

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: 27

Manuscript word count: 6647

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	
	Manuscript NO.: 66478	
	Column: Opinion Review	
1	Title: New drugs for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer	
1	Authors: Sara Cherri, Michela Libertini and Alberto Zaniboni	[Y]
	Reviewer code: 05400628, and 04123904	
	First decision: 2021-06-23 23:31	
	Scientific Editor: Ya-Juan Ma	
	Date of signature: <u>9/7/2021</u> (month/day/year)	
	Editorial Office's Comments	[Y]
	Science Editor: The evaluation report of the first decision for 66478	
	1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes on New drugs for the	
2	treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. The topic is within the	
	scope of the WJGO. (1) Classification: Grade B (Very good) ; Grade	
	C (Good) (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: reviewer 1: The	
	authors reviewed the current status and future perspective of the	
	treatment for the metastatic colorectal cancer. This is a well written	
	article but some concerns should be addressed. My comments to the	



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

authors are as below: Minor comments 1) As described in the guidelines for authors, abbreviations should be defined in the abstract and in the main body of the manuscript upon first mention in the text, except for certain commonly used abbreviations such as DNA, RNA, HIV, LD50, PCR, HBV, ECG, WBC, RBC, CT, ESR, CSF, IgG, ELISA, PBS, ATP, EDTA, and mAb. In addition, the authors don't need to define the same abbreviation (e.g. OS) multiple times in the text. Some abbreviations such as VEGF, EGFR, PFS, and OS should be defined in the text according to the guidelines. 2) What is the difference between mCRC and CRCm? To avoid confusion for the readers, the abbreviation for metastatic colorectal cancer should be unified to mCRC or CRCm. 3) This manuscript has no Figures and Tables. The authors should include some Figures or Tables in the text for a better understanding of the readers. It is a very good review, I have no comments or objections regarding the manuscript. Reviewer 2: I think the authors gave a great view of the current and future treatments for CCR. Great review article. Hwoever, there are some English corrections to be made. Please find my comments attached. (3) Format: There is no table and no figure. (4)References: A total of 45 references are cited, including 27 references published in the last 3 years. (5) Self-cited references: There are 1 self-citation. 2 Language evaluations: Classification: Grade A (Priority publishing). Grade C (A great deal of language polishing). The manuscript has been certified that it was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and



[1
	overall style by one or more of the highly qualified native English	
	speaking editors at AJE. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors	
	need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and	
	Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found	
	in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited	
	manuscript. The study was not supported by any grants. The topic	
	has not previously been published in the WJGO. The corresponding	
	author has published 1 article in the BPG. 5 Issues raised: 1. Style	
	for journal references should be modified. 2.It is recommended to	
	provide a figure or a table in the manuscript. 6 Re-Review:	
	Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional accepted.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics	
	documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements	
	of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, and the	
	manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to	
	the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report,	
	Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript	
	Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must	
	add a table/figure to the manuscript.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	[Y]
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	



5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	[Y]
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	[Y]
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	
	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	[N]
8	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	[Y]
	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
9	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	[Y]
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	
	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	[Y]
11	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	



	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	[Y]
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	[Y]
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	<i>Gastroenterol</i> 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	[Y]
	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	
	readers.	



		1
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	[Y]
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
15	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	[N]
	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	[N]
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	[Y]
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as $^{e}P < 0.05$ and $^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	



	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	[N]
19	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	[N]
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
01	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	[N]
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	[Y]
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
		L



23 Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no [Y] duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of 23	
references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
each word capitalized (<i>e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i>); the name of	
journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as [Y]	
follows:	
<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
24 <u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	
<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the [Y]	
25 manuscript type (<i>e.g.</i> , Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present [Y]	
26 at the end of the paper (<i>e.g.</i> , P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	
JL).	
The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent [Y]	
with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	
that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; F:	
28 Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and [Y]	
graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	



	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	[Y]
	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	[Y]
50	the changes made to the manuscript.	
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	[Y]
	NoReview; e.g., 870- Review).	
01	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	[Y]
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
32	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
33	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	[Y]
	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> ,	
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	[Y]
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	_ 4
	speakers of English meets the bio requirements (me fidile.	



	Manuscript No. Language cortificates a c. 970 Language cortificates)	
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	[N]
35	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	[N]
	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	[Y]
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	[Y]
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
37	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	
	The Figure of the CrossCheck results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440 \times 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
	(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	[Y]
38	1.5 line spacing.	
	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefull	y checking the
Responsibilities of scientific editors	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) error	
		1 0
	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and	
	legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +,	-, ×, ÷, %, *) in
	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of t	he manuscript
	according to the reviewers' comments.	-



	Manuscript reception and registration \rightarrow Initial review by scientific editor \rightarrow Peer	
	$review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be$	
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be	
	accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality	
Publication	control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging	
process	of publication fee-Language editing-Production-Proofreading by scientific	
	$editor {\rightarrow} Proof reading \ by \ deputy \ editor {\rightarrow} Final \ review \ by \ Editor {-} in {-} Chief {\rightarrow} Release$	
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of	
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	