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**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Organophosphorus poisoning (OP) is one of the common critical conditions in emergency departments in China, which is usually caused by suicide by taking oral drugs. Patients with severe OP have disturbance of consciousness, respiratory failure, toxic shock, gastrointestinal dysfunction, and so on. As far as we know, the perforation of the duodenum caused by OP has not been reported yet.

CASE SUMMARY

A 33-year-old male patient suffered from acute severe OP, associated with abdominal pain. Multiple computed tomography scans of the upper abdomen showed no evidence of intestinal perforation. However, retrograde digital subtraction angiography, performed *via* an abdominal drainage tube, revealed duodenal perforation. After conservative treatment, the symptoms eased and the patient was discharged from hospital.

CONCLUSION

Clinicians should pay close attention to gastrointestinal dysfunction and abdominal signs in patients with severe OP. If clinical manifestation and vital signs cannot be explained by common complications, stress duodenal ulcer or perforation should be highly suspected.
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**Core Tip:** Organophosphorus poisoning is one of the common critical conditions in emergency departments in China and is usually caused by suicide. However, duodenal perforation caused by organophosphorus poisoning has not yet been reported. In this case, organophosphorus poisoning induced duodenal perforation, and the potential mechanism involves drug toxicity, physical injury caused by gastric lavage, or a stress response to acute poisoning, and severe shock.

**INTRODUCTION**

Organophosphorus poisoning (OP) is one of the common critical conditions in emergency departments in China, accounting for 49.1% of acute poisonings and 83.6% of poisoning-related deaths. In the vast southwestern regions of China, pesticide use and storage are poorly managed, and thus, people sometimes commit suicide by oral administration of pesticides. Patients with severe OP usually have disturbance of consciousness, respiratory failure, toxic shock, and gastrointestinal dysfunction[1]. However, concurrent duodenal perforation has not been reported in the literature. We treated one case of duodenal perforation secondary to severe acute OP.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

A 33-year-old man attempted suicide 4 h ago because of taking an unknown dose of dichlorvos, and was admitted to the emergency department of our hospital.

***History of present illness***

The patient was hospitalized due to acute severe phosphorus poisoning. He drank before oral dose of unknown dichlorvos and was found foaming at the mouth and sweating, with cyanotic lips and no response to calls. Gastric lavage and atropine treatment were administered at a local hospital. He was later transferred to our hospital.

***History of past illness***

The patient had a free previous medical history.

***Personal and family history***

The patient had no personal and family history.

***Physical examination***

On examination, the vital signs showed an unmeasurable body temperature, heart rate of 127/min, blood pressure of 61/39 mmHg, and SPO2 of 82%, invasive ventilator assisted ventilation, slight coma, moist skin and mucous membrane, no light reflection, a garlic smell in the mouth, a thick breathing sound of both lungs, a little damp rale in the left lower lung, a soft and flat abdomen, and normal bowel sounds.

***Laboratory examinations***

Auxiliary examination showed a cholinesterase level of 0.26 kU/L.

***Imaging examinations***

Gastroscopy performed on September 3, 2018 showed that there were a large number of dark green secretions and membranous substances in the stomach cavity (Figure 1A), and there were many bulges in the mucosa of the stomach cavity, purulent secretions at the top, and obvious congestion in the surrounding mucosa (Figure 1B). It also showed congestion and edema in part of the descending duodenum (Figure 1C). Computed tomography (CT) of the upper abdomen performed on September 18, 2018 showed acute pancreatitis and multiple pancreatic pseudocysts (Figure 2A), as well as descending duodenal edema and pneumatosis (Figure 2B). From September 3, 2018 to October 3, 2018, repeated CT of the upper abdomen showed no sign of intestinal perforation. However, digital subtraction angiography (DSA) performed on October 2, 2018 revealed that iohexol, a contrast agent, had been distributed around pancreatic pseudocyst through a drainage tube (Figure 3A) and the descending duodenum (Figure 3B), which confirmed duodenal perforation.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Duodenal fistula was finally diagnosed by retrograde DSA through a drainage tube in the median abdomen.

**TREATMENT**

The patient received gastric lavage, catharsis, a special antidote, blood purification, ventilator-assisted respiration, and vasoactive drugs for boosting blood pressure. The patient was seriously ill, and the above-mentioned rescue measures significantly improved his symptoms. He still presented remarkable abdominal bulging and tenderness in the upper abdomen, accompanied by local muscular tension and weakened bowel sounds. Abdominal CT scanning indicated the possibility of acute pancreatitis. He underwent fasting, enzyme therapy, and acid suppression, as well as jejunal nutrition. Additionally, the traditional Chinese medical prescription Qingyi II was administered to restore normal bowel movement. All symptoms were alleviated, and thus, the tracheal intubation was removed, and vital signs became stable. Four weeks after admission, multiple pseudocysts were observed, peripheral to the pancreas (Figure 2A). CT-guided puncture and drainage were performed, and 300 mL of a light-yellow fluid was drawn from the left upper and median abdomen. Abdominal CT demonstrated that the peripancreatic cysts shrank and their number was reduced. The concentration of amylase was lowered, and abdominal pain was significantly relieved. At 40 d after admission, the patient experienced intensified abdominal pain after oral ingestion of a small amount of a fluid diet, and the volume of the drainage fluid from the median abdomen increased to 500 mL. Retrograde DSA was performed through a drainage tube in the median abdomen (Figure 3), which confirmed duodenal perforation. According to the surgical consultation, since the patient was in a poor general condition and the perforated duodenum was enveloped, there was no indication for surgery. The patient underwent fasting and conservative treatment and then was discharged with a drainage tube.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The patient was discharged with a drainage tube and had a normal diet 5 wk after the jejunal nutrition tube was removed. Angiography of the upper digestive tract showed no abnormalities in the duodenum.

**DISCUSSION**

Organophosphorus pesticides are widely used in agriculture in China and are regarded as one of the main sources of poisoning. The poisoning mechanism in humans and animals involves the inhibition of the acetylcholine enzyme and causes the accumulation of acetylcholine, leading to muscarinic and nicotine toxicity and central nervous system dysfunction[2]. Patients with severe OP usually have clinical manifestations including disturbance of consciousness, respiratory failure, toxic shock, and gastrointestinal dysfunction.

Gastrointestinal dysfunction is closely related to the development and aggravation of OP. The mechanism involves the direct damage of the poison to the gastrointestinal mucosa, mucosal atrophy, shortening of villi, and increased vascular permeability. There are few cases of OP complicated with acute pancreatitis in the literature, and there are fewer cases of severe pancreatitis after operation[3], but there are no case of duodenal perforation. Previous research reported yellow phosphorus poisoning, which is one of the raw materials for synthesizing organophosphorus pesticides and could lead to explosive liver failure and duodenal perforation[4]. Duodenal perforation is mainly caused by a duodenal ulcer and diverticulum, traumatic injury, iatrogenic endoscopic injury, a foreign body[5,6], and corrosive drug poisoning[4]. In this case, the difficult and eventful diagnosis and treatment of duodenal perforation after severe, acute OP may be attributed to the following facts: (1) The physical injury, caused by the pesticide and gastric lavage, or a stress reaction, caused by acute poisoning and severe shock, resulted in mucosal injury and gastrointestinal bleeding[7], *e.g.*, an acute gastric lesion shown in Figure 1. Gastroscopy showed that there were many bulges in the mucosa of the stomach cavity, purulent secretions at the top, and obvious congestion in the surrounding mucosa (Figure 1B), and edema in part of the descending duodenum (Figure 1C); (2) Atropine, which was administered against poisoning, inhibited intestinal peristalsis and promoted the absorption of intestinal edema and toxins, further leading to an increased abdominal pressure (maximum: 27 cm H2O) and weakened bowel sounds[8]; (3) Severe poisoning caused serious hemodynamic changes and hypoxia. The concentration of lactic acid was 13.0 mmol/L, and therefore, a high dose of norepinephrine was administered to maintain blood pressure. Key organs were in ischemia and hypoxia[9], and the gastrointestinal tract might have also been impaired. Mahajan *et al*[7] reported that one patient with severe OP developed multiple perforations in the small intestine after the patient fell in shock; (4) The organs in the abdominal cavity were damaged, and pseudocysts were formed because of pancreatic secretion. The pancreatic fluid corroded the surrounding intestinal wall. Retrograde DSA *via* a drainage tube on a pseudocyst of the pancreatic head showed that a peripancreatic pseudocyst was connected to the duodenum (Figure 3B); and (5) The patient was in sedation and analgesia for critical care. The perforated part was enveloped, and therefore, no diffuse peritonitis developed. The abdominal symptoms were not thoroughly observed, and gastroscopy and abdominal CT showed no free gas below the diaphragm, leading to the missed diagnosis of duodenal perforation. Due to the aggravated abdominal pain and a sudden increase in abdominal drainage, retrograde angiography was performed *via* a drainage tube to confirm duodenal perforation. CT of the upper abdomen and gastroscopy are effective for the diagnosis of duodenal perforation. However, abdominal CT is superior to X-ray, with a higher sensitivity and specificity in detecting free gas outside the abdominal cavity and with a better view of the perforated section. In this case, the missed diagnosis of duodenal perforation at an early stage was due to severe toxic symptoms, repeated abdominal pain, and a small perforation and package. No typical signs of peritonitis were observed, which made it difficult to reach a definitive diagnosis. Finally, retrograde angiography confirmed duodenal perforation. Because the patient was in a poor general condition and had low immunity, conservative treatment was provided. Upper gastrointestinal angiography at 4 weeks after discharge showed no duodenal abnormalities. Compared with those undergoing surgical treatment, patients who undergo conservative treatment have fewer complications and a lower mortality.

**CONCLUSION**

Duodenal perforation caused by OP has not yet been reported. In the clinic, many factors such as duodenal ulcer and diverticulum, traumatic injury, iatrogenic endoscopic injury, a foreign body, and corrosive drug poisoning can induce duodenal perforation. In patients with severe OP, clinicians should pay close attention to the damage to gastrointestinal function and to abdominal signs. If clinical manifestations and signs go beyond common complications, stress-induced duodenal ulcer and perforation should be highly suspected.
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**Figure 1 Gastroscopic images of the stomach and descending duodenum.** A: Gastroscopy showing that there are a large number of dark green secretions and membranous substances in the stomach cavity, which are mainly in the corner of the stomach and could not be washed and scraped off; B: Gastroscopy showing there are many bulges in the mucosa of the stomach cavity, purulent secretions at the top, and obvious congestion in the surrounding mucosa; C: Gastroscopy showing congestion and edema in part of the descending duodenum.
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**Figure 2 Computed tomography of the upper abdomen.** A: Computed tomography (CT) showing acute pancreatitis (yellow arrow) and multiple pancreatic pseudocysts (red arrows); B: CT showing descendent duodenal edema (yellow arrow) and pneumatosis (red arrow).
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**Figure 3 Retrograde digital subtraction angiography through a pancreatic pseudocyst drainage tube.** Comparing A with B, digital subtraction angiography showed that the contrast agent iohexol has been distributed in the surrounding areas of the pancreatic pseudocyst through the drainage tube in A, as well as in the descending section of the duodenum in B (red arrow).
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