WJD

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2024 March 18; 15(3): 204-214

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v15.i3.204

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

EDITORIAL

Update on the use of 45S5 bioactive glass in the treatment of bone defects in regenerative medicine

Dayane Maria Braz Nogueira, Marcelie Priscila de Oliveira Rosso, Daniela Vieira Buchaim, Mariana Schutzer Ragghianti Zangrando, Rogério Leone Buchaim

Specialty type: Dentistry, oral surgery and medicine

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Watanabe T, Japan

Received: November 16, 2023 Peer-review started: November 16, 2023 First decision: December 29, 2023 Revised: January 15, 2024 Accepted: February 1, 2024 Article in press: February 1, 2024 Published online: March 18, 2024



Dayane Maria Braz Nogueira, Mariana Schutzer Ragghianti Zangrando, Department of Prosthodontics and Periodontics, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Bauru 17012-901, Brazil

Marcelie Priscila de Oliveira Rosso, Rogério Leone Buchaim, Department of Biological Sciences, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Bauru 17012-901, Brazil

Daniela Vieira Buchaim, Medical School, University Center of Adamantina, Adamantina 17800-000, Brazil

Daniela Vieira Buchaim, Postgraduate Program in Structural and Functional Interactions in Rehabilitation, Postgraduate Department, University of Marília, Marília 17525-902, Brazil

Corresponding author: Rogério Leone Buchaim, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Biological Sciences, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Alameda Dr. Octávio Pinheiro Brisolla 9-75, Bauru 17012-901, Brazil. rogerio@fob.usp.br

Abstract

Bone regeneration is a critical area in regenerative medicine, particularly in orthopedics, demanding effective biomedical materials for treating bone defects. 45S5 bioactive glass (45S5 BG) is a promising material because of its osteoconductive and bioactive properties. As research in this field continues to advance, keeping up-to-date on the latest and most successful applications of this material is imperative. To achieve this, we conducted a comprehensive search on Pub-Med/MEDLINE, focusing on English articles published in the last decade. Our search used the keywords "bioglass 45S5 AND bone defect" in combination. We found 27 articles, and after applying the inclusion criteria, we selected 15 studies for detailed examination. Most of these studies compared 45S5 BG with other cement or scaffold materials. These comparisons demonstrate that the addition of various composites enhances cellular biocompatibility, as evidenced by the cells and their osteogenic potential. Moreover, the use of 45S5 BG is enhanced by its antimicrobial properties, opening avenues for additional investigations and applications of this biomaterial.

Key Words: Biocompatible materials; Bioglass; Bone regeneration

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



Core Tip: Regenerative medicine demands materials with effective osteoconductive and bioactive properties. Compared with other materials, 45S5 bioactive glass not only exhibits more biocompatibility but also enhances bone growth when combined with composites. Moreover, its antimicrobial properties offer many possibilities for future applications.

Citation: Nogueira DMB, Rosso MPO, Buchaim DV, Zangrando MSR, Buchaim RL. Update on the use of 45S5 bioactive glass in the treatment of bone defects in regenerative medicine. *World J Orthop* 2024; 15(3): 204-214 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v15/i3/204.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v15.i3.204

INTRODUCTION

As human life expectancy increases, there is a corresponding rise in the prevalence of bone-related medical conditions, such as fractures, bone tumors, periodontal diseases, and degenerative cartilage disorders. These conditions can significantly affect individuals' daily activities, given the vital role bones play in providing mechanical support, facilitating hematopoiesis, and protecting internal organs. Bone regeneration is a complex biological process that involves a series of coordinated events to stimulate and regulate the formation of new bone. Considering the negative impact on the skeletal system, there is an increasing demand for tissue engineering approaches that specifically focus on promoting bone regeneration in humans[1-3].

Reconstructing critical bone defects resulting from trauma, accidents, and bone necrosis has historically posed a complex challenge for patients and surgeons worldwide. Although autologous bone grafts and allografts have shown potential in restoring lost structure and function, they face significant challenges such as size incompatibility, immuno-logical rejection, donor shortage, extensive graft resorption, prolonged surgical time, and the risk of postoperative infection and pain. These challenges ultimately limit the application of autologous bone grafts and allografts. Accordingly, numerous studies have been conducted in recent decades to identify viable alternatives, resulting in the introduction of various substitute materials in the field of regenerative medicine. These materials are often made of metals such as aluminum, zirconium, and titanium, which are used in the manufacturing of prostheses, plates, pins, screws, and similar devices. However, these materials often lack the durability required for long-term human use, prompting the search for more enduring alternatives[4-7].

To overcome these challenges, the field of medical biomaterials has undergone substantial growth in recent years, offering innovative solutions to reduce fracture healing time and address other bone regeneration issues. Currently, biomaterials play a prominent role in promoting bone tissue regeneration in humans. Various synthetic materials have been developed, with bioactive glass (BG) ceramics emerging as a significant contributor. Categorized as second-generation biomaterials, BG interacts with the biological environment, enhancing tissue adhesion and progressively degrading as new tissue regenerates and heals, similar to hydroxyapatite[8].

We are currently in the era of third-generation biomaterials, which have the capability to trigger specific cellular responses at the molecular level. At the forefront of this field are bioactive glasses (BGs). These glasses consist of a group of calcium phosphate compounds that exhibit the remarkable capacity to rapidly form a strong bond with tissue, as exemplified by 45S5 bioactive glass (45S5 BG)[9-11].

In the late 1960s, researcher Larry L. Hench and his pioneering team at the University of Florida introduced 4555 BG [12]. During their research, they made a remarkable discovery: this type of glass formed such a strong bond with bone that separation was impossible without causing a fracture. Subsequent *in vivo* studies showed that 4555 BG exhibited osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties by forming carbonated hydroxyapatite (CHA) within the bone[13].

BGs are typically amorphous calcium-containing silicates that have osteoinductive capacity[14]. The most commonly used type of BG is 4555 (Figure 1), composed of 45% SiO₂, 24.5% CaO, 24.5% Na₂O, and 6% P_2O_5 [15,16]. This type of BG is osteoconductive, osteogenic, and biodegradable. Currently, BGs are produced using the sol-gel method, which uses a solvent at low temperatures. This method has the advantages of creating a porous and highly bioavailable structure and incorporating various additives to produce a range of glass-ceramics[15]. The unique combination of characteristics makes BG a potential substitute biomaterial because of its association with growth factors and biomolecules used in regenerative medicine[16,17].

BGs from various commercial brands have been successfully used, either alone or in combination with various metal ions, to reconstruct jawbone defects. BGs elicit a biocompatible response at the bone-tissue interface, thus enabling numerous medical applications[16]. Initially, the main goal of these materials was to enhance bone regeneration[14]. Applying a BG coating to a surface before it receives a metal prosthetic implant can provide stability by creating a bonding interface between the bioactive coating and the host tissue[18]. BG-coated surfaces can also protect the substrate (thus preventing corrosion) and even inhibit the release of potentially toxic metal ions[19].

More than 60 years after the discovery of BGs, the field of regenerative medicine continues to evolve, with many studies indicating vast opportunities for exploitation. BG shows remarkable efficacy in promoting bone regeneration, surpassing other bioactive ceramics. This particularity is related to BG's dissolution products, which act at the genetic level, stimulating the cells. This characteristic has fundamentally changed the way doctors, scientists, and regulatory agencies perceive the concept of bioactivity. 45S5 BG, a pioneer in this category, has only recently become widely used in orthopedics. To date, 45S5 BG has contributed to the bone regeneration of more than 1.5 million patients in the fields of

Zaishideng® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 An example of the articles reviewed in this editorial. 45S5 bioactive glass (45S5 BG) stands out in regenerative medicine. 45S5 BG is biocompatible and has osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties by forming carbonated hydroxyapatite (CHA). These characteristics result from the creation of a highly bioavailable porous structure and the ability to incorporate various additives to produce a variety of glass-ceramics. A: Bone defect; B: Bone defect filling with 45S5 BG granules; C: Evaluation of histological sections of bone following experiments; D: Demonstration of the bioactive properties of 45S5 BG due to its ability to form a mineral surface layer of CHA similar to bone tissue. 45S5 BG particles are absorbed, and the released ions interact with local ions [Si(OH)₄, OH⁻, CO₃⁻², PO₄, Ca2*] to form hydroxycarbonate apatite (HCA), providing an ideal surface for the formation of new bone; E: Example of the composition of a 45S5 BG chain (in weight%): 45% SiO₂, 24.5% CaO, 24.5% Na₂O and 6% P₂O₅. The green arrows indicate the characteristics of 45S5 BG observed in the reviewed studies: osteogenic potential, osteoconductivity, and cell compatibility; F: Stimulation and cell adhesion of the 45S5 BG granules to the new bone surface; G: Absorption of the granules and formation of new tissue with bone repair.

orthopedics and dentistry[20,21].

45S5 BG was first used in medical practice to restore the bones of the middle ear and thus hearing. Subsequent research has advanced, presenting BG in the form of granules and modified compositions. This advancement enabled surgeons to manipulate it more precisely and customize it to meet the specific needs of each patient[20,22].

Under the name Perioglass[®], 45S5 BG was initially used to treat jawbone defects. In 1999, 45S5 BG was launched as NovaBone® and used in clinical trials for the surgical treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. These trials demonstrated several advantages, including reduced infection and mechanical failure rates. Additionally, the use of 45S5 BG eliminates the need for a local donor. In 2015, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the term "osteostimulation" for 45S5 BG[23]. Another variation, BonAlive®, has received approval for use in orthopedic surgeries in more than 50 countries, being used for synthetic bone grafting in trauma, tumor removal, and the treatment of chronic osteomyelitis[20,24].

The application of 45S5 BG extends beyond orthopedics. Clinical and experimental tests of this biomaterial, molded with a borate glass structure, have successfully healed diabetic ulcers in humans that did not respond to conventional treatment[25]. Other potential applications of BG-containing composites include tissue engineering (e.g., heart, lung, and nerve tissues), intervertebral disc structures, antibacterial activity, and dressing materials. However, in vivo tests are required to confirm their effectiveness before they can be recommended for clinical trials^[17].

Bone regeneration is a critical aspect of regenerative medicine, and research in this field continues to advance. Therefore, it is crucial to stay up-to-date on the latest developments in biomaterials. The applications of 45S5 BG in the treatment of bone defects have progressed significantly. Therefore, this editorial aims to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date analysis of its applications. It will serve as a valuable resource for professionals and researchers in the field of regenerative medicine, helping to guide their clinical decisions and identify areas for future research.

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MOST RECENT PUBLICATIONS ON 45S5 BG IN BONE DEFECTS

To develop this editorial and conduct a critical analysis, we searched the PubMed/MEDLINE database for articles published over the past 10 years using the search terms "bioglass 4555 AND bone defect". The search returned 27 articles. We then analyzed the titles and abstracts to determine elegibility. Subsequently, we reviewed the articles to ascertain whether they met the eligibility criteria, which included application to both animals and humans, publication in English, full-text accessibility, and relevance to the topic. We included 15 articles as the basis for this editorial. Nine of these articles involved in vitro and in vivo experiments, five involved only in vivo experiments, and only one involved a human cohort study. Regarding the animal models used in the experiments, eight articles used rats, three used rabbits, two used



Bishidena® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

mice, and one used sheep. Regarding the experimental region of interest, six articles used the calvaria, four used the femur, two used the tibia, and two involved graft implantation in subcutaneous pouches in the hind and forelimbs.

The articles included in this editorial are listed in Table 1[3,10,26-38], along with the elements categorized according to the PICO strategy (P: Patient or problem; I: Intervention; C: Control or comparison; O: Outcome). Table 1 also provides details on the reference, objective, study type, bioactive glass composition, methods, and outcome measures for each article.

Most studies conducted in the last decade were designed to compare 45S5 BG with other cements or scaffolds, such as: (1) BGPN2.6; (2) Nb-substituted 45S5 BG; (3) Empty cavity; (4) CPC; (5) Magnesium- and strontium-doped BG; (6) BGNb; (7) A glass derived from the composition of 45S5 BG, Collapat® II, and Osteopure®; (8) Slow-resorbing ceramic granules, biphasic compounds of PEUR, and nHA; (9) Biosilicate® BioS-2P; (10) 45S5 BG scaffolds reinforced with BG-ZB; (11) Borosilicate glass 0106-B1; (12) Icariin-doped 4555 BG seeded with ASCs; (13) 3D polymer-coated 4555 BG scaffolds: gelatin-coated, cross-linked gelatin-coated, or PHBV-coated; and (14) LLLT in autogenous grafts.

We observed variation in the concentration of 45S5 BG in the reviewed studies. Research in regenerative medicine highlights the importance of determining and applying optimal concentrations[17]. This is essential to confirm the vascularization process in composites made of this biodegradable polymer, indicating a potential area for future research.

Only one study has assessed the interaction between alendronate and 4555 BG[32]. As described in that study, the hybrid particles released alendronate and inorganic elements (Ca, Na, Si, and P) in a controlled manner. This controlled release exhibited a strong anti-osteoclastic effect in vitro and stimulated the regeneration of the osteoporotic femur in Wistar rats.

Only one study, Fares et al[30], investigated the outcomes in patients who underwent ACL reconstruction with patellar tendon autograft and various materials. Patients who received Glassbone® or Collapat® II grafts reported experiencing less pain and greater kneeling comfort than those who received the Osteopure® graft. No significant differences were observed among the three groups in knee function scores (International Knee Documentation Committee - IKDC and Lysholm) and anterior knee pain. The authors reported no wound healing complications. At the end of the 2-year followup, the type of material used had no effect on functionality.

Souza et al[26] compared the performance of BGPN2.6 with that of 45S5 BG for repairing CSD calvarial defects in rats. They comprehensively assessed biocompatibility, cell adhesion, and osteoblast cell proliferation in the presence of BGPN2.6. In animal models, micro-CT scans revealed that the application of BGPN2.6 almost completely regenerated the CSD within 8 wk, achieving over 90% coverage. In comparison, standard 45S5 BG achieved only 66% coverage. These results clearly demonstrate that Nb-containing BG is a safe and effective biomaterial for bone replacement in the treatment of CSD, with significant implications for regenerative medicine and orthopedics. This research provides encouraging evidence for the applicability of 45S5 BG in the treatment of CSD.

Continuing their research on the addition of Nb to 45S5 BG, Souza et al[3] tested rods made of different types of glass (BGPN1.3, BGPN2.6, and 45S5 BG) in rat tibiae. Their findings made important contributions, such as demonstrating the non-toxicity of Nb to hESCs and a significant increase in osteogenic capacity when adding up to 1.3 mol% of Nb₂O₅ to 45S5 BG. The substitution of an equivalent amount of Nb₂O₅ for phosphorus enhanced the osteostimulation of 45S5 BG.

The use of Nb combined with 4555 BG has attracted the interest of researchers. Lopes et al[10] demonstrated that 4555 BG with Nb at concentrations of 1 and 2.5 mol% stimulated osteogenic differentiation of BMMSCs after 21 d of treatment. BGNb is osteoconductive and osteostimulative. These results indicate that the bioglass (BGNb) is suitable for biomedical applications.

In another experiment investigating the effects of adding components to 45S5 BG, Esfahanizadeh et al[29] compared the elements strontium and magnesium to standard 45S5 BG. At 4 wk, the group treated with magnesium-doped 45S5 BG showed greater bone formation. At 8 wk, the group treated with strontium-doped 45S5 BG showed better results. The addition of strontium and magnesium into the composition of 45S5 BG improved bone regeneration compared to standard 45S5 BG. It should be noted that the rate of bone regeneration was higher than that of 45S5 BG, but without statistically significant differences. This finding may be attributed to the effect of magnesium and strontium ions in inhibiting osteoclastic activity, as well as the inherent ability of 45S5 BG to enhance angiogenesis and stimulate the secretion of growth and osteogenic factors.

Thomas; Anbarasu^[27], who also focused on CSD in rat calvaria, demonstrate the growing research interest in this type of injury. They found that 4555 BG achieved a cell viability rate of over 70%, confirming its cell compatibility. Furthermore, CBCT revealed a significant increase in VGi (P < 0.001) and a reduction in ROI (P < 0.001) from the fourth to the eighth week, indicating the potential of 45S5 BG for bone regeneration in CSD.

Zhang et al[34] addressed CSD in rabbit femurs and found that the strongest scaffolds, containing 4% low-melting ZB in 45S5 BG and 500 µm pores, were particularly beneficial for osteogenic capacity. This was accompanied by accelerated bone growth (6-18 wk), with the material itself showing mild resorption. In contrast, scaffolds with smaller pore sizes showed lower bone growth (< 32% after 6-12 wk). These results suggest a promising application of 45S5 BG in clinical settings, particularly in mechanically loaded bone defects.

Regenerative medicine depends on ongoing advancements to improve its principles and applications, including the development of complementary approaches to address bone defects. One example is the use of LLLT in bone lesions, which has shown promising results [39-41]. In this editorial, we highlight the study by Moreira et al [38], who used LLLT to heal CSDs filled with a blood clot, autogenous bone, or 45S5 BG. With the protocol used, LLLT did not increase ANFB when associated with autogenous bone or 4555 BG. This underscores the need for further research and improvement of complementary methods until a consensus is reached.

The use of scaffolds, cements, and compounds (whether synthetic, natural, or in 3D formation) has been the focus of research aimed at developing artifacts to assist in surgical procedures for bone defects. Ma et al [28] evaluated the results of a CSC composed of 35% tricalcium silicate, 30% 45S5 BG (particulates with two sizes), and 35% calcium sulfate. They



Ref.	Objective	Type of study	Composition	Methods	Outcome measures
Souza et al[<mark>26</mark>], 2020	To compare the biocompat- ibility of a bioactive sodium calcium silicate glass containing 2.6 mol $\%$ Nb ₂ O ₅ with that of the archetypal 4555 BG	In vitro and in vivo	A variation of 45S5 BG in which 2.6 mol% of P_2O_5 was replaced by 2.6 mol% of Nb_2O_5 , resulting in the composition named BGPN2 The glass was mixed with the precursor oxides SiO ₂ (99.5%), CaCO ₃ (99.95-100.5%), Na ₂ CO ₃ (\geq 99.5%), P ₂ O ₅ (\geq 99.5%), and Nb ₂ O ₅	Biocompatibility and genotoxicity tests Bone regeneration: rat calvarial defect (5 mm). Seventy-two rats (sham group: no defect; control group: empty defect; 45S5 BG group: filled defect; BGPN2.6 group: filled defect), with 6 rats per group for 14, 28 and 56 d Qualitative and quantitative analysis of 3D micro-CT images	BGPN2.6 glass was not cytotoxic to BM-MSCs and had no mutagenic potential Micro-CT showed that BGPN2.6 almost completely regenerated a critical-sized calvarial defect within 8 wk, surpassing the performance of standard 45S5 BG. BGPN2.6 glass demonstrated more than 90% coverage compared to 66% for 45S5 BG
Souza et al[3], 2018	To study the bioactive properties of Nb-substituted silicate glass derived from 4555 B	In vitro and in vivo	Compositions (mol%): 45S5 BG (46.1 SiO ₂ ; 26.9 CaO; 24.4 Na ₂ O; 2.6 P ₂ O ₅ ; no Nb ₂ O ₅) BGPN2.6 (46.1 SiO ₂ ; 26.9 CaO; 24.4 Na ₂ O; no P ₂ O ₅ ; 2.6 Nb ₂ O ₅) BGPN1.3 (46.1 SiO ₂ ; 26.9 CaO; 24.4 Na ₂ O; 1.3 P ₂ O ₅ ; 1.3 Nb ₂ O ₅) High purity powders SiO ₂ , Na ₂ CO ₃ , CaCO ₃ , P ₂ O ₅ (> 99.9%), and Nb ₂ O ₅ , optical grade, > 99.5%) Glass particles between 38-53 μ m in size	Compatibility and osteogenic differentiation of hESCs. Bone formation: rods composed of different glass types (BGPN1.3, BGPN2.6, and 45S5 BG) were implanted into bone defects (2 mm) in rat tibiae. Five animals per group were analyzed after 14 and 28 d	Nb-substituted BG is non-toxic to hESCs. There was a significant increase in osteogenic capacity and biocompatibility when up to 1.3 mol% Nb_2O_5 was added to 45S5 BG. The same increase in Nb_2O_5 , replacing phosphorus, increased the osteostimulation of the BG
Thomas and Anbarasu[27], 2022	To evaluate cell compatibility and regenerative potential of 4555 BG graft in critical size defects (CSD) in rat calvaria	In vitro and in vivo	45S5 BG: 45% SiO ₂ ; 24.5% Na ₂ O; 24.5% CaO and 6% $\rm P_2O_5$	<i>In vitro</i> cell viability assay of 4555 BG using MTT assay with Novabone [®] and 10% DMSO as positive and negative controls, respectively, whereas cells alone served as the control Bone regeneration: 20 male rats with 6 mm diameter calvarial defects (control group: empty cavity) loaded with 2.5 mg of 45S5 BG (test group). Evaluation by CBCT after 4 and 8 wk	45S5 BG achieved a cell viability rate of > 70%, confirming cell compatibility. CBCT analysis showed a significant increase in VGi and a reduction in ROI of CSD from the fourth to the eighth weeks, showing its potential for bone regeneration
Ma et al[<mark>28</mark>], 2017	To evaluate a silicate-based composite bone cement (CSC) in a rabbit femur defect in terms of <i>in vivo</i> bone integration and biodegradability and compare the results with those of BG particulates and a calcium phosphate cement (CPC)	In vivo	CSC composition: tricalcium silicate (35%) and 45S5 BG (30%) with particles < 50 μ m and 90-710 μ m. The ratio of the two components was 1:2 (small:large); calcium sulfate (35%)	CSC cylinders molded with a 5 mm × 10 mm diameter, and CPC cylinders. Experiments conducted on 30 adult New Zealand white rabbits with femur defects. Control groups: BG particles and CPC. Analyses were conducted after 3, 6, and 12 months	The CSC underwent slower <i>in vivo</i> degradation compared with BG and CPC. The bone contact area at the interface between the surrounding bone and CSC gradually increased over time. CSC kept its structural integrity during <i>in vivo</i> implantation because of its acceptable mechanical strength
Esfahanizadeh <i>et al</i> [29], 2022	To evaluate bone regeneration in critical defects of rabbit calvaria filled with magnesium- and strontium-doped BGs and compare it with standard 45S5 BG	In vivo	Standard 4555 BG with particles of approx- imately 20-50 nm	Experiments on 12 male New Zealand rabbits allocated to 2 groups. Four lesions were created in each calvaria with a diameter of 8 mm spaced apart. Each lesion was filled with (1) strontium-doped BG, (2) magnesium-doped BG, (3) 45S5 BG (positive control), and (4) an empty lesion (negative control). Evaluation occurred at the end of 4 and 8 wk	At 4 wk, magnesium-doped BG showed the highest new bone formation with a mean of 11.66 ± 2.64 , followed by strontium-doped BG with a mean of 11.10 ± 1.69 ($P = 0.0001$). At 8 wk, the highest amount of new bone was observed in the strontium-doped group with a mean of 28.22 ± 3.19 , followed by the magnesium-doped group with a mean of 22.55 ± 3.43 ($P = 0.0001$)
Lopes <i>et al</i> [10], 2020	To evaluate the solubility, apatite-forming capacity,	In vitro and in	Composition (mol%) of 4585 BG and Nb- substituted 4585 BG:	<i>In vitro</i> : BMMSCs were isolated from the tibia and femur of adult Wistar rats. MTT assay was conducted for each of the	45S5 BG and BGSN1 developed an apatite layer on their surfaces within 3 h. Glasses with higher concentrations of $\rm Nb_2$

Table 1 Studies published over the past 10 years involving the application of 45S5 bioactive glass in bone defects

	cytocompatibility, osteostimu- lation, and osteoinduction of Nb-containing bioactive glasses (BGNb) derived from the composition of 45S5 BG	vivo	$\begin{array}{l} 4555 \ \text{BG} \ (46.1 \ \text{SiO}_2; 26.9 \ \text{CaO}; 24.4 \ \text{Na}_2\text{O}; 2.6 \\ P_2\text{O}_5; \text{ no} \ \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5) \\ \text{BGSN1} \ (45.1 \ \text{SiO}_2, 26.9 \ \text{CaO}; 24.4 \ \text{Na}_2\text{O}; 2.6 \\ P_2\text{O}_5; 1.0 \ \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5) \\ \text{BGSN2.5} \ (43.6 \ \text{SiO}_2; 26.9 \ \text{CaO}; 24.4 \ \text{Na}_2\text{O}; 2.6 \\ P_2\text{O}_5; 2.5 \ \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \\ \text{BGSN5} \ (41.1 \ \text{SiO}_2; 26.9 \ \text{CaO}; 24.4 \ \text{Na}_2\text{O}; 2.6 \\ P_2\text{O}_5; 5.0 \ \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \end{array}$	BG compositions. Cells were cultured in complete DMEM (positive control), and cells were previously incubated in DMSO for 30 min (negative control) <i>In vivo</i> : glass rods (4 mm length × 2 mm diameter) composed of 4555 BG (4555 BG or BGSN1 groups were implanted into circular defects (2 mm diameter) in the tibia of rats (5 animals/group) Evaluated after 28 d	O_5 (2.5 and 5 mol%) required at least 12 h Nb-substituted glasses were found to be compatible with BMMSCs. BGSN1 significantly enhanced cell proliferation after 4 d of treatment. Concentrations of 1 and 2.5 mol% Nb_2 O_5 stimulated osteogenic differentiation of BMMSCs after 21 d of treatment
Fares <i>et al</i> [30], 2024	To evaluate the impact of different materials for filling bone defects following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction surgery with bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) graft	In humans	Osteopure [®] allograft from resected human femoral head treated by sterilization at 25 kGy Glassbone [®] BG, 100% synthetic, a mixture of 45% SiO ₂ , 24.5% CaO, 25.5% Na ₂ O, and 6% P ₂ O ₅ weight%) Collapat [®] II, a spongy bone graft composed of a collagen structure in which hydroxyapatite granules are dispersed	A prospective, monocentric cohort study was conducted with 102 adult athletes who underwent ACL reconstruction using the same arthroscopically-assisted BPTB, with a minimum follow-up of two years. Three groups based on the type of bone substitute GB group (G1): 4555 BG ceramic Glassbone TM ($n = 36$; 35.29%); CP group (G2): collagen and hydroxyapatite bone void filler in sponge-shaped Collapat [®] II ($n = 34$; 33.33%); OP group (G3) treated human bone graft Osteopure [®] ($n = 32$; 31.37%). Patients were assessed based on their ability to kneel, the presence of donor site pain, and palpation of the defect	The percentage of Glassbone [™] and Collapat [®] patients who kneeled comfortably was significantly higher than that of Osteopure [®] patients (77.78% and 76.5%, <i>vs</i> 65.6%, respectively)
Lu et al <mark>[31]</mark> , 2018	To investigate the remodeling of resorbable bone cements in a stringent model of mechanically loaded tibial plateau defects in sheep	In vivo	Melt-derived 4555 BG with fast- and slow- resorbing ceramic mini-granules (CG, 85% β- tricalcium phosphate/15% hydroxyapatite) ground to 100-300 µm diameter and biphasic PEUR composites Nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite (nHA) The resulting composite bone grafts were denoted as CG/nHA-PEUR and BGCG/nHA-PEUR CG/nHA-PEUR cement contained 55wt% CG, 24.3 wt% nHA, and 20.7 wt% PEUR, whereas BGCG/nHA-PEUR cement contained 37.5 wt% BG, 22.5 wt% CG, 21.6 wt% nHA, and 18.4 wt% PEUR	Eight sheep, with two types of bone defects in each posterior limb. The defects included a non-weight-bearing femoral plug defect on the medial and lateral distal condyles of both femurs ($n = 16$ per group, two defects with a 6 mm diameter and a 16 mm depth) and a weight- bearing tibial plateau slot defect ($n = 8$ per group) approx- imately 50% of the total anterior to posterior tibial depth with 6 mm height. Each sheep received both grafts (BGCG/nHA-PEUR or CG/nHA-PEUR) in separate extremities, with graft placement alternating between animals. Micro-CT analysis was conducted in the immediate postoperative period, and at 4, 8, 12, and 16 wk	CG/nHA-PEUR cements mechanically stabilized the tibial plateau defects and remodeled to form new bone at 16 wk, with early weight-bearing. Cements containing BG particles were resorbed and showed fibrous tissue filling the defect. These findings represent the first report of a settable bone cement that remodels to form new bone while providing mechanical stability in a stringent large animal model of weight-bearing bone defects near a joint
Diba <i>et al</i> [32], 2019	To investigate the feasibility of synthesizing novel hybrid particles by exploiting the strong interactions between alendronate and 45S5 BG	In vitro and in vivo	4555 BG: a mean particle size of 2.0 ± 1.2 μm. Alendronic acid (4-amino-1-hydroxybutane- 1,1-diphosphonic acid) powder. 4-(2- hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES; ≥ 99.5%), and 2-(N- morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid hydrate (MES hydrate; ≥ 99.5%). Sodium hyaluronate powder (1.01-1.8 MDa) Injectable cohesive pastes: particles mixed with an aqueous solution of sodium hyaluronate (26 mg mL ⁻¹). A particle/solution ratio (g/mL) of 0.75. Final composition (wt%): HP1-7 (ALN 62.3 ± 0.6; Ca 11.4 ± 0.0; Na 12.8 ± 0.0; Si < 2; P < 1) HP2-7 (ALN 25.5 ± 9.8; Ca 16.7±0.3; Na 34.7 ±	A cylindrical defect (2.5 mm diameter and 5 mm depth) was created in the bilateral femoral condyle of osteoporotic male rats (<i>n</i> = 8 per experimental group) and filled with HP1-7 and HP2-7 hybrid particle pastes. Positive control: 45S5 BG	The hybrid particles released alendronate and inorganic elements (Ca, Na, Si, and P) in a controlled manner, exhibited a strong anti-osteoclastic activity <i>in vitro</i> , and stimulated the regeneration of osteoporotic bone <i>in vivo</i>

			0.0; Si 7.3 ± 0.3; P 9.9 ± 0.2)		
Prado Ferraz <i>et al</i> [33], 2017	To evaluate the <i>in vitro</i> osteogenic and osteoinductive potentials of BioS-2P and its ability to promote <i>in vivo</i> bone repair	In vitro and in vivo	$\begin{array}{l} Biosilicate^{\circledast}: 23.75\ Na_2O;\ 23.75\ CaO;\ 48.5\ SiO_2;\\ 4\ P_2O_5\ (wt\%),\ containing\ two\ crystalline\\ phases\ (BioS-2P)\\ Composition\ (mol\%):\\ BioS-2P\ (23.3\ Na_2O;\ 25.8\ CaO;\ 49.2\ SiO_2;\ 1.7\\ P_2O_5)\\ 45S5\ BG\ (24.4\ Na_2O;\ 26.9\ CaO;\ 46.1\ SiO_2;\ 2.6\\ P_2O_5)\\ \end{array}$	BioS-2P and 45S5 BG were cut into 3 mm thick discs and ground with silicon carbide paper to a grit of 400 (~35 µm). MSCs were obtained from the femur of two male Wistar rats and cultured on both types of discs and on polystyrene (control group). CSDs with a 5 mm diameter were created in 15 male Wistar rats and implanted with scaffolds. Evaluation occurred at 4, 8, and 12 wk ($n = 5$ per period). BioS-2P scaffolds seeded with unlabeled MSCs were implanted into calvarial defects and evaluated 8 wk later	Extracellular matrix mineralization increased in cells cultured on BioS-2P compared with 45S5 BG ($P = 0.029$)
Zhang et al[34], 2017	To compare the osteogenic capacity and effects of 4555 BG scaffolds reinforced with ZnO/B_2O_3 (ZB), called BG-ZB, with pure 4555 BG.	In vivo	BG-ZB: 30 SiO ₂ ; 28 CaO; 2 P ₂ O ₅ ; 30 B ₂ O ₃ ; 10 ZnO). 4555 BG containing 4% BG-ZB 4555/ZBx powders were homogeneously mixed with paraffin microspheres (porogen) of ~350 and ~500 μm diameter. BGs scaffolds manufactured with different porogens: 4555/ZB0-350, 4555/ZB4-350, and 4555/ZB4- 500	Thirty-six adult male rabbits were randomly separated into three groups according to the scaffolds ($45S5/ZB0-350$, 45S5/ZB4-350, and $45S5/ZB4-500$). Each animal underwent surgery for a CSD (\emptyset 6 × 10 mm) in the bilateral distal femur, with two different implants inserted into the right and left femurs	Open porosity decreased with the addition of 4% ZB, but the percentage of interconnected pores (> 50 μ m) increased with increasing porogen size from 350 to 500 μ m. Stronger scaffolds containing 4% ZB and 500 μ m porogen were beneficial for osteogenic capacity. In contrast, both scaffolds with smaller pore sizes exhibited a low level of new bone growth (< 32%) after 6-12 wk of implantation
Westhauser <i>et al</i> [35], 2019	To evaluate the effects of 0106- B1-BG and 45S5 BG on osteogenic differentiation, viability, and proliferation of MSCs <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> in severe combined immunode- ficient (SCID) mice	In vitro and in vivo	Borosilicate glass (0106-B1-BG) (wt%): 37.5% SiO ₂ , 22.6% CaO, 5.9% Na ₂ O, 4% P ₂ O ₅ , 12% K ₂ O, 5.5% MgO, 12.5% B ₂ O ₃) 4555 BG (wt%): 45%SiO ₂ , 24.5% CaO, 24.5% Na ₂ O, 6% P ₂ O ₅)	Ten scaffolds per BG type were seeded with MSCs. Two scaffolds per BG type were implanted without MSCs as a control (total of 24 scaffolds). Four scaffolds were implanted per animal (female SCID mice), with two subcutaneous pockets created on the forelimbs and two on the hindlimbs Evaluation occurred after 10 wk	<i>In vitro</i> : both 4555 BG and 0106-B1-BG were comparable in terms of MSC proliferation, viability, and osteogenic differen- tiation <i>In vivo</i> : 0106-B1-BG scaffolds were significantly superior to 4555 BG in terms of osteoid quantity and maturation and angiogenic gene expression patterns
Jing et al[<mark>36]</mark> , 2018	To investigate the relationship between icariin-doped 4555 BG seeded with ASCs and angiogenesis of rat EPCs, in rat calvarial bone defect	In vitro and in vivo	4555 BG (wt%): 45% SiO ₂ , 24.5% Na ₂ O, 24.5% CaO, and 6% P ₂ O ₅ , in a cubic and porous format with a volume of $5 \times 5 \times 5$ mm ³ loaded with 30 µL of icariin at a concentration of 5×10^{-3} mol/L Pure 4555 BG scaffolds were used for comparison	A 8 mm diameter calvarial defect was created in the dorsal portion of the parietal bone in twenty male Sprague- Dawley rats, which were allocated into four groups: Group A (control, no implant), Group B (4555 BG), Group C (4555 BG/ASCs, 4555 BG seeded with ASCs), and Group D (icariin/4555 BG/ASCs, icariin/4555 BG seeded with ASCs). Evaluation after 12 wk	Treatment with icariin was optimal in promoting VEGF secretion from ASCs, and it was hypothesized to promote angiogenesis of rat EPCs. This suggests a paracrine role for VEGF in mediating the interaction between icariin-induced ASCs and EPCs
Westhauser <i>et al</i> [37], 2016	To evaluate the bone formation potential of three different types of hBMSC-seeded polymer- coated 4555 BG scaffolds in 3D using standardized protocols	In vitro and in vivo	Three types of 3D-polymer coated 45S5 BG scaffolds: Group A - scaffold coated in 5% w/v gelatin solution, (50 °C). Group B - scaffold coated in 5% w/v cross- linked gelatin-genipin (99:1) solution (50 °C) Group C - scaffold coated in 5% w/v PHBV solution (room temperature)	Each group (A-C) had four identical scaffolds differing only in the type of polymer coating. Scaffolds had a nominal size of $5 \times 5 \times 5$ mm and were implanted subcutaneously on the back above the upper and lower extremities of three female SCID mice. Evaluated 8 wk after surgery. hBMSCs from human bone marrow aspirate were seeded onto each scaffold	All groups exhibited bone formation and good infiltration of connective tissue cells, as well as a dense vascularization network. A-group showed a greater amount of bone. C- group, and especially B-group, exhibited a high dissolution. Both B- and C-groups showed more singular bone formation with no signs of interconnectivity
Moreira <i>et al</i> [38], 2018	To evaluate the effect of low- intensity laser therapy (LLLT) on the healing of bone defects filled with autogenous bone or 45S5 BG	In vivo	45S5 BG Biogran [®] Biomet 3i	A 5 mm diameter CSD was created on the calvaria of sixty adult male rats were divided into six groups ($n = 10$): group C (control, blood clot); group LLLT (LLLT-GaAlAs, wavelength of 780 nm, power of 100mW, energy density of 210 J/cm ² per point for 60 seconds/point, in five points, only once, after creation of the surgical defect); group AB (autogenous bone); group AB+LLLT (autogenous bone +	The highest ANFB was recorded in the LLLT group (47.67% \pm 8.66%), followed by the AB+LLLT (30.98% \pm 16.59%) and BG+LLLT (31.13% \pm 16.98%) groups. There was a statistically significant difference in ANFB values between group C and the other groups, except for the BG group ($P > 0.05$). There was no statistically significant difference in ANFB values between group AB and the other groups, between group

LLLT); group BG (45S5 BG); group BG+LLLT (45S5 BG + LLLT). Evaluation after 30 d

AB+LLLT and groups BG and BG+LLLT, and between groups BG and BG+LLLT. The highest area of remaining particles was found in the BG group ($25.15\% \pm 4.82\%$), followed by the BG+LLLT group ($17.06\% \pm 9.01\%$), and there was no significant difference between the groups

Nb₂O₅: Niobium pentoxide; 3D: Three-dimensional; micro-CT: Micro-computed tomography; BM-MSCs: Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; hescs: Human embryonic stem cells; CSD: Critical size defects; DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide; CBCT: Cone-beam computed tomography; VGi: Grayscale value in; ROI: Region of interest; CSC: Composite bone cement; CPC: Calcium phosphate cement; BGNb: Nb-containing bioactive glasses; BMMSCs: Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; ACL: Anterior cruciate ligament; BPTB: Bone-patellar tendon-bone; PEUR: Poly(ester urethane); nHA: Nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite; BioS-2P: Biosilicate[®] containing two crystalline phases; MSCs: Mesenchymal stromal cells; hMSC: Human mesenchymal stem cells; MTT: 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; SCID: Severe combined immunodeficiency; ASCs: Adipose-derived stem cells; EPCs: Endothelial progenitor cells; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; PHBV: Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxybuterate); LLT: Low-intensity laser therapy; ANFB: Newly formed bone; GaAlAs: Gallium-aluminum-arsenide.

observed that this composite is suitable for clinical applications because it is a self-setting material. The authors observed that the addition of 45S5 BG increased mechanical strength and the ability to induce apatite formation. This outcome was expected, given the well-known properties of 45S5 BG. According to their results, there is evidence of *in vivo* efficacy and potential for clinical applications of silicate-based composite bone cements.

Two studies investigated the subcutaneous insertion of scaffolds. These studies caught our attention because they diverged from typical biomaterial research in bone regenerative medicine. Westhauser *et al*[35] implanted subcutaneous scaffolds in rats to observe the behavior of 45S5 BG (as described in Table 1). Interestingly, the authors found that both 45S5 BG and 0106-B1-BG had similar effects on the proliferation, viability, and osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. However, 0106-B1-BG outperformed 45S5 BG in terms of osteoid quantity and maturation, as well as angiogenic gene expression patterns. In another study, Westhauser *et al*[37] implanted subcutaneous 45S5 BG scaffolds coated with gelatin, cross-linked gelatin, and PHBV after seeding with hMSC in SCID mice. They observed bone neoformation in all groups and suggested that the gelatin coating on these implants was more stable than on group A (Table 1). This lack of stability hinders the effective interaction of the 45S5 BG surface with the surrounding tissues, thereby interfering with the formation of new tissue. Bone neoformation plays a stabilizing role for the implant. If bone neoformation is insufficient, mechanical integrity will not improve, resulting in reduced bone formation and increased mechanical destruction. Westhauser *et al*[37] then proposed conducting mechanical tests on the scaffolds to test their hypothesis linking structural deficit to reduced bone formation. Alternatively, it is likely that scaffolds with pores larger than 500 µm in diameter do not induce bone formation[42].

45S5 BG is a bioactive (osteoconductive) and versatile biomaterial capable of inducing bone growth in animal soft tissues. The findings of Yuan *et al*[43] warrant further research on the osteoinductivity of 45S5 BG, its osteoinduction mechanism, and the relationship between osteoinduction and osteoconduction.

Xynos *et al*[44] demonstrated the activation of genes involved in osteoblast metabolism and bone homeostasis. This was achieved through a specific transcriptional program activated in human osteoblasts after treatment with ionic products derived from the dissolution of 45S5 BG. These genes have multiple functions, including the induction of osteoblast proliferation, as exemplified by the RCL gene, which acts as a growth promoter. Moreover, these genes are involved in the remodeling of the extracellular matrix (such as metalloproteinases), play specialized functions (such as CD44), and facilitate cellular interactions, both between cells and with the extracellular matrix.

In regenerative therapy, the ability of scaffolds to be colonized by osteoblasts is extremely important, as a 4555 BG substrate can serve as a model for previously modified tissues in bioengineering. As shown by Xynos *et al*[45], 4555 BG stimulated the growth and osteogenic differentiation of primary human osteoblasts. Prado Ferraz *et al*[33] evaluated BioS-2P and 4555 BG cylinders and found a similar cell growth pattern in both materials. Another interesting finding was that

the BioS-2P scaffold stimulated bone formation to such an extent that its combination with MSCs could not enhance it further. In another study, rat calvarial osteoblasts cultured on BioS-1P and 45S5 BG showed identical proliferation rates [46]. These findings strongly suggest that the presence of one or two crystalline phases does not affect the ability of Biosilicate® to sustain cell adhesion and proliferation. Granito et al[47] demonstrated osteogenic activity in 4555 BG and Biosilicate[®] but found no significant difference in morphometry between them, suggesting the need for further research. In both cases, growth dynamics accompanied the growth of 45S5 BG.

Icariin seeded with ASCs is another element added to 4555 BG to treat calvarial defects. A study [36] showed that this combination significantly improved neobone formation, while also displaying excellent osteogenic and angiogenic properties. This emphasizes the potential of this combination as a viable option for regenerating large bone defects.

In orthopedic regenerative medicine, the repair of tibial plateau fractures often requires extensive mechanical fixation and protected weight-bearing for 10 wk. This is because the lack of stability of existing grafts. For bone lesions near joints, the use of a biomaterial that hardens rapidly after implantation can stabilize the fracture with minimal use of rigid implants. Moreover, this biomaterial must stimulate the neobone formation and undergo remodelling at a rate that maintains bone integrity. Developing biomaterials that provide mechanical stability for fractures while facilitating bone remodeling remains a significant challenge in bone tissue engineering.

Lu et al[31] demonstrated that CG/nHA-PEUR grafts and BGCG/nHA-PEUR grafts with ceramic granules improved handling properties by reducing polymer tackiness. Both groups hardened within 20 s, resulting in a rigid cement that could not be manually compressed. We highlight the innovations of this research: the development of the first settable bone cement that not only offers mechanical stability but also remodels to form new bone in a large, stringent animal model, particularly for bone defects near a joint. In animals that tolerated the first few weeks of early loading, the CG/ nHA-PEUR cements demonstrated effective mechanical stabilization of tibial plateau defects and underwent remodeling to form new bone within 16 wk. In contrast, cements containing 45S5 BG particles were resorbed and filled the defect with fibrous tissue. Additionally, CG/nHA-PEUR cements remodeled at a significantly faster rate at the full weightbearing tibial plateau site compared to the femoral condyle site, which was mechanically protected in the same animal. These findings, along with mechanical tests, suggest that incorporating 45S5 BG into composites renders the material more brittle.

BG materials and composites may be applicable in load-bearing orthopedic injuries. Wheeler et al[48] observed that 45S5 BG had greater shear strength, greater bone growth, no decrease in trabecular bone thickness over time, and maintenance of mechanical integrity.

CONCLUSION

This editorial aimed to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date analysis of the applications of 45S5 BG in regenerative medicine. We have reviewed a diverse range of applications in scientific research. Below, we summarize the main findings and observations from these studies.

Several studies have compared 4555 BG with other biomaterials. The addition of niobium and other elements generally improves osteogenesis and biocompatibility of materials. These observations demonstrate the safety and efficacy of 4555 BG as a bone substitute for the treatment of severe defects. However, results have varied over time, suggesting that the choice of these elements may depend on the specific needs of the application. Scaffolds and cements have demonstrated potential in clinical applications because of their rapid hardening ability and their ability to induce the formation of apatite deposits. The incorporation of LLLT, subcutaneous scaffold inserts, and mechanical stabilization of fractures highlights the importance of further research to improve complementary methods in bone regenerative medicine. In summary, these studies suggest that 4555 BG and related materials have great potential in regenerative medicine and the treatment of bone defects. Modifications and combinations of these materials may optimize bone regeneration in various clinical applications.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Nogueira DMB and Rosso MPO contributed equally to this work; Buchaim DV and Buchaim RL designed the research study; Nogueira DMB and Rosso MPO performed the research; Zangrando analyzed the data; Nogueira DMB, Rosso MPO and Buchaim RL wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Brazil

ORCID number: Marcelie Priscila de Oliveira Rosso 0000-0003-1226-3249; Daniela Vieira Buchaim 0000-0002-9914-1262; Mariana Schutzer Ragghianti Zangrando 0000-0003-0286-7575; Rogério Leone Buchaim 0000-0002-5881-2218.



S-Editor: Gong ZM L-Editor: A P-Editor: Li X

REFERENCES

- Shahgholi M, Oliviero S, Baino F, Vitale-Brovarone C, Gastaldi D, Vena P. Mechanical characterization of glass-ceramic scaffolds at multiple 1 characteristic lengths through nanoindentation. J Eur Ceram Soc 2016; 36: 2403-2409 [DOI: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2016.01.042]
- Gao C, Peng S, Feng P, Shuai C. Bone biomaterials and interactions with stem cells. Bone Res 2017; 5: 17059 [PMID: 29285402 DOI: 2 10.1038/boneres.2017.59]
- Souza L, Lopes JH, Encarnação D, Mazali IO, Martin RA, Camilli JA, Bertran CA. Comprehensive in vitro and in vivo studies of novel melt-3 derived Nb-substituted 45S5 bioglass reveal its enhanced bioactive properties for bone healing. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 12808 [PMID: 30143690 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-31114-0
- Midha S, Kim TB, van den Bergh W, Lee PD, Jones JR, Mitchell CA. Preconditioned 70S30C bioactive glass foams promote osteogenesis in 4 vivo. Acta Biomater 2013; 9: 9169-9182 [PMID: 23891811 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2013.07.014]
- Wang X, Lu Z, Jia L, Chen J. Preparation of porous titanium materials by powder sintering process and use of space holder technique. J Iron 5 Steel Res Int 2017; 24: 97-102 [DOI: 10.1016/s1006-706x(17)30014-6]
- Zhang J, Tong D, Song H, Ruan R, Sun Y, Lin Y, Wang J, Hou L, Dai J, Ding J, Yang H. Osteoimmunity-Regulating Biomimetically 6 Hierarchical Scaffold for Augmented Bone Regeneration. Adv Mater 2022; 34: e2202044 [PMID: 35785450 DOI: 10.1002/adma.202202044]
- Qi J, Wang Y, Chen L, Wen F, Huang L, Rueben P, Zhang C, Li H. 3D-printed porous functional composite scaffolds with polydopamine 7 decoration for bone regeneration. Regen Biomater 2023; 10: rbad062 [PMID: 37520855 DOI: 10.1093/rb/rbad062]
- Barrère F, van der Valk CM, Meijer G, Dalmeijer RA, de Groot K, Layrolle P. Osteointegration of biomimetic apatite coating applied onto dense and porous metal implants in femurs of goats. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2003; 67: 655-665 [PMID: 14528464 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.10057]
- Hench LL. Bioactive glasses: Fundamentals, technology and applications. In: Handbook of Bioceramics and Biocomposites. Springer, 2015: 9 41-62 [DOI: 10.1039/9781782622017-00136]
- Lopes JH, Souza LP, Domingues JA, Ferreira FV, de Alencar Hausen M, Camilli JA, Martin RA, de Rezende Duek EA, Mazali IO, Bertran 10 CA. In vitro and in vivo osteogenic potential of niobium-doped 45S5 bioactive glass: A comparative study. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2020; 108: 1372-1387 [PMID: 31583810 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.34486]
- Hench LL. The story of Bioglass. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2006; 17: 967-978 [PMID: 17122907 DOI: 10.1007/s10856-006-0432-z] 11
- Hench LL, Splinter RJ, Allen WC, Greenlee TK. Bonding mechanisms at the interface of ceramic prosthetic materials. J Biomed Mater Res 12 1969; 3: 117-141 [DOI: 10.1002/jbm.820050611]
- Hench LL, Wilson J. An introduction to bioceramics. In: World Scientific. 1986 [DOI: 10.1142/9781908977168 0001] 13
- Jones JR. Reprint of: Review of bioactive glass: From Hench to hybrids. Acta Biomater 2015; 23 Suppl: S53-S82 [PMID: 26235346 DOI: 14 10.1016/j.actbio.2015.07.019]
- 15 Baino F, Fiorilli S, Vitale-Brovarone C, Verné E. Bioactive glasses: Special applications outside the skeletal system. J Non Cryst Solids 2016; 432: 15-30 [DOI: 10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2015.02.015]
- Day RM, Boccaccini AR. Effect of particulate bioactive glasses on human macrophages and monocytes in vitro. J Biomed Mater Res A 2005; 16 73: 73-79 [PMID: 15714504 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.30262]
- Rizwan M, Hamdi M, Basirun WJ. Bioglass® 45S5-based composites for bone tissue engineering and functional applications. J Biomed Mater 17 Res A 2017; 105: 3197-3223 [PMID: 28686004 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.36156]
- Jones JR. Review of bioactive glass: from Hench to hybrids. Acta Biomater 2013; 9: 4457-4486 [PMID: 22922331 DOI: 18 10.1016/j.actbio.2012.08.023
- Baino F, Verné E. Glass-based coatings on biomedical implants: a state-of-the-art review. Biomed Glas 2017; 3: 1-17 [DOI: 19 10.1515/bglass-2017-0001
- Jones JR, Brauer DS, Hupa L, Greenspan DC. Bioglass and Bioactive Glasses and Their Impact on Healthcare. Int J Appl Glas Sci 2016; 7: 20 423-434 [DOI: 10.1111/ijag.12252]
- Hench LL. Bioactive Materials for Gene Control. In: New Materials and Technologies for Healthcare. Imperial College Press, 2011: 25-48 21 [DOI: 10.1142/9781848165595 0003]
- 22 Rust KR, Singleton GT, Wilson J, Antonelli PJ. Bioglass middle ear prosthesis: long-term results. Am J Otol 1996; 17: 371-374 [PMID: 88170121
- Ilharreborde B, Morel E, Fitoussi F, Presedo A, Souchet P, Pennecot GF, Mazda K. Bioactive glass as a bone substitute for spinal fusion in 23 adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a comparative study with iliac crest autograft. J Pediatr Orthop 2008; 28: 347-351 [PMID: 18362802 DOI: 10.1097/BPO.0b013e318168d1d4]
- Lindfors NC, Koski I, Heikkilä JT, Mattila K, Aho AJ. A prospective randomized 14-year follow-up study of bioactive glass and autogenous 24 bone as bone graft substitutes in benign bone tumors. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2010; 94: 157-164 [PMID: 20524190 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.31636]
- Jung S, Day DE, Day T, Stoecker W, Taylor P. Treatment of non-healing diabetic venous stasis ulcers with bioactive glass nanofibers. Wound 25 Repair Regen 2011; 19: A30-A30
- 26 de Souza LPL, Lopes JH, Ferreira FV, Martin RA, Bertran CA, Camilli JA. Evaluation of effectiveness of 45S5 bioglass doped with niobium for repairing critical-sized bone defect in in vitro and in vivo models. J Biomed Mater Res A 2020; 108: 446-457 [PMID: 31657517 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.36826]
- Thomas NG, Anbarasu A. Cone-Beam Computed Tomography-Assisted Evaluation of the Bone Regenerative Potential of Modulated Sol-Gel-27 Synthesized 45S5 Bioglass Intended for Alveolar Bone Regeneration. J Pharm Bioallied Sci 2022; 14: S123-S126 [PMID: 36110815 DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_667_21]
- Ma B, Huan Z, Xu C, Ma N, Zhu H, Zhong J, Chang J. Preparation and in vivo evaluation of a silicate-based composite bone cement. J 28



Biomater Appl 2017; 32: 257-264 [PMID: 28622750 DOI: 10.1177/0885328217715428]

- Esfahanizadeh N, Montazeri M, Nourani MR, Harandi M. Use of bioactive glass doped with magnesium or strontium for bone regeneration: A 29 rabbit critical-size calvarial defects study. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2022; 19: 18 [PMID: 35308452 DOI: 10.4103/1735-3327.338781]
- Fares A, Hardy A, Bohu Y, Meyer A, Karam K, Lefevre N. The impact of bone graft type used to fill bone defects in patients undergoing ACL 30 reconstruction with bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) autograft on kneeling, anterior knee pain and knee functional outcomes. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2024; 34: 181-190 [PMID: 37392257 DOI: 10.1007/s00590-023-03624-9]
- Lu S, McGough MAP, Shiels SM, Zienkiewicz KJ, Merkel AR, Vanderburgh JP, Nyman JS, Sterling JA, Tennent DJ, Wenke JC, Guelcher 31 SA. Settable polymer/ceramic composite bone grafts stabilize weight-bearing tibial plateau slot defects and integrate with host bone in an ovine model. Biomaterials 2018; 179: 29-45 [PMID: 29960822 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.06.032]
- Diba M, Camargo WA, Zinkevich T, Grünewald A, Detsch R, Kabiri Y, Kentgens APM, Boccaccini AR, van den Beucken JJJP, 32 Leeuwenburgh SCG. Hybrid particles derived from alendronate and bioactive glass for treatment of osteoporotic bone defects. J Mater Chem B 2019; 7: 796-808 [PMID: 32254854 DOI: 10.1039/c8tb03062f]
- 33 Prado Ferraz E, Pereira Freitas G, Camuri Crovace M, Peitl O, Dutra Zanotto E, de Oliveira PT, Mateus Beloti M, Luiz Rosa A. Bioactiveglass ceramic with two crystalline phases (BioS-2P) for bone tissue engineering. Biomed Mater 2017; 12: 045018 [PMID: 28573977 DOI: 10.1088/1748-605X/aa768e]
- Zhang L, Ke X, Lin L, Xiao J, Yang X, Wang J, Yang G, Xu S, Gou Z, Shi Z. Systematic evaluation of the osteogenic capacity of low-melting 34 bioactive glass-reinforced 45S5 Bioglass porous scaffolds in rabbit femoral defects. Biomed Mater 2017; 12: 035010 [PMID: 28589920 DOI: 10.1088/1748-605X/aa6b5c
- Westhauser F, Widholz B, Nawaz Q, Tsitlakidis S, Hagmann S, Moghaddam A, Boccaccini AR. Favorable angiogenic properties of the 35 borosilicate bioactive glass 0106-B1 result in enhanced in vivo osteoid formation compared to 4555 Bioglass. Biomater Sci 2019; 7: 5161-5176 [PMID: 31584047 DOI: 10.1039/c9bm01220f]
- Jing X, Yin W, Tian H, Chen M, Yao X, Zhu W, Guo F, Ye Y. Icariin doped bioactive glasses seeded with rat adipose-derived stem cells to 36 promote bone repair via enhanced osteogenic and angiogenic activities. Life Sci 2018; 202: 52-60 [PMID: 29471105 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2018.02.026
- Westhauser F, Weis C, Prokscha M, Bittrich LA, Li W, Xiao K, Kneser U, Kauczor HU, Schmidmaier G, Boccaccini AR, Moghaddam A. 37 Three-dimensional polymer coated 45S5-type bioactive glass scaffolds seeded with human mesenchymal stem cells show bone formation in vivo. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2016; 27: 119 [PMID: 27272901 DOI: 10.1007/s10856-016-5732-3]
- Moreira GS, Machado Alves PH, Esper LA, Sbrana MC, da Silva Dalben G, Neppelenbroek KH, Fraga de Almeida ALP. Effect of Low-Level 38 Laser on the Healing of Bone Defects Filled with Autogenous Bone or Bioactive Glass: In Vivo Study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2018; 33: 169-174 [PMID: 29340351 DOI: 10.11607/jomi.5900]
- 39 Rosso MPO, Oyadomari AT, Pomini KT, Della Coletta BB, Shindo JVTC, Ferreira Júnior RS, Barraviera B, Cassaro CV, Buchaim DV, Teixeira DB, Barbalho SM, Alcalde MP, Duarte MAH, Andreo JC, Buchaim RL. Photobiomodulation Therapy Associated with Heterologous Fibrin Biopolymer and Bovine Bone Matrix Helps to Reconstruct Long Bones. Biomolecules 2020; 10 [PMID: 32121647 DOI: 10.3390/biom10030383]
- Rosso MPO, Buchaim DV, Pomini KT, Coletta BBD, Reis CHB, Pilon JPG, Duarte Júnior G, Buchaim RL. Photobiomodulation Therapy 40 (PBMT) Applied in Bone Reconstructive Surgery Using Bovine Bone Grafts: A Systematic Review. Materials (Basel) 2019; 12 [PMID: 31817369 DOI: 10.3390/ma12244051]
- Della Coletta BB, Jacob TB, Moreira LAC, Pomini KT, Buchaim DV, Eleutério RG, Pereira ESBM, Roque DD, Rosso MPO, Shindo JVTC, 41 Duarte MAH, Alcalde MP, Júnior RSF, Barraviera B, Dias JA, Andreo JC, Buchaim RL. Photobiomodulation Therapy on the Guided Bone Regeneration Process in Defects Filled by Biphasic Calcium Phosphate Associated with Fibrin Biopolymer. Molecules 2021; 26 [PMID: 33562825 DOI: 10.3390/molecules26040847]
- Chang B, Song W, Han T, Yan J, Li F, Zhao L, Kou H, Zhang Y. Influence of pore size of porous titanium fabricated by vacuum diffusion 42 bonding of titanium meshes on cell penetration and bone ingrowth. Acta Biomater 2016; 33: 311-321 [PMID: 26802441 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2016.01.022]
- Yuan H, de Bruijn JD, Zhang X, van Blitterswijk CA, de Groot K. Bone induction by porous glass ceramic made from Bioglass (45S5). J 43 Biomed Mater Res 2001; 58: 270-276 [PMID: 11319740 DOI: 10.1002/1097-4636(2001)58:3<270::aid-jbm1016>3.0.co;2-2]
- Xynos ID, Edgar AJ, Buttery LD, Hench LL, Polak JM. Gene-expression profiling of human osteoblasts following treatment with the ionic 44 products of Bioglass 45S5 dissolution. J Biomed Mater Res 2001; 55: 151-157 [PMID: 11255166 DOI: 10.1002/1097-4636(200105)55:2<151::aid-jbm1001>3.0.co;2-d]
- Xynos ID, Hukkanen MV, Batten JJ, Buttery LD, Hench LL, Polak JM. Bioglass 45S5 stimulates osteoblast turnover and enhances bone 45 formation In vitro: implications and applications for bone tissue engineering. Calcif Tissue Int 2000; 67: 321-329 [PMID: 11000347 DOI: 10.1007/s002230001134]
- Moura J, Teixeira LN, Ravagnani C, Peitl O, Zanotto ED, Beloti MM, Panzeri H, Rosa AL, de Oliveira PT. In vitro osteogenesis on a highly 46 bioactive glass-ceramic (Biosilicate). J Biomed Mater Res A 2007; 82: 545-557 [PMID: 17311315 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.31165]
- Granito RN, Ribeiro DA, Rennó AC, Ravagnani C, Bossini PS, Peitl-Filho O, Zanotto ED, Parizotto NA, Oishi J. Effects of biosilicate and 47 bioglass 45S5 on tibial bone consolidation on rats: a biomechanical and a histological study. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2009; 20: 2521-2526 [PMID: 19644654 DOI: 10.1007/s10856-009-3824-z]
- Wheeler DL, Montfort MJ, McLoughlin SW. Differential healing response of bone adjacent to porous implants coated with hydroxyapatite and 48 45S5 bioactive glass. J Biomed Mater Res 2001; 55: 603-612 [PMID: 11288089 DOI: 10.1002/1097-4636(20010615)55:4<603::aid-jbm1054>3.0.co;2-n]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

