

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 22 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: <u>136.4 CNY</u>

Figure count: <u>4</u> Figure handling time: <u>65</u> min Rate: <u>1</u> CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>65</u> CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 36 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>36 CNY</u>

Manuscript word count: 6222

Total Editing Fee: <u>237.4 CNY</u>

| | | Comments |
|----------|---|----------|
| Item No. | Specific items for verification | Yes=[Y] |
| | | No= [N] |
| | General Information of the Manuscript | |
| | Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology | |
| | Manuscript NO.: 69952 | |
| | Column: Minireviews | |
| | Title: Advanced Imaging and Artificial Intelligence for Barrett's | |
| | esophagus: what we should do and what we will (soon). | |
| 1 | Authors: Marco Spadaccini, Edoardo Vespa, Viveksandeep | [Y] |
| | Thoguluva Chandrasekar, Madhav Desai, Harsh K Patel, Roberta | |
| | Maselli, Alessandro Fugazza, Silvia Carrara, Andrea Anderloni, | |
| | Gianluca Franchellucci, Alessandro De Marco, Cesare Hassan, | |
| | Pradeep Bhandari, Prateek Sharma and Alessandro Repici | |
| | Reviewer code: 00505755 | |
| | First decision: 2021-08-06 19:46 | |



| | Scientific Editor: Jia-Ru Fan | |
|---|---|-----|
| | Date of signature: <u>January/24/2022</u> (month/day/year) | |
| | Editorial Office's Comments | |
| | Science Editor: 1. Scientific quality: The invited manuscript | |
| | describes "Advanced Imaging and Artificial Intelligence for Barrett's | |
| | esophagus: what we should do and what we will (soon)". The topic | |
| | is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) | |
| | Summary of the Peer-Review Report: (00505755): The application of | |
| | artificial intelligence for gastrointestinal disease is very important. | |
| | The more detailed explanation for the first real-time performance of | |
| | the CAD system may be added in Artificial Intelligence section. (3) | |
| | Format: There are 0 table and 4 figures; (4) References: A total of 48 | |
| | references are cited, including 26 references published in the last 3 | |
| 2 | years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 0 self-cited references;(6) | [Y] |
| | References recommendations: The authors have cited proper | |
| | references. 2.Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. 3 | |
| | Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Non-Native | |
| | Speakers of English Editing Certificate. 4. Supplementary | |
| | comments: The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. | |
| | 5. Issues raised: NA. 6.Re-Review: Yes. 7. Recommendation: | |
| | Conditional acceptance | |
| | Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review | |
| | Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics | |
| | documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements | |
| | of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is | |



| | conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) | |
|---|---|-----|
| | for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial | |
| | Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by | |
| | Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be | |
| | used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, | |
| | "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. | |
| | A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:; G:". | |
| 3 | The fixed headings are copied. | [Y] |
| | The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is | |
| | not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration', | |
| 4 | 'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided. | [Y] |
| | The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic | |
| | numbers or uncommon abbreviations. | |
| 5 | A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words). | [Y] |
| | The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first | |
| 6 | names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those | [Y] |
| | listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form. | |
| | The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific | |
| | contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed | |
| | in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by | |
| | abbreviated first and middles names. | |
| | e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang | |
| 7 | CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the | [Y] |
| | research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed | |
| | the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and | |
| | analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and | |
| | Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors | |
| | have read and approve the final manuscript." | |
| | | |



| 8 | The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial | |
|----|---|------|
| | support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) | [N] |
| | and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors. | |
| | The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding | |
| | author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD, | |
| 9 | PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address | [Y] |
| | (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and | |
| | contains no spelling errors. | |
| | The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review | |
| 10 | started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and | D.d. |
| 10 | Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor | [Y] |
| | and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors. | |
| | The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific | |
| | style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as | |
| | follows: | |
| | Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics, | |
| | Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight: | |
| 44 | Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words. | |
| 11 | Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor: | [Y] |
| | Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words. | |
| | Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no | |
| | more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS | |
| | (no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26 | |
| | words). | |
| | The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main | |
| 12 | content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized, | [Y] |
| | and each keyword is separated by a semicolon. | |
| | The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The | |
| 13 | | [2/] |
| 13 | name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family | [Y] |



| | capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials. | |
|----|---|-----|
| | For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick | |
| | Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM, | |
| | Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes | |
| | mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J | |
| | Gastroenterol 2019; In press | |
| | The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the | |
| 14 | study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments | |
| 14 | and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract | [Y] |
| | readers. | |
| | The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant | |
| | background information for the study. Only the most relevant and | |
| | current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the | |
| | exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All | |
| | technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined, | |
| | with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in | |
| 15 | the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> , | [Y] |
| | "computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I', | |
| | 'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed | |
| | by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are | |
| | described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the | |
| | current study, the information is presented carefully. | |
| | · · · | |
| | The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data | |
| 16 | | [N] |
| | presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the | |
| | study. | |



| 17 | The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and | |
|----|---|-----|
| | experimental results. Representative data and data that have | |
| | scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either | |
| | the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is | [N] |
| | not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and | |
| | figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. | |
| | Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear. | |
| | Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed | |
| | as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted). | |
| 18 | If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used, | [N] |
| | and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$. | |
| | Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE. | |
| | The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and | |
| | hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results; | |
| | (3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat | |
| 10 | the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results; | [N] |
| 19 | (4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the | |
| | results, as well as proposes further advice on future research | |
| | topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance | |
| | and practical value of the findings. | |
| | The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any | |
| | individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing | |
| 20 | instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or | [N] |
| 20 | assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful | |
| | inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other | |
| | auxiliary work. | |
| 01 | The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for | Fr |
| 21 | original articles in accordance with the specified format. | [N] |
| | | l |



| | The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver | |
|----|--|-----|
| | style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the | |
| | order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations | |
| | where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript | |
| | number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For | |
| | citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should | |
| 22 | be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] | [Y] |
| | reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high | |
| | sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the | |
| | reference number is described in the text; for example, "The | |
| | experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The | |
| | style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text | |
| | (<i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]). | |
| | Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no | |
| | duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For | |
| | references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese | [Y] |
| 22 | journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of | |
| 23 | each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of | |
| | journals in other languages are listed according to indexing | |
| | information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented | |
| | with all the information relevant to the electronic version. | |
| | The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as | |
| | follows: | [Y] |
| 24 | <u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50; | |
| 24 | <u>Review:</u> no less than 100; | |
| | <u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26; | |
| | Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1. | |
| | The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the | |
| 25 | manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board | [N] |
| | statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.). | |
| | | |



| 26 | The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present | [Y] |
|----|--|------|
| | at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang | |
| | JL). | |
| | The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent | |
| | with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in | |
| | tables (<i>e.g.</i> , +, -, ×, \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the | |
| 27 | footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure | [1] |
| 21 | consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs | [Y] |
| | that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1 | |
| | Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after | |
| | treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F: | |
| | Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and | |
| 28 | graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis | [Y] |
| | diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves. | |
| 20 | The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript | [Y] |
| 29 | according to the peer-reviewers' comments. | |
| | The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with | D.C. |
| 30 | the changes made to the manuscript. | [Y] |
| | The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript | |
| | NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review). | |
| 01 | The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: | D/1 |
| 31 | Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)). | [Y] |
| | The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript | |
| | NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers). | |
| | The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1) | |
| | Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any | |
| 20 | approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application | [Y] |
| 32 | form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript | |
| | NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file | |
| | name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical | |
| | 1 | |



| | trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial | |
|----|---|--------|
| | registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form | |
| | or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board | |
| | statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee | |
| | approval form or document (file name: Manuscript | |
| | NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7) | |
| | Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name: | |
| | Manuscript NoInformed consent statement). | |
| | All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file | |
| 33 | name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g., | [Y] |
| | 870-Copyright license agreement). | |
| | The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native | |
| 34 | speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name: | [Y] |
| | Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; e.g., 870-Language certificate). | |
| | The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos | |
| 25 | are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript | [5, 1] |
| 35 | NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for | [N] |
| | use of personal photos). | |
| | This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) | |
| 36 | has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific | [Y] |
| | editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list). | |
| | A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal | |
| | content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and | |
| | the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via | |
| | the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document | |
| 37 | contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of | [Y] |
| | journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". | |
| | The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at | |
| | 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the CrossCheck results has | |
| | been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report | |
| L | | |



| | | 1 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| | (e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been | | |
| | performed to further ensure publication of original content. | | |
| 38 | The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. | [Y] | |
| | 1.5 me spacnig. | | |
| | The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include careful | y checking the | |
| Deenensihilities | entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) error | rs in spelling, | |
| Responsibilities of scientific | grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, f | igure data and | |
| | legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, \times , \div , %, *) in | | |
| editors | tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of | the manuscript | |
| | according to the reviewers' comments. | | |
| | Manuscript reception and registration \rightarrow Initial review by scientific editor \rightarrow P | | |
| | review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be | | |
| | | | |
| | accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief | (final quality | |
| Publication | control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance | e and charging | |
| process | of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific | | |
| | editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release | | |
| | of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG websi | ite→Release of | |
| | online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF | reprints to the | |
| | author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process. | | |