



Comprehensive bioinformatic analysis of mind bomb 1 gene in stomach adenocarcinoma

Di Wang, Qi-Hong Wang, Ting Luo, Wen Jia, Jing Wang

Specialty type: Oncology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B, B

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Ballini A, Italy;
Inchingolo F, Italy; Santacroce L, Italy

Received: February 27, 2023

Peer-review started: February 27, 2023

First decision: March 14, 2023

Revised: March 27, 2023

Accepted: May 8, 2023

Article in press: May 8, 2023

Published online: July 15, 2023



Di Wang, Qi-Hong Wang, Ting Luo, Wen Jia, Jing Wang, Department of Digestive Endoscopy, The General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, Shenyang 110840, Liaoning Province, China

Di Wang, Qi-Hong Wang, Ting Luo, Postgraduate College, China Medical University, Shenyang 110840, Liaoning Province, China

Corresponding author: Jing Wang, MS, Deputy Director, Department of Digestive Endoscopy, The General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, No. 83 Wenhua Road, Shenhe District, Shenyang 110840, Liaoning Province, China. 13309882063@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The carcinogenesis of stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD) involves many different molecules and multiple pathways, including the NOTCH signaling pathway. As a key factor that functions as a critical link in the NOTCH pathway, mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) is upregulated in various tumors and has been reported to promote cell metastasis and invasion. However, studies on the role of *MIB1* in STAD are limited. Here, we evaluated the prognostic value of *MIB1* in STAD and its association with immune infiltration and copy number variation.

AIM

To elucidate the relationship between *MIB1* gene and gastric cancer (GC) and provide a new idea for the treatment of GC.

METHODS

We identified mutations in the *MIB1* gene by searching the cBioPortal database and then analyzed their relationship with the overall survival rate and disease-free survival rate using the Kaplan-Meier method. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database provided transcript levels for *MIB1* in STADs and normal tissues. As a method of distinguishing the STAD tissues from adjacent normal tissues, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated. Kaplan-Meier plotter was used to determine the effect of *MIB1* expression on survival. Based on the LinkedOmics database, we were able to identify the coexpressed genes of the *MIB1* gene, the top 50 positively correlated genes, and the top 50 negatively correlated genes. STRING was used to construct protein-protein interaction networks related to the *MIB1* gene. An analysis of functional enrichment was carried out using the R package "Cluster Profiler". The relationships between mRNA expression of *MIB1* and immune infiltrates were assessed by

Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) and the “GSVA package” in R.

RESULTS

According to the cBioPortal database, the *MIB1* mutation rate in 287 patients in the TCGA dataset was approximately 6%. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that patients with STAD in the mutated group had a worse prognosis than those in the unmutated group ($P = 0.0156$). There was a significant upregulation of *MIB1* expression in STAD tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues. A high T stage was associated with increased *MIB1* mRNA expression. The ROC curve analysis revealed 59.4% sensitivity and 85.6% specificity of *MIB1* for differentiating STAD tissues from adjacent normal tissues at a truncation level of 2.248. Kaplan-Meier plotter indicated that patients with higher *MIB1* levels had a worse prognosis than those with lower levels (26.4 mo *vs* 56.2 mo, $P = 0.0330$). A correlation analysis demonstrated an association between immune infiltrates and *MIB1* mRNA expression.

CONCLUSION

Upregulation of *MIB1* expression is significantly associated with poor survival rate and immune infiltration in gastric adenocarcinoma. *MIB1* may be a biomarker for the poor prognosis of STAD patients and a potential immunotherapeutic target.

Key Words: Stomach adenocarcinoma; Mind bomb 1; Mutation; Prognosis; Biomarker; Immune infiltration

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: NOTCH signaling pathway is involved in the occurrence and development of many tumors. Mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) is one of many E3 ubiquitin ligases in ubiquitin proteasome system, which plays a key role in NOTCH signaling pathway. Several studies showed that *MIB1* participated in the proliferation and metastasis of certain tumor cells, but its role in gastric cancer (GC) remained still unclear. The purpose of our study was to elucidate the relationship between *MIB1* gene and GC and provide a new idea for the treatment of GC.

Citation: Wang D, Wang QH, Luo T, Jia W, Wang J. Comprehensive bioinformatic analysis of mind bomb 1 gene in stomach adenocarcinoma. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2023; 15(7): 1295-1310

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v15/i7/1295.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v15.i7.1295>

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) was the world's leading cause of cancer deaths until the 1980s, when it was surpassed by lung cancer. Currently, the incidence of GC ranks fifth in the world and the fatality rate ranks fourth[1]. Despite its worldwide decline in morbidity and mortality rates in the past five years, GC has maintained a high mortality rate of 75% in most parts of the world, which is also the main cause of the global DALY-adjusted life year burden[2], and it is the most burdensome gastrointestinal disease in China[3]. Despite worldwide advances in clinical diagnosis and treatment, GC is still characterized by a low early diagnosis rate, low radical resection rate, and low 5-year survival rate, and most patients are first diagnosed when the disease is in an advanced stage[4]. Although many therapeutic advances, including surgical treatment, targeted therapy and immunological therapy, have been made in GC[5,6], the 5-year survival rate of patients primarily diagnosed with advanced stage is still as low as 18%[7], and the peritoneal recurrence rate after surgery is as high as 60%[8]. These findings indicate that there is a huge demand for more precise diagnosis and treatment of GC. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find new molecular markers to judge the prognosis of patients with GC.

GC is a multifactorial disease, and the recognized risk factors include age, male sex, genetic predisposition, *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection, gastroesophageal reflux disease, and lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and dietary composition[9,10]. Among the different types, 95% of GC cases are stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD)[11]. The combination of several variables, including genetics, epigenetics and the external environment, that may collectively result in the unregulated signaling pathway of cancer pathogenesis can be characterized as the pathogenesis of GC[12,13]. In addition, it is widely believed that dysfunctional oncogenic pathways contribute to the pathogenesis of GC, which might include the epidermal growth factor receptor, Notch, Hedgehog, nuclear factor- κ B, and Wnt/ β -catenin pathways[14]. Among these pathways, the Notch signaling pathway is involved in direct cell-to-cell communication, cell differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis[15].

Notch signaling is a highly conserved pathway in multicellular animals that regulates the cell fates and upholds homeostasis in adult tissues. Numerous reports have confirmed the role of Notch signaling in both carcinogenesis and antitumor effects in different backgrounds[16,17]. Notch secretion signaling can modulate heterotypic interactions between the stroma and tumor and vice versa. These interactions have been shown to regulate many aspects of oncobiology, such as angiogenesis, cancer stem cell maintenance, immune infiltration, and resistance to therapy. These

functions provide evidence for the environmental dependence of Notch-induced cellular responses[18].

Mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*), a large multidomain RING-type E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase[19], which activates Notch signaling by promoting ubiquitination, endocytosis and subsequent activation of Notch ligands, plays a central role in the conduction of Notch signaling pathway. Inhibition of *MIB1* led to the decrease of Notch signal activation in mammalian cells, which was fatal to mouse embryos with Notch activation deficiency[20,21]. Vitro experiments confirmed that *MIB1* can induce degradation of suppressor of tumorigenicity 7 protein (ST7) to upregulate the IQ motif containing GTPase activating protein 1 (IQGAP1) in pancreatic cancer cells to promote tumor growth and progression, and also regulate the resistance of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine[22,23]. It has been reported that *MIB1* was ubiquitous in breast cancer to mediate JAG1 ubiquitination and activate Notch signal[24]. Aside from ubiquitinating the NOTCH ligand, *MIB1* also ubiquitinated Ctnnd1 to regulate the migration of cells[25]. However, it remains unclear that the influence of *MIB1* gene on GC because of the limited research on *MIB1*. Our study aimed to determine whether *MIB1* was associated with prognosis in STAD and whether *MIB1* could be regarded as a potential therapeutic target.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

Briefly, the design of this study was as follows: First, the mutation of *MIB1* in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)-STAD data was investigated, and the differences in overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) between the patient group with mutations and the group without mutations in *MIB1* were obtained. Second, data on the expression of *MIB1* in pan-cancer and STAD were acquired. Survival analysis was performed to study the prognostic value of *MIB1* in STAD from the aspect of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and OS. Then, we obtained coexpressed genes from LinkedOmics, conducted Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis on the top 200 related genes, and subsequently displayed the top 50 positively and negatively correlated genes. Finally, enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes was conducted to determine the biological function of *MIB1* significantly differentially enriched genes (DEGs). In addition, the role of *MIB1* in STAD was explored by studying the correlation between *MIB1* and immune infiltrating cells.

cBioPortal analysis

The cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (<https://www.cbioportal.org/>) was used to study the relationship between the mutation of the *MIB1* gene in STAD and the OS or DFS of patients, and visual analysis was performed. In this database, STAD (TCGA, Nature 2014) was selected for analysis.

Expression of *MIB1* gene

The official website of the TCGA (<https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/>) was used to download the RNA-seq expression data of *MIB1* for STAD. Thirty-two examples of neighboring normal tissues and a total of 375 cases of gastric adenocarcinoma were preserved. The chosen samples included data on *MIB1* gene expression as well as pertinent clinical data, such as age, sex, HP, T stage, N stage, and M stage. The mean and standard deviation were used to describe the mRNA expression data. No permission from the ethical committee was needed for this investigation because all of the data were downloaded from the public database.

Survival analysis

Kaplan-Meier curves were drawn using the Kaplan-Meier Plotter Web tool (<https://kmplot.com/>). Based on median gene expression, patients were split into two groups, and the log-rank test was used to compare the survival rates between the “high” expression group (red line) and the “low” expression group (blue line). We evaluated predictive factors, specifically OS.

LinkedOmics database and protein-protein interaction networks

With the use of LinkedOmics database (<http://www.linkedomics.org/>), a volcano plot showing the relationship between *MIB1* members and 200 co-expressed genes in GC was created and the top 50 positively and negatively correlated genes were analyzed. The Metascape database (<https://metascape.org/>) was used to provide GO enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway keywords for these top 200 genes. STRING (<https://string-db.org/>) was used to find the genes having the strongest interactions with *MIB1*, and generated the associated protein-protein interaction network with an interaction score > 0.4.

Functional enrichment analysis

The median *MIB1* expression level was used to categorize expression data (HTseq-Counts) into high and low expression groups, which were then further examined using the DESeq2 R package (3.6.3). Adjusted $P < 0.05$ and $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1.5$ were considered the thresholds to obtain DEGs, and GO enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs were performed by the “Cluster Profiler” package and visualized by the “ggplot2” package.

Tumor Immune Evaluation Resource database

Tumor Immune Evaluation Resource (TIMER, <https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/>) is a comprehensive online resource for systematically analyzing immune infiltration in various cancer types. The connection between *MIB1* expression and

six different immune infiltrating cells in gastric adenocarcinomas, including B cells, CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells, was examined in our study by using TIMER. We also obtained the correlation between *MIB1* and 24 tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) by single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA), which was realized by the GSVA package. The Spearman test was used to measure the correlation between *MIB1* and TILs.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R (V 3.6.3). The differences between the gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and surrounding normal tissues were assessed using paired *t* tests and Mann-Whitney tests. The pROC software program was used to create a ROC curve in order to determine the *MIB1* cutoff value. The impact of *MIB1* on survival was assessed using Kaplan-Meier and log-rank testing.

RESULTS

Mutation and mRNA expression of the *MIB1* gene in STAD

Mutation of the *MIB1* gene was analyzed in STAD patients using the online cBioPortal database, and the genetic alterations of *MIB1* in STAD were 6% (Figure 1A). Mutation data and copy number alteration (CNA) data were shown in Figure 1B. Patients with and without mutations did not have a significantly different OS rate ($P = 0.8900$). Nevertheless, the DFS rate of the group with mutations was much lower than that of the group without mutations ($P = 0.0156$) (Figure 1C and 1D). As shown in Figure 2A, *MIB1* was considerably upregulated in a range of tumor tissues when compared to adjacent normal tissues, demonstrating that mRNA expression of *MIB1* was abnormally expressed in several cancer types. Analysis of unpaired data showed that the *MIB1* mRNA in STAD tissues ($n = 375$) was significantly higher than that in adjacent normal tissues ($n = 32$) (Figure 2B; 2.807 ± 0.584 vs 2.218 ± 0.495 , Mann-Whitney *U* test, $P < 0.001$). Paired data analysis also showed that the mRNA expression level of *MIB1* in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues was significantly higher than that in adjacent normal tissues ($n = 27$) (Figure 2C; 2.562 ± 0.696 vs 2.239 ± 0.506 , $P < 0.01$).

Relationship between the mRNA level of *MIB1* and clinicopathological features in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma

The Mann-Whitney *U* test and logistic regression analysis were performed to evaluate the relationship between the mRNA expression of *MIB1* and the clinicopathological characteristics of gastric adenocarcinoma samples. As shown in Table 1, the expression level of *MIB1* was higher in patients with a high T stage ($P = 0.017$) and pathological stage ($P = 0.032$). However, the expression level of *MIB1* was associated with other clinicopathological features, such as age ($P = 0.423$), sex ($P = 0.884$), N stage ($P = 0.433$), M stage ($P = 1.000$), tissue type ($P = 0.448$), and *H. pylori* infection ($P = 0.470$). In conclusion, *MIB1* was associated with high T stage and pathological stage, which further suggested that *MIB1* might be used as a biomarker for the poor prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma.

Diagnostic value of *MIB1* gene expression in STAD

The usefulness of *MIB1* in separating GC samples from normal samples was investigated using ROC curve analysis. As shown in Figure 2D, the ROC curve showed that the area under the curve (AUC) value of *MIB1* was 0.783 (95%CI: 0.704-0.861). The sensitivity and specificity of *MIB1* were respectively 59.4% and 85.6% at the cutoff value of 2.248. Positive and negative predictive values were 26.0% and 96.1%, respectively. These findings suggested that *MIB1* might be a potential biomarker to differentiate between normal tissues and stomach cancer tissues.

Relationship between gene expression level of *MIB1* and OS

As shown in Figure 2E, patients with gastric adenocarcinoma who had high *MIB1* Levels compared to those who had low *MIB1* Levels had significantly worse OS (26.4 mo vs 56.2 mo, $P = 0.033$). This suggested that high mRNA expression of *MIB1* was a biomarker for poor prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma.

Correlation and interaction analyses

A volcano plot of *MIB1* and coexpressed genes in GC was generated in the LinkedOmics database (Figure 3A). The Metascape database was then used to examine the GO and KEGG pathway terms of these top 200 genes. GO analysis was used to investigate the functional mechanism of *MIB1* in GC. The BP terms "proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process", "mitochondrion organization", "phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate biosynthetic process", and "protein phosphorylation" were significantly enriched (Figure 3B). Among the enriched CC terms was "mitochondrial protein-containing complex" (Figure 3C). "Protein serine/threonine/tyrosine kinase activity", "ubiquitin-like protein transferase activity", and "enzyme activator activity" were the most commonly enriched MF phrases (Figure 3D). The target genes were primarily linked to the phrases "chemical carcinogenesis-reactive oxygen species", "platinum drug resistance", and "ubiquitin mediated proteolysis", according to KEGG pathway analysis (Figure 3E). The top 50 genes with positive relationship (Figure 3F) and the top 50 genes with negative relationship (Figure 3G) with *MIB1* were displayed in a heatmap to further investigate the processes of *MIB1* and its coexpressed genes. The ten coexpressed genes of *MIB1* in the STRING database were NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, DLL1, DLL4, UBB, MARK2, JAG1, JAG2, and RPS27A (Figure 3H). These genes were analyzed by GO and KEGG, most of which were related to NOTCH pathway (Figure 3I and J).

Table 1 Demographic and clinicopathological parameters of patients with gastric cancer with high and low expression of mind bomb 1 in The Cancer Genome Atlas-stomach adenocarcinoma, *n* (%)

Characteristics	Total	Low expression of <i>MIB1</i>	High expression of <i>MIB1</i>	<i>P</i> value
T stage				0.017
T1	19 (5.2)	11 (3.0)	8 (2.2)	
T2	80 (21.8)	37 (10.1)	43 (11.7)	
T3	168 (45.8)	99 (27.0)	69 (18.8)	
T4	100 (27.2)	40 (10.9)	60 (16.3)	
N stage				0.433
N0	111 (31.1)	61 (17.1)	50 (14.0)	
N1	97 (27.2)	49 (13.7)	48 (13.4)	
N2	75 (21.0)	32 (9.0)	43 (12.0)	
N3	74 (20.7)	38 (10.6)	36 (10.1)	
M stage				1.000
M0	330 (93.0)	164 (46.2)	166 (46.8)	
M1	25 (7.0)	12 (3.4)	13 (3.7)	
Pathologic stage				0.032
Stage I	53 (15.1)	24 (6.8)	29 (8.2)	
Stage II	111 (31.5)	69 (19.6)	42 (11.9)	
Stage III	150 (42.6)	72 (20.5)	78 (22.2)	
Stage IV	38 (10.8)	15 (4.3)	23 (6.5)	
Gender				0.884
Female	134 (35.7)	68 (18.1)	66 (17.6)	
Male	241 (64.3)	119 (31.7)	122 (32.5)	
Histological type				0.448
Diffuse type	63 (16.8)	36 (9.6)	27 (7.2)	
Mucinous type	19 (5.1)	11 (2.9)	8 (2.1)	
Not otherwise specified	207 (55.3)	103 (27.5)	104 (27.8)	
Papillary type	5 (1.3)	1 (0.3)	4 (1.1)	
Signet ring type	11 (2.9)	4 (1.1)	7 (1.9)	
Tubular type	69 (18.4)	32 (8.6)	37 (9.9)	
Histologic grade				0.305
G1	10 (2.7)	4 (1.1)	6 (1.6)	
G2	137 (37.4)	63 (17.2)	74 (20.2)	
G3	219 (59.8)	117 (32.0)	102 (27.9)	
<i>H. pylori</i> infection				0.470
No	145 (89.0)	63 (38.7)	82 (50.3)	
Yes	18 (11.0)	10 (6.1)	8 (4.9)	
OS event				0.022
Alive	228 (60.8)	125 (33.3)	103 (27.5)	
Dead	147 (39.2)	62 (16.5)	85 (22.7)	
Age, mean \pm SD		65.39 \pm 10.80	66.28 \pm 10.51	0.423

The bold means statistical significance. *MIB1*: Mind bomb 1; OS: Overall survival; *H. pylori*: *Helicobacter pylori*.




Figure 1 Mutation of mind bomb 1 gene in stomach adenocarcinoma is found in cBioPortal database. A: OncoPrint indicate different types and proportions of mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) mutations; B: Summary of cancer types shows the type of genomic alterations in stomach adenocarcinoma; C: Kaplan-Meier showed the overall survival rate of patients with and without copy number alteration (CNA) of *MIB1*; D: Kaplan-Meier showed the disease-free survival rate of patients with and without CNA of *MIB1*.

Volcano map and enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes

With a threshold of $|\log FC| < 1.5$ and adjusted $P < 0.05$, 506 DEGs in total were discovered, of which 454 showed upregulation and 52 showed downregulation. The DEG expression was visualized in a volcano diagram (Figure 4A). The DEG-related *MIB1* had strongly regulatory effects on the endoplasmic reticulum lumen, cornified envelope, keratin filament, endosome lumen, epidermal cell differentiation, and keratinocyte differentiation, keratinization, cornification and peptide








Figure 2 Expression, diagnostic value and prognosis of mind bomb 1 gene. A: Mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) expression from pan-cancer perspective; B: *MIB1* mRNA expression levels in 375 gastric adenocarcinoma samples and 32 normal samples; C: *MIB1* mRNA expression levels in 27 gastric adenocarcinomas and matched adjacent normal samples; D: Receiver operating characteristic curve showed that the area under the curve value of *MIB1* in distinguishing gastric adenocarcinoma tissues from healthy controls was 0.783. The cutoff was 2.248, and the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 59.4% and 85.6%, respectively; E: Kaplan-Meier showed that the overall survival of gastric adenocarcinoma patients with high mRNA expression of *MIB1* was shorter than that of patients with low expression (26.4 mo vs 56.2 mo, $P = 0.033$). ^a $P < 0.05$; ^b $P < 0.01$; ^c $P < 0.001$.


cross-linking processes, according to GO enrichment analysis (Figure 4B). KEGG analysis showed that DEG-related *MIB1* was associated with protein digestion and absorption, pancreatic secretion, and cholesterol metabolism pathways (Figure 4C).

Correlation between *MIB1* expression and immune cell infiltration in gastric adenocarcinoma

We analyzed the correlation between the expression of *MIB1* and six types of tumor invasive immune cells in the TIMER database. As demonstrated in Figure 5A, *MIB1* expression was favorably linked with B cells ($r = 0.222$, $P = 1.61E-05$), CD4⁺ T cells ($r = 0.201$, $P = 1.08E-04$), and macrophages ($r = 0.139$, $P = 7.22E-03$) and negatively connected with CD8⁺ T cells ($r = -0.143$, $P = 5.77E-03$). Figure 5B showed the relationship between *MIB1* and 24 kinds of tumor immune infiltrating cells. Tcm, helper T cells, Tem, Tgd and NK CD56 bright cells were positively correlated with *MIB1*. *MIB1* was







DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v15.i7.1295 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Coexpression analysis of mind bomb 1 gene. A: A volcano plot of the mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) and its co-expressed genes in gastric cancer; B: The Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment of the BP terms of 200 co-expressed genes; C: The GO enrichment of the CC terms of 200 co-expressed genes; D: The GO enrichment of the MF terms of 200 co-expressed genes; E: The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment of the 200 co-expressed genes; F: The top 50 genes with a positive correlation with *MIB1* gene are visualized in a heatmap; G: The top 50 genes with a negative correlation with the *MIB1* gene are visualized in a heatmap; H: The protein-protein interaction network associated with the *MIB1* in gastric cancer; I: The GO enrichment of the 11 genes with the strongest interaction with *MIB1* proteins; J: The KEGG pathway terms of the 11 genes with the strongest interaction with *MIB1* proteins. *MIB1*: Mind bomb 1.

inversely linked with cytotoxic cells, NK CD56dim cells, pDCs, aDCs, CD8 T cells, and Th17 cells. The relationship between the level of *MIB1* expression and the degree of immune cell infiltration measured by the ssGSEA score was investigated using Spearman correlation. The extent of NK CD56dim cell infiltration was negatively correlated with *MIB1* expression ($r = -0.292$, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 5C) and considerably decreased in the *MIB1* high expression group ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 5D). These results demonstrated that *MIB1* played an important role in the immune infiltration of GC. The proportions of 24 different subsets of tumor-infiltrating immune cells were compared using a heatmap to ascertain the degrees of association (Figure 5E).

DISCUSSION

Notch signaling is an evolutionarily conserved pathway that controls cell fate, determines cell differentiation, proliferation, tumor angiogenesis, stem cell maintenance, apoptosis and other cellular processes, and promotes the occurrence of GC through crosstalk with different signaling pathways, such as the Wnt, Ras, and NF- κ B pathways[26,27]. Studies have indicated that endocytosis of Notch ligands is required to activate the receptor in the Notch pathway[28]. However, ubiquitination of the intracellular tail of Notch ligands is a critical event in the subsequent endocytosis and signal transduction of these molecules[29]. Initial genetic studies in flies and zebrafish identified two E3 ubiquitin ligase families capable of ligand ubiquitination: Mind Bomb (Mib) and Neuralized (Neur) proteins[30]. Then a series of studies concluded that *MIB1*, a member of the E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase family, played a major, possibly exclusive role in Notch





Figure 4 Enrichment analysis of mind bomb 1 in stomach adenocarcinoma. A: Volcanic map of differential expression in gastric adenocarcinoma with $|\log_{10} \text{FC}| < 1.5$ and adjusted $P < 0.05$; B: Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes; C: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes.

ligand ubiquitination and transport in mammals[31].

The roles of some Notch family members in GC have not yet been fully understood, despite the fact that the impact of Notch signaling on GC has been extensively established[32,33]. In this work, we performed a thorough examination of *MIB1*, a NOTCH family member, in patients with GC to determine its mutation, expression, prognostic value, and immune infiltration.

The mRNA expression of *MIB1* was observed to be increased in STAD tissues in our research. And positive correlation was found between the increase of mRNA expression and a high T stage. *MIB1* might be a viable diagnostic biomarker for separating stomach cancer tissues from normal tissues, according to a ROC curve analysis. Kaplan-Meier curves and univariate analysis allowed us to demonstrate that increased mRNA expression of *MIB1* was associated with short OS and might be used as a feasible biomarker for poor prognosis of GC. Additionally, *MIB1* might have a unique function in the immunological infiltration of GC.

MIB1 is a ubiquitin-protein ligase. It was reported that overexpression of *MIB1* significantly promoted cell proliferation, migration and invasion[25]. Recent studies have found that *MIB1* played a carcinogenic function in a variety of human malignancies, including pancreatic, prostate, and lung cancer[23,34,35]. Studies have shown that *MIB1* was an important biomarker leading to poor prognosis, and upregulation of *MIB1* expression was associated with poor OS[22]. Furthermore, mutation of the *MIB1* gene could lead to congenital heart disease by reducing Notch signaling activation [36]. Overexpression of E3 ubiquitin ligase *MIB1* could reduce the apoptosis and inflammation of cardiac microvascular



E




Figure 5 Analysis of the relationship between mind bomb 1 expression and immune infiltration. A: In gastric adenocarcinoma, the expression of mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*) was negatively correlated with CD8⁺ T cells, and correlated with B cells, CD4⁺ T cells and macrophages; B: The correlation between the expression level of *MIB1* and the relative abundance of 24 immune cells; C: The expression of *MIB1* was negatively correlated with NK CD56dim cells; D: NK CD56dim cell infiltration level in different expression groups of *MIB1*; E: Heat map of 24 immune infiltrating cells in stomach adenocarcinoma.

endothelial cells in coronary microvascular dysfunction[37].

In this study, analysis of the cBioportal database showed that the *MIB1* mutation rate in 287 patients in the TCGA dataset was approximately 6%, and most of the changes were copy number amplification (CNA). In cancer, CNAs and deletions result in altered expression of tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes, respectively. It was reported that copy number variation of E3 ubiquitin ligase was associated with the occurrence and development of colorectal cancer[38]. We further analyzed the correlation between *MIB1* gene changes and prognosis. We found no significant difference between *MIB1* gene changes and OS, but the DFS of the patient group with mutations was much shorter than that of the group without mutations. Moreover, what we discovered were consistent with previous studies that *MIB1* mRNA was abnormally expressed in many cancers, and we found that *MIB1* was greatly increased in gastric adenocarcinoma *via* the TCGA database. According to this, gastric adenocarcinoma with a poor clinical prognosis might be identified using *MIB1* as a possible biomarker for poor prognosis.

At present, the role of *MIB1* in tumors and whether it acts through the NOTCH pathway have not been fully reported. There have been relatively many studies on *MIB1* in pancreatic cancer. Some studies have shown that *MIB1* can be used as a direct target of miRNA-198 and miRNA-195-5p. *MIB1* has been considered as a new target of miRNA-198, which reduced the proliferation, migration and invasion of prostate cancer. However, this tumor inhibition role appeared to be independent of the Notch pathway[39]. MicroRNA-195-5p might regulate the proliferation and invasion of tumor cells by regulating *MIB1*, suggesting that miRNA-195-5p might be used to treat prostate cancer in the future[34]. Our results indicated that *MIB1* may be an intriguing biomarker or an emerging target for cancer therapy. In addition, ectopic expression of *MIB1* could induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and stimulate cell migration through the Notch-dependent pathway, which might provide new insights into the treatment of *MIB1*-overexpressing cancer[35]. Other studies have shown that *MIB1* promoted the progression of pancreatic cancer by inducing ST7 degradation and downregulating IQGAP1, suggesting that the *MIB1*/ST7/IQGAP1 axis was crucial in the advancement of pancreatic cancer, and inhibiting *MIB1* might become a new therapeutic strategy for pancreatic cancer patients[22]. A study proved that *MIB1* promoted pancreatic cancer proliferation by activating the β -catenin signaling pathway[23]. Therefore, whether *MIB1* affects the progression of GC through the NOTCH pathway needs further *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments.

A ROC curve analysis was performed to verify the clinical value of *MIB1* in diagnosing gastric adenocarcinoma. With a sensitivity of 59.4% and a specificity of 85.6%, our findings demonstrated that *MIB1* had a relatively higher AUC value to discover the patients with GC. Based on our research, we came to the conclusion that *MIB1* might function as an applicable diagnostic biomarker to separate gastric adenocarcinoma from normal controls.

In addition, *MIB1* was highly expressed in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma. *MIB1* was correlated with multiple clinical features, such as pathological stage, T stage and OS, further suggesting that *MIB1* was a prospective biomarker that merited additional clinical testing.

Cytotoxic cells, NK CD56dim cells, pDCs, aDCs, CD8 T cells and Th17 cells were negatively correlated with *MIB1*. Antitumor immunity was influenced by cytotoxic cells, including NK cells. The function of NK cells in innate immune surveillance is crucial in the fight against cancer[24]. In the course of transformation into toxic T cells, CD8⁺ T cells display cytotoxic abilities against tumor cells[25]. IFN-I produced by pDCs has antitumor activity[26], Th17 cells have a close connection to neutrophils and are essential for the immune response to tumors[27]. The decrease of these immune cells might contribute to the further development of GC. The results of ssGSEA further demonstrated that *MIB1* was essential in controlling immune infiltration.

Our research revealed the complex role of *MIB1* gene mutation and abnormal expression in the prognosis of GC. In addition, we also preliminarily discussed the relationship between the *MIB1* gene and immune infiltration, as well as its mechanism and biological function in GC. However, our research also had some limitations. This study lacked *in vivo* or *in vitro* experiments to verify the role of the *MIB1* gene, which will allow us to draw more general and accurate conclusions.

CONCLUSION

In brief, we found that *MIB1* mRNA expression increased in STAD was positively attached with high T stage and pathological stage and negatively correlated with OS. According to our research, higher expression of *MIB1* may be a useful predictive biomarker for identifying individuals with gastric adenocarcinomas who have a poor clinical prognosis and may have a special function in immune infiltration.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Gastric cancer (GC) is a disease with multi-etiology and multi-pathway involvement, and it is characterized by a low 5-year survival rate. NOTCH signaling pathway is also involved in the occurrence and development of GC. Mind bomb 1 (*MIB1*), an E3 ubiquitin ligase, plays a central role in activating the NOTCH pathway by mediating ubiquitination of NOTCH ligand. However, the effect of *MIB1* on GC has not been reported.

Research motivation

To investigate the effect of *MIB1* gene on the prognosis of GC.

Research objectives

To investigate the effect of expression and mutation of *MIB1* gene on the prognosis of GC, the function of *MIB1* in GC and its relationship with immune infiltration.

Research methods

TCGA database, cBioPortal database, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, Kaplan-Meier plotter, LinkedOmics database, STRING database, The Gene Ontology enrichment, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway and TIMER database were used in this study.

Research results

The level of *MIB1* expression had a certain impact on the survival rate of patients with GC. The prognosis of patients with high *MIB1* was worse than that of patients with low *MIB1*. The increased expression of *MIB1* gene was associated with high TNM staging, suggesting that *MIB1* may play a role in the development of GC. The expression of *MIB1* gene was associated with immune infiltration.

Research conclusions

The up-regulation of *MIB1* expression was significantly related to the low survival rate and immune infiltration in gastric adenocarcinoma.

Research perspectives

MIB1 may be a biomarker for poor prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma and a potential immunotherapeutic target.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Wang J contributed to conceptualization; Wang D wrote the paper; Wang QH, Luo T, and Jia W collected and

analyzed data.

Supported by the Science and Technology Program of Liaoning Province, No. 2021-MS-040.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: We have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Di Wang 0000-0003-3725-5288; Qi-Hong Wang 0000-0002-7264-2905; Ting Luo 0000-0003-3725-5288; Wen Jia 0000-0003-3725-5288; Jing Wang 0000-0003-3725-5288.

S-Editor: Chen YL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Ju JL

REFERENCES

- 1 Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021; **71**: 209-249 [PMID: 33538338 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21660]
- 2 Soerjomataram I, Lortet-Tieulent J, Parkin DM, Ferlay J, Mathers C, Forman D, Bray F. Global burden of cancer in 2008: a systematic analysis of disability-adjusted life-years in 12 world regions. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1840-1850 [PMID: 23079588 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60919-2]
- 3 Xie W, Yang T, Zuo J, Ma Z, Yu W, Hu Z, Song Z. Chinese and Global Burdens of Gastrointestinal Cancers From 1990 to 2019. *Front Public Health* 2022; **10**: 941284 [PMID: 35910886 DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.941284]
- 4 Smyth EC, Nilsson M, Grabsch HI, van Grieken NC, Lordick F. Gastric cancer. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 635-648 [PMID: 32861308 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31288-5]
- 5 Song Z, Wu Y, Yang J, Yang D, Fang X. Progress in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer. *Tumour Biol* 2017; **39**: 1010428317714626 [PMID: 28671042 DOI: 10.1177/1010428317714626]
- 6 Chen Z, Li Y, Tan B, Zhao Q, Fan L, Li F, Zhao X. Progress and current status of molecule-targeted therapy and drug resistance in gastric cancer. *Drugs Today (Barc)* 2020; **56**: 469-482 [PMID: 32648857 DOI: 10.1358/dot.2020.56.7.3112071]
- 7 Asplund J, Kaupila JH, Mattsson F, Lagergren J. Survival Trends in Gastric Adenocarcinoma: A Population-Based Study in Sweden. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2018; **25**: 2693-2702 [PMID: 29987609 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-018-6627-y]
- 8 Nakagawa N, Kanda M, Ito S, Mochizuki Y, Teramoto H, Ishiguro K, Murai T, Asada T, Ishiyama A, Matsushita H, Tanaka C, Kobayashi D, Fujiwara M, Murotani K, Kodera Y. Pathological tumor infiltrative pattern and sites of initial recurrence in stage II/III gastric cancer: Propensity score matching analysis of a multi-institutional dataset. *Cancer Med* 2018; **7**: 6020-6029 [PMID: 30411544 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.1868]
- 9 Machlowska J, Baj J, Sitarz M, Maciejewski R, Sitarz R. Gastric Cancer: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Genomic Characteristics and Treatment Strategies. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; **21** [PMID: 32512697 DOI: 10.3390/ijms21114012]
- 10 Yusefi AR, Bagheri Lankarani K, Bastani P, Radinmanesh M, Kavosi Z. Risk Factors for Gastric Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2018; **19**: 591-603 [PMID: 29579788 DOI: 10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.3.591]
- 11 Rawla P, Barsouk A. Epidemiology of gastric cancer: global trends, risk factors and prevention. *Prz Gastroenterol* 2019; **14**: 26-38 [PMID: 30944675 DOI: 10.5114/pg.2018.80001]
- 12 Houghton J, Wang TC. Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer: a new paradigm for inflammation-associated epithelial cancers. *Gastroenterology* 2005; **128**: 1567-1578 [PMID: 15887152 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.03.037]
- 13 Kankeu Fonkoua L, Yee NS. Molecular Characterization of Gastric Carcinoma: Therapeutic Implications for Biomarkers and Targets. *Biomedicines* 2018; **6** [PMID: 29522457 DOI: 10.3390/biomedicines6010032]
- 14 Wu WK, Cho CH, Lee CW, Fan D, Wu K, Yu J, Sung JJ. Dysregulation of cellular signaling in gastric cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2010; **295**: 144-153 [PMID: 20488613 DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2010.04.025]
- 15 Previs RA, Coleman RL, Harris AL, Sood AK. Molecular pathways: translational and therapeutic implications of the Notch signaling pathway in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015; **21**: 955-961 [PMID: 25388163 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0809]
- 16 Aster JC, Pear WS, Blacklow SC. The Varied Roles of Notch in Cancer. *Annu Rev Pathol* 2017; **12**: 245-275 [PMID: 27959635 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-052016-100127]
- 17 Ntziachristos P, Lim JS, Sage J, Aifantis I. From fly wings to targeted cancer therapies: a centennial for notch signaling. *Cancer Cell* 2014; **25**: 318-334 [PMID: 24651013 DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2014.02.018]
- 18 South AP, Cho RJ, Aster JC. The double-edged sword of Notch signaling in cancer. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2012; **23**: 458-464 [PMID: 22309843 DOI: 10.1016/j.semdb.2012.01.017]
- 19 Guo B, McMillan BJ, Blacklow SC. Structure and function of the Mind bomb E3 ligase in the context of Notch signal transduction. *Curr Opin*

- Struct Biol* 2016; **41**: 38-45 [PMID: 27285058 DOI: 10.1016/j.sbi.2016.05.012]
- 20 **Koo BK**, Lim HS, Song R, Yoon MJ, Yoon KJ, Moon JS, Kim YW, Kwon MC, Yoo KW, Kong MP, Lee J, Chitnis AB, Kim CH, Kong YY. Mind bomb 1 is essential for generating functional Notch ligands to activate Notch. *Development* 2005; **132**: 3459-3470 [PMID: 16000382 DOI: 10.1242/dev.01922]
- 21 **Yamamoto M**, Morita R, Mizoguchi T, Matsuo H, Isoda M, Ishitani T, Chitnis AB, Matsumoto K, Crump JG, Hozumi K, Yonemura S, Kawakami K, Itoh M. Mib-Jag1-Notch signalling regulates patterning and structural roles of the notochord by controlling cell-fate decisions. *Development* 2010; **137**: 2527-2537 [PMID: 20573700 DOI: 10.1242/dev.051011]
- 22 **Zhang B**, Cheng X, Zhan S, Jin X, Liu T. MIB1 upregulates IQGAP1 and promotes pancreatic cancer progression by inducing ST7 degradation. *Mol Oncol* 2021; **15**: 3062-3075 [PMID: 33793053 DOI: 10.1002/1878-0261.12955]
- 23 **Fu X**, Tang N, Xie W, Mao L, Qiu Y. Mind Bomb 1 Promotes Pancreatic Cancer Proliferation by Activating β -Catenin Signaling. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* 2020; **20**: 7276-7282 [PMID: 32711591 DOI: 10.1166/jnn.2020.18755]
- 24 **Lanier LL**. NK cell recognition. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2005; **23**: 225-274 [PMID: 15771571 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.23.021704.115526]
- 25 **Iwahori K**. Cytotoxic CD8(+) Lymphocytes in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2020; **1224**: 53-62 [PMID: 32036604 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-35723-8_4]
- 26 **Saulep-Easton D**, Vincent FB, Le Page M, Wei A, Ting SB, Croce CM, Tam C, Mackay F. Cytokine-driven loss of plasmacytoid dendritic cell function in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2014; **28**: 2005-2015 [PMID: 24721775 DOI: 10.1038/Leu.2014.105]
- 27 **Amicarella F**, Muraro MG, Hirt C, Cremonesi E, Padovan E, Mele V, Governa V, Han J, Huber X, Drosier RA, Zuber M, Adamina M, Bolli M, Rosso R, Lugli A, Zlobec I, Terracciano L, Tornillo L, Zajac P, Eppenberger-Castori S, Trapani F, Oertli D, Iezzi G. Dual role of tumour-infiltrating T helper 17 cells in human colorectal cancer. *Gut* 2017; **66**: 692-704 [PMID: 26719303 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310016]
- 28 **Gordon WR**, Zimmerman B, He L, Miles LJ, Huang J, Tiyanont K, McArthur DG, Aster JC, Perrimon N, Loparo JJ, Blacklow SC. Mechanical Allostery: Evidence for a Force Requirement in the Proteolytic Activation of Notch. *Dev Cell* 2015; **33**: 729-736 [PMID: 26051539 DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel.2015.05.004]
- 29 **Itoh M**, Kim CH, Palardy G, Oda T, Jiang YJ, Maust D, Yeo SY, Lorick K, Wright GJ, Ariza-McNaughton L, Weissman AM, Lewis J, Chandrasekharappa SC, Chitnis AB. Mind bomb is a ubiquitin ligase that is essential for efficient activation of Notch signaling by Delta. *Dev Cell* 2003; **4**: 67-82 [PMID: 12530964 DOI: 10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00409-4]
- 30 **Haddon C**, Jiang YJ, Smithers L, Lewis J. Delta-Notch signalling and the patterning of sensory cell differentiation in the zebrafish ear: evidence from the mind bomb mutant. *Development* 1998; **125**: 4637-4644 [PMID: 9806913 DOI: 10.1242/dev.125.23.4637]
- 31 **Koo BK**, Yoon MJ, Yoon KJ, Im SK, Kim YY, Kim CH, Suh PG, Jan YN, Kong YY. An obligatory role of mind bomb-1 in notch signaling of mammalian development. *PLoS One* 2007; **2**: e1221 [PMID: 18043734 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001221]
- 32 **Brzozowa M**, Mielniczek L, Michalski M, Malinowski L, Kowalczyk-Ziomek G, Helewski K, Harabin-Słowińska M, Wojnicz R. Role of Notch signaling pathway in gastric cancer pathogenesis. *Contemp Oncol (Pozn)* 2013; **17**: 1-5 [PMID: 23788953 DOI: 10.5114/wo.2013.33765]
- 33 **Du X**, Cheng Z, Wang YH, Guo ZH, Zhang SQ, Hu JK, Zhou ZG. Role of Notch signaling pathway in gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of the literature. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 9191-9199 [PMID: 25083094 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.9191]
- 34 **Chen B**, Bai G, Ma X, Tan L, Xu H. MicroRNA1955p is associated with cell proliferation, migration and invasion in prostate cancer and targets MIB1. *Oncol Rep* 2021; **46** [PMID: 34698358 DOI: 10.3892/or.2021.8210]
- 35 **Wang H**, Huang Q, Xia J, Cheng S, Pei D, Zhang X, Shu X. The E3 Ligase MIB1 Promotes Proteasomal Degradation of NRF2 and Sensitizes Lung Cancer Cells to Ferroptosis. *Mol Cancer Res* 2022; **20**: 253-264 [PMID: 34670864 DOI: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-21-0342]
- 36 **Li B**, Yu L, Liu D, Yang X, Zheng Y, Gui Y, Wang H. MIB1 mutations reduce Notch signaling activation and contribute to congenital heart disease. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2018; **132**: 2483-2491 [PMID: 30322850 DOI: 10.1042/CS20180732]
- 37 **Qin XF**, Shan YG, Gao JH, Li FX, Guo YX. E3 ubiquitin ligase mind bomb 1 overexpression reduces apoptosis and inflammation of cardiac microvascular endothelial cells in coronary microvascular dysfunction. *Cell Signal* 2022; **91**: 110223 [PMID: 34954392 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2021.110223]
- 38 **Bi H**, Tian T, Zhu L, Zhou H, Hu H, Liu Y, Li X, Hu F, Zhao Y, Wang G. Copy number variation of E3 ubiquitin ligase genes in peripheral blood leukocyte and colorectal cancer. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**: 29869 [PMID: 27417709 DOI: 10.1038/srep29869]
- 39 **Ray J**, Hoey C, Huang X, Jeon J, Taeb S, Downes MR, Boutros PC, Liu SK. MicroRNA198 suppresses prostate tumorigenesis by targeting MIB1. *Oncol Rep* 2019; **42**: 1047-1056 [PMID: 31322262 DOI: 10.3892/or.2019.7234]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

