research perspectives

Kidney transplantation is the best treatment option for patients with terminal kidney failure. Successful transplantation prolongs longevity and significantly improves the quality of life. However, the long term success of kidney transplantation depends on preventing the chronic allograft dysfunction. Chronic allograft dysfunction is secondary to various immunological, infectious and drug related insults to the graft. Its prevention depends on close clinical follow-up and optimization of controllable variables, such as serum vitamin D levels.

# 77425\_Auto\_Edited-check.docx

$\sim$	-		177./	$\neg$	POR'	т
( )K	1( - 11	NΔI	11 7	$\kappa$	אווא	

SIMILARITY INDEX

**PRIMARY SOURCES** 

"Abstracts", Transplant International, 2021

107 words -3%

www.mdpi.com Internet

25 words — 1 %

www.wjgnet.com

25 words — 1 %

17 words -<1%Masanobu Usui. "Tissue factor expression demonstrates severe sinusoidal endothelial cell damage during rejection after living-donor liver transplantation", Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery, 04/17/2009

Crossref

ijogi.mums.ac.ir

 $_{16 \text{ words}}$  - < 1%

- 15 words -<1%Jie Chen, Lixia Xie, Ping Yuan, Jianyong Ma, Peng 6 Yu, Chunhua Zheng, Xiao Liu. "Low serum vitamin D level and COVID-19 infection and outcomes, a multivariate meta-analysis", Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2020 Crossref Posted Content
- "Swiss Society of Surgery", British Journal of Surgery, 2006

 $_{14 \, \text{words}} = < 1\%$ 

Crossref

"Abstracts for the 11th Meeting of the International Liver Transplantation Society, July 20–23, 2005, Los Angeles, California", Liver Transplantation, 2005

Crossref

12 words — < 1%

scripties.umcg.eldoc.ub.rug.nl
Internet

12 words — < 1%

12 words — < 1%

12 words — < 1%

www.kidney.org 12 words — < 1 %

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES

< 12 WORDS

< 12 WORDS