

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: 26

Manuscript word count: 4931

Item No.	Specific items for verification	Comments Yes=[Y] No= [N]
1	<p><i>General Information of the Manuscript</i></p> <p>Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</p> <p>Manuscript NO.: 69092</p> <p>Column: Basic Study</p> <p>Title: Lnc524369 promotes Hepatocellular carcinoma progression and predicts poor survival by activating YWHAZ-RAF1 signalling</p> <p>Authors: Wei Zheng, Guo-Liang Shen, Ke-Yang Xu, Qiao-Qiao Yin, Tian-Chen Hui, Zhe-Wen Zhou, Cheng-An Xu, Shou-Hao Wang, Wen-Hao Wu, Ling-Fei Shi and Hong-Ying Pan</p> <p>Reviewer code: 05038589</p> <p>First decision: 2021-07-04 05:32</p> <p>Scientific Editor: Jing-Jie Wang (Online Science Editor)</p> <p>Date of signature: <u>9/13/2021</u> (month/day/year)</p>	[Y]
2	<p><i>Editorial Office's Comments</i></p> <p>Science Editor: REPORT OF THE ACADEMIC EDITOR 1. Scientific quality: This is an invitro and in vivo experimental study analyzing the role of Lnc524369 (ap003469.2) expression and the progression of HCC. Here I mention the progression because, in the study, the authors have shown that Lnc524369 increased the proliferation and</p>	[Y]

migration capability of HCC cell line Huh-7. The experimental methods are very detailed and presented very well. However, the authors have referred to certain databases in the Introduction section and the information on the databases has already confirmed the primary results of the present study. These results are Lnc524369 is associated with immortalization and increased invasion capabilities of the tumor and 14-3-3 protein zeta/delta (YWHAZ) and RAF1 are on the downstream of this pathway. However, I respect the effort given to this study. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade C (By the reviewer ID 05038589). As I have stated above, the contributions of the current study to current knowledge is questionable. Therefore, I agree with the reviewer. (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is an experimental study and the authors have performed plenty of experiments and have given good results. However, the queries of the reviewer (reviewer ID: 05038589) is very important: a. Results figures are cited in the introduction HCC tissue sampling process should be clearly mentioned in the methodology before Cell lines section. b. In the methodology section, it is written that "According to the sequence of Lnc524369 gene (provided by the customer)," What customer? I think you are the customer that should provide the company and your readers by the sequence. It is copied and paste from the pamphlet of the company and this is unacceptable. c. Sentences should not start with numbers in figures, they should be written in letters. d. It

makes more sentences to start your results section with the screening of the HCC tissues "YWHAZ mRNA and protein was tested in our included ten HCC samples by real time-PCR and western blot. e. " what is the exact number of samples used in study 41 or 10 or 5 as shown in your figure!!!! Correlation between lnc524369 level expression and pathological grade should be presented as a correlation analysis linear figure not a table with P-value. f. Figures are captioned screening in-vitro and in vivo?? What in-vivo?? you did not use any in-vivo models in your study I think you should revise your paper well before submitting it to avoid this type of confusion. Academic Editor's queries: a. In the materials and methods section the authors have described the transwell migration assay twice. Is there a specific reason for this? Because I have read them, and they both described almost the same methodology. b. It is not clear from methodology how the authors detected the cytoplasmic and nuclear expression of lnc524369 in HCC cell lines. In the results section, the authors have stated that they have chosen Huh-7 for transfection experiments. HepG2 cell line has the lowest expression of lnc524369 and Huh-7 has the highest expression levels. Wouldn't it be more important for your hypothesis to work on the low expression cell line and determine the changes in cell biology? c. Figures are not cited orderly throughout the results section? d. Why did the authors analyze the expression of 14-3-3 protein zeta/delta (YWHAZ) and RAF1 in the specimens of only 10 patients rather than all 41 patients? These

points are valid and should be addressed by the Authors. (3) Format: There are 5 figures, 3 tables. (4) References: there are 22 references and all of them are from the last 5 years. (5) Self-cited references: There are 3 self-citations (reference numbers 6, 14, 15). (6) References recommendations: There are no reference suggestions nor any conflicts regarding this section. 2. Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. The authors have provided non-native speaker English editing certificates. However, there is still a need for significant language polishing. 3. Academic norms and rules: The Biostatistics Review Certificate is provided... The Institutional Review Board Approval Form is provided. No academic misconduct was found by the Google/Bing search (Accessory information is ta the end of the report; the screenshot of the google search is provided). 4. Supplementary comments: The authors have performed a good flow of logic but the study itself is not novel. The points that the reviewer and the academic editor have emphasized should be revised by the authors. 5. Issues raised: (1) The keywords are appropriate (2) The language classification is Grade B. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies that we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>; (3) The title is appropriate (13 words); (4) The "Author Contributions" is appropriate. (5) Grant application information is provided (6) The authors provided original pictures. (7) PMID and DOI numbers are not given and should be provided according to the journal

	<p>guidelines; (8) The “Article Highlights” section is provided but it should be a concise review of the cardinal findings of the study; this seems inappropriate. Please revise the “Article Highlights” section; (9) The manuscript is written according to the guidelines of the journal with the necessity of minor revisions; (10) Figures are appropriate, and no copyright transfer is required. 6 Re-Review: Required after the revisions required by the reviewer. 7 Recommendation: can only publish after major revision. Accessories to the report: The screenshot of the search topic in Google</p> <p>Company Editor-in-Chief: I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology.</p>	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
4	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as ‘exploration’, ‘research’, ‘analysis’, ‘observation’, and ‘investigation’ are avoided. The title does not start with ‘The’ and does not include any Arabic numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	[Y]
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
6	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	[Y]

7	<p>The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles names.</p> <p><i>e.g.</i>, "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript."</p>	[Y]
8	<p>The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.</p>	[Y]
9	<p>The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (<i>e.g.</i>, MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.</p>	[Y]
10	<p>The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i>, Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.</p>	[Y]
11	<p>The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured <i>vs</i> unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows:</p> <p><u>Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics, Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:</u></p> <p>Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.</p> <p><u>Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:</u></p>	[Y]

	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words. <u>Research articles:</u> Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS (no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26 words).	
12	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized, and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	[Y]
13	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family (sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials. For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM, Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>World J Gastroenterol</i> 2019; In press	[Y]
14	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract readers.	[Y]
15	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant background information for the study. Only the most relevant and current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined, with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> , "...computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (<i>e.g.</i> , 'I', 'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	[Y]

	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the current study, the information is presented carefully.	
16	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the study.	[Y]
17	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and experimental results. Representative data and data that have scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	[Y]
18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed as ^a $P < 0.05$, ^b $P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted). If there are other series of P values, ^c $P < 0.05$ and ^d $P < 0.01$ are used, and a third series of P values is expressed as ^e $P < 0.05$ and ^f $P < 0.01$. Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	[Y]

19	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results; (3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results; (4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the results, as well as proposes further advice on future research topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance and practical value of the findings.	[Y]
20	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any individuals or organizations for technical support (<i>i.e.</i> , providing instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (<i>i.e.</i> , useful inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other auxiliary work.	[N]
21	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
22	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] reported", "Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] indicated" ; "PCR has a high sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the reference number is described in the text; for example, "The experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text (<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	[Y]

23	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of each word capitalized (<i>e.g.</i> , <i>Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i>); the name of journals in other languages are listed according to indexing information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	[Y]
24	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as follows: <u>Commentary</u> : no less than 50; <u>Review</u> : no less than 100; <u>Article</u> : no less than 30/26; <u>Case Report and Letter to the Editor</u> : no less than 1.	[Y]
25	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the manuscript type (<i>e.g.</i> , Manuscript No.-Institutional review board statement, Manuscript No.-Animal care and use statement, <i>etc.</i>).	[Y]
26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present at the end of the paper (<i>e.g.</i> , P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang JL).	[Y]
27	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in tables (<i>e.g.</i> , +, -, ×, ÷, *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1 Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F:	[Y]
28	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]

	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
31	<p>The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript No.-Review; <i>e.g.</i>, 870- Review).</p> <p>The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: Manuscript No.-Peer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i>, 870-Peer-review(s)).</p> <p>The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript No.-Answering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i>, 870-Answering reviewers).</p>	[Y]
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript No.-Grant application form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript No.-Biostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file name: Manuscript No.-Conflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript No.-Clinical trial registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form or document (file name: Manuscript No.-Institutional review board statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or document (file name: Manuscript No.-Institutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7) Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name: Manuscript No.-Informed consent statement).	[Y]
33	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file name: Manuscript No.-Copyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Copyright license agreement).	[Y]
34	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]

	Manuscript No.-Language certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
35	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript No.-Agreement for use of personal photos; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Agreement for use of personal photos).	[N]
36	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) has been saved under the file name: manuscript No.-Scientific editor work list (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list).	[Y]
37	A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript <i>via</i> the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/ . The results document contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No.- <i>CrossCheck</i> report (<i>e.g.</i> , 870- <i>CrossCheck</i> report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content.	[Y]
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.	



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Publication process	Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the author(s)→End of the publication process.
--------------------------------	--