

Retrospective Study

Risk factors of concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with diabetes mellitus comorbid with upper urinary tract calculi

Jian-Jie Gou, Chao Zhang, Hai-Song Han, Hong-Wei Wu

Specialty type: Endocrinology and metabolism**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B, B, B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0**P-Reviewer:** Sachmechi I, United States; Selvin E, United States; Cai L, United States**Received:** June 6, 2023**Peer-review started:** June 6, 2023**First decision:** June 21, 2023**Revised:** June 30, 2023**Accepted:** July 18, 2023**Article in press:** July 18, 2023**Published online:** September 15, 2023**Jian-Jie Gou, Chao Zhang, Hai-Song Han**, Department of Urology Surgery, People's Hospital of Jincheng, Jincheng 048000, Shanxi Province, China**Hong-Wei Wu**, Emergency Department, People's Hospital of Jincheng, Jincheng 048000, Shanxi Province, China**Corresponding author:** Hong-Wei Wu, MM, Associate Chief Physician, Emergency Department, People's Hospital of Jincheng, No. 456 Wenchang East Street, Zhongjiazhuang, Jincheng 048000, Shanxi Province, China. 13935660657@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Urinary sepsis is frequently seen in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) complicated with upper urinary tract calculi (UUTCs). Currently, the known risk factors of urinary sepsis are not uniform.

AIM

To analyze the risk factors of concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM complicated with UUTCs by logistic regression.

METHODS

We retrospectively analyzed 384 patients with DM complicated with UUTCs treated in People's Hospital of Jincheng between February 2018 and May 2022. The patients were screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and 204 patients were enrolled. The patients were assigned to an occurrence group ($n = 78$) and a nonoccurrence group ($n = 126$). Logistic regression was adopted to analyze the risk factors for urinary sepsis, and a risk prediction model was established.

RESULTS

Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, urine leukocytes (U-LEU) and urine glucose (U-GLU) were independent risk factors for patients with concurrent urinary sepsis ($P < 0.05$). Risk score = $0.794 \times \text{gender} + 0.941 \times \text{age} + 0.901 \times \text{history of lumbago and abdominal pain} - 1.071 \times \text{operation time} + 1.972 \times \text{U-LEU} + 1.541 \times \text{U-GLU}$. The occurrence group had notably higher risk scores than the nonoccurrence group ($P < 0.0001$). The area under the curve of risk score for forecasting concurrent urinary sepsis in patients was 0.801, with specificity of 73.07%, sensitivity of 79.36% and Youden index of 52.44%.

CONCLUSION

Sex, age, history of lumbar and abdominal pain, operation time, ULEU and UGLU are independent risk factors for urogenic sepsis in diabetic patients with UUTC.

Key Words: Diabetes mellitus; Upper urinary tract calculi; Urinary sepsis; Risk factors; Risk prediction model; Logistic regression; Concurrent urinary sepsis

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study was to determine risk factors of concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with diabetes mellitus comorbid with upper urinary tract calculi and construct a risk prediction model. Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, urinary leukocytes and urinary glucose were independent risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis. It is helpful to identify high-risk patients at an early stage and implement active and effective intervention measures to reduce complications and improve the prognosis of patients.

Citation: Gou JJ, Zhang C, Han HS, Wu HW. Risk factors of concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with diabetes mellitus comorbid with upper urinary tract calculi. *World J Diabetes* 2023; 14(9): 1403-1411

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v14/i9/1403.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v14.i9.1403>

INTRODUCTION

With the improvement of living standards, the incidence of urinary calculi worldwide is increasing gradually. Its incidence in adults in China is 1%-5%, and upper urinary tract calculi (UUTCs) are a more frequently seen problem[1]. Calculi are caused by several factors, such as socioeconomic status, environmental factors and eating habits[2]. The incidence of calculi in northern and southern areas of China differs, with a high incidence in southern areas (5%-10%)[3]. Other factors such as gender and genetic susceptibility can also affect the occurrence of calculi, and the incidence rate among males is three times that among females[4]. In China, the annual incidence of urinary calculi is 150-200 cases per 100000 people, and approximately 25% of patients need hospitalization and surgical treatment. After treatment, the recurrence rate is high at approximately 50% within 10 years[5].

The urethra connects the urinary system with the outside world, providing a way for bacteria and other pathogens to invade the urinary system[6]. Usually, the flushing action during urination and urethral mucosa form a natural protective barrier to prevent bacteria from remaining, growing and reproducing[7]. However, various factors hinder the defensive function of the urinary system. For example, obstruction can result in stagnant water above the obstruction, making it easier for pathogenic bacteria to invade and colonize the urinary system, giving rise to infection[8]. Without timely intervention, the infection may develop into urinary sepsis or even septic shock, endangering the life of patients.

Sepsis is a serious disease and an acute physical reaction caused by infection, with associated physiological, pathological and biochemical abnormalities[9]. Sepsis is defined as organ dysfunction due to the host's uncontrolled immune response to infection. Unfortunately, sepsis is a global health threat with high mortality[10]. Without timely treatment, sepsis may develop into septic shock and multiple organ dysfunctions and even cause death[11].

The incidence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing. According to the latest statistics of the International Diabetes Federation, the global prevalence of DM has reached 9.3%. DM can give rise to systemic damage, leading to immune dysfunction and proneness to serious infection[12]. However, currently, the risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with UUTCs and DM are still under investigation.

Accordingly, this study aimed to determine the risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM comorbid with UUTCs to provide a reference for clinical therapy and prevention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We retrospectively analyzed 384 patients with DM complicated with UUTCs treated in People's Hospital of Jincheng between February 2018 and May 2022. Inclusion criteria were: (1) Imaging results, such as urinary ultrasound, intravenous urography or abdominal computed tomography, suggested the presence of UUTCs; (2) a clear history of DM that met the guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of senile DM in China[13]; and (3) a complete medical history and laboratory and imaging data. Exclusion criteria were: (1) Age < 18 years; (2) pregnant women; (3) bilateral UUTCs; (4) hematological disease, immune system disease, or malignant tumors; (5) treated with immunomodulatory drugs; and (6) other primary infection, such as lung or abdominal infection. The 384 patients were screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and 204 patients were enrolled. According to Guidelines for Emergency Treatment of Sepsis/Septic

Shock in China (2018)[14] and the diagnostic criteria for urinary sepsis[14], patients were assigned to an occurrence group ($n = 78$) and nonoccurrence group ($n = 126$).

Collection of clinical data

The clinical data were collected through the medical record system of our hospital, including: (1) General information: Gender, age and body mass index; (2) medical history: Lumbago and abdominal pain, hematuria, symptoms of urinary tract irritation, hypertension, and DM; (3) urine examination data: Urinary leukocytes (U-LEU), urinary nitrite (U-NIT), urinary glucose (U-GLU), and urinary occult blood; and (4) imaging examination data: Lateral classification, location, maximum diameter and hydronephrosis of calculi.

Statistical analysis

We used R language 4.1.1 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) for data cleaning and analysis, and constructed a model. Logistic regression was adopted for screening the risk factors, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was adopted for value verification. This study used Graph Pad Prism 8.0 for data visualization. $P < 0.05$ indicated a significant difference.

RESULTS

Analysis of clinical data

The occurrence and nonoccurrence groups did not differ significantly for hematuria and hypertension ($P > 0.05$) (Table 1), but they did differ significantly for gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, symptoms of urinary tract irritation and operation time ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Comparison of urinary examination indexes

The occurrence and nonoccurrence groups did not differ significantly for urinary occult blood ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2), but they did differ significantly for U-LEU, U-NIT, and U-GLU ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2).

Imaging index detection

The occurrence and nonoccurrence groups did not differ significantly for lateral classification of calculi, obstruction position, maximum calculi diameter and severity of hydronephrosis ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

Logistic regression analysis

According to the above results, meaningful indicators were assigned (Table 4). The backward logistic regression method was used. Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, U-LEU and U-GLU were independent risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis ($P < 0.05$) (Table 5).

Construction of risk model

Based on the β coefficient of logistic regression, a risk score for predicting concurrent urinary sepsis was constructed. Risk score = $0.794 \times \text{gender} + 0.941 \times \text{age} + 0.901 \times \text{history of lumbago and abdominal pain} - 1.071 \times \text{operation time} + 1.972 \times \text{U-LEU} + 1.541 \times \text{U-GLU}$. According to the comparison results, the occurrence group had notably higher risk scores than the nonoccurrence group (Figure 1A) ($P < 0.0001$). According to ROC curve analysis, the area under the curve (AUC) of risk score for forecasting concurrent urinary sepsis was 0.801, with specificity of 73.07%, sensitivity of 79.36% and Youden index of 52.44% (Figure 1B).

DISCUSSION


Urinary sepsis is a dangerous disease. Without timely diagnosis and treatment, its prognosis is unfavorable[15]. There are approximately 2.8 to 9.8 million new cases of urinary sepsis every year, with 1.6 million deaths[16]. Thus, it is important to quickly identify urinary sepsis and provide effective timely treatment. In the guidelines of the European Association of Urology (2017 edition), the definition of urinary sepsis has been updated, which emphasizes that the disease is more serious than uncomplicated infection and may cause organ dysfunction and become life-threatening[17]. Patients with UUTCs and DM are more likely to have urinary sepsis[18]. This is because calculi may trigger infection, and DM makes patients susceptible to various diseases[19]. Therefore, early identification of high-risk factors in these patients and effective intervention have become the focus of many hospitals, which has also been recognized by the World Health Organization.

Our study retrospectively analyzed the risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM complicated with UUTCs. Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, U-LEU and U-GLU were independent risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis. The risk of urinary sepsis was about 2.212 times higher in women than in men. Prior research has revealed that women with ureteral calculi or who undergo endoscopic lithotripsy face an independently increased risk of urinary sepsis[20]. However, one other study has revealed no independent correlation between gender and incidence of urinary sepsis[21]. Kumar *et al*[22] have revealed that the reasons why older women are prone to urinary

Table 1 Analysis of clinical data

Factors		Occurrence group (n = 78)	Nonoccurrence group (n = 126)	χ^2 value	P value
Gender				9.219	0.002
	Male	30	76		
	Female	48	50		
Age				5.732	0.016
	≥ 60 yr	45	51		
	< 60 yr	33	75		
BMI				0.292	0.588
	≥ 25 kg/m ²	28	50		
	< 25 kg/m ²	50	76		
History of lumbago and abdominal pain				8.459	0.003
	Yes	38	36		
	No	40	90		
Hematuria				0.569	0.450
	Yes	36	65		
	No	42	61		
Symptoms of urinary tract irritation				4.036	0.044
	Yes	60	80		
	No	18	46		
Hypertension				0.533	0.465
	Yes	30	55		
	No	48	71		
Operation time		64.32 ± 15.35	59.94 ± 7.04	4.662	0.001

BMI: Body mass index.



DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v14.i9.1403 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Value of risk score in predicting urinary sepsis in patients with diabetes mellitus and upper urinary tract calculi. A: Risk score in predicting urinary sepsis; B: Area under the curve of risk score for prediction of urinary sepsis. ^d*P* < 0.0001.

tract infection and progression to urinary sepsis may include poor perineal hygiene, postmenopausal estrogen deficiency, atrophic vaginitis, uterine and bladder prolapse and the use of vaginal supports.

With the increase of age, the functions of various organs or systems tend to decline, including liver and kidney dysfunction, cardiovascular system defects, and immune system defects[23]. Weakened compensatory ability of organs and systems in patients gives rise to a decline in overall physical function, and patients with DM are more susceptible to

Table 2 Detection of urinary indexes

Factors	Occurrence group (n = 78)		Nonoccurrence group (n = 126)	χ^2	P value
Urine occult blood				1.210	0.750
	-	18	35		
	1+	22	28		
	2+	24	38		
	3+	14	25		
U-LEU				15.330	0.002
	-	4	30		
	1+	26	38		
	2+	20	37		
	3+	28	25		
U-NIT				12.499	0.001
	-	33	85		
	+	45	41		
U-GLU				11.596	0.008
	-	7	33		
	1+	17	31		
	2+	40	50		
	3+	14	12		

U-LEU: Urinary leukocytes; U-NIT: Urinary nitrite; U-GLU: Urinary glucose.

Table 3 Comparison of imaging indexes

Factors	Occurrence group (n = 78)		Nonoccurrence group (n = 126)	χ^2	P value
Lateral classification of calculi				1.494	0.221
	Left	48	88		
	Right	30	38		
Obstruction position				2.938	0.086
	Ureter	64	90		
	Kidney	14	36		
Maximum diameter of calculi				0.048	0.825
	≥ 20 mm	39	65		
	< 20 mm	39	61		
Degree of hydronephrosis				0.400	0.526
	Yes	11	22		
	No	67	104		

infection in such cases[24]. Urinary tract obstruction can easily give rise to secondary infection, systemic inflammatory reaction and even sepsis[25]. In this study, the risk of urinary sepsis in patients aged > 60 years was 2.563 times that in patients < 60 years old, which is in agreement with previous studies.

Similar to prior research, U-LEU was an independent risk factor for UUTCs complicated with urinary sepsis in our study[26]. Some researchers believe that positive urine bacterial culture can more accurately predict the occurrence of urinary sepsis[27]. However, our study did not include the results of urine bacterial culture as a predictor because of the lag time of urine bacterial culture. Usually, it takes 2-3 d or even longer to achieve the results of urine bacterial culture, which leads to a lag in forecasting ability. Therefore, we mainly adopted U-LEU as an indicator, which suggests purulent

Table 4 meaningful indicators were assigned

Factors	Assignment
Gender	Male = 0, female = 1
Age	≥ 60 yr = 1, < 60 yr = 0
History of lumbago and abdominal pain	Yes = 1, no = 0
Symptoms of urinary tract irritation	Yes = 1, no = 0
Operation time	≥ 60 yr = 1, < 60 yr = 0
U-LEU	- = 0, 1 + -3 + = 1
U-NIT	- = 0, + = 1
U-GLU	- = 0, 1 + -3 + = 1
Occurrence	Occurrence group = 1, nonoccurrence group = 0

U-LEU: Urinary leukocytes; U-NIT: Urinary nitrite; U-GLU: Urinary glucose.

Table 5 Logistic multivariate regression

Factors	β	Standard error	χ^2	P value	OR	95%CI	
						Lower limit	Upper limit
Gender	0.794	0.335	5.603	0.018	2.212	1.146	4.268
Age	0.941	0.346	7.408	0.006	2.563	1.301	5.047
History of lumbago and abdominal pain	0.901	0.348	6.700	0.010	2.462	1.245	4.871
Symptoms of urinary tract irritation	0.628	0.379	2.753	0.097	1.875	0.892	3.939
Operation time	-1.071	0.345	9.619	0.002	0.342	0.174	0.674
U-LEU	1.972	0.602	10.725	0.001	7.182	2.207	23.373
U-NIT	0.491	0.339	2.101	0.147	1.634	0.841	3.172
U-GLU	1.541	0.509	9.171	0.002	4.668	1.722	12.652

U-LEU: Urinary leukocytes; U-NIT: Urinary nitrite; U-GLU: Urinary glucose.

inflammation in the urinary tract, so it can be used to predict the risk of urinary sepsis.

In patients with DM, the reasons for positive U-GLU may include an increase in blood glucose and decrease in renal glucose threshold. Hyperglycemia causes failure of glucose absorption in the renal tubules, so that glucose is excreted in the urine[18]. Additionally, DM can decrease the ability of renal tubules to absorb glucose, and the glucose in urine cannot be completely reabsorbed[28]. Both of these conditions may lead to positive U-GLU. Positive U-GLU may indicate poor control of DM or diabetic nephropathy. Diabetic nephropathy can easily damage the genitourinary system, causing difficulty in controlling urinary tract infection or recurrence. High concentration of U-GLU provides heat for the growth and metabolism of pathogenic bacteria, which in turn leads to disorder of the body's defense mechanisms.

In this study, a history of lumbago and abdominal pain had a strong correlation with concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM and UUTCs. Lumbago and abdominal pain are frequent symptoms of UUTCs, and one of the manifestations of many patients with urinary sepsis[29]. UUTCs can give rise to urinary retention, bacterial reproduction and infection, increasing the risk of urinary sepsis. DM complicated with UUTCs is a risk factor for urinary sepsis[30]. Patients with DM are often accompanied by various pathophysiological changes such as decreased immune function and metabolic disorder, which can lead to urinary tract infection. Therefore, patients with DM and UUTCs are at higher risk of urinary sepsis.

Long operation time increases the risk of infection. Long-term exposure of wound tissue increases the probability of infection by surrounding flora, and long operation time also increases bleeding and absorption of perfusion fluid, which increase the risk of infection. Urethral obstruction during surgery may also lead to urinary retention, creating favorable conditions for bacterial reproduction[24,31].

We constructed a risk prediction model based on the regression coefficient. A prediction model is a mathematical model that estimates the probability of a specific event or disease according to the combination of multiple risk factors. Through the analysis and assessment of risk factors, a data-based model can be established to help doctors and researchers better understand the risk factors of a disease and develop better prevention and treatment plans. In this

study, the occurrence group had notably higher risk scores than the nonoccurrence group, and the AUC of risk score in forecasting urinary sepsis was > 0.8 , indicating a high value of the risk model in predicting urinary sepsis.

We analyzed the risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM complicated with UUTCs by logistic regression model, and successfully constructed a prediction model. However, our study had some limitations. First, there was no external verification of our results. This was because in such a single-center study, it was impossible to establish an effective verification set because of the small number of samples collected. Second, as a single-center research model, its universality needs further verification. Therefore, we hope to carry out prospective research and collect more samples in the future to improve the conclusions.

CONCLUSION

Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, U-LEU and U-GLU were independent risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM and UUTCs. It is helpful to identify high-risk patients at an early stage and implement effective intervention measures to reduce complications and improve prognosis.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

In patients with diabetes mellitus (DM), long-term hyperglycemia can trigger increases in sugar, protein and other substances in urine, promoting formation of calculi. Urinary calculi can lead to urinary tract infection, renal insufficiency and other complications, and even become life-threatening in severe cases, causing a serious impact on the health of patients with DM. However, at present, the risk factors for urinary sepsis are not uniform. The purpose of this study was to analyze the risk factors for urinary sepsis in patients with DM complicated with upper urinary tract calculi (UUTCs) to provide potential indicators for clinical observation.

Research motivation

It is helpful to identify high-risk patients at an early stage and implement and effective intervention measures by constructing a prediction model, thus reducing complications and improving prognosis.

Research objectives

We successfully predicted high-risk patients by establishing a risk model, which was beneficial to clinical and targeted treatment and prevention.

Research methods

We constructed a risk model of urinary sepsis by logistic regression model, which provided an observation model for the prediction of high-risk patients.

Research results

Although we successfully established a risk model, due to the small number of patients, it was impossible to carry out external verification, so more data are needed to verify whether the model is universal.

Research conclusions

Gender, age, history of lumbago and abdominal pain, operation time, and urinary leukocytes and urinary glucose were independent risk factors for concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with DM and UUTCs, and we predicted high-risk patients using a risk model.

Research perspectives

The universality of the model could be verified based on multicenter data, and then extended to clinical practice.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Gou JJ designed and performed the research and wrote the paper; Zhang C designed the research and supervised the report; Han HS designed the research and contributed to the analysis; Wu HW provided clinical advice; Zhang C, Han HS, and Wu HW supervised the report.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional review board of People's Hospital of Jincheng (Approval No. JCPH.No20230401001).

Informed consent statement: All study participants or their legal guardian provided informed written consent about personal and medical data collection prior to study enrolment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: Dataset available from the corresponding author.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Jian-Jie Gou 0009-0003-1174-6094; Chao Zhang 0009-0002-2945-3241; Hai-Song Han 0009-0007-4986-0895; Hong-Wei Wu 0009-0006-5516-8769.

S-Editor: Chen YL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Chen YL

REFERENCES

- 1 Abedi G, Monga M. Flexible Ureteroscopy for Treatment of Upper Urinary Tract Calculus. *J Endourol* 2021; **35**: S56-S61 [PMID: 34499545 DOI: 10.1089/end.2020.1018]
- 2 Wang X, Zhang Y, Zhao F, Li J, Yian Y. Symptomatic recurrence rate of upper urinary tract calculi in children after endourological procedures. *J Pediatr Urol* 2022; **18**: 141.e1-141.e7 [PMID: 35300915 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpuro.2021.09.025]
- 3 Lou B, Sun Y, Lin J, Yuan Z, He L, Long C, Lin X. Clinical Features of Endogenous Endophthalmitis Secondary to Minimally Invasive Upper Urinary Tract Calculus Removal. *Ocul Immunol Inflamm* 2022; **30**: 104-110 [PMID: 32809901 DOI: 10.1080/09273948.2020.1778732]
- 4 Prasongwatana V, Bovornpadungkitti S, Chotikawanich E, Pachitrat K, Suwanatrai S, Sriboonlue P. Chemical components of urinary stones according to age and sex of adult patients. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2008; **91**: 1589-1594 [PMID: 18972904]
- 5 Lai L, Zhang W, Zheng F, Wang T, Bai P, Liu Z, Zheng J, Shao Z, Duan B, Wang H, Xing J, Chen H, Huang Y, Chen B. Comparison of the Efficacy of ShuoTong Ureteroscopy and Simple Flexible Ureteroscopy in the Treatment of Unilateral Upper Ureteral Calculi. *Front Surg* 2021; **8**: 707022 [PMID: 34646858 DOI: 10.3389/fsurg.2021.707022]
- 6 Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infection. *Crit Care Clin* 2013; **29**: 699-715 [PMID: 23830659 DOI: 10.1016/j.ccc.2013.03.014]
- 7 Petrosillo N, Granata G, Boyle B, Doyle MM, Pinchera B, Taglietti F. Preventing sepsis development in complicated urinary tract infections. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2020; **18**: 47-61 [PMID: 31795788 DOI: 10.1080/14787210.2020.1700794]
- 8 Tan N, Xu L, Wu J. Analysis of the Causes and Preventive Strategies of Urogenic Sepsis after Flexible Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2022; **2022**: 5332101 [PMID: 36118082 DOI: 10.1155/2022/5332101]
- 9 Purcarea A, Sovaila S. Sepsis, a 2020 review for the internist. *Rom J Intern Med* 2020; **58**: 129-137 [PMID: 32396142 DOI: 10.2478/rjim-2020-0012]
- 10 Salomão R, Ferreira BL, Salomão MC, Santos SS, Azevedo LCP, Brunialti MKC. Sepsis: evolving concepts and challenges. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2019; **52**: e8595 [PMID: 30994733 DOI: 10.1590/1414-431X20198595]
- 11 Rello J, Valenzuela-Sánchez F, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Moyano S. Sepsis: A Review of Advances in Management. *Adv Ther* 2017; **34**: 2393-2411 [PMID: 29022217 DOI: 10.1007/s12325-017-0622-8]
- 12 The Prevention of Diabetes Mellitus. *JAMA* 2021; **325**: 190 [PMID: 33433568 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.17738]
- 13 Deng MQ, Pan Q, Xiao XH, Guo LX. [Interpretations of guideline for the management of diabetes mellitus in the elderly in China (2021 edition)]. *Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi* 2021; **60**: 954-959 [PMID: 34689515 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112138-20210305-00183]
- 14 Napolitano LM. Sepsis 2018: Definitions and Guideline Changes. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2018; **19**: 117-125 [PMID: 29447109 DOI: 10.1089/sur.2017.278]
- 15 Porat A, Bhutta BS, Kesler S. Urosepsis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 2023
- 16 Guliciuc M, Maier AC, Maier IM, Kraft A, Cucuruzac RR, Marinescu M, Șerban C, Rebegea L, Constantin GB, Firescu D. The Urosepsis-A Literature Review. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2021; **57** [PMID: 34577795 DOI: 10.3390/medicina57090872]
- 17 Cotterill N, Madersbacher H, Wyndaele JJ, Apostolidis A, Drake MJ, Gajewski J, Heesakkers J, Panicker J, Radziszewski P, Sakakibara R, Sievert KD, Hamid R, Kessler TM, Emmanuel A. Neurogenic bowel dysfunction: Clinical management recommendations of the Neurologic Incontinence Committee of the Fifth International Consultation on Incontinence 2013. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2018; **37**: 46-53 [PMID: 28640977 DOI: 10.1002/nau.23289]
- 18 Hong X, Liu G, Chi Z, Yang T, Zhang Y. Predictive model for urosepsis in patients with Upper Urinary Tract Calculi based on ultrasonography and urinalysis using artificial intelligence learning. *Int Braz J Urol* 2023; **49**: 221-232 [PMID: 36638148 DOI: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2022.0450]
- 19 Sabih A, Leslie SW. Complicated Urinary Tract Infections. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 2023
- 20 Liu J, Yang Q, Lan J, Hong Y, Huang X, Yang B. Risk factors and prediction model of urosepsis in patients with diabetes after percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *BMC Urol* 2021; **21**: 74 [PMID: 33910537 DOI: 10.1186/s12894-021-00799-3]
- 21 Kino M, Hayashi T, Hino D, Nakada T, Kitoh H, Akakura K. Patients' poor performance status is an independent risk factor for urosepsis induced by kidney and ureteral stones. *Urolithiasis* 2021; **49**: 477-484 [PMID: 33755744 DOI: 10.1007/s00240-021-01256-4]
- 22 Kumar S, Bag S, Ganesamoni R, Mandal AK, Taneja N, Singh SK. Risk factors for urosepsis following percutaneous nephrolithotomy: role of 1 week of nitrofurantoin in reducing the risk of urosepsis. *Urol Res* 2012; **40**: 79-86 [PMID: 21567157 DOI: 10.1007/s00240-011-0386-6]
- 23 Cao JD, Wang ZC, Wang YL, Li HC, Gu CM, Bai ZG, Chen ZQ, Wang SS, Xiang ST. Risk factors for progression of Urolith Associated with

- Obstructive Urosepsis to severe sepsis or septic shock. *BMC Urol* 2022; **22**: 46 [PMID: 35346141 DOI: 10.1186/s12894-022-00988-8]
- 24 **Bhojani N**, Miller LE, Bhattacharyya S, Cutone B, Chew BH. Risk Factors for Urosepsis After Ureteroscopy for Stone Disease: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *J Endourol* 2021; **35**: 991-1000 [PMID: 33544019 DOI: 10.1089/end.2020.1133]
 - 25 **Chugh S**, Pietropaolo A, Montanari E, Sarica K, Somani BK. Predictors of Urinary Infections and Urosepsis After Ureteroscopy for Stone Disease: a Systematic Review from EAU Section of Urolithiasis (EULIS). *Curr Urol Rep* 2020; **21**: 16 [PMID: 32211969 DOI: 10.1007/s11934-020-0969-2]
 - 26 **Amier Y**, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Yao W, Wang S, Wei C, Yu X. Analysis of Preoperative Risk Factors for Postoperative Urosepsis After Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Patients with Large Kidney Stones. *J Endourol* 2022; **36**: 292-297 [PMID: 34569289 DOI: 10.1089/end.2021.0406]
 - 27 **Zhu Z**, Cui Y, Zeng H, Li Y, Zeng F, Chen Z, Hequn C. The evaluation of early predictive factors for urosepsis in patients with negative preoperative urine culture following mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *World J Urol* 2020; **38**: 2629-2636 [PMID: 31828354 DOI: 10.1007/s00345-019-03050-9]
 - 28 **Sun L**, Diao X, Gang X, Lv Y, Zhao X, Yang S, Gao Y, Wang G. Risk Factors for Cognitive Impairment in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *J Diabetes Res* 2020; **2020**: 4591938 [PMID: 32377520 DOI: 10.1155/2020/4591938]
 - 29 **Wang S**, Yuan P, Peng E, Xia D, Xu H, Wang S, Ye Z, Chen Z. Risk Factors for Urosepsis after Minimally Invasive Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Patients with Preoperative Urinary Tract Infection. *Biomed Res Int* 2020; **2020**: 1354672 [PMID: 31998778 DOI: 10.1155/2020/1354672]
 - 30 **Liang X**, Huang J, Xing M, He L, Zhu X, Weng Y, Guo Q, Zou W. Risk factors and outcomes of urosepsis in patients with calculus pyonephrosis receiving surgical intervention: a single-center retrospective study. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2019; **19**: 61 [PMID: 31039739 DOI: 10.1186/s12871-019-0729-3]
 - 31 **Zhu H**, Liu B, Karagöz MA, Yue G, Lei Y, Dou S, Xu Z, Liu Y. Reasons and risk factors for delayed discharge after day-surgery percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *BMC Urol* 2022; **22**: 209 [PMID: 36544109 DOI: 10.1186/s12894-022-01159-5]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

