

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 35 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: <u>217 CNY</u>

Figure count: _____Figure handling time: _____min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>CNY</u>

XML and PDF converting time: 42 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>42</u> CNY

Manuscript word count: 7646

Total Editing Fee: <u>259 CNY</u>

Scientific Editor: Jia-Ru Fan

Date of signature: <u>October/20/2022</u> (month/day/year)

Item No.		Commen
	Specific items for varification	ts Yes=[Y] No= [N]
	Specific items for verification	
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	
1	Manuscript NO.: 79707	
	Column: Retrospective Study	
	Title: Self-expanding metal stent placement and pathological alterations	
	among obstructive colorectal cancer cases	[Y]
	Authors: Keisuke Kosumi, Kosuke Mima, Kosuke Kanemitsu, Takuya	
	Tajiri, Toru Takematsu, Yuki Sakamoto, Mitsuhiro Inoue, Yuji	
	Miyamoto, Takao Mizumoto, Tatsuo Kubota, Nobutomo Miyanari and	
	Hideo Baba	



	Reviewer code: 02573214, and 05566451
	First decision: 2022-09-19 05:26
	Editorial Office's Comments
	Science Editor:1. Please sign the online copyright agreement 【Hi,
	Miyanari, We are pleased to inform you that, after preview by the
	Editorial Office and peer review, as well as CrossCheck and Google
	plagiarism detection, we believe that the academic quality, language
	quality, and ethics of your manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 79707,
	Retrospective Study) basically meet the publishing requirements of the
	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. As such, we have made
	the preliminary decision that it is acceptable for publication after your
	appropriate revision. Each author must also sign the Copyright
	License Agreement (CLA) before the manuscript is given finally
2	acceptance for publication. Please click [Y]
	https://www.f6publishing.com/forms/manuscript/public/CheckCop
	yright.aspx?msid=50D61535E24ABC48&aid=CA8D7660C7CFED2C,
	read all of the contents of the CLA, and make a decision to accept and
	sign or refuse and not to sign. Please submit the signed CLA within 5
	days] [Hi, Kubota, We are pleased to inform you that, after preview
	by the Editorial Office and peer review, as well as CrossCheck and
	Google plagiarism detection, we believe that the academic quality,
	language quality, and ethics of your manuscript (Manuscript NO.:
	79707, Retrospective Study) basically meet the publishing requirements
	of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. As such, we have
	made the preliminary decision that it is acceptable for publication after



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

your appropriate revision. Each author must also sign the Copyright License Agreement (CLA) before the manuscript is given finally acceptance for publication. Please click https://www.f6publishing.com/forms/manuscript/public/CheckCop yright.aspx?msid=50D61535E24ABC48&aid=42A1ECD6D0382DD8, read all of the contents of the CLA, and make a decision to accept and sign or refuse and not to sign. Please submit the signed CLA within 5 days] [Hi, Mizumoto, We are pleased to inform you that, after preview by the Editorial Office and peer review, as well as CrossCheck and Google plagiarism detection, we believe that the academic quality, language quality, and ethics of your manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 79707, Retrospective Study) basically meet the publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. As such, we have made the preliminary decision that it is acceptable for publication after your appropriate revision. Each author must also sign the Copyright License Agreement (CLA) before the manuscript is given finally acceptance for publication. Please click https://www.f6publishing.com/forms/manuscript/public/CheckCop vright.aspx?msid=50D61535E24ABC48&aid=B11048D98C62204C, read all of the contents of the CLA, and make a decision to accept and sign or refuse and not to sign. Please submit the signed CLA within 5 days **I**(Hi, Inoue, We are pleased to inform you that, after preview by the Editorial Office and peer review, as well as CrossCheck and Google plagiarism detection, we believe that the academic quality, language quality, and ethics of your manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 79707,



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Retrospective Study) basically meet the publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. As such, we have made the preliminary decision that it is acceptable for publication after your appropriate revision. Each author must also sign the Copyright License Agreement (CLA) before the manuscript is given finally publication. acceptance for Please click https://www.f6publishing.com/forms/manuscript/public/CheckCop vright.aspx?msid=50D61535E24ABC48&aid=4B811170D505B991, read all of the contents of the CLA, and make a decision to accept and sign or refuse and not to sign. Please submit the signed CLA within 5 days] -----2. Please provide correct language editing documentation ------3. Please provide the fund file, otherwise supported by This work was supported in part by a Grant in Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science [grant number 19K16750 and 21K08687 (to K. Kosumi)] will be deleted ------4. Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A,B, arrows, etc. -----5. Please provide the Tables in word. -----6. The format of all references is incorrect. Please refer to the first item marked yellow to modify all references. Company Editor-in-Chief: I recommend the manuscript to be published

in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis



	(RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search	
	results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per	
	Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight	
	articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under	
	preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for	
	more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is not too	
	long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration', 'research',	
4	'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided. The title does	[Y]
	not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic numbers or	
	uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first names are	
6	listed on the title page and are consistent with those listed in the signed	[Y]
	BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s)	
	made by each author. The author's names are listed in the following	
	format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles	
	names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL,	
7	Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research	[Y]
	study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research;	
	Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Wang	
	CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu	
	JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final	
	manuscript."	



8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and	[N]
	program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
9	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including	[Y]
	zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling	
	errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	D/1
10	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and	[Y]
	date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style	
	(structured <i>vs</i> unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
11	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	[N/]
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor: Non-structured	[Y]
	abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no more	
	than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS (no less	
	than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26 words).	
12	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized, and	[Y]
	each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The name	
	of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family (sur) name	
13	of all authors should be typed with the first letter capitalized, followed	[Y]
	by their abbreviated first and middle initials. For example, an article by	
	Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang	
	- I	



Min Park will be written as Yoon JM, Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D,	
Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric	
cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study	
that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core	[Y]
contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract readers.	
The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the exception of	
rare instances of seminal literature citations. All technical terms and/or	
abbreviations are explained and/or defined, with the full name of	
abbreviations given upon first appearance in the text and the	[Y]
abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e., "computed tomography	
(CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I', 'we') are used appropriately to	
clearly indicate the work performed by the author(s). When weaknesses	
of previous studies are described in the text to highlight the innovations	
related to the current study, the information is presented carefully.	
The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in	[Y]
the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the study.	
The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
experimental results. Representative data and data that have scientific	
significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either the text, a table	
or figure (i.e., chart, diagram, graph or image), but is not repeated	[Y]
among each. Information presented in the tables and figures clearly	
describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. Results described in	
textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed as ^a <i>P</i>	
< 0.05, ${}^{b}P$ < 0.01 (P > 0.05 usually does not need to be denoted). If there	[Y]
are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used, and a third	
	Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In pressThe 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract readers.The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant background information for the study. Only the most relevant and current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All technical terms and/or abbreviations given upon first appearance in the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> , "computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (<i>e.g.</i> , 'T, 'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the current study, the information is presented carefully.The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the study.The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and experimental results. Representative data and data that have scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed as "P < 0.05, "P < 0.01 (P > 0.05 usually does not need to be denoted). If there



	series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$. Statistical data	
	is expressed as mean ± SD or mean ± SE.	
19	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results; (3)	
	illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat the data)	
	and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results; (4) points out	[V]
17	the limitations of the study and their impact on the results, as well as	[Y]
	proposes further advice on future research topic(s) or direction(s); and	
	(5) describes the theoretical significance and practical value of the	
	findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for original	
21	articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver style.	
	This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the order of the	
	first appearance of a source in the text. For citations where the author's	
	name is indicated in the text, a superscript number should be placed	
	following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For citations where no author is	
	indicated, a superscript number should be placed at the end of the	
22	sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1] reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5]	[Y]
	indicated"; "PCR has a high sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers	
	are used when the reference number is described in the text; for	
	example, "The experimental method used has been described in	
	reference [8]." The style of reference citations in tables is the same as that	
	in the text (<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	



23	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of each word capitalized (<i>e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i>); the name of journals in other languages are listed according to indexing information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	[Y]
24	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as follows: <u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50; <u>Review:</u> no less than 100; <u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26; <u>Case Report and Letter to the Editor:</u> no less than 1.	[Y]
25	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the manuscript type (<i>e.g.</i> , Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, <i>etc.</i>).	[Y]
26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present at the end of the paper (<i>e.g.</i> , P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang JL).	[Y]
27	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in tables (<i>e.g.</i> , +, -, ×, \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1 Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	[Y]
28	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	[Y]



	1	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
31	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review). The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)). The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	[Y]
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7) Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	[Y]
33	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Copyright license agreement).	[Y]
34	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name: Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	[Y]



35 7 36 1 2 36 1 2 36 2 36 2 36 2 36 2 36 36 2 36 36 36 36 4 37 36 36 4 36 36 4 36 4 3	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript NoAgreement for use of personal photos; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Agreement for use of personal photos). This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific editor work list (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list). A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript <i>via</i> the	[N] [Y]
36 k 1 2 2 36 2 36 2 3 36 2 4 3 36 2 4 3 36 2 4 3 36 36 1 2 4 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific editor work list (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list). A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the	[Y]
a v t	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the	
t r f r	website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report (<i>e.g.</i> , 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content.	[Y]
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
Responsibiliteies ofFscientifica	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spellin punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, \div , %, *) \div figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript	ng, grammar, d legends; (3) in tables and



	Manuscript reception and registration \rightarrow Initial review by scientific editor \rightarrow Peer
	$review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be$
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be
	accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control
Publication	for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging of
process	$publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proof reading by scientific$
	$editor {\rightarrow} Proof reading \ by \ deputy \ editor {\rightarrow} Final \ review \ by \ Editor {-} in-Chief {\rightarrow} Release \ of$
	online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of online
	papers on PubMed Central-Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.