

Recurred forehead osteoma disseminated after previous osteoma excision: A case report

Dong Yun Lee, SooA Lim, Jung Soo Yoon, SuRak Eo

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Hashimoto K, Japan

Received: August 29, 2023

Peer-review started: August 29, 2023

First decision: October 10, 2023

Revised: October 14, 2023

Accepted: October 27, 2023

Article in press: October 27, 2023

Published online: November 6, 2023



Dong Yun Lee, SooA Lim, Jung Soo Yoon, SuRak Eo, Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, DongGuk University Medical Center, GoYang 10326, South Korea

Corresponding author: SuRak Eo, MD, PhD, Professor, Surgeon, Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, DongGuk University Medical Center, No. 27 Dongguk-ro, GoYang 10326, South Korea. sreodoc@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Forehead osteoma is a commonly encountered benign facial bone tumor. Endoscopic excision of benign forehead masses is widely performed. Here, we report a rare case of recurrent forehead osteoma that disseminated after a previous osteoma excision.

CASE SUMMARY

A 54-year-old female patient had previously undergone endoscopic removal of a single forehead osteoma at 30 years of age. However, she had a recurrent osteoma around the same site and underwent another endoscopic resection at 40 years of age. During her first visit to our outpatient clinic, she presented with a cobblestone-like irregular surface on the forehead and a 3D facial bone computed tomography scan revealed a widely ragged surface of the inoculated osteoma on the outer table of the frontal bone. Under general anesthesia, we performed a radical complete excision of the disseminated osteoma through a bicoronal incision using an osteotome, chisel, mallet, and rasping. We hypothesized that the recurrence may have been caused by the inoculation of residual osteoma remnants from the previous procedure. Craniofacial surgeons should be cautious when removing osteoma particles, particularly when using an endoscopic approach.

CONCLUSION

To prevent recurrence, it is essential to conduct additional meticulous burring and a thorough inspection of the surface after copious irrigation.

Key Words: Recurred osteoma; Inoculation; Dissemination; Bicoronal approach; Craniofacial; Case report

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This case showed that remnant particles of resected osteoma tend to be neglected in the endoscopic approach, and these particles may induce recurrence due to inoculation, even having devastating results of widely disseminated growth of osteoma. Thorough observation of the bony surface, sufficient burring, and copious irrigation for complete removal of the bony segments after osteotomy are essential to prevent recurrence and related complications.

Citation: Lee DY, Lim S, Yoon JS, Eo S. Recurred forehead osteoma disseminated after previous osteoma excision: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2023; 11(31): 7684-7689

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i31/7684.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7684>

INTRODUCTION

Craniofacial osteoma is a benign, slow-growing, osteogenic lesion characterized by the proliferation of cortical or cancellous bone. Trauma, inflammation, developmental disorders, and genetic defects are considered causative factors[1-3]. Craniofacial osteomas can arise from the periosteum or endosteum and are commonly found in the skull, paranasal sinuses, maxilla, and mandible[2]. However, a detailed analysis of their incidence and morphology has not yet been systematically established[1,2].

Most cases of frontal bone osteoma are identified as solitary lesions on the forehead, and patients usually complain of changes in facial morphology. Although resection of the osteoma is possible after a direct skin incision on the mass, there is a growing preference for endoscopic resection to reduce the risk of scar formation[4-8]. However, a bicoronal incision could also be performed in cases of large size or specific locations, such as the glabella and temple region[9,10]. In this report, we present a rare case of recurrent forehead osteoma disseminated from the previous endoscopic resection site of a solitary forehead osteoma. To the best of our knowledge, this case has not previously been reported in the literature.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 54-year-old female patient visited our clinic with a wide, cobblestone-shaped, disseminated firm mass on her forehead that was palpated 10 years after her last surgery.

History of present illness

She had previously undergone endoscopic removal of a small single forehead osteoma at a military hospital during military service at 30 years of age. She palpated a recurrent, larger cobblestone-like surface area on her forehead and underwent endoscopic resection at another local medical center at the age of 40. However, 9 years later, she developed an irregular uneven surface on her forehead with gradual growth of small, hard masses.

History of past illness

The patient denied any previous history of trauma or aesthetic procedures.

Personal and family history

The patient had no family history of similar findings or other medical complications.

Physical examination


The surface of her forehead was palpated and revealed a hard-bone-like tumor. The firm and ragged surface of the forehead was palpable on physical examination, without any skin lesions (Figure 1A).

Laboratory examinations

Laboratory investigations revealed normal white blood cell count (5080 cells/ μ L), hemoglobin (12.9 g/dL), platelet count (202000 cells/ μ L), and C-reactive protein level (< 0.06 mg/L). No signs of an acute infection were observed.

Imaging examinations

Preoperative computed tomography with 3-D reconstruction of the facial bone revealed widespread osteoma dissemination on the outer table of the frontal bone (Figure 1B).



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7684 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Preoperative images. A: Preoperative clinical photography of a 54-year-old female patient with disseminated osteoma on the forehead; B: Preoperative computed tomography scan showing wide inoculation of osteoma in the frontal bone.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The patient was diagnosed with widespread osteoma inoculation on the outer table of the frontal bone.

TREATMENT

Under general anesthesia, we performed a modified bicoronal approach without invading the sideburns, with an incision line 1.5 cm behind the anterior hairline. The periosteum was dissected using a monopolar Bovie device and the periosteal layer was elevated using a Freer elevator. Upon exposure of the skull vault lesion on the forehead, the palpated surface was identified as a widely inoculated osteoma located on the outer table of the frontal bone (Figure 2A). A sessile lesion measuring a total of 5.2 cm × 4.1 cm in size with an irregular margin was noted. Relatively large protruding bone lesions were removed by partial osteotomy using an osteotome and chisel (Figure 2B). The excised frontal bone was meticulously resurfaced using an electrical bur and surgical rasps. After thorough irrigation to completely remove any remaining particles, bone wax was applied to the bone surface for bleeding control and optimal aesthetic appearance (Figure 2C). The periosteum was repaired with simple interrupted sutures using 4-0 Vicryl, and layer-by-layer wound closure was performed with simple interrupted sutures using 5-0 blue nylon, taking care not to tighten the hair follicles (Figure 2D).


OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Pathological examination of the resected specimen revealed a benign osteoma of the compact bone without cancellous tissue (Figure 3). At the 1-year postoperative follow-up visit in the outpatient clinic, the smooth contour of the forehead was confirmed by gross observation and palpation (Figure 4). The patient was satisfied with the surgical outcome, which included no recurrence or complications during the 2-year follow-up period.

DISCUSSION

Osteoma is a rare benign tumor characterized by gradual proliferation, with a general prevalence of approximately 0.01%-0.43% of the total population[1]. Patients most frequently present with a bony lesion in the third and fourth decades of life, and men are affected more frequently[2]. Genetic mutations, developmental abnormalities, trauma, chronic inflammation, and hormonal imbalances have all been proposed as potential contributors to osteoma formation. However, the exact mechanism and specific causative factors remain unclear[1,2].

Craniofacial osteomas are mostly seen in the frontal sinus and occur in the order of the ethmoid, maxillary, and sphenoid sinus[1-3]. Most frontal osteomas are solitary rather than multiple occurrences[2]. The tumor is often slow-growing and asymptomatic and is diagnosed incidentally on radiographs. Later, it can achieve a faster growth rate as the rate of osteogenesis increases and can cause deformation of the bone, morphological abnormalities of the forehead, and compression of the adjacent structures. They usually appear as unilateral, sessile, or pedunculated, well-circumscribed, mushroomlike masses, ranging from 1.5 to 40 mm in diameter[2]. Osteomas are distinguished as compact, cancellous, and mixed types according to histopathologic findings[1-3]. The compact type is comprised of solid bone, such as the Harversian system, with partial inclusion of fibrotic tissue. The cancellous type consists of trabecular bone structure and



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7684 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Intraoperative view. A: Widely disseminated osteoma on the frontal bone; B: Osteotomy with osteotome and mallet; C: Resected bony particles; D: Bone wax application on the excised surface.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7684 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Pathological examination of the resected specimen revealed a benign osteoma of the compact bone type, without any cancellous tissue. Multiple osteocytes (black arrow) were identified without active osteoblast (hematoxylin and eosin stain, × 400).

bone marrow and is often identified in the maxilla, ethmoid sinus, and marginal lesions of the compact bone[2]. In accordance with these classifications, our case was the periosteal type of the skull vault osteoma with the compact bone on histology. Moreover, the attachment was sessile with a wide base.

Other than the recurrence of solitary bone tumors, widely disseminated multiple osteomas on the frontal bone are extremely rare and can arise from specific syndromes with clinically significant genetic factors or unknown etiology[11-13]. Rare cases of four to five distinctive frontal osteomas in one patient have been previously reported[14]. Multiple intracranial osteomas have been reported as forms of multifocal cavernous hemangiomas, or subarachnoid osteomas[15-17]. Multiple osteomas of compact bone in the skull vault have only been reported as an accompanying symptom of Gardner's syndrome, principally on the mandible and frontal bones. Gardner's syndrome is an autosomal dominant form of polyposis characterized by the presence of asymptomatic multiple polyps in the colon together with extra-colonic



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7684 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 4 Clinical photograph in the sixth postoperative month. A: Frontal view; B: Worm's eye view.

tumors[11]. Our patient was confirmed to have no specific findings on esophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy had been performed during a regular checkup a year prior. There have been no reports of non-syndromic disseminated multiple osteomas without other gastrointestinal lesions[11-17], and our case is noteworthy in that the widely inoculated osteoma was unrelated to Gardner's syndrome and had an indistinct morphological demarcation.

A differential diagnosis is required for a firmly palpated forehead mass. Because distinguishing between a forehead osteoma and a deeply located retromuscular lipoma can be challenging through palpation alone, a computed tomography scan is necessary to easily differentiate between the two masses.

Resection of the osteoma is possible after a direct skin incision on the mass, which can visually expose the bony lesion and allow clear identification of the margin, resulting in transverse scars. Endoscopic resection techniques are increasingly preferred in recent years to reduce the risk of scar formation[4-9], but surgeons may have difficulty verifying the complete removal of the lesion and identifying the resected surface, with possibly longer operation times[4-7]. With the endoscopic approach, remnant particles of resected osteoma tend to be easily neglected, which may induce recurrence due to inoculation. This can result in devastating, widely disseminated growth of the osteoma around the surface of the frontal bone. In addition, a bicoronal incision is made in cases of large sizes or specific locations, such as the glabella and temple regions[9,10,14]. Our case is a rare phenomenon, suspected to be caused by the recurrence of remnant particles after incomplete osteotomy and dissemination.

Many cases of recurrent skull osteomas have been reported in the literature, especially after a non-radical surgery (curettage or partial resection) and radiofrequency treatment[14,18]. Owing to small patient cohorts and the variability of surgical techniques, the recurrence rate is unknown, although for radiofrequency treatment, the rate is thought to be approximately 7% overall, with higher rates in younger patients[18]. Several hypotheses may explain the recurrence. Endoscopy may have complicated visualization and complete resection of the lesion or may have caused unintentional seeding during the procedure[4-8,18]. Therefore, radical excision with a wide surgical field is the best treatment option for osteoma. After radical excision of the giant osteoma, skull defect reconstruction with an autologous bone graft or Medpor Titan plate for recontouring might be needed[10]. In our case, several shallow depressions after osteotomy and bone bleeding were covered with bone wax.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this case showed that the remnant particles of resected osteomas tend to be neglected in the endoscopic approach, and these particles may induce recurrence due to inoculation, even with devastating results of widely disseminated osteoma growth. Athorough observation of the bony surface, sufficient burring, and copious irrigation for the complete removal of the bony segments after osteotomy are essential to prevent recurrence and related complications.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Lee DY, Lim S, Yoon JS and Eo S designed the clinical case report; Lee DY, Lim S and Eo S performed the research; Lee DY, Yoon JS and Eo S analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: South Korea

ORCID number: Dong Yun Lee 0000-0002-5323-6469; SooA Lim 0000-0003-3845-780X; Jung Soo Yoon 0000-0003-2462-5702; SuRak Eo 0000-0002-4221-2613.

S-Editor: Qu XL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- Haddad FS, Haddad GF, Zaatar G. Cranial osteomas: their classification and management. Report on a giant osteoma and review of the literature. *Surg Neurol* 1997; **48**: 143-147 [PMID: 9242239 DOI: 10.1016/s0090-3019(96)00485-5]
- Eshed V, Latimer B, Greenwald CM, Jellema LM, Rothschild BM, Wish-Baratz S, Hershkovitz I. Button osteoma: its etiology and pathophysiology. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2002; **118**: 217-230 [PMID: 12115278 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.10087]
- Patel TR, Borah GL. Frontal bone periosteal osteomas. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2004; **114**: 648-651 [PMID: 15318039 DOI: 10.1097/01.prs.0000130935.83413.6b]
- Lai CH, Sun IF, Huang SH, Lai CS, Lin SD. Forehead osteoma excision by endoscopic approach. *Ann Plast Surg* 2008; **61**: 533-536 [PMID: 18948781 DOI: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31816d829a]
- Raponi I, Giovannetti F, Cacciatore F, Di Carlo D, Valentini V. Endoscopic Forehead Approach for Minimally Invasive Frontal Osteoma Excision. *J Craniofac Surg* 2020; **31**: e767-e769 [PMID: 33136906 DOI: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000006715]
- Lin SD, Lee SS, Chang KP, Lin TM, Lu DK, Tsai CC. Endoscopic excision of benign tumors in the forehead and brow. *Ann Plast Surg* 2001; **46**: 1-4 [PMID: 11192027 DOI: 10.1097/00000637-200101000-00001]
- Lopez M, Vermersch S, Varlet F. Endoscopic Excision of Forehead and Eyebrow Benign Tumors in Children. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2016; **26**: 226-230 [PMID: 26870886 DOI: 10.1089/lap.2015.0498]
- Steele MH, Suskind DL, Moses M, Kluka E, Liu DC. Orbitofacial masses in children: an endoscopic approach. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2002; **128**: 409-413 [PMID: 11926916 DOI: 10.1001/archotol.128.4.409]
- Savić DL, Džerić DR. Indications for the surgical treatment of osteomas of the frontal and ethmoid sinuses. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 1990; **15**: 397-404 [PMID: 2282700 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2273.1990.tb00490.x]
- Chang SC, Chen PK, Chen YR, Chang CN. Treatment of frontal sinus osteoma using a craniofacial approach. *Ann Plast Surg* 1997; **38**: 455-459 [PMID: 9160126 DOI: 10.1097/00000637-199705000-00004]
- Ben Lagha N, Galeazzi JM, Chapireau D, Oxeda P, Bouhnik Y, Maman L. Surgical management of osteoma associated with a familial Gardner's syndrome. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007; **65**: 1234-1240 [PMID: 17517313 DOI: 10.1016/j.joms.2005.10.047]
- Sahoo MR, Nayak AK, Pattanaik A, Gowda MS. Gardner's syndrome, a rare combination in surgical practice. *BMJ Case Rep* 2014; **2014** [PMID: 24862409 DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2013-008760]
- McNab AA. Orbital osteoma in Gardner's syndrome. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol* 1998; **26**: 169-170 [PMID: 9630301 DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-9071.1998.tb01536.x]
- Uemura T, Kawano H, Watanabe H, Kikuchi M. Multiple Peripheral Osteomas Related to Frontal Exposure by Bicoronal Incision. *J Craniofac Surg* 2016; **27**: 733-734 [PMID: 27092922 DOI: 10.1097/SCS.0000000000002587]
- Kuzeyli K, Usul H, Cakir E, Caylan R, Rei A, Baykal S, Peksoylu B, Yazar U, Arslan E. Multifocal intradiploic cavernous hemangioma of the skull associated with nasal osteoma. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 2003; **145**: 323-6; discussion 326 [PMID: 12748894 DOI: 10.1007/s00701-003-0005-6]
- Akiyama M, Tanaka T, Hasegawa Y, Chiba S, Abe T. Multiple intracranial subarachnoid osteomas. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 2005; **147**: 1085-9; discussion 1089 [PMID: 16047105 DOI: 10.1007/s00701-005-0588-1]
- Kar A, Gaikwad M, Patnaik M. A Rare Occurrence of Multiple Intracranial Osteomas in the Cranial Cavity of a Cadaver With a Short Review on Subdural Osteoma. *Cureus* 2021; **13**: e17737 [PMID: 34659951 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.17737]
- Sampath SC, Sampath SC, Rosenthal DI. Serially recurrent osteoid osteoma. *Skeletal Radiol* 2015; **44**: 875-881 [PMID: 25503857 DOI: 10.1007/s00256-014-2061-9]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

