# 88133\_Auto\_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 88133

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

Impact of sex on the outcomes of deceased donor liver transplantation

Oya Andacoglu, Isabel Dennahy, Nicole Mountz, Luisa Wilschrey, Arzu Oezcelik

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Data examining the impact of sex on liver transplant (LT) outcomes are limited. It is clear

that further research into sex-related differences in transplant patients is necessary to

identify areas for improvement. Elucidation of these differences may help to identify

specific areas of focus to improve on the organ matching process, as well as the peri- and

post-operative care of these patients.

AIM

To utilize data from a high-volume Eurotransplant center to compare characteristics of

male and female patients undergoing liver transplant and assess association between sex-

specific variables with short- and long-term post-transplant outcomes.

**METHODS** 

A retrospective review of the University of Essen's transplant database was performed

with collection of baseline patient characteristics, transplant-related data, and short-term

outcomes. Comparisons of these data were made with Shapiro-Wilk, Mann-Whitney U,

Chi-squared and Bonferroni tests applied where appropriate. A P alue of < 0.05 was

accepted as statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

Of the total 779 LT recipients, 261 (33.5%) were female. Female patients suffered higher incidences of acute liver failure and lower incidences of alcohol-related or viremic liver disease (P = 0.001). Female patients were more likely to have received an organ from a female donor with a higher donor risk index score, and as a high urgency offer (all P < 0.05). Baseline characteristics of male and female recipients were also significantly different. In multivariate hazard regression analysis, recipient lab-Model for End-Stage Liver Disease score and donor cause of death were associated with long-term outcomes in females. Pre-operative diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma, age at time of listing, duration of surgery, and units transfused during surgery, were associated with long-term outcomes in males. Severity of complications was associated with long-term outcomes in both groups. Overall survival was similar in both males and females; however, when stratified by age, females < 50 years of age had the best survival.

#### CONCLUSION

Female and male LT recipients have different baseline and transplant-related characteristics, with sex-specific variables which are associated with long-term outcomes. Female recipients < 50 years of age demonstrated the best long-term outcomes. Pre- and post-transplant practices should be individualized based on sex-specific variables to optimize long-term outcomes.

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the advent of liver transplantation in 1967, significant efforts have been made by the transplant community to refine not only the technical aspects of the procedure and medical management of patients, but also the equity of graft allocation. The current system prioritizes patients based on severity of disease, with a major landmark in its evolution being the adoption of the Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD; 2002) as a way to predict individual pre-transplant mortality. This was quickly recognized as a

potential way to stratify patients according to medical urgency of liver transplant (LT) and has been noted to have a significant impact on waitlist mortality and number of transplants performed per year<sup>[1]</sup>. However, in recent years it has been suggested that the current graft allocation system may unintentionally bias against female candidates<sup>[2,3]</sup>.

As of 2020, 60.9% of patients on the liver transplant waitlist were male, as were 63.2% of recipients<sup>[4]</sup>. Females are known to be disadvantaged due to certain MELD components, namely creatinine and sodium<sup>[2,5,6]</sup>. Females also experience longer waitlist times and higher pre-transplant mortality as well as impaired access to transplant<sup>[2,3,5,7,8]</sup>. Renal transplant data has shown that female patients are less likely to be referred for transplant and that there may be biases in their evaluation for fitness to undergo surgery, which may contribute to this<sup>[9-11]</sup>. Females are generally considered to be disadvantaged in all aspects of the process, including referrals for evaluation qualification for transplant and receipt of a matched organ<sup>[12]</sup>. MELD 3.0, which is pending adoption by UNOS, aims to reduce this discrepancy and has been shown to afford females a significantly higher chance of transplant<sup>[13]</sup>.

Female liver transplant recipients demonstrate comparable, if not better, outcomes than males across a number of etiologies; however, as their access to liver transplant is limited, female patients are getting progressively sicker while waiting and risk being removed from the transplant list while their male counterparts undergo successful transplant<sup>[14-18]</sup>. It is clear that more research into sex-related differences in transplant patients is needed to identify areas for improvement. Elucidation of these differences may help to identify specific areas of focus to improve on the organ matching process, as well as the peri- and post-operative care of these patients.

The aim of this study was to utilize data from a high-volume Eurotransplant center to compare characteristics of male and female patients undergoing liver transplant and assess association between sex-specific variables with short- and long-term post-transplant outcomes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed a retrospective review of the University of Essen's transplant database, which included pre-collected and deidentified data. All adult liver transplant recipients between January 2010 and December 2020 were included. We reviewed patient baseline characteristics including sex, age, body mass index (BMI), and underlying etiology of liver disease. These were categorized as acute liver failure (ALF), alcohol-related liver disease (ALD), hepatitis B- or hepatitis C-related liver disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC). We also collected data on additional risk factors including: Smoking history, medical comorbidities such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, coronary artery disease, diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and MELD score<sup>[19]</sup>. Waitlist times were reported in the form of days from listing until transplant. Transplant-related characteristics including donor age, high urgency transplant status, donor risk index (DRI), operative time cold and warm ischemic times (WIT), intraoperative transfusion requirements and perioperative death were reviewed<sup>[20]</sup>. Short-term postoperative outcomes were assessed in terms of both intensive care unit (ICU) stay and overall length of hospital stay in days. The comprehensive complication index (CCI) was used to assess and record the severity of post-operative complications<sup>[21]</sup>. Finally, overall survival was also recorded to a limit of 140 mo post-operatively.

## Statistical analyses

The normality of all data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Non-normally distributed data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Chi-squared and Bonferroni tests were applied to draw comparisons between categorical data points. Relationships between numerical variables were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation. Mean and median survival times and overall survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan Meier method. The Log-rank test was then applied to compare overall survival rates between groups. For determination of risk factor-association with overall survival, multivariate cox proportional hazard regression analysis was performed, and hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were assigned to each

independent variable. For determination of risk factors for perioperative death, a multivariate binary logistic regression model was built, and odds ratios (ORs) and 95%CIs were generated for each independent variable. For length of hospital stay, ICU stay, waitlist time, CCI, and MELD score at time of transplantation, generalized linear models were applied, and Beta coefficients and 95%CIs were derived for each independent variable. Multi-collinearity was confirmed by calculating variance inflation factor (VIF) scores. Collinear variables (VIF scores > 2) were not included in multivariate analysis to avoid problems with multi-collinearity. Descriptive statistic parameters were presented as frequency, percentage (%) and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and median and inter-quartile ranges were given. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 24.0), and *P* values < 0.05 were accepted as statistically significant.

# Study approval and ethical conduct

This study was deemed exempt by the Institutional Review Board of Essen University. All research referenced in this manuscript was conducted in accordance with institutional processes as well as both the Declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul.

#### **RESULTS**

Data from 779 LT recipients was collected. 518 (66.5%) patients were male, and 261 (33.5%) were female. Female patients were on average younger at the time of transplant (median 52 vs 54 years, P = 0.04) and had lower BMI (median 24.38 vs 26.3, P = 0.001) compared to males. Lab- and match-MELD scores were similar between females and males. Female patients overall had fewer comorbidities at baseline compared to male LT recipients (Table 1). Female recipients had higher incidences of acute liver failure and lower incidences of alcohol-related or viremic liver disease (P = 0.001). Female patients were more likely to have received an organ from a female donor, with a higher donor risk index score (1.71 vs 1.84), and as a high urgency offer (all P < 0.05). Median wait time was similar between 2 groups (Tables 1 and 2).

Regarding intra- and post-operative data, females had shorter WIT and shorter duration surgery; however, length of ICU or total stay, complication indexes and perioperative death rates were similar between males and females (Tables 1 and 2). On multivariate hazard regression analysis, higher lab-MELD score of the recipient and donor cause of death were associated with differences in long-term outcomes for female patients. A pre-operative diagnosis of HCC, increased age at time of listing, high urgency status of transplant, longer duration of surgery, and a higher number of units transfused during surgery were all associated with differences in long-term outcomes for males. Complication index grade was associated with differences in long-term outcomes for both groups (Table 3). One-, 3- and 5-year patient survival rates were similar between females and males [80.2%, 74.4% and 70% for females and 76.1%, 70.5% and 65.3% for males, (P = 0.12)] (Figure 1). When we performed sub-group analyses according to sex and age-related categorization, female patients younger than 50 had the best overall survival (P = 0.003) (Figure 2).

### **DISCUSSION**

Overall, characteristics of our study population were similar to known demographics of transplant patients in Germany. Proportions of male and female transplant recipients (66.5% vs 33.5%) were consistent with what is generally seen throughout the region<sup>[22]</sup>. Differences in the etiology of chronic liver disease according to sex in our study were also consistent with known predominance of ALD, viral hepatitis, NASH and PSC in males<sup>[23,24]</sup>. In our study, we report that significantly larger number of transplants for ALF were performed in female patients, which is in accordance with existing literature<sup>[25,26]</sup>. Females are known to be more susceptible to certain causes of ALF than males, including acetaminophen overdose and other drug toxicities, as well as acute-on-chronic liver failure associated with alcohol use<sup>[27-29]</sup>. It is possible that our findings represent selection bias associated with use of single-institution data; however, we suspect that our findings may demonstrate increasing incidences of alcohol-related liver disease, particularly in females<sup>[30]</sup>. This is a trend which has especially been seen in relation to the recent

pandemic<sup>[31]</sup>. Unfortunately, we did not have data for the underlying etiology of ALF in our cohort. As a result, further information would be required to make solid conclusions.

The baseline characteristics of our study cohort are similar to those previously reported<sup>[15]</sup>. In our study, females were on average younger than males both at time of listing and at time of transplant. They also had significantly lower BMIs. Interestingly, although previous studies have suggested that higher BMIs in male recipients may contribute to worse survival in these patients, the impact of BMI on overall survival was not found to be significant in our multivariate analysis<sup>[32]</sup>. Male patients had significantly higher overall rates of comorbidity consistent with previous data<sup>[33]</sup>. However, we found that the comorbidity index was associated with long-term survival in both male and female transplant patients, as expected. Consideration of pre-transplant comorbidities during listing and allocation is crucial, and pre-operative risk should be managed, where possible, to maximize chances of the best possible outcome. Currently there is no specific risk calculator for transplant surgery, and the only surgical risk calculator which considers sex is the ACS Surgical risk calculator<sup>[34]</sup>.

Despite recent concerns that MELD may significantly disadvantage females in terms of waitlist times and pre-transplant mortality, in our study, we saw that waiting times were similar between males and females, with a trend towards shorter waitlist times for females<sup>[2,3]</sup>. Though the female patients in our cohort did not experience longer waitlist times, the fact that this pattern has been demonstrated in a number of other recent studies is concerning. MELD is thought to underestimate the severity of liver disease and its complications in females, in part due to sex-related differences in muscle mass (female patients typically demonstrate a lower glomerular filtration rate per given creatinine level)<sup>[3,5]</sup>. In our report, female patients had higher lab-MELD scores, although this was not statistically significant. We believe this finding is still important to mention because it requires a more severe disease process to reach the same or higher MELD scores in female patients as male patients. This may be reflected in the increased number of females receiving high-urgency transplants as compared to males in our study, which is almost double that of similar database studies<sup>[35]</sup>. High-urgency transplants are considered to

have comparable outcomes to those performed in patients who have demonstrated more stable disease<sup>[36]</sup>. However, this does not eliminate the fact that female patients are placed at higher risk of pre-transplant mortality by the current system<sup>[2]</sup>. Furthermore, in this study, lab-MELD was found to differentially impact the overall survival of female patients after liver transplant. Taken together, sex-specific adjustments to scoring as well as allocation systems are necessary.

Male patients were also statistically more likely to have HCC, which correlates with larger database studies<sup>[5]</sup>. This translates into sex bias in transplant prioritization, as exception points are awarded to patients with HCC after 6 mo on the waitlist. In our study, transplant in the setting of known HCC in male patients was found to be associated with poorer outcomes, but not for female patients. This may partially reflect the fact that male patients with HCC demonstrate poorer long-term survival independent of transplantation<sup>[37]</sup>. However, it has also been shown through a retrospective analysis of the UNOS database that females have a 25% lower recurrence rate after transplant. Lastly, some literature suggested donor/recipient sex match may also play a role in HCC recurrence after LT<sup>[38,39]</sup>. Unfortunately we had limited data on tumor-specific variables in our study; for instance, we did not have tumor grade, AFP levels, or downstage data. However, we report similar numbers of within and beyond Milan criteria HCC in both groups. We were also not able to analyze interactions between donor sex and HCCspecific outcomes due to the small sample size. Regardless, we believe our findings merit attention that sex-specific factors may impact LT outcomes, specifically for HCC. Further analysis is necessary regarding the impact of sex on LT after HCC.

Whether there are sex differences in post-transplant survival remains controversial based on underlying disease and/or age classification or MELD scores<sup>[35,40,41]</sup>. In this study, we report similar overall survival rates between male and female patients; however, we found significantly better survival for females younger than 50 years of age as compared to all other groups<sup>[42]</sup>. Given the retrospective nature of our study, limited sample size, and existing donor differences in both groups, we agree that prospective

randomized studies with more granular data would be necessary to determine the impact of sex on LT outcomes.

It is well known that females experience more problems with donor-recipient matching than males. Part of this issue is due to concerns for large-for-size transplants in smaller female patients. Aside from just technical difficulty associated with transplanting a size-mismatched organ, it is thought that large discrepancies in this area can lead to increased risk of graft failure<sup>[43]</sup>. Donor mismatch is often cited as one of the top causes of offer denial<sup>[44]</sup>. Our study showed significantly higher DRI scores for female patients, which is likely due to limitations in which grafts are deemed appropriate for them, with the number one reason being size restrictions. Despite being transplanted with higher DRI scores, female recipients had similar long-term outcomes compared to males; in fact, younger females had even better outcomes, similar to other reports[35,45]. Large-for-size liver transplantation is associated with elevated morbidity and mortality and represents a major limiting factor in organ matching to female transplant candidates<sup>[46]</sup>. On the other hand, the higher DRI scores seen in female recipients may also represent an institutional pattern in accepting earlier offers despite higher risk donors. This could explain why females in our study did not have longer waitlist times. It is well known that higher DRI scores are also associated with worse outcomes; however, this was not seen in our study<sup>[47,48]</sup>. This could very well be due to small sample size or the retrospective nature and inherent non-randomization of our study. Regardless, based on the results of our study, when balancing the risks of a less ideal graft in female patients, it may be important to prioritize other factors over perceived graft quality. Females have specifically been noted to have an approximately 25% increase in likelihood of pre-transplant mortality with one or more offer refusals<sup>[44]</sup>. Further assessment of the comparative impact of accepting earlier offers in female patients vs waiting for a better perceived match should also be performed.

Limitations of our study are largely related to the fact that this is a single-institution, retrospective study, and therefore assessment of baseline characteristics of patients is not generalizable to the wider population. However, variables identified in multivariate

analysis, which are associated with worse outcomes according to sex, remain translatable to other population groups. We also have limited baseline data on our HCC patients, making it difficult to ascertain the exact impact of cancer on outcomes. Our study included a small transplant population and was underpowered to detect smaller differences that may still be clinically significant. Lastly, due to a lack of anatomical data, we are not able to make conclusions based on WIT or duration of surgery.

# **CONCLUSION**

Overall, female and male transplant candidates demonstrate different characteristics, which have a complex interplay to influence access to liver transplant as well as transplant outcomes. Despite global improvements in the allocation and technique of liver transplantation over recent years, female patients are still significantly disadvantaged in terms of access to transplant, underscored disease severity, longer wait times, more difficulty to have proper or timely organ offers and longer hospital stay in the post-operative period as described in the literature. Herein, we demonstrate sexbased differences in disease etiology, comorbidity profile and donor characteristics. In addition, we demonstrated specific factors with differential impact on the survival of each sex after liver transplant. These should be considered as tools to improve the system, and adjustments to the allocation process could reduce the disparities between males and females. Lastly, perioperative care of females with chronic liver disease may differ from males. Thus, management and follow up of liver transplant patients should be individualized, with consideration of sex-specific variables. This may further optimize long-term outcomes, and further prospective studies are warranted.

# 88133\_Auto\_Edited.docx

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

3%

SIMILARITY INDEX

#### **PRIMARY SOURCES**

- www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
  Internet

  34 words 1 %
- 2 www.neurology-asia.org 26 words 1 %
- Junya Kanda, Ruta Brazauskas, Zhen-Huan Hu, Yachiyo Kuwatsuka et al. "Graft-versus-Host Disease after HLA-Matched Sibling Bone Marrow or Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Transplantation: Comparison of North American Caucasian and Japanese Populations", Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, 2016
- E. Murillo-Zamora, J. Guzmán-Esquivel, J.A. Bricio-Barrios, O. Mendoza-Cano. "Comparing the survival of adult inpatients with COVID-19 during the wild-type, Delta, and Omicron emergence", Public Health, 2022