

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: 18

Manuscript word count: 3241

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases	
	Manuscript NO.: 67159	
	Column: Case Report	
	Title: Diagnosis and treatment of an inborn error of bile acid	
	synthesis type 4: A case report	
1	Authors: Shou-Hao Wang, Tian-Chen Hui, Zhe-Wen Zhou,	[Y]
	Cheng-An Xu, Wen-Hao Wu, Qing-Qing Wu, Wei Zheng,	
	Qiao-Qiao Yin and Hong-Ying Pan	
	Reviewer code: 05282786, and 04870360	
	First decision: 2021-05-24 01:36	
	Scientific Editor: Jia-Ru Fan	
	Date of signature: <u>July 17, 2021</u> (month/day/year)	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
2	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case	[Y]
	report of the diagnosis and treatment of an inborn error of bile acid	
	synthesis type 4. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1)	
	Classification: Two Grades B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review	
	Report: The manuscript represents a very well conducted case of a	



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

rare disease meet in an elder patient. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 10 references are cited, including no references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: self-cited reference; and (6) There is no References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by MedE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.



Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-ReviewReport, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethicsdocuments, all of which have met the basic publishing requirementsof the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript isconditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s)for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, EditorialOffice's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision byAuthors.3The fixed headings are copied.[Y]The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',
documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.3The fixed headings are copied.[Y]The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is[Y]
of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.3The fixed headings are copied.[Y]The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is[Y]
conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s)for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, EditorialOffice's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision byAuthors.3The fixed headings are copied.[Y]The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is
for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. 3 The fixed headings are copied. [Y] The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is
Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. 3 The fixed headings are copied. [Y] The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is
Authors. [Y] 3 The fixed headings are copied. [Y] The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is [Y]
3 The fixed headings are copied. [Y] The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is [Y]
The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is
not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',
4 'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided. [Y]
The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic
numbers or uncommon abbreviations.
5 A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words). [Y]
The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first
6 names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those [Y]
listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.
The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific
contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed
in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by
abbreviated first and middles names.
e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang
7 CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the [Y]
research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed
the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and
analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and
Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors
have read and approve the final manuscript."



	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
8	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[N]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	D/I
10	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	[Y]
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	[Y]
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
44	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
13	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	[Y]
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	



	1	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>World J</i>	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[N]
	study.	



	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
17	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[N]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[N]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean ± SD or mean ± SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	[Y]
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
10	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (<i>i.e.</i> , providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[Y]
	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (<i>i.e.</i> , useful	
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[N]
	1	I



	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
22	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	[Y]
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
24	follows:	[Y]
	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[N]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	



26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	[Y]
	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (<i>e.g.</i> , +, -, ×, \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	[1]
21	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	[Y]
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	
	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	D.d.
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
01	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	D.C
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	[Y]
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
20	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
32	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	1	



	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g.,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; e.g., 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
25	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	
35	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[N]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
37	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	[Y]
	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	
	The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440 \times 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	



		1
	(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
	1.5 me spacnig.	
	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include careful	y checking the
Deenensihilities	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) error	rs in spelling,
Responsibilities of scientific	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, f	igure data and
	legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in	
editors	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of	the manuscript
	according to the reviewers' comments.	
	Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientifi	c editor→Peer
	review-End of peer review-First round of meeting evalu	$ation \rightarrow To$ be
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation	luation \rightarrow To be
	accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief	(final quality
Publication	control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance	e and charging
process	of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific	
	editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release	
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG websi	ite→Release of
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF	reprints to the
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	