


# Driving pressure in mechanical ventilation: A review

Syeda Farheen Zaidi, Asim Shaikh, Daniyal Aziz Khan, Salim Surani, Iqbal Ratnani

<p><b>Specialty type:</b> Critical care medicine</p> <p><b>Provenance and peer review:</b> Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.</p> <p><b>Peer-review model:</b> Single blind</p> <p><b>Peer-review report's scientific quality classification</b></p> <p>Grade A (Excellent): 0</p> <p>Grade B (Very good): 0</p> <p>Grade C (Good): C</p> <p>Grade D (Fair): 0</p> <p>Grade E (Poor): 0</p> <p><b>P-Reviewer:</b> Tan X, China</p> <p><b>Received:</b> September 23, 2023</p> <p><b>Peer-review started:</b> September 23, 2023</p> <p><b>First decision:</b> November 9, 2023</p> <p><b>Revised:</b> December 4, 2023</p> <p><b>Accepted:</b> January 5, 2024</p> <p><b>Article in press:</b> January 5, 2024</p> <p><b>Published online:</b> March 9, 2024</p> 	<p><b>Syeda Farheen Zaidi</b>, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary University, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom</p> <p><b>Asim Shaikh</b>, Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University, Sindh, Karachi 74500, Pakistan</p> <p><b>Daniyal Aziz Khan</b>, Department of Medicine, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center, Sindh, Karachi 75510, Pakistan</p> <p><b>Salim Surani</b>, Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, Texas A and M University, College Station, TX 77843, United States</p> <p><b>Iqbal Ratnani</b>, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX 77030, United States</p> <p><b>Corresponding author:</b> Salim Surani, FCCP, MD, Professor, Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, Texas A and M University, No. 40 Bizzell Street, College Station, TX 77843, United States. <a href="mailto:srsurani@hotmail.com">srsurani@hotmail.com</a></p> <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <p>Driving pressure (<math>\Delta P</math>) is a core therapeutic component of mechanical ventilation (MV). Varying levels of <math>\Delta P</math> have been employed during MV depending on the type of underlying pathology and severity of injury. However, <math>\Delta P</math> levels have also been shown to closely impact hard endpoints such as mortality. Considering this, conducting an in-depth review of <math>\Delta P</math> as a unique, outcome-impacting therapeutic modality is extremely important. There is a need to understand the subtleties involved in making sure <math>\Delta P</math> levels are optimized to enhance outcomes and minimize harm. We performed this narrative review to further explore the various uses of <math>\Delta P</math>, the different parameters that can affect its use, and how outcomes vary in different patient populations at different pressure levels. To better utilize <math>\Delta P</math> in MV-requiring patients, additional large-scale clinical studies are needed.</p> <p><b>Key Words:</b> Driving pressure; Acute respiratory distress syndrome; Mortality; Positive end-expiratory pressure; Ventilator induced lung injury; Mechanical ventilation</p> <p>©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Core Tip:** Driving pressures ( $\Delta P$ ) of  $< 15$  have demonstrated the greatest benefit in mortality. It is most utilized in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Some large-scale randomized controlled trials are currently underway; their results will dictate the outcomes of certain  $\Delta P$ s under specialized conditions, such as the feasibility of reducing  $\Delta P$  in ARDS patients on mechanical ventilation and the impact of lateral positioning on  $\Delta P$ . It is clear, however, that careful implementation of  $\Delta P$ s can greatly improve outcomes.

**Citation:** Zaidi SF, Shaikh A, Khan DA, Surani S, Ratnani I. Driving pressure in mechanical ventilation: A review. *World J Crit Care Med* 2024; 13(1): 88385

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3141/full/v13/i1/88385.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v13.i1.88385>

## INTRODUCTION

Driving pressure ( $\Delta P$ ) is a fundamental element in mechanical ventilation. Its primary function is to overcome the elastic forces of the pulmonary system. It is derived from the difference between end-inspiratory airway pressure, commonly known as the plateau pressure ( $P_{plat}$ ), and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) in the absence of spontaneous respiration[1-4].

$\Delta P$  is derived from the ventilator and serves as a function of respiratory compliance and tidal volume. It reflects the pressure difference within a singular breath and is one of the major parameters implicated in lung stress[5,6]. Recent studies have shown a plausible association between  $\Delta P$  and improved survival in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)[5,7-13]. Even though it is commonly used across critical care centers around the globe, it is a measure that requires further introspection to harness its predictive potential and guide safe and effective ventilation.

This review article discusses the dynamics of mechanical ventilation and explores the role of  $\Delta P$ , its significance in recent studies, and the resulting implications for future research.

## PHYSIOLOGY AND MECHANICS OF MECHANICAL VENTILATION

Mechanical ventilation allows gas exchange and attenuates increased work of breathing in the setting of an acute compromise of the respiratory system. The mechanics are expressed through indices such as pressure, flow, volume, resistance, work of breathing, and compliance, which directly influence lung volumes, functional residual capacity, and the resulting gas exchange[5].

Physiologically, the respiratory circuit works as a negative pressure system. On inspiration, the diaphragm is pushed down, and negative pleural cavity pressure is generated. A net negative pressure in the airway serves as a suction for air to be brought into the lungs. The negative pressure then, in turn, decreases the right atrial pressure and generates a similar suction effect in the inferior vena cava, which results in an increase in venous return[14].

During mechanical ventilation, however, this physiology is altered, and a positive pressure is transmitted into the pulmonary system instead. This leads to a more positive pressure in the pleural space and less of that suction effect. This positive pressure is also exerted on the right atrium, which leads to a decrease in venous return and preload. The net impact is a reduced cardiac output due to the underfilling of blood in the left heart and low mean arterial pressures[15]. An understanding of the effects of artificial ventilation is important to guide management in patients and adjust for the consequences.

The baby lung concept was coined by Gattinoni and Pesenti[16] after they observed computed tomography scans of patients with ARDS or acute lung injury. They noticed that the total aerated lung tissue of these patients held dimensions of 300-550 g, similar to a 5-6-year-old child. They proposed that the ARDS lung is not stiff but, in fact, functionally small—suggesting that the elasticity of the lung is most likely intact and that the lung tissue may change dimensions after recruitment maneuvers such as prone positioning. They highlighted that gentle lung treatment should thus be employed to avoid baro-volutrauma inflicted by standard aggressive resuscitative strategies. This theory has gained traction as further research on ARDS has supported their findings, and the discovery and application of  $\Delta P$  in research has improved outcomes[17]. In general, a tailored approach to ventilation is required to match a patient's variable physiology to reduce the chances of ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI).

## FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE MECHANICS OF ARTIFICIAL VENTILATION

### Impedance

The forces that impede ventilation include non-elastic or respiratory system resistance, which occurs when gas flows within the airway circuit, and elastic resistance, which occurs in the absence of gas flow in the circuit[18]. Examples of non-elastic resistance include frictional resistance to gas flow, viscoelastic resistance from the deformation of thoracic tissues, and finally, the inertia of gas flow and tissue movement[18]. While small levels of impedance do not always mean

underventilation of lung tissues, higher levels of impedance require higher  $\Delta P$ s for effective ventilation. These forms of resistance can be reflected in the equation: (Resistance  $R = \Delta \text{ pressure} / \text{flow}$ ).

The elastic resistance comprises of resistance exerted by the chest wall and lung tissue, along with resistance exerted by the surface forces at the alveolar gas-liquid interface. The elastic resistance can be used to derive total compliance of the lung and the chest wall[18]. These forms of resistance can be represented by the following equations: (Compliance  $C_{rs} = \Delta \text{ volume} / \Delta \text{ pressure}$ ), [Elastance (EL)  $EL_{rs} = \Delta \text{ pressure} / \Delta \text{ volume} = 1 / C$ ].

As a rule, high levels of compliance, and therefore lower levels of EL, allow for less effective mechanical ventilation at lower  $\Delta P$ s. Hence, in certain conditions that alter these mechanics, such as in emphysema, which leads to higher compliance, increased levels of  $\Delta P$  are required to maintain adequate levels of ventilation.

## PRESSURE

### Airway pressure

Airway pressure is equal to alveolar pressure in the resting state and depicts the pressure generated to overcome the retractive elastic forces of the pulmonary system. It is reflected in the following equation[19,20]; (airway pressure = flow x resistance + alveolar pressure).

Airway pressure dictates the  $\Delta P$ s required for adequate ventilation in all patients. Higher alveolar pressures and general airway resistance require increasing levels of  $\Delta P$  to maintain effective ventilation.

### Pplat

Pplat is the pressure exerted by the ventilator into the alveoli and small airways of the lung. It is calculated during an inspiratory pause of 0.5-1 s on the ventilator when the respiratory muscles are relaxed. This pressure approximates the mean peak alveolar pressure[21,22]. As noted previously, resting high Pplats are incorporated in  $\Delta P$  calculations in order to identify requirements for overcoming inside pressures.

### Transpulmonary pressure

Transpulmonary pressure ( $P_{TP}$ ) is the distending pressure of the lungs and is derived by calculating the difference between the pressure within the alveoli ( $P_{ALV}$ ) and the pleural pressure ( $P_{PL}$ )[23]. The transpulmonary pressure is described by the following formula: ( $P_{TP} = P_{ALV} - P_{PL}$ ).

### $\Delta P$

$\Delta P$  is derived from the difference between Pplat and PEEP. It is essentially the pressure required to open the alveolar sacs. Since static lung compliance ( $C_{stat}$ ) is derived from the formula; Tidal volume / (Pplat-PEEP),  $\Delta P$  is derived as an inverse function of respiratory system compliance ( $C_{rs}$ ). The higher the  $\Delta P$ , the lower the compliance of the lung and, therefore, an increased risk of volutrauma. Henceforth,  $\Delta P$  is the foundational pillar of mechanical ventilation. Adequate  $\Delta P$  levels are needed for effective air delivery to overcome resistances, high inner pressures, and losses during ventilation. It is described by the following equations[24]: ( $\Delta P = P_{plat} - PEEP$ ), ( $\Delta P = VT / C_{rs}$ ).

### Transpulmonary $\Delta P$

Transpulmonary  $\Delta P$  ( $\Delta P_{PL}$ ) can be defined as the difference between the  $P_{TP}$  at end inspiration and end-expiration.

### Peak pressure

Peak pressure is the maximum recorded pressure at the end of inspiration in the presence of airflow. Peak pressure is dependent on tidal volume, respiratory rate, and airflow[14,20].

### Intrinsic PEEP

Intrinsic peak expiratory pressure reflects the pressure exerted by the residual volume in the lung due to incomplete exhalation. It can be calculated by doing an expiratory pause and measuring the end-expiratory pressure[14].

### Stress

Lung stress refers to the pressure required to distend the lung against the counterforce exerted by the chest wall. Stress is depicted best by  $P_{TP}$ , which is the difference between airway pressure ( $P_{aw}$ ) and  $P_{PL}$ . Its formula is represented by ( $P_{aw} - P_{PL}$ ).

Despite its high predictability,  $P_{TP}$  is not frequently used due to the difficulty of calculation, and Pplat is thus used as an alternative. Pplat is reflective of alveolar pressure when the airflow is zero and is calculated during an inspiratory pause on the ventilator when the respiratory muscles are relaxed.

### Strain

Lung strain is directly related to lung stress and refers to the change in lung volume when compared to its volume during regular respiration[20,23,25].

## MODIFIABLE INPUTS IN ARTIFICIAL MECHANICAL VENTILATION

### Tidal volume

Tidal volume is the amount of air exchanged during ventilation at rest and is matched by ideal body weight or approximations based on the patient's disposition[14]. Tidal volume follows a proportional relationship with  $\Delta P$  in mechanical ventilation. Higher tidal volumes increase  $\Delta P$ s. This can be modified as needed.

### PEEP

PEEP or extrinsic PEEP is the end-expiration pressure that is delivered by mechanical ventilation to prevent the lung from collapsing. It is important to maintain the patency of the small airways and alveoli. This, in turn, increases lymphatic flow and allows adequate drainage of the lung. Therefore, lower levels of PEEP increase  $\Delta P$ s.

### Respiratory rate

The rate of ventilation is set to achieve target levels of carbon dioxide according to the patient's metabolic demands[14]. Respiratory rate is a component of mechanical power (MP) along with  $\Delta P$ . These levels can be changed depending on ventilation requirements and desired MP metrics.

## MEASURES OF PATIENT-MACHINE INTERACTION

### Mechanical energy

Mechanical energy (EnergyL) refers to various forms of energy transferred after each ventilatory cycle and can be derived simply through Pplat ( $\Delta PL$ ) and the EL of the lung. It is described in the following formula(s): ( $\text{EnergyL} = \Delta PL \times 2 / EL$ ), [ $\text{EnergyL} = \Delta V^2 \times [(0.5 \times ERS + RR \times (1 + I:E) / 60 \times I:E \times Raw) + \Delta V \times PEEP]$ ].

### MP and intensity

MP refers to the EnergyL multiplied by the rate of respiration, thus reflecting the EnergyL transferred per minute from artificial ventilation. This value captures both static and dynamic metrics that influence respiration[26]. MP has recently emerged as a novel and promising predictor of VILI. While its incorporation clinically is yet to be widened, it has shown promise. Maintaining lower rates of MP by considering the dynamic metrics it incorporates, can reduce rates of VILI. The formula of MP is reflected as [ $MP = (\text{EnergyL} \times RR)$  or  $RR \times W = RR \int_0^{VT} P_{aw} dV$ ]

## CLINICAL RELEVANCE OF $\Delta P$

In recent years, the understanding behind VILI has rapidly expanded from a limited perspective of pressures, volumes, and tidal cycles to an understanding of forces and their interplay in periods of stress and strain while being subjected to different forms of energy and power. The value of  $\Delta P$  has recently gained traction in research and practice due to key findings demonstrating the impact of high  $\Delta P$ s, resulting in low compliance and increased risk of volutrauma. Ultimately lead to higher morbidity and mortality in patients requiring artificial ventilation-particularly in cases of ARDS.

A meta-analysis by Amato *et al*[3] analyzed 9 randomized controlled trials and demonstrated that in intensive care settings, ARDS patients with elevated  $\Delta P$ s of 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O were positively associated with higher mortality (relative risk, 1.41; 95%CI: 1.31 to 1.51;  $P < 0.001$ ), (relative risk, 1.36; 95%CI: 1.17 to 1.58;  $P < 0.001$ ), after every 1 standard increment in  $\Delta P$  (approximately 7 cm of water). This result was despite the protective range of tidal volumes and Pplat[3]. A  $\Delta P$  less than 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O was considered to be a safe threshold to guide ventilation in ARDS patients and decrease mortality[3]. This study, despite its limitations, provided a significant understanding of the delicate role  $\Delta P$ s can play in the management of ARDS and the intricate and precise difference the slightest of modifications can make.

In addition, the large observational cohort study to understand the global impact of Severe Acute Respiratory failure (LUNG-SAFE), a multicenter, international study, was conducted to identify the incidence of ARDS in intensive care units but also to collect information about the associated ventilatory management, therapies, and outcomes. The multivariate analyses concluded that high peak pressures, higher Pplats, high  $\Delta P$ s of  $> 14$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O, and low PEEP were associated with increased mortality in these patients[27].

This notion gained additional strength when Bellani *et al*[28], in their retrospective study, also demonstrated that a higher  $\Delta P$  was associated with higher mortality rates. Chiumello *et al*[2] revealed that ARDS patients with higher than threshold values of  $\Delta P$  experienced lung stress and thus were likely to experience more ventilator-associated lung injury due to cyclic stretch. It can, therefore, be inferred that the  $\Delta P$  reflects the stress on the lungs and that outputs like tidal volumes should be adjusted for  $\Delta P$  instead of traditional measures. Similarly, PEEP can be adjusted to accommodate the loss of airway recruitment, increased levels of stress and strain, and the resulting increase in  $\Delta P$ . A higher PEEP will improve lung compliance and attenuate the high  $\Delta P$ s resulting from lung inhomogeneity[29,30].

Villar *et al*[31] provided evidence that  $\Delta P$  was related to an increase in hospital mortality despite optimized protective ventilation. In addition, Urner *et al*[32] assessed the dose-effect relationship between  $\Delta P$  and survival. A hazard ratio of 1.064 (95%CI 1.057-1.071) was seen with a daily increment of  $\Delta P$ . A higher mortality was noted with  $\Delta P$  levels of  $\geq 15$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O, even if present for brief period. Furthermore, a higher  $\Delta P$  level had a greater increase in mortality when compared

to  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$  or other metrics of oxygenation.

While it has emerged as a promising metric to help attenuate events of VILI, despite reliable estimation of lung pressures,  $\Delta P$  alone may not provide an accurate measure of risk. The process of VILI occurs due to a complex interplay of various forces, therefore multiple parameters need to be accounted for and addressed when managing mechanical ventilation in these cases.

## MONITORING, MEASUREMENT, AND PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

$\Delta P$  is measured in two ways. Firstly, in the setting of an absence of spontaneous breathing, an end-inspiratory hold of a few seconds on the ventilator provides the value of Pplat. The  $\Delta P$  can be derived from the following formula (Pplat-PEEP). This method can be vulnerable to oversimplification and bias when auto-PEEP is significant and not accounted for. It can also be erroneous when clinical leaks such as bronchopleural fistulas or micro leaks are found in the apparatus or tubing. These errors can result in second-by-second variability in Pplat values. A way to counter this discrepancy is to shift the ventilator to volume control mode and set a shorter inspiratory pause of 0.3 s. This method will provide consistent measures of Pplat and thus more reliability due to shorter occlusion periods[33].

Foti *et al*[34] described the method of calculating  $\Delta P$  in static conditions with pressure support ventilation. The derivation of Pplat is performed after an end-inspiratory hold and respiratory muscle relaxation [when the pressure generated by the ventilator (Paw) reaches a plateau] This method was proven by Akoumianaki *et al*[35] to overestimate Pplat and  $\Delta P$  due to confounding by expiratory muscle activity. Another potential problem that distorts an accurate read of the Pplat is reverse triggering, wherein a patient initiates inspiratory effort during the middle or end of a passive inspiration by the ventilator. This is a form of ventilator desynchrony that tends to occur when a patient is weaning off sedation or paralysis. This results in an underestimation of Pplat due to a misleading drop in end-inspiratory pressures [36].

Therefore, in the case of spontaneous breathing, the derivation of  $\Delta P$  becomes slightly more complex, as the patient component of respiratory effort needs to be accounted for, which is essentially driving the breath. Therefore, the pressure applied by the ventilator (Pplat-PEEP) needs to be added to the pressure generated by the respiratory muscles; *i.e.*,  $P_{PL}$ . The  $P_{PL}$  can be accurately estimated through esophageal manometry, and this dynamic measurement is called the PL swing. The swing describes the  $\Delta P$  for insufflation of the lung and generation of flow representing the overall change in  $P_{PL}$ [37]. Therefore, during spontaneous breathing, the formula of  $\Delta P$  changes to the following:  $\Delta P = (\text{Pplat-PEEP} + \Delta P_{PL})$ .

Another important value Bertoni *et al*[38] investigated was the  $\Delta P_{occ}$  (occluded inspiratory airway pressure), also known as the Pes swing. This value emerged from performing an expiratory hold to ascertain the patient's inspiratory effort. The deflection depicts the change in  $P_{PL}$ . This was described as dynamic  $P_{PL}$ . The equation to describe dynamic  $P_{PL}$  is: Predicted dynamic  $P_{PL} = [\Delta P_{Ldyn} - (P_{peak} - \text{PEEP}) - 0.66 \times \Delta P_{occ}]$ . In addition to this, Telias *et al*[39] also showed the value of the airway occlusion pressure (P0.1) metric that is measured in the first 100 ms. of an occlusion. This metric was used to accurately detect inspiratory effort and low ventilatory drive. P0.1 can also be used to ascertain high values of dynamic.

## $\Delta P$ IN SPECIAL POPULATIONS

### ARDS

In ARDS, the lung becomes less compliant, resulting in a reduced proportion of recruitable tissue that can be ventilated. This was best illustrated by the previously described baby lung concept[16]. These alterations thus require modulation of ventilatory techniques to account for the loss of lung volume, such as adjusting tidal volume with compliance and increasing PEEP to target  $\Delta P$ [3]. As discussed earlier, many studies have described the role of  $\Delta P$  in patients with ARDS. The strong association of cyclical stretch and lung stress correlates with  $\Delta P$  and overall survival in ARDS.

Blondonnet *et al*[40] analyzed  $\Delta P$  at baseline and at 24 h in patients who had developed ARDS. The analysis showed that both baseline  $\Delta P$  and respiratory rate were significantly lower.  $\Delta P$  greater than 16.5 cm  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was predictive for ARDS development and vice versa. Similarly, Haudebourg *et al*[11] demonstrated that  $\Delta P$ -targeted ventilation in patients with moderate to severe ARDS improved ventilatory parameters such as increased tidal volumes, lower MP requirement, and reduced respiratory rate. In addition, Guérin *et al*[7], in their study, showed that  $\Delta P$  was more strongly associated with survival as compared to PEEP and tidal volume in ARDS patients. While many studies have highlighted the role of  $\Delta P$  and its influence on outcomes, some studies suggest otherwise.

One such study by Romano *et al*[41] compared limiting  $\Delta P$  with standard lung protective measures during ventilation of patients with ARDS. The tidal volume was set according to ideal weight; 4-8 mL/kg and a  $\Delta P$  of 10 or the lowest possible was applied. The comparison group was ventilated according to the ARDSNet protocol with adjustments made in tidal volume based on Pplat. It was seen that both the  $\Delta P$  and tidal volumes were lower in the  $\Delta P$ -limited group as opposed to the conventional group, although there was no effect on outcomes.

### Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), an external circuit to directly oxygenate the blood and remove carbon dioxide, was unveiled in the 1970s, but its use took off in more recent years, increasing to a whopping 433% since 2006 [42]. ECMO is used to rest the lungs and decrease stress, strain, and intensity experienced in mechanical ventilation. It provides cardiac, respiratory, or cardiorespiratory support when needed. Ultra-low tidal volumes are employed, and



various strategies are used to achieve this. Current guidelines for mechanical ventilation with ECMO, target Pplat and tidal volumes corrected for ideal body weight[43].

A study by Gupta *et al*[44] analyzed  $\Delta P$ s pre- and post-ECMO initiation to compare how high and low  $\Delta P$ s influence mortality and outcomes. In this study, 46% of patients had increased  $\Delta P$ s and higher drops in PEEP post-ECMO initiation. The study also showed a significantly longer length of ECMO stay in patients, perhaps due to poor parameters influencing clinician decision of weaning. High  $\Delta P$  in ECMO was seen as a strong predictor of 30-d mortality in both adjusted and unadjusted analyses of patients receiving ECMO in both groups.

Magunia *et al*[45] showed in their study of patients receiving VV-ECMO that survivors had increased compliance levels and lower  $\Delta P$ s as compared to non-survivors. Similarly, Chiu *et al*, in their study of patients with severe ARDS on ECMO, showed that the cut-off point between survivors and non-survivors was a 33% change in  $\Delta P$  within the first 12 h with a sensitivity of 78% and a specificity of 67.9%[46].

In the study by Del Sorbo *et al*[47], the effects of changing  $\Delta P$  were correlated with various variables. A linear relationship was demonstrated between the change in  $\Delta P$  and the concentration of certain inflammatory mediators that correlate with VILI in lung epithelial cells. This finding suggests a strong predictive potential for VILI if  $\Delta P$  is utilized adequately in these patients.

### Surgical

In the surgical setting, there is a high likelihood of pulmonary complications, particularly in thoracic surgery, due to direct injury of lung tissues and open ventilation of one lung. This results in a heightened immune response and increased pulmonary vascular permeability, resulting in ARDS[48].

Blank *et al*[49] analyzed the  $\Delta P$  and tidal volumes of patients undergoing thoracic surgery with two or one-lung ventilation and found that  $\Delta P$  was a risk factor for overall postoperative morbidity with an odds ratio of 1.034 (97.5%CI. 1.001 to 1.068). In a meta-analysis of surgical patients, Neto and colleagues demonstrated a positive correlation between postoperative respiratory complications in patients with higher  $\Delta P$ s with an odds ratio of 1.16 for each 1 cm H<sub>2</sub>O increase in  $\Delta P$ . No significant associations were found with tidal volume, and PEEP was only influential if it altered the  $\Delta P$ [50].

In a cohort study conducted by Mathis *et al*[51] of cardiac patients undergoing surgery, modified  $\Delta P$  was independently associated with decreased pulmonary complications. However, it was not clear whether active control of  $\Delta P$  would result in improved outcomes, and the method of controlling or reducing  $\Delta P$  was not particularly defined in their study.

Park *et al*[52] randomized patients who were receiving elective thoracic surgery into two ventilatory groups. One group was ventilated with standard measures of low tidal volumes, a PEEP of 5 cm H<sub>2</sub>O, and tailored maneuvers to increase recruitment were utilized as needed. Low tidal volumes were used in the second group, but PEEP was titrated according to the desired  $\Delta P$ . Postoperative pulmonary complications were higher in the first conventional group (12.2%) as compared to the second group, where  $\Delta P$  was titrated (5.5%). The incidence of ARDS was also elevated in the first group (5 patients) as opposed to the second group (0 patients). Despite the impressive findings, there was no impact on the development of ARDS by day 7, nor was there any decrease in the length of intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital stay.

### COPD

In the setting of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, there is increased air trapping, obstruction, and elevated airway resistance. This leads to an increase in end-expiratory lung volume and an increase in end-expiratory alveolar pressure—also referred to as intrinsic PEEP. It is thus necessary to utilize an end-expiratory and inspiratory hold to accurately generate compliance and therefore  $\Delta P$ [53]. Although specific studies on COPD patients and the role of  $\Delta P$  in their ventilation have not been captured, COPD patients require monitoring to ensure the emptying of lung air and thus, PEEP is primarily adjusted.

### Obesity

Obese patients typically have higher chest wall EL, low or more negative  $P_{TP}$ , and lower compliance. Due to the variability of these values, a true reflection of  $\Delta P$  cannot be obtained accurately. In obese patients, transpulmonary  $\Delta P$  is more reliable, but more studies are required to better explain these assumptions[54]. De Jong *et al*[55] studied the relationship between  $\Delta P$  during the first day of ventilation and 90-d mortality in 100 obese patients and 262 non-obese patients with ARDS but found no association between  $\Delta P$  and mortality in obese patients. A limitation of the study was the reliance on body mass index as a measure of obesity and body fat percentage was not accounted for.

### Pregnancy

In pregnancy, the physiology of the respiratory symptom is altered. Due to the chemical effects of progesterone and prostaglandins E1 and E2, bronchodilation occurs. Prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$ , however, can increase airway resistance and constrict bronchial smooth muscles. In addition, due to uterine distension, the diaphragm is elevated, and there is an increase in end-expiratory abdominal pressure (Pga). The  $P_{PL}$  also increases, leading to a reduction in expiratory residual volume (ERV) and functional residual capacity (FRC) due to early closure of small airways. The chest height also becomes shorter and ERV is 8%-40% lower during the second half of pregnancy. The tidal volume and occlusion pressure also increase, reflecting a need for adjustment for ventilation[56,57].

Respiratory failure in pregnancy is rare, occurring in 1 in 10000 pregnancies, but its incidence has increased, particularly since coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)[58]. A study by Vasquez *et al*[59] showed that respiratory mechanics in pregnant females remained similar to the general population that required ventilation in cases of COVID. In a study by

Lapinsky *et al*[60], they conducted a retrospective analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on mechanical ventilation parameters. In the case of  $\Delta$ Ps, survivors had an average of  $< 14$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O, and non-survivors had higher  $\Delta$ Ps. Post-delivery, there was an increase in the PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio, but there was no change in static compliance or  $\Delta$ P.

### Pediatric

The first pediatric study to assess  $\Delta$ P in mechanically ventilated children was conducted by Schelven *et al*[13]. In their study, they demonstrated higher disease severity, MV indication, and an increase in extubation time in patients with higher  $\Delta$ P[1]. Similarly, a retrospective study by Rauf *et al*[9] assessed the effects of  $\Delta$ P on morbidity and mortality in children admitted to the ICU with ARDS. The study divided the children into two groups; one with  $\Delta$ P of 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O in the first hour and the other with lower than 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O  $\Delta$ P. It was seen that children with lower pressures had significantly lower morbidity in ARDS. A study by Yehya *et al*[61], however, suggested that  $\Delta$ P in children with ARDS was not an independent predictor of mortality. It is worth noting that  $\Delta$ P was not accurately defined in either of these studies.

### Elderly

Aging can result in an alteration of lung physiology through increased pro-inflammatory and fibrotic factors. Decreased chest wall compliance and higher levels of air trapping are noted due to the loss of lung tissue, which ultimately leads to a reduction in FEV1[62]. No study has specifically explored the effects of aging on  $\Delta$ P. Theoretically, it is assumed that it would increase  $\Delta$ P due to the change in compliance. It is clear that elderly patients are far more susceptible to higher morbidity and mortality in the ICU setting, and several studies have reported age as a strong predictor for mortality[63-66].

### Heart failure

Cardiac failure due to structural and functional causes frequently results in pulmonary edema, which requires mechanical ventilation strategies. In a fluid-filled lung, the lung's compliance dramatically decreases, and the resistive forces increase. The lung behaves in a similar way to ARDS due to the pulmonary edema and, therefore, holds the same pathological changes in mechanics.

In an observational study by Yang *et al*[67], they analyzed 632 patients with heart failure who required invasive ventilation. It was found that higher  $\Delta$ Ps above a threshold of 14.27 cm H<sub>2</sub>O were found to be independently associated with increased in-hospital mortality if patients were ventilated over 48 h. With each increment of 1 cm H<sub>2</sub>O of  $\Delta$ P, an increased odds ratio of 1.12 in the risk of in-hospital mortality was observed. In contrast, Schmid *et al*'s study showed that there was no association with mortality in non-ARDS patients, including patients with heart failure and other cardiac-related diseases[10]. A summary of the cumulative findings of  $\Delta$ P in special populations is shown in Table 1.

## CLINICAL OUTCOMES

A cohort study by Goodwin *et al*[68] examined electronic health records to ascertain the importance of  $\Delta$ P and EL in influencing clinical outcomes. The study assessed various factors in 2334 homogenous patients with respiratory failure in the ICU. After adjustment for covariates, exposure to  $\Delta$ Ps  $> 15$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O was associated with a 19% increased risk (1.19; CI 1.07-1.33) of mortality and 1.5 fewer ventilator-free days as compared to controls. Increased respiratory EL of  $> 2$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O/mL/kg was also associated with a 13% increase in the risk of mortality without any impact on the length of stay or ventilatory-free days. This study established a time-weighted averaging method to derive exposure of  $\Delta$ P and other metrics to give a consistent reading of  $\Delta$ P and its resulting influence[2].

$\Delta$ P and EL have been shown by numerous studies as predictors of reduced lung function, increased stress, and a high likelihood of VILI. Employing LUNG-SAFE ventilation strategies has expanded from lowering tidal volume to acceptable thresholds of plateau  $\Delta$ Ps and reducing ventilation frequency. Currently, values of Pplat of 30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O and  $\Delta$ P of 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O are considered the upper limit. Intervention is thus necessary to tailor ventilatory care[69-71].

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND LATEST RESEARCH

Many studies have provided significant insight into the relevance of  $\Delta$ P as a detrimental factor in guiding ventilation. These studies have demonstrated this by showcasing high numbers of adverse events in the setting of elevated  $\Delta$ P. It is worth noting that these studies are not without limitations, with many of them lacking causality. Therefore, there is a need for more comparative analyses to better predict the validity of  $\Delta$ P when compared to other parameters such as PEEP, EL, tidal volume, MP, *etc.* A standardized method of quantifying  $\Delta$ P is also crucial to ensure accuracy, and a protocol should be introduced for clinicians to follow if they plan to conduct further research on the impact of  $\Delta$ P. The adjunct of additional modalities, such as Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT) and Ultrasound, will further improve validity and give valuable insight[27].  $\Delta$ P in ECMO should also be utilized and studied to extract valuable findings.

It is common in studies to derive  $\Delta$ Ps during passive ventilation, but efforts should be made to explore  $\Delta$ Ps in the presence of spontaneous breathing. This will deliver additional comparative data and assess whether there are any shifts in  $\Delta$ P while revealing additional metrics that may directly or indirectly influence results. The newer generation of ventilators should also be introduced when feasible to guide clinicians in accounting for all the dynamic and static forces

**Table 1 Findings from clinical studies regarding driving pressure**

Population	Ref.	Study design	Sample	Findings
ARDS	Blondonnet <i>et al</i> [40]	Prospective cohort; secondary analysis	221 patients with at least 1 risk factor for ARDS	15% developed ARDS within 7 d who had higher baseline $\Delta P$
ARDS	Guerin <i>et al</i> [7]	2 randomized controlled trials  Secondary analysis	787 patients	DP was more strongly associated with survival as compared to PEEP and tidal volume in ARDS patients  PEEP and Tidal volume were not associated with death in any model
ARDS	Romano <i>et al</i> [41]	Pilot randomized, controlled, nonblinded trial	31 patients with ARDS on invasive mechanical ventilation with a driving pressure of $\geq 13$ cm H <sub>2</sub> O	DP and tidal volumes were lower in the driving pressure-limited group as opposed to the conventional group, although there was no effect on outcomes
ARDS	Chiumello <i>et al</i> [2]	Prospective cohort	150 patients	At ICU admission, non-surviving patients had a higher arterial carbon dioxide compared to survivors; The transpulmonary driving pressure was significantly related to the airway DP; The transpulmonary driving pressure was significantly related to lung stress
ARDS	Amato <i>et al</i> [3]	Meta-analysis of 9 RCTs	3562 patients in the ICU	ARDS patients with elevated DP of 15cm H <sub>2</sub> O were positively associated with higher mortality; A DP of less than 15 cm H <sub>2</sub> O was a safe threshold to guide ventilation in ARDS patients and decrease mortality
ARDS	Bellani <i>et al</i> [27]	Prospective cohort	459 ICUs; 12906 patients	High peak pressures, higher plateau pressures, high driving pressures of $> 14$ cm H <sub>2</sub> O, and low peep were associated with increased mortality; There was a direct relationship between both plateau and DP and mortality
ARDS	Bellani <i>et al</i> [29]	Retrospective cohort study	154 patients	DP was higher, compliance was lower and peak pressure was similar, in non-survivors versus survivors; Lower respiratory system compliance and higher driving pressure were each independently associated with an increased risk of death
ARDS	Urner <i>et al</i> [32]	Registry-based cohort study	9 ICUs; 12865 patients requiring $> 24$ h of mechanical ventilation	Mortality was 18.1% with DP $< 15$ cm H <sub>2</sub> O compared with 20.1% under usual care
ARDS	Haudebourg <i>et al</i> [11]	Prospective cohort	51 adult patients	The change from PBW to $\Delta P$ -guided ventilation was thus accompanied by an overall increase in tidal volume from 6.1 mL/kg PBW to 7.7 mL/kg PBW (6.2-8.7), while the respiratory rate was decreased from 29 breaths/min to 21 breaths/min
ECMO	Gupta <i>et al</i> [44]	Retrospective cohort	192 patients	47% had a decrease in DP, whereas 32 46% had an increase in DP, and 7% had no change in DP after ECMO initiation. Those with an increase in DP had a significantly longer stay on ECMO than those without; Higher DP 24 h after ECMO initiation was associated with an increase in 30-d mortality
ARDS	Del Sorbo <i>et al</i> [47]	Randomized crossover physiologic study	10 patients	A linear relationship was seen between the change in driving pressure and the concentration of IL-6
ECMO	Magunia <i>et al</i> [45]	Retrospective cohort	105 patients undergoing VV-ECMO	$\Delta P$ was greater than 15 mbar in non-survivors
ECMO and ARDS	Chiu <i>et al</i> [46]	Retrospective cohort	158 patients with severe ARDS on ECMO	After ECMO initiation, non-survivors had significantly higher dynamic DP until day 7 than survivors; Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score, ARDS duration before ECMO and mean driving pressure were independently associated with mortality
Surgical	Blank <i>et al</i> [49]	Retrospective cohort	1019 patients undergoing thoracic surgery with ventilation	DP was a risk factor for overall post-operative morbidity
Surgical	Neto <i>et al</i> [50]	Meta-analysis	17 randomized controlled trials, including 2250 post-operative patients	DP was associated with the development of postoperative pulmonary complications; An increase in the level of PEEP that resulted in an increase in DP was associated with more postoperative pulmonary complications
Surgical	Mathis <i>et al</i> [51]	Observational Cohort	4694 patients	10.9% experienced pulmonary complications
Surgical	Park <i>et al</i> [52]	Double-blind, randomized, controlled trial	292 patients	Melbourne Group Scale of at least 4 occurred in 8 of 145 patients in the DP group
	Li <i>et al</i> [71]	Systematic review	640 patients	The incidence of PPCS was lower and the compliance of the



		and meta-analysis		respiratory system was higher in the DP-oriented group during OLV
Obesity	De Jong <i>et al</i> [55]	Retrospective cohort	72% non-obese and 28% obese patients	The mortality rate at day 90 was 47% in the non-obese and 46% in the obese patients; In obese patients, driving pressure at day 1 was not significantly different
Pregnant	Lapinsky <i>et al</i> [60]	Prospective cohort	In 21 ICUs 69 patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, and 47 patients delivered while on the ventilator	Survivors had an average DP of < 14 cm H <sub>2</sub> O; Maternal mortality rate of 17.5 %, and perinatal mortality rate of 15.4%; The mortality rate was lower than in the general COVID-19 population
Pediatric	Rauf <i>et al</i> [9]	Retrospective cohort study	380 children in the ICU	Children in the group with low $\Delta$ P (< 15 cm H <sub>2</sub> O) had significantly lower median duration of ventilation, length of stay and ventilator-free days
ARDS	Yehya <i>et al</i> [61]	Prospective cohort study	544 children	DP was not an independent predictor of mortality
Pediatric	Schelven <i>et al</i> [13]	Prospective cohort study (secondary analysis)	222 children	Higher disease severity, MV indication, and increase in extubation time in patients with higher DPs
Heart Failure	Yang <i>et al</i> [67]	Retrospective cohort	632 patients	DP was independently associated with in-hospital mortality
No ARDS	Schmidt <i>et al</i> [10]	Retrospective cohort	622 patients	$\Delta$ P was not independently associated with hospital mortality

ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; PEEP: Positive end expiratory pressure; ICU: Intensive care unit;  $\Delta$ P: Change in pressure; MV: Mechanical ventilation; DP: Driving pressure; OLV: One-lung ventilation; PPCS: Postoperative pulmonary complications; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; PBW: Predicted body weight; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; RCT: Randomised controlled trials.

at play so that they can determine attributable risk. Further research on ARDS can benefit from using measurements such as esophageal manometry, as transpulmonary  $\Delta$ P is a more accurate representation of the force being applied to the lung. An effort should be made to conduct more studies that include different pathologic states that lead to ARDS and clarify any variations in  $\Delta$ P and ventilatory parameters.

In addition, more randomized controlled trials are needed to better understand the usefulness of  $\Delta$ P in practice, establish causality, and determine its impact on long- and short-term outcomes. Further exploration of the impact of  $\Delta$ P in various subsets of populations with varying etiologies is also needed. Basic science and physiological studies assessing the variability of pressures, lung volumes, oxygenation, and deformation of respiratory cells are needed to gain a more causal, sophisticated glance into cellular stress and strain[5].

Some up-and-coming trials that may provide further insight into the prospects and usefulness of  $\Delta$ P were researched. Upon searching the term ' $\Delta$ P' in [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov), many promising studies emerged that aim to elaborate on the role of  $\Delta$ P in various situations. A few of them are described as follows.

$\Delta$ P limited ventilation for Patients With ARDS [ART-2-Trial ID: NCT02365038]; a multicenter pilot randomized control trial that is assessing the feasibility of limiting  $\Delta$ P during ventilation of ARDS patients in one arm and employing the standard ventilatory guidance by ARDS Network strategy in the control arm. The tidal volume will be adjusted 3-8 mL/kg PBW to get target values of 13 cm H<sub>2</sub>O in  $\Delta$ Ps calculated day 1 and day 3 of randomization.

Mechanical ventilation based on  $\Delta$ P in lateral position (Trial ID: NCT04455789) A randomized, controlled, double-blind study of 60 patients undergoing total hip replacement surgery. The aim is to investigate the effects of different positions on ventilation utilizing traditional lung protective parameters in the control arm and a low  $\Delta$ P arm. They aim to assess hemodynamic and respiratory values and overall postoperative outcomes.

$\Delta$ P during general anesthesia for open abdominal surgery (Trial ID: NCT03884543). A randomized multicenter double-blinded control trial to assess whether the application of high peep during mechanical ventilation to maintain low levels of  $\Delta$ P helps prevent complications compared to standard low peep strategies. The study targets patients undergoing abdominal surgery who are at intermediate to high risk of pulmonary complications based on the ARISCAT score. These studies and many others hold strong promise in showing an appropriate application of  $\Delta$ P and its impact in various settings.

## CONCLUSION

$\Delta$ P has proven to be a highly significant metric when ventilating patients, particularly in ARDS. Adjusting for  $\Delta$ P has shown improved clinical outcomes and fewer incidences of VILI. Considerations should be made to improve the accuracy of measurements and monitoring. Ongoing research should enhance our understanding of  $\Delta$ P and how to best harness its potential in providing tailored, safe, and effective ventilation[3,4].

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Zaidi SF, Shaikh A, and Khan DA contributed to the literature search, writing, and review of the manuscript; Surani S and Ratnani I contributed in concept generation, review and overall supervision and editing.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** There is no conflict of interest associated with any of the senior author or other coauthors contributed their efforts in this manuscript.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** United States

**ORCID number:** Syeda Farheen Zaidi 0000-0002-3117-536X; Asim Shaikh 0000-0001-6984-9465; Daniyal Aziz Khan 0009-0003-4893-5605; Salim Surani 0000-0001-7105-4266; Iqbal Ratnani 0000-0002-1168-3041.

**Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies:** Society of Critical Care Medicine.

**S-Editor:** Qu XL

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Zhao YQ

## REFERENCES

- 1 Bugeo G, Retamal J, Bruhn A. Driving pressure: a marker of severity, a safety limit, or a goal for mechanical ventilation? *Crit Care* 2017; **21**: 199 [PMID: 28774316 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-017-1779-x]
- 2 Chiumello D, Carlesso E, Brioni M, Cressoni M. Airway driving pressure and lung stress in ARDS patients. *Crit Care* 2016; **20**: 276 [PMID: 27545828 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-016-1446-7]
- 3 Amato MB, Meade MO, Slutsky AS, Brochard L, Costa EL, Schoenfeld DA, Stewart TE, Briel M, Talmor D, Mercat A, Richard JC, Carvalho CR, Brower RG. Driving pressure and survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **372**: 747-755 [PMID: 25693014 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMs1410639]
- 4 Chen L, Jonkman A, Pereira SM, Lu C, Brochard L. Driving pressure monitoring during acute respiratory failure in 2020. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2021; **27**: 303-310 [PMID: 33899820 DOI: 10.1097/MCC.0000000000000827]
- 5 Meier A, Sell RE, Malhotra A. Driving Pressure for Ventilation of Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Anesthesiology* 2020; **132**: 1569-1576 [PMID: 32101980 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000003195]
- 6 Williams EC, Motta-Ribeiro GC, Vidal Melo MF. Driving Pressure and Transpulmonary Pressure: How Do We Guide Safe Mechanical Ventilation? *Anesthesiology* 2019; **131**: 155-163 [PMID: 31094753 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002731]
- 7 Guérin C, Papazian L, Reignier J, Ayzac L, Loundou A, Forel JM; investigators of the Acurasys and Proseva trials. Effect of driving pressure on mortality in ARDS patients during lung protective mechanical ventilation in two randomized controlled trials. *Crit Care* 2016; **20**: 384 [PMID: 27894328 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-016-1556-2]
- 8 Villar J, Martín-Rodríguez C, Domínguez-Berrot AM, Fernández L, Ferrando C, Soler JA, Díaz-Lamas AM, González-Higueras E, Nogales L, Ambrós A, Carriedo D, Hernández M, Martínez D, Blanco J, Belda J, Parrilla D, Suárez-Sipmann F, Tarancón C, Mora-Ordoñez JM, Blanch L, Pérez-Méndez L, Fernández RL, Kacmarek RM; Spanish Initiative for Epidemiology, Stratification and Therapies for ARDS (SIESTA) Investigators Network. A Quantile Analysis of Plateau and Driving Pressures: Effects on Mortality in Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Receiving Lung-Protective Ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2017; **45**: 843-850 [PMID: 28252536 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002330]
- 9 Rauf A, Sachdev A, Venkataraman ST, Dinand V. Dynamic Airway Driving Pressure and Outcomes in Children With Acute Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure. *Respir Care* 2021; **66**: 403-409 [PMID: 33024000 DOI: 10.4187/respcare.08024]
- 10 Schmidt MFS, Amaral ACKB, Fan E, Rubenfeld GD. Driving Pressure and Hospital Mortality in Patients Without ARDS: A Cohort Study. *Chest* 2018; **153**: 46-54 [PMID: 29037528 DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.10.004]
- 11 Haudebourg AF, Tuffet S, Perier F, Razazi K, de Prost N, Mekontso Dessap A, Carteaux G. Driving pressure-guided ventilation decreases the mechanical power compared to predicted body weight-guided ventilation in the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Crit Care* 2022; **26**: 185 [PMID: 35725498 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-022-04054-5]
- 12 Mao JY, Li DK, Ding X, Zhang HM, Long Y, Wang XT, Liu DW. Fluctuations of driving pressure during mechanical ventilation indicates elevated central venous pressure and poor outcomes. *Pulm Circ* 2020; **10**: 2045894020970363 [PMID: 33282200 DOI: 10.1177/2045894020970363]
- 13 van Schelven P, Koopman AA, Burgerhof JGM, Markhorst DG, Blokpoel RGT, Kneyber MCJ. Driving Pressure Is Associated With Outcome in Pediatric Acute Respiratory Failure. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2022; **23**: e136-e144 [PMID: 34669679 DOI: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000002848]
- 14 Silva PL, Rocco PRM. The basics of respiratory mechanics: ventilator-derived parameters. *Ann Transl Med* 2018; **6**: 376 [PMID: 30460250 DOI: 10.21037/atm.2018.06.06]
- 15 Mora Carpio AL, Mora JI. Ventilator Management. 2023 Mar 27. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan- [PMID: 28846232]
- 16 Gattinoni L, Pesenti A. The concept of "baby lung". *Intensive Care Med* 2005; **31**: 776-784 [PMID: 15812622 DOI: 10.1007/S00134-005-2627-Z]

- 17 **Gattinoni L**, Marini JJ, Pesenti A, Quintel M, Mancebo J, Brochard L. The "baby lung" became an adult. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; **42**: 663-673 [PMID: 26781952 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-015-4200-8]
- 18 **Marini JJ**, Rocco PRM, Gattinoni L. Static and Dynamic Contributors to Ventilator-induced Lung Injury in Clinical Practice. Pressure, Energy, and Power. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**: 767-774 [PMID: 31665612 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201908-1545CI]
- 19 **Su L**, Pan P, Liu D, Long Y. Mean airway pressure has the potential to become the core pressure indicator of mechanical ventilation: Raising to the front from behind the clinical scenes. *J Intensive Med* 2021; **1**: 96-98 [PMID: 36788801 DOI: 10.1016/j.jointm.2021.04.002]
- 20 **Hess DR**. Respiratory mechanics in mechanically ventilated patients. *Respir Care* 2014; **59**: 1773-1794 [PMID: 25336536 DOI: 10.4187/respcare.03410]
- 21 **Warner MA**, Patel B. Mechanical Ventilation. 3th ed. Benumof and Hagberg's Airway Management. 2013: 981-997 [DOI: 10.1016/B978-1-4377-2764-7.00048-8]
- 22 **Santanilla JJ**. Mechanical Ventilation. 3th ed. Emergency Medicine: Clinical Essentials, second edition. 2012: 19-27 [DOI: 10.1016/B978-1-4377-3548-2.00003-3]
- 23 **Gertler R**. Respiratory Mechanics. *Anesthesiol Clin* 2021; **39**: 415-440 [PMID: 34392877 DOI: 10.1016/j.anclin.2021.04.003]
- 24 **Dries DJ**, Marini JJ. Mechanical Ventilation. 3th ed. Critical Care Nephrology. 2019: 10-21 [DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-323-44942-7.00003-0]
- 25 **Gattinoni L**, Tonetti T, Cressoni M, Cadringer P, Herrmann P, Moerer O, Protti A, Gotti M, Chiurazzi C, Carlesso E, Chiumello D, Quintel M. Ventilator-related causes of lung injury: the mechanical power. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; **42**: 1567-1575 [PMID: 27620287 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-016-4505-2]
- 26 **Paudel R**, Trinkle CA, Waters CM, Robinson LE, Cassity E, Sturgill JL, Broaddus R, Morris PE. Mechanical Power: A New Concept in Mechanical Ventilation. *Am J Med Sci* 2021; **362**: 537-545 [PMID: 34597688 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjms.2021.09.004]
- 27 **Bellani G**, Laffey JG, Pham T, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, Gattinoni L, van Haren F, Larsson A, McAuley DF, Ranieri M, Rubenfeld G, Thompson BT, Wrigge H, Slutsky AS, Pesenti A; LUNG SAFE Investigators; ESICM Trials Group. Epidemiology, Patterns of Care, and Mortality for Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Intensive Care Units in 50 Countries. *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 788-800 [PMID: 26903337 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2016.0291]
- 28 **Bellani G**, Laffey JG, Pham T, Madotto F, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, Gattinoni L, Bumbasirevic V, Piquilloud L, van Haren F, Larsson A, McAuley DF, Bauer PR, Arabi YM, Ranieri M, Antonelli M, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Wrigge H, Slutsky AS, Pesenti A; LUNG SAFE Investigators; ESICM Trials Group. Noninvasive Ventilation of Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Insights from the LUNG SAFE Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; **195**: 67-77 [PMID: 27753501 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201606-1306OC]
- 29 **Bellani G**, Grassi A, Sosio S, Gatti S, Kavanagh BP, Pesenti A, Foti G. Driving Pressure Is Associated with Outcome during Assisted Ventilation in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Anesthesiology* 2019; **131**: 594-604 [PMID: 31335543 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002846]
- 30 **Cressoni M**, Cadringer P, Chiurazzi C, Amini M, Gallazzi E, Marino A, Brioni M, Carlesso E, Chiumello D, Quintel M, Bugeo G, Gattinoni L. Lung inhomogeneity in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; **189**: 149-158 [PMID: 24261322 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201308-1567OC]
- 31 **Villar J**, Blanco J, Añón JM, Santos-Bouza A, Blanch L, Ambrós A, Gandía F, Carriedo D, Mosteiro F, Basaldúa S, Fernández RL, Kacmarek RM; ALIEN Network. The ALIEN study: incidence and outcome of acute respiratory distress syndrome in the era of lung protective ventilation. *Intensive Care Med* 2011; **37**: 1932-1941 [PMID: 21997128 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-011-2380-4]
- 32 **Urner M**, Jüni P, Rojas-Saunero LP, Hansen B, Brochard LJ, Ferguson ND, Fan E. Limiting Dynamic Driving Pressure in Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2023; **51**: 861-871 [PMID: 36971437 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000005844]
- 33 **Chen L**, Del Sorbo L, Grieco DL, Junhasavasdikul D, Rittayamai N, Soliman I, Sklar MC, Raueo M, Ferguson ND, Fan E, Richard JM, Brochard L. Potential for Lung Recruitment Estimated by the Recruitment-to-Inflation Ratio in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. A Clinical Trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**: 178-187 [PMID: 31577153 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201902-0334OC]
- 34 **Foti G**, Cereda M, Banfi G, Pelosi P, Fumagalli R, Pesenti A. End-inspiratory airway occlusion: a method to assess the pressure developed by inspiratory muscles in patients with acute lung injury undergoing pressure support. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; **156**: 1210-1216 [PMID: 9351624 DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.156.4.96-02031]
- 35 **Akoumianaki E**, Maggiore SM, Valenza F, Bellani G, Jubran A, Loring SH, Pelosi P, Talmor D, Grasso S, Chiumello D, Guérin C, Patroniti N, Ranieri VM, Gattinoni L, Nava S, Terragni PP, Pesenti A, Tobin M, Mancebo J, Brochard L; PLUG Working Group (Acute Respiratory Failure Section of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine). The application of esophageal pressure measurement in patients with respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014; **189**: 520-531 [PMID: 24467647 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201312-2193CI]
- 36 **Murray B**, Sikora A, Mock JR, Devlin T, Keats K, Powell R, Bice T. Reverse Triggering: An Introduction to Diagnosis, Management, and Pharmacologic Implications. *Front Pharmacol* 2022; **13**: 879011 [PMID: 35814233 DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2022.879011]
- 37 **Tonelli R**, Fantini R, Tabbi L, Castaniere I, Pisani L, Pellegrino MR, Della Casa G, D'Amico R, Girardis M, Nava S, Clini EM, Marchioni A. Early Inspiratory Effort Assessment by Esophageal Manometry Predicts Noninvasive Ventilation Outcome in De Novo Respiratory Failure. A Pilot Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **202**: 558-567 [PMID: 32325004 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201912-2512OC]
- 38 **Bertoni M**, Telias I, Urner M, Long M, Del Sorbo L, Fan E, Sinderby C, Beck J, Liu L, Qiu H, Wong J, Slutsky AS, Ferguson ND, Brochard LJ, Goligher EC. A novel non-invasive method to detect excessively high respiratory effort and dynamic transpulmonary driving pressure during mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care* 2019; **23**: 346 [PMID: 31694692 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-019-2617-0]
- 39 **Telias I**, Damiani F, Brochard L. The airway occlusion pressure (P(0.1)) to monitor respiratory drive during mechanical ventilation: increasing awareness of a not-so-new problem. *Intensive Care Med* 2018; **44**: 1532-1535 [PMID: 29350241 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-018-5045-8]
- 40 **Blondonnet R**, Joubert E, Godet T, Berthelin P, Pranal T, Roszyk L, Chabanne R, Eisenmann N, Lautrette A, Belville C, Cayot S, Gillart T, Souweine B, Bouvier D, Blanchon L, Sapin V, Pereira B, Constantin JM, Jabaudon M. Driving pressure and acute respiratory distress syndrome in critically ill patients. *Respirology* 2019; **24**: 137-145 [PMID: 30183115 DOI: 10.1111/resp.13394]
- 41 **Pereira Romano ML**, Maia IS, Laranjeira LN, Damiani LP, Paisani DM, Borges MC, Dantas BG, Caser EB, Victorino JA, Filho WO, Amato MBP, Cavalcanti AB. Driving Pressure-limited Strategy for Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2020; **17**: 596-604 [PMID: 32069068 DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201907-506OC]
- 42 **Sauer CM**, Yuh DD, Bonde P. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation use has increased by 433% in adults in the United States from 2006 to 2011. *ASAIO J* 2015; **61**: 31-36 [PMID: 25303799 DOI: 10.1097/MAT.0000000000000160]
- 43 **Squiers JJ**, Lima B, DiMaio JM. Contemporary extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy in adults: Fundamental principles and systematic review of the evidence. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016; **152**: 20-32 [PMID: 27060027 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2016.02.067]

- 44 **Gupta E**, Awsare B, Hirose H, Cavarocchi N, Baram M. Don't Drive Blind: Driving Pressure to Optimize Ventilator Management in ECMO. *Lung* 2020; **198**: 785-792 [PMID: [32705400](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00408-020-00381-y](#)]
- 45 **Magunia H**, Haerberle HA, Henn P, Mehrländer M, Vlattén PO, Mirakaj V, Rosenberger P, Koeppen M. Early Driving Pressure Changes Predict Outcomes during Venovenous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Crit Care Res Pract* 2020; **2020**: 6958152 [PMID: [32257436](#) DOI: [10.1155/2020/6958152](#)]
- 46 **Chiu LC**, Hu HC, Hung CY, Chang CH, Tsai FC, Yang CT, Huang CC, Wu HP, Kao KC. Dynamic driving pressure associated mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Ann Intensive Care* 2017; **7**: 12 [PMID: [28124234](#) DOI: [10.1186/s13613-017-0236-y](#)]
- 47 **Del Sorbo L**, Goffi A, Tomlinson G, Pettenuzzo T, Facchin F, Vendramin A, Goligher EC, Cypel M, Slutsky AS, Keshavjee S, Ferguson ND, Fan E; International ECMO Network (ECMONet). Effect of Driving Pressure Change During Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in Adults With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Randomized Crossover Physiologic Study. *Crit Care Med* 2020; **48**: 1771-1778 [PMID: [33044283](#) DOI: [10.1097/CCM.0000000000004637](#)]
- 48 **Ahn HJ**, Park M, Kim JA, Yang M, Yoon S, Kim BR, Bahk JH, Oh YJ, Lee EH. Driving pressure guided ventilation. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2020; **73**: 194-204 [PMID: [32098009](#) DOI: [10.4097/kja.20041](#)]
- 49 **Blank RS**, Colquhoun DA, Durieux ME, Kozower BD, McMurtry TL, Bender SP, Naik BI. Management of One-lung Ventilation: Impact of Tidal Volume on Complications after Thoracic Surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2016; **124**: 1286-1295 [PMID: [27011307](#) DOI: [10.1097/ALN.0000000000001100](#)]
- 50 **Neto AS**, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, Beiderlinden M, Fernandez-Bustamante A, Futier E, Gajic O, El-Tahan MR, Ghamdi AA, Günay E, Jaber S, Kokulu S, Kozian A, Licker M, Lin WQ, Maslow AD, Memtsoudis SG, Reis Miranda D, Moine P, Ng T, Paparella D, Ranieri VM, Scavonetto F, Schilling T, Selmo G, Severgnini P, Sprung J, Sundar S, Talmor D, Treschan T, Unzueta C, Weingarten TN, Wolthuis EK, Wrigge H, Amato MB, Costa EL, de Abreu MG, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ; PROVE Network Investigators. Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Respir Med* 2016; **4**: 272-280 [PMID: [26947624](#) DOI: [10.1016/S2213-2600\(16\)00057-6](#)]
- 51 **Mathis MR**, Duggal NM, Likosky DS, Haft JW, Douville NJ, Vaughn MT, Maile MD, Blank RS, Colquhoun DA, Strobel RJ, Janda AM, Zhang M, Kheterpal S, Engoren MC. Intraoperative Mechanical Ventilation and Postoperative Pulmonary Complications after Cardiac Surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2019; **131**: 1046-1062 [PMID: [31403976](#) DOI: [10.1097/ALN.0000000000002909](#)]
- 52 **Park M**, Ahn HJ, Kim JA, Yang M, Heo BY, Choi JW, Kim YR, Lee SH, Jeong H, Choi SJ, Song IS. Driving Pressure during Thoracic Surgery: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesthesiology* 2019; **130**: 385-393 [PMID: [30664548](#) DOI: [10.1097/ALN.0000000000002600](#)]
- 53 **Tobin MJ**, Lodato RF. PEEP, auto-PEEP, and waterfalls. *Chest* 1989; **96**: 449-451 [PMID: [2670461](#) DOI: [10.1378/chest.96.3.449](#)]
- 54 **Vianna FSL**, Pfeilsticker FJDA, Serpa Neto A. Driving pressure in obese patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: one size fits all? *J Thorac Dis* 2018; **10**: S3957-S3960 [PMID: [30631526](#) DOI: [10.21037/jtd.2018.09.31](#)]
- 55 **De Jong A**, Cossic J, Verzilli D, Monet C, Carr J, Conseil M, Monnin M, Cisse M, Belafia F, Molinari N, Chanques G, Jaber S. Impact of the driving pressure on mortality in obese and non-obese ARDS patients: a retrospective study of 362 cases. *Intensive Care Med* 2018; **44**: 1106-1114 [PMID: [29947888](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00134-018-5241-6](#)]
- 56 **LoMauro A**, Aliverti A. Respiratory physiology of pregnancy: Physiology masterclass. *Breathe (Sheff)* 2015; **11**: 297-301 [PMID: [27066123](#) DOI: [10.1183/20734735.008615](#)]
- 57 **Weinberger SE**, Weiss ST, Cohen WR, Weiss JW, Johnson TS. Pregnancy and the lung. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980; **121**: 559-581 [PMID: [6998334](#) DOI: [10.1164/ARRD.1980.121.3.559](#)]
- 58 **Pollock W**, Rose L, Dennis CL. Pregnant and postpartum admissions to the intensive care unit: a systematic review. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; **36**: 1465-1474 [PMID: [20631987](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00134-010-1951-0](#)]
- 59 **Vasquez DN**, Giannoni R, Salvatierra A, Cisneros K, Lafosse D, Escobar MF, Montenegro M, Juárez P, Visani L, Mandich V, Barrozo E, Kirschbaum M, Das Neves AV, Valenti MF, Canseco MC, Romero I, Macharé P, Marquez AK, Rodriguez E, Palacio C, Rapela L, Amillategui Scenna JM, Nuñez R, Torres S, González MA, Franconieri L, Nasner D, Okurzaty P, Plotnikow GA, Intile AD. Ventilatory Parameters in Obstetric Patients With COVID-19 and Impact of Delivery: A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. *Chest* 2023; **163**: 554-566 [PMID: [36257474](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.chest.2022.10.010](#)]
- 60 **Lapinsky SE**, Viau-Lapointe J. Mechanical Ventilation and Delivery During Pregnancy. *Chest* 2023; **163**: 473-474 [PMID: [36894256](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.chest.2022.10.025](#)]
- 61 **Yehya N**, Keim G, Thomas NJ. Subtypes of pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome have different predictors of mortality. *Intensive Care Med* 2018; **44**: 1230-1239 [PMID: [29971591](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00134-018-5286-6](#)]
- 62 **Song M**, Liu Y, Lu Z, Luo H, Peng H, Chen P. Prognostic factors for ARDS: clinical, physiological and atypical immunodeficiency. *BMC Pulm Med* 2020; **20**: 102 [PMID: [32326923](#) DOI: [10.1186/s12890-020-1131-0](#)]
- 63 **Luo L**, Shaver CM, Zhao Z, Koyama T, Calfee CS, Bastarache JA, Ware LB. Clinical Predictors of Hospital Mortality Differ Between Direct and Indirect ARDS. *Chest* 2017; **151**: 755-763 [PMID: [27663180](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.chest.2016.09.004](#)]
- 64 **Brown R**, McKelvey MC, Ryan S, Creane S, Linden D, Kidney JC, McAuley DF, Taggart CC, Weldon S. The Impact of Aging in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Clinical and Mechanistic Overview. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2020; **7**: 589553 [PMID: [33195353](#) DOI: [10.3389/fmed.2020.589553](#)]
- 65 **Sharma G**, Goodwin J. Effect of aging on respiratory system physiology and immunology. *Clin Interv Aging* 2006; **1**: 253-260 [PMID: [18046878](#) DOI: [10.2147/cia.2006.1.3.253](#)]
- 66 **Vincent JL**, Moreno R. Clinical review: scoring systems in the critically ill. *Crit Care* 2010; **14**: 207 [PMID: [20392287](#) DOI: [10.1186/cc8204](#)]
- 67 **Yang Q**, Zheng J, Chen X, Chen W, Wen D, Xiong X, Zhang Z. Relationship between Driving Pressure and Mortality in Ventilated Patients with Heart Failure: A Cohort Study. *Can Respir J* 2021; **2021**: 5574963 [PMID: [34880958](#) DOI: [10.1155/2021/5574963](#)]
- 68 **Goodwin AJ**, Brinton DL, Terry C, Carter G, Files DC, Kirchoff K, Ford DW, Simpson AN. Driving Pressure, Elastance, and Outcomes in a Real-World Setting: A Bi-Center Analysis of Electronic Health Record Data. *Crit Care Explor* 2023; **5**: e0877 [PMID: [36861047](#) DOI: [10.1097/CCE.0000000000000877](#)]
- 69 **Marini JJ**, Thornton LT, Rocco PRM, Gattinoni L, Croke PS. Practical assessment of risk of VILI from ventilating power: a conceptual model. *Crit Care* 2023; **27**: 157 [PMID: [37081517](#) DOI: [10.1186/s13054-023-04406-9](#)]
- 70 **Fan E**, Del Sorbo L, Goligher EC, Hodgson CL, Munshi L, Walkey AJ, Adhikari NKJ, Amato MBP, Branson R, Brower RG, Ferguson ND, Gajic O, Gattinoni L, Hess D, Mancebo J, Meade MO, McAuley DF, Pesenti A, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Rubin E, Seckel M, Slutsky AS,

Talmor D, Thompson BT, Wunsch H, Uleryk E, Brozek J, Brochard LJ; American Thoracic Society, European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, and Society of Critical Care Medicine. An Official American Thoracic Society/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine/Society of Critical Care Medicine Clinical Practice Guideline: Mechanical Ventilation in Adult Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; **195**: 1253-1263 [PMID: [28459336](#) DOI: [10.1164/rccm.201703-0548ST](#)]

- 71 **Li X**, Xue W, Zhang Q, Zhu Y, Fang Y, Huang J. Effect of Driving Pressure-Oriented Ventilation on Patients Undergoing One-Lung Ventilation During Thoracic Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Surg* 2022; **9**: 914984 [PMID: [35722525](#) DOI: [10.3389/fsurg.2022.914984](#)]





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)

**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

