



## Digital psychiatry in low-and-middle-income countries: New developments and the way forward

Subho Chakrabarti

**Specialty type:** Psychiatry

**Provenance and peer review:**

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0  
Grade B (Very good): B  
Grade C (Good): 0  
Grade D (Fair): 0  
Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Fu L, China

**Received:** January 1, 2024

**Peer-review started:** January 1, 2024

**First decision:** January 21, 2024

**Revised:** January 28, 2024

**Accepted:** February 29, 2024

**Article in press:** February 29, 2024

**Published online:** March 19, 2024



**Subho Chakrabarti**, Department of Psychiatry, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh 160012, UT, India

**Corresponding author:** Subho Chakrabarti, MD, Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, 12 Sector, Chandigarh, Chandigarh 160012, UT, India. [subhochd@yahoo.com](mailto:subhochd@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) bear the greater share of the global mental health burden but are ill-equipped to deal with it because of severe resource constraints leading to a large treatment gap. The remote provision of mental health services by digital means can effectively augment conventional services in LMICs to reduce the treatment gap. Digital psychiatry in LMICs has always lagged behind high-income countries, but there have been encouraging developments in the last decade. There is increasing research on the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions. However, the evidence is not adequate to conclude that digital psychiatric interventions are invariably effective in LMICs. A striking development has been the rise in mobile and smartphone ownership in LMICs, which has driven the increasing use of mobile technologies to deliver mental health services. An innovative use of mobile technologies has been to optimize task-shifting, which involves delivering mental healthcare services in community settings using non-specialist health professionals. Emerging evidence from LMICs shows that it is possible to use digital tools to train non-specialist workers effectively and ensure that the psychosocial interventions they deliver are efficacious. Despite these promising developments, many barriers such as service costs, underdeveloped infrastructure, lack of trained professionals, and significant disparities in access to digital services impede the progress of digital psychiatry in LMICs. To overcome these barriers, digital psychiatric services in LMICs should address contextual factors influencing the delivery of digital services, ensure collaboration between different stakeholders, and focus on reducing the digital divide.

**Key Words:** Digital psychiatry; Low-and middle-income countries; Developments; Mental health

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Given the substantial mental health burden and treatment gap in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), there is a pressing need to implement digital psychiatric services to augment conventional mental healthcare. LMICs have traditionally lagged in this area, but there have been some encouraging developments recently. They include the increasing evidence of the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions and the growing use of mobile technologies to provide mental health services and optimize task-shifting. However, there are many gaps in the delivery of digital psychiatric services, which need to be overcome by efficiently organizing these services to improve mental healthcare in LMICs.

**Citation:** Chakrabarti S. Digital psychiatry in low-and-middle-income countries: New developments and the way forward. *World J Psychiatry* 2024; 14(3): 350-361

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/full/v14/i3/350.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjpv14.i3.350>

## INTRODUCTION

The remote provision of mental health services using digital technology, or digital psychiatry has been evolving constantly since its inception in the late 1950s[1,2]. Advances in technology over this period have driven this evolution and have influenced the nomenclature, types, and ever-expanding uses of digital psychiatric services.

Digital psychiatry subsumes several terms used to describe the remote delivery of mental healthcare. It includes telepsychiatry, which refers to videoconferencing-based, live, synchronous, and interactive communication between providers and users, and asynchronous modes of storing and forwarding data[3]. The primary purpose of telepsychiatry is to deliver specialist psychiatric care to remote and underserved areas. Tele-mental health expands the scope of digital services to include a wide range of clinical and non-clinical mental health services delivered by specialist and non-specialist professionals[4]. Electronic health (eHealth or e-mental health) includes all digital technologies to support healthcare delivery and health-related activities[5]. Mobile health (mHealth) is a subset of eHealth that uses mobile and wireless devices to deliver healthcare services[5]. The fundamental elements of digital psychiatry, the provision of mental health services from a distance using some form of digital technology to improve mental health, have remained the same despite the use of different terms over the years[4,5-7]. Currently, digital psychiatry encompasses a range of older (telephones and computers), current (internet and mobile technologies), and emerging technologies (virtual reality, social media, wearables, and advanced computing). Technological advances have also expanded the uses of digital psychiatric services from improving access to specialist care to supporting primary-care teams and undertaking public health activities[6-10]. New forms of service delivery such as low-intensity interventions[11], hybrid or blended care[12,13], and stepped care[12] have also been introduced.

The rapidly increasing global access to digital technologies has led to a proliferation of digital mental health services worldwide. Sixty-eight percent of the world's population were unique mobile subscribers and 97% were covered by mobile networks in 2023[14-16]. About 85% of the world's population owned smartphones. Internet access stood at 67% and 60% of the people were using social media[14-16]. The global surveys of digital health carried out by the World Health Organization (WHO) since 2005 have noted a steady growth in the different types of digital services[4,17-19]. For example, telepsychiatric services increased from 24% to 34% of all the member states from 2009-2016. The 2016 report found a global increase in the number of mHealth and internet-based programmes, emerging data on the health uses of social media and advanced computing, increased adoption of national telemedicine policies, and efforts to evaluate digital health services in many countries.

Finally, in the last seven decades, digital psychiatry has shown great potential in improving access, empowering users, and providing high-quality care at a reasonable cost in different settings for different patient populations[2,3,17,19]. Compelling evidence suggests that digital psychiatric interventions are comparable to conventional in-person treatments on a range of outcomes including diagnostic and neuropsychological assessments, feasibility and acceptability of treatment, treatment efficacy, improving quality of life and socio-occupational functioning, and promoting treatment alliances, and treatment engagement[6,20-23]. Internet and videoconferencing-based interventions constitute the bulk of the evidence[21-23] and have replaced phone and computer-delivered interventions[21,24]. The evidence base for mHealth interventions is growing, but convincing evidence for their efficacy is still lacking[25]. Research on social media, virtual reality, and big data analytics is scarce[9,19]. The current consensus appears to be that despite the favourable evidence, firm conclusions about the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions will require more research. The small number of high-quality and large-scale trials and a great degree of variability in the results from different trials contribute to this uncertainty. Moreover, there are significant gaps in the literature on digital psychiatric services regarding the range of disorders evaluated, utility in different patient populations, unequivocal evidence of cost-effectiveness, and the scarcity of large-scale implementation trials[8,9,21-23]. Last but not least, most of the evidence on digital psychiatry comes from high-income countries (HICs), and there is a considerable gap between the evidence base for the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions in HICs and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)[26].

## THE STATE OF MENTAL HEALTH IN LMICs AND THE ROLE OF DIGITAL PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES

Currently, over 85% of the people in the world live in LMICs[27]. Apart from poverty, these countries face other challenges such as overpopulation, demographic instability and migrations, poor social and living conditions, environmental degradation and climate change, political instability or armed conflicts, growing unemployment, illiteracy, crime, under-nutrition, and hunger. These adversities contribute to the enormous burden of physical and mental disorders in LMICs, which is made worse by the limited access to treatment[28].

Consequently, LMICs have to contend with more than their fair share of the mental health problems that exist globally [28-32]. Estimates show that more than 75% to 80% of the mentally ill in the world live in LMICs. At the same time, these countries have limited capacity to cope with this burden because of a lack of mental healthcare professionals, facilities, services, and funding. Moreover, these scarce resources are distributed unevenly and are least accessible to the poor and marginalized people from remote and rural areas. The situation is made worse by the lack of awareness and culturally-derived negative perceptions about mental illnesses, stigmatization of the mentally ill, and discrimination against them. All these factors culminate in a large gap between those who require mental health treatment and those who can get access to adequate treatment[30,32-34]. Estimates suggest that only about 5%-15% of the people in need of treatment can receive it. The lack of adequate treatment leads to further distress and disability for the mentally ill, greater social and economic burden for their families, increased healthcare costs, and increased burden on society because of loss of productivity. Several measures have been suggested to deal with this treatment gap[26,29,30,32,35]. They include prioritizing mental healthcare, increasing governmental involvement and funding, integrating mental health services with general medical services in primary-care settings, developing community-based facilities for mental healthcare, and training non-specialist workers to provide care. However, these measures have proved insufficient in reducing the mental health burden because of many barriers that have prevented their large-scale implementation. Digital psychiatric services can overcome some of these barriers and effectively augment traditional mental health services. Therefore, using digital tools to improve access, reduce stigma, provide mental health care, and train, support, and supervise non-specialist workers has been recommended as a key strategy to bridge the treatment gap in LMICs[19,29,30,35].

## THE CURRENT STATUS OF DIGITAL PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES IN LMICs

Digital psychiatric services offer LMICs a unique opportunity to expand their mental health services and meet the demand for mental health treatment in these countries[36-40]. Digital psychiatry provides patients from remote, rural, and underserved areas access to high-quality, evidence-based treatment available in specialist centres. Digital psychiatric services have the added advantage of overcoming the barriers of distance, costs, treatment delays, stigma, and non-adherence.

The focus of digital psychiatry in HICs has been to shift care from hospitals to the community and patients' homes[17]. In contrast, the task of digital psychiatric services in the LMICs has traditionally been to link patients in underserved areas to healthcare providers at more centrally located sites. Although digital psychiatry has successfully fulfilled this role, LMICs have generally lagged behind HICs in the delivery of digital services because of several factors impeding their progress. The major hurdles have been the costs of digital services and the lack of funding, poorly developed technological infrastructure and lack of technological progress, scarcity of trained workers, and insufficient data on the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions. Nevertheless, there have been encouraging developments in the last decade or so. The most striking development has been the rapid expansion of the information and communication sectors, which has increased the availability of many more digital devices. Mobile phone ownership has grown the fastest. About 90% of the people in the LMICs have a mobile phone, which is similar to the rates of ownership in HICs. Smartphones make up more than 60% of these phones. However, only about 29%-60% have access to the internet, which is much lower than the internet penetration in HICs[10,15,27,41]. The WHO surveys have also shown that the number of digital psychiatric programmes, particularly internet and mobile-based services has increased[17-19]. Governments have shown greater commitment and many more countries have national policies and guidelines. The use of emerging technologies for mental healthcare such as social media and advanced computing is also on the rise. The evidence for the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions in LMIC settings has grown[37,38,40]. Moreover, innovations such as the digitalization of task-shifting are being used[9,26,36]. Finally, digital psychiatric interventions, particularly videoconferencing-based telepsychiatry were widely used during the coronavirus disease 2019, which has led to a revival of interest in this mode of service delivery[42].

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIGITAL PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES IN LMICs

### *The efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions in LMICs*

A relatively recent development in the field of digital psychiatry has been the increase in research on the efficacy of digital psychiatric interventions conducted in LMICs. A literature search with the Reference Citation Analysis tool revealed 13 systematic reviews including two meta-analyses published since 2015. These reviews have shown that research on these interventions has increased over the last 5-10 years[38,40,43-45]. Most of this research has been conducted in South America and Asia. Studies from Africa and the Middle East are relatively scarce. China, Brazil, and India are the countries with the highest number of studies[37,44,46-48]. Although videoconferencing-based telepsy-

chiatry, telephone, and computer-delivered treatments are still used[38,44,45,47,48], there has been a clear shift to mobile and internet-delivered digital interventions[37,40,43,44,46]. Common mental disorders such as depression and anxiety are the most frequently studied conditions[37,38,40,43,46]. These disorders are also commonly examined in HICs reflecting their high prevalence and burden[21-23,40]. A systematic review also found support for internet-based interventions in preventing depression[49]. Other conditions examined include substance use disorders[37,40,43,47,48]. A recent systematic review[44] that focused exclusively on digital interventions in substance use disorders, identified 39 studies most of which were randomized-controlled trials (RCTs). Fewer studies have focused on psychotic disorders. A narrative review of patients with psychosis identified seven studies, three of which were from China[50]. A systematic review from China, which included 39 studies also found that studies of psychotic disorders were more common than those of depression, anxiety, and substance use disorders[45]. The authors attributed this to the increased emphasis on managing severe mental illnesses in the Chinese healthcare system. There are very few studies of other disorders such as post-traumatic stress disorder, dementia, intellectual disability, and self-harm[38,40,43,45,48]. Expectedly, the most common outcome examined was the feasibility and acceptability of delivering digital interventions, which was satisfactory in almost all the studies[37,38,40,48,49]. These studies included patients with depression[37,38,40,48,49], anxiety[45,47,48], substance use[37,40,44,47,48], psychosis[37,40,45,50], and other disorders. The feasibility and acceptability of digital interventions were found in studies from South American countries[47,51], China[45], and India[52]. Digital interventions were safe with minimal adverse effects[43,45,46]. Many studies reported high levels of patient and clinician satisfaction [38,40,51,52]. Treatment efficacy was the other commonly studied outcome, and the majority of studies reported that digital interventions were efficacious in reducing symptoms of all psychiatric disorders[37,38,40,44,48]. Two meta-analyses reported effect sizes of 0.60 for all disorders, 0.61 for depressive, and 0.73 for anxiety symptoms for digital interventions compared to waiting list controls, usual treatment, or other active treatments[43,46]. The number needed to treat was three[43]. The effect sizes were larger in comparisons of digital interventions with minimal or no treatment[43]. The effect sizes were greater among adults than children, patients who were moderately ill, and those receiving internet or mobile-based psychological interventions[46]. Thus, digital interventions were modestly effective in reducing symptoms, a result that was no different from the meta-analytic studies from HICs. Several reviews also reported improvement in treatment engagement and treatment adherence with digital interventions[37,40,44,47,50]. Some of the reviews found that digital interventions improved functioning and the quality of life[37,40,45,51]. The evidence for other outcomes such as the accuracy of diagnostic assessments, preventing relapse or enhancing recovery, improving coping, and reducing the risk of self-harm was limited[37,38,40]. In contrast to these positive outcomes, certain studies reported that digital interventions did not reduce symptoms or increase patients' acceptability[37,40,51,53]. Moreover, all reviews concluded that despite the relatively high proportion of RCTs, the methodological quality of the evidence was inadequate to determine whether digital psychiatric interventions were efficacious in the setting of LMICs. Methodological shortcomings included the small number of studies, small sample sizes, the predominant focus on adult patients, methodological variability and heterogeneity across studies, relative lack of high-quality studies, the possibility of selection or publication bias, the short-term nature of studies, the poor attention to cultural considerations, and the lack of data on the cost-effectiveness of digital interventions[37,40,44,45,48].

### **Choosing the most appropriate form of digital psychiatric services for LMICs**

The choice of the most effective form of digital psychiatric service based on the current evidence from LMICs is difficult. Older technologies such as telephone and computer-based services are widely used[39], and the evidence indicates that these forms of service delivery are effective in LMIC settings[37,43-45,48]. Videoconferencing-based telepsychiatry is another frequently used and effective form of service delivery in LMICs[37,38,47]. Although it is the oldest form of digital psychiatric services, the availability of inexpensive equipment and free internet-based platforms have ensured its continued use. Synchronous videoconferencing can improve access to specialist care, but its public health utility is limited because it cannot compensate for the workforce shortage in LMICs[37]. Asynchronous telepsychiatry can be a more efficient and cost-effective alternative, but the evidence for its efficacy in LMICs is scarce[17,19,37,39]. Internet and mobile-based psychiatric services can extend the reach of digital psychiatric services in LMICs more efficiently. More than half of the digital intervention studies from LMICs involve internet or mobile services[40,43,45-47]. Thus, there is considerable evidence for their efficacy and effectiveness. These interventions can potentially reduce treatment costs and the reliance on specialist care while expanding the capacity of the mental health workforce to deliver treatment[36,47]. Internet-based programmes depend on reliable network connectivity and high levels of digital skills among users[26,37,49]. The disparities in internet access, inadequate network connectivity, and poor digital literacy among users in LMICs can limit the effectiveness of internet-based services. Mobile technologies are less reliant on the internet because of the options of voice calls, text messaging, and other offline uses[54-56]. The rapidly increasing mobile ownership and the use of mHealth interventions for psychiatric disorders suggest that mobile-based services will probably become the digital psychiatric service of choice in LMICs. Emerging technologies such as social media, virtual reality, and advanced computing could be the other options for the future but there is limited data on their use and effectiveness at present[9,40,45,48].

### **The increasing use of mobile technologies for psychiatric disorders in LMICs**

The rising ownership of mobile phones and expanding cellular networks have driven the growing use of mobile technologies to deliver mental health services in LMICs. Reviews of mobile mental health from LMICs have shown that mHealth technologies are primarily used for public health activities and supporting mental healthcare delivery by primary-care workers[44,45,54-56]. Public health functions include data collection, disease surveillance and prevention, health monitoring, health awareness and health promotion, and use in disaster situations. Mobile mental health services include detection and diagnosis, treatment, psychosocial interventions, symptom monitoring, information and support,



facilitating treatment adherence, and emergency psychiatric care. Mobile technologies are used to train, supervise, monitor, and support primary-care workers in delivering mental healthcare. Mobile phones can improve access to mental health services, reduce stigma through educational campaigns, and augment other digital psychiatric interventions. Such functions are not unique to mHealth technologies but their advantage derives from their ubiquity, mobility, and novelty of certain features such as text messages or applications (apps)[54]. Although voice calls remain popular, text messages, apps, and web-based interventions are the most common mental health uses of mobile phones in LMICs[44,55]. Studies from HICs have shown that mobile phones, smartphones, and apps-based interventions are feasible, acceptable, and modestly effective in reducing symptoms in different psychiatric disorders[25,57-60]. In contrast, studies from LMICs have usually examined the feasibility, acceptability, and occasionally the affordability of mHealth interventions. While these outcomes are positive, the evidence for the efficacy of mHealth interventions is limited, inconsistent, and methodologically inadequate[44,45,54,55,61]. However, studies of all but a few medical disorders from LMICs reveal a similar lack of evidence for the efficacy of mobile-based interventions[10,55,62,63]. Moreover, the evidence for the efficacy of mHealth interventions for psychiatric disorders is lacking even in HICs[25].

### ***The role of digital psychiatric interventions in task-shifting in LMICs***

One of the innovative uses of digital psychiatry, particularly in LMICs has been the use of digital technologies to optimize task-shifting[63]. Task-shifting or task-sharing refers to the utilization of non-specialist health professionals such as doctors, community health workers, lay health workers, midwives, or nurses for delivering mental healthcare services in primary-care or community settings[9,26]. LMICs are unable to ensure optimal delivery of mental healthcare services because they lack adequate numbers of trained professionals, infrastructure, funding, and appropriate policies[64]. Moreover, workforce shortages are one of the main contributors to the treatment gap in LMICs[26]. By delegating clinical responsibilities to non-specialist workers, task-shifting ensures the optimum use of limited human resources. Task-shifting improves the efficiency of the mental healthcare system and the effectiveness of interventions delivered by non-specialist workers. The essential purpose of task-shifting is the delivery of evidence-based, low-intensity psychological treatments by trained non-specialist professionals. Low-intensity psychological treatments are simpler interventions that are easily taught and require less frequent contact with patients[36]. Another essential requirement for task-shifting and increasing the capacity of the non-specialist workforce is the integration of mental health services with mainstream health services at the primary-care level[26,64]. The principal components of task shifting involve training workers to deliver care, supporting these workers while they provide care, monitoring and supervising their performance, ensuring proper communication between providers and the workers, and implementing measures to improve motivation and retention of the workers. Mobile or internet-based services can aid all these components of task-shifting equally or even more efficiently than conventional mental health services[65-69]. There is convincing evidence to support the effectiveness of task-shifting and the delivery of evidence-based psychosocial interventions by non-specialist professionals as a part of traditional mental health services[70-73]. Reviews of digital psychiatric interventions from LMICs have also found that mobile or internet-based technologies are equally useful in supporting task-shifting and the delivery of low-intensity psychological interventions by non-specialist workers[6,9,26,36,40]. In the meta-analysis by Fu *et al*[43], the efficacy of low-intensity digital psychosocial interventions was greater than similar interventions delivered by non-specialist workers in person. More recently, there have been several RCTs from LMICs showing that mobile-delivered psychosocial interventions are effective in reducing symptoms, promoting remission, improving adherence and functioning, and saving costs in common mental disorders and schizophrenia[74-77]. Other RCTs have shown that mobile technologies are effective in training non-specialist workers to ensure optimal use of the task-shifting approach[78-80]. The details of these RCTs are included in the accompanying table. Despite this impressive evidence, the use of digital technologies to support task-shifting for mental health uses has many shortcomings. These include methodological inadequacies of the evidence, conflicting data on cost-effectiveness, and the lack of large-scale trials on implementing these technologies[9,26,39,54,55]. However, the digitalization of task-shifting appears to be a promising approach for reducing the mental health treatment gap in LMICs, and future research that focuses on cost-effectiveness and implementation will further enhance the role of digital technologies in task-shifting in these countries (Table 1).

### ***Digital psychiatry in LMICs during and after the coronavirus disease 2019***

The coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic significantly impacted global digital psychiatric services. There was a rise in mental health problems and psychiatric disorders worldwide[81,82], although there are conflicting reports about the increased prevalence of psychological symptoms in the general population[83]. Nevertheless, the pandemic severely disrupted conventional mental health services and affected the continuity of psychiatric care[82]. The impact was greater in LMICs than in HICs because of the pre-existing deficiencies in their mental healthcare systems[64,82]. The global response to disruption in mental health services was to switch to digital psychiatric services[82,84-86]. According to a WHO survey, around 70% of the countries adopted some form of digital intervention, either telephone support or videoconferencing during the pandemic to replace in-person consultations[87]. Half of the countries achieved the transition to digital care by the first year of the pandemic[86]. The increased global uptake of digital psychiatric services followed the relaxation of regulations and the adoption of policies promoting digital interventions in these countries[82,84-86]. Most countries set up helplines to provide information about infection control and psychosocial support for the general population, patients with psychiatric disorders, and frontline health workers[64,82,87]. A common approach used in LMICs was training healthcare workers in psychosocial skills. Several organizations and countries developed guidelines for the use of digital psychiatric treatments. Digital interventions for task-shifting or digital delivery of low-intensity psychosocial treatments were adopted only by a few countries. Digital interventions used during the pandemic were effective and appeared to improve treatment adherence[84]. The change to digital modes of mental healthcare was feasible and acceptable to most patients, families, and mental health professionals[84,85]. However, there were significant

**Table 1 Randomized-controlled trials of digitally-based task-shifting from low- and middle-income countries**

Ref.	Details	Interventions	Findings
Chibanda <i>et al</i> [74], 2016	Cluster RCT with 6 months follow-up of common mental disorders from a primary-care setting in Zimbabwe ( <i>n</i> = 573)	Culturally adapted problem-solving therapy with education and support delivered by lay health workers versus standard care with education and support. Mobile phones were used to deliver text messages or make calls to reinforce the intervention	The intervention group had fewer symptoms and lower risk of depression
Xu <i>et al</i> [75], 2019	RCT of patients with schizophrenia from rural community settings in China ( <i>n</i> = 278)	Lay health worker delivered mobile text messages for medication reminders, health education, relapse prevention, and contact with primary healthcare versus non-specialists delivering and monitoring medications at home	The intervention group was more effective in improving medication adherence, reducing relapses and re-hospitalizations
Gureje <i>et al</i> [76], 2019	Cluster RCT with 12 months follow-up of antenatal women with major depression from primary maternal care clinics in Nigeria ( <i>n</i> = 686)	Interventions delivered by primary maternal care providers. Low-intensity treatment consisting of basic psychosocial treatment according to the mhGAP intervention guide versus high-intensity treatment consisting of a minimum of 8 weekly problem-solving therapy sessions. Mobile phones were used to deliver text messages or make calls to monitor, support, engage patients. Specialist supervision and consultation was conducted by mobile phones	No difference between high- or low-intensity treatments in remission of depression, infant outcomes, cost, and adverse events at 6 months postpartum. High-intensity treatment was more effective for severe depression
Gureje <i>et al</i> [77], 2019	Cluster RCT with 12 months follow-up of patients with moderate to severe depression from primary care clinics in Nigeria ( <i>n</i> = 1035)	Primary healthcare worker delivered culturally adapted structured psychological intervention consisting of behavioural activation and problem-solving therapy for a minimum of 8 sessions, stepped up, if necessary, versus simple psychosocial interventions for depression. Providers were trained, supervised, and monitored by mobile phone contact	The proportion of patients with remitted depression in the 2 groups was similar at 12 months. Enhanced usual care using the mhGAP intervention guide provides a simple and affordable solution for the treatment of depression in primary-care
Rahman <i>et al</i> [78], 2019	Single-blind, non-inferiority RCT of technology assisted training of community health workers in delivering an evidence-based, low-intensity psychological intervention for depression (THP) from rural Pakistan ( <i>n</i> = 80)	The Technology-Assisted Cascaded Training and Supervision system used a tablet-based application to provide standardized training to lay workers using a cascaded training model where a specialist in THP trained non-specialist workers who in turn trained the lay worker. Community health workers were supervised using net-based platforms. Digital training was compared with conventional in-person training	There were no significant differences in digitally-based versus in-person training in the competence of community health workers on the Enhancing Assessment of Common Therapeutic factors scale immediately following the training and at 3 months after completion of training
Muke <i>et al</i> [79], 2020	Pilot RCT of the feasibility and acceptability of a digital programme for training non-specialist health workers to deliver a brief psychological treatment for depression (THP) from a primary-care setting in India ( <i>n</i> = 42)	Digital training was based on the digitized version of the manual for THP. It was hosted on an online learning platform that was accessible by smartphones. Non-specialist workers received technical support during training. Participants were also provided remote weekly support by research assistants through phone calls in the digital training with remote support group. The 2 digital training groups were compared with conventional in-person training of non-specialist health workers	Completion of training was highest in the digital training with remote support group. The competency of the workers improved following training with no significant differences between the 3 groups. Greater improvement in competency was observed in the digital training with remote support group and the in-person group compared to the digital training group
Nirisha <i>et al</i> [80], 2023	RCT of hybrid training of lay health workers to screen and refer people with mental health problems from a primary-care setting in India ( <i>n</i> = 75)	Hybrid training consisted 1 in-person and 7 online sessions. Online learning was based on the Project ECHO (Extension of Community Health care Outcome) adapted for Indian settings. Lay workers used smartphones to access the online platform. Supervision of workers was carried out by the research team through phone calls. Digital training was compared with 1 d of in-person training	The digital training group was better at identifying alcohol use and common mental disorders, whereas the in-person group was better at identifying severe mental illnesses. Scores on knowledge, attitude, and practice did not differ between the 2 groups over time

LMICs: Low- and middle-income countries; mhGAP: World Health Organization Mental Health Gap Action Programme; RCT: Randomized-controlled trial; THP: Thinking Healthy Programme, an evidence-based psychological intervention for depression.

differences between HICs and LMICs in the adoption of digital services. While more than 80% of HICs digitalized their mental health services by 2020, less than 50% of LMICs had shifted to digital psychiatric services[42,87,88]. The contribution of middle-income countries to the global digitalization of mental health services was minimal, while there was hardly any data from low-income countries[85,86,88]. Most of the studies from middle-income countries were from China or India. These were usually descriptive reports of the development of guidelines, virtualization of psychiatric outpatient services, implementation of online interventions, and uncontrolled studies of digital interventions for different psychiatric disorders[88-92]. Lastly, it is uncertain whether the renewed interest in digital psychiatry has persisted after the pandemic. There are conflicting reports about the increased acceptance and use of digital services globally or in HICs [93-95], but there is practically no data from the LMICs.

### Other developments

One of the uses of digital psychiatric interventions is to reduce the stigma associated with mental illness and its treatment

[9,37,53]. Some studies have found that internet or mobile interventions effectively reduce stigma among patients from LMICs[36,44,54,56]. A recent review has cited examples of digital health programmes such as mass media campaigns, mHealth-based community interventions, and online interventions that can reduce stigma through education and contact with those suffering from mental illness[96]. Finally, social media, virtual reality, and emerging technologies such as big data analytics and machine learning are also being explored for their potential usefulness in managing mental health problems in LMICs[9,19,45].

## CHALLENGES FACING DIGITAL PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES IN LMICs

Despite the promising developments in digital psychiatry in LMICs, there are many barriers to its adoption in these countries. The WHO surveys and other reviews have shown that the principal barriers impeding the progress of digital health across the world are the costs and funding of programmes, technological and infrastructural deficiencies, unawareness, lack of technical expertise, concerns about privacy, confidentiality, and adequate treatment alliances, cultural impediments, lack of regulatory policies and guidelines, competing health-system priorities, and the lack of demand for digital health services[17-19,97,98]. The major hurdles in HICs include legal issues concerning privacy, confidentiality, and safety, lack of priority for digital services, and the lack of demand for them. In contrast, the chief concerns in LMICs relate to the costs of services, under-developed infrastructure, lack of awareness about digital services, lack of technical expertise and trained professionals, cultural barriers, and negative attitudes among providers[17,18,99-101]. The costs related to digital health programmes include the initial costs of infrastructure, training providers, and operational and maintenance costs[99,101]. These are higher in LMICs and there are fewer opportunities to recover these costs because insufficient funding prevents the large-scale and sustained implementation of digital services[68,99]. Policy-makers are also unlikely to invest in these programmes because of inconsistent data on cost-effectiveness[17]. Lastly, the costs of the device or service might be too high for many users[44]. The main technological and infrastructural obstacles include poor network connectivity, lack of internet access, and lack of basic infrastructure such as electricity supply[26,47,99-101]. These barriers are more likely to affect the internet than mobile-based services and could be a factor in the preference for mHealth interventions in LMICs[37,68,99]. The lack of trained personnel arises from the perennial shortage of healthcare workers in LMICs, unawareness and unfamiliarity with technology, negative attitudes, and resistance to change among providers[9,17,68,100,101]. Cultural hindrances include language barriers[6,95,102], cultural beliefs and attitudes among patients and families[39,54,100], the impact of culture on treatment relationships[54], and the cultural appropriateness of digital interventions[44,99]. Finally, the rapid advances in technology and its increasing reach have highlighted the significant disparities in access to and use of digital devices. This digital divide exists between countries, regions, and people[103]. In general, digital access is poorer in LMICs compared to HICs, but there is also great variability between the LMICs[17-19]. In LMICs, rural and remote regions are underserved compared to the urban areas[37,44,68,101,103]. However, inequitable access most commonly affects the users of technology, where the digital divide reflects the existing social inequities[104,105]. Consequently, women, the elderly, persons with low literacy, and the socioeconomically deprived, ethnic, and marginal populations have the least access[9,43,104-106] and limited digital literacy[6,44,50,54,100]. The greatest paradox of digital psychiatry is that those with the greatest need and those most likely to benefit from such services are least likely to have access to them.

## CONCLUSION

This summary suggests that there is reason for optimism about the progress made in digital psychiatric services in LMICs over the last decade. Nevertheless, further efforts are needed to improve the organization and implementation of these services in LMICs[17,54,65,107,108]. Several factors influence the success or failure of digital services including users' needs, implementation readiness, and stakeholder involvement. Determining the needs of the patients, families, and the wider community and the socio-economic and cultural factors that shape these needs is essential in planning digital services for the targeted population[65,106]. Digital psychiatric services should be in keeping with the prevalent infrastructural, technological, and human resources. The needs of the users and the availability of resources have a role in the design and content of digital psychiatric interventions. A participatory approach soliciting the users' views improves the acceptability and usefulness of the interventions[9,37,50]. Digital interventions should have proven efficacy in LMIC settings before implementation. Thus, there is a need for methodologically adequate and more nuanced research on clinically meaningful outcomes in different patient populations and the cost-effectiveness of interventions[9,26,37,40,43]. A central consideration for such research should be the ability to implement digital interventions on a larger scale. Consequently, factors other than the efficacy of digital services such as operational capacity, funding, and the ability to integrate with mainstream psychiatric services have to be evaluated[45,93,107]. Collaboration between different stakeholders including the government, non-governmental organizations, private enterprises, providers, and users is essential for implementing and sustaining digital psychiatric services[17,107,108]. Regulation of digital services is necessary to maintain their standards of care. Regular monitoring, evaluation, and timely upgrades are also essential to maintain the quality of the services[17,93]. Apart from commercial considerations, focusing on the social benefits of digital services and innovative approaches to reduce the digital divide deserve equal consideration[9,17,37]. Lastly, while the wider and efficient deployment of digital psychiatric services is necessary, digitalization cannot be the sole option for reducing the mental health treatment gap in LMICs[17,99]. Rather, digital and traditional psychiatric services, general health services, and social welfare services all have to act in concert by enabling and facilitating each other.

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Chakrabarti S was the sole author of this manuscript, decided the theme of the manuscript, performed the literature search, and drafted the manuscript.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to disclose.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** India

**ORCID number:** Subho Chakrabarti 0000-0001-6023-2194.

**Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies:** Fellow of the Royal College of Psychiatrists in United Kingdom, No. 11659; Fellow of the International Society for Affective Disorders, No. P0001064; Fellow of the National Academy of Medical Sciences in India, No. F-2016-0878; Life Fellow of the Indian Psychiatric Society, No. 03051.

**S-Editor:** Chen YL

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Zhao S

## REFERENCES

- Higgins C, Dunn E, Conrath D. Telemedicine: an historical perspective. *Telecomm Policy* 1984; **8**: 307-313
- American Psychiatric Association. Telepsychiatry toolkit. History of telepsychiatry. [cited 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/practice/telepsychiatry/toolkit/history-of-telepsychiatry>
- American Psychiatric Association. What is telepsychiatry? [cited 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/telepsychiatry>
- World Health Organization. Consolidated telemedicine implementation guide. Geneva: World Health Organization. November 9, 2022. [cited 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240059184>
- World Health Organization. WHO guideline: recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening. Geneva: World Health Organization. June 6, 2019. [cited 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550505>
- Fairburn CG, Patel V. The impact of digital technology on psychological treatments and their dissemination. *Behav Res Ther* 2017; **88**: 19-25 [PMID: 28110672 DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2016.08.012]
- Hollis C, Falconer CJ, Martin JL, Whittington C, Stockton S, Glazebrook C, Davies EB. Annual Research Review: Digital health interventions for children and young people with mental health problems- a systematic and meta-review. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2017; **58**: 474-503 [PMID: 27943285 DOI: 10.1111/jcpp.12663]
- Lipschitz J, Hogan TP, Bauer MS, Mohr DC. Closing the Research-To-Practice Gap in Digital Psychiatry: The Need to Integrate Implementation Science. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2019; **80** [PMID: 31091029 DOI: 10.4088/JCP.18com12659]
- Naslund JA, Gonsalves PP, Gruebner O, Pendse SR, Smith SL, Sharma A, Raviola G. Digital Innovations for Global Mental Health: Opportunities for Data Science, Task Sharing, and Early Intervention. *Curr Treat Options Psychiatry* 2019; **6**: 337-351 [PMID: 32457823 DOI: 10.1007/s40501-019-00186-8]
- McCool J, Dobson R, Whittaker R, Paton C. Mobile Health (mHealth) in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2022; **43**: 525-539 [PMID: 34648368 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-052620-093850]
- Shafraan R, Myles-Hooton P, Bennett S, Öst LG. The concept and definition of low intensity cognitive behaviour therapy. *Behav Res Ther* 2021; **138**: 103803 [PMID: 33540242 DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2021.103803]
- Erbe D, Eichert HC, Riper H, Ebert DD. Blending Face-to-Face and Internet-Based Interventions for the Treatment of Mental Disorders in Adults: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; **19**: e306 [PMID: 28916506 DOI: 10.2196/jmir.6588]
- Shore JH. Managing Virtual Hybrid Psychiatrist-Patient Relationships in a Digital World. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2020; **77**: 541-542 [PMID: 32159756 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.0139]
- Kemp S. Digital 2023 April Global Statshot Report. [cited 27 April 2023]. Available from: <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2023-april-global-statshot>
- International Telecommunication Union. Measuring digital development: Facts and Figures 2023. [cited 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts/default.aspx>
- Petrosyan A. Worldwide digital population 2023. [cited 25 October 2023]. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/617136/digital-population-worldwide/>
- World Health Organization. Telemedicine: opportunities and developments in member states: report on the second global survey on eHealth 2009 (Global Observatory for eHealth Series, 2). Geneva: World Health Organization. 2009. [cited 25 October 2023]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44497>
- World Health Organization. mHealth: new horizons for health through mobile technologies: second global survey on eHealth (Global Observatory for eHealth Series, 3). Geneva: World Health Organization. 2011. [cited 25 October 2023]. Available from: <https://www.afro.who.int/publications/mhealth-new-horizons-health-through-mobile-technologie>
- World Health Organization. Global diffusion of eHealth: making universal health coverage achievable. Report of the third global survey on



- eHealth. Geneva: World Health Organization. December 15, 2016. [cited 25 October 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241511780>
- 20 **Chiauzzi E**, Clayton A, Huh-Yoo J. Videoconferencing-Based Telemental Health: Important Questions for the COVID-19 Era From Clinical and Patient-Centered Perspectives. *JMIR Ment Health* 2020; **7**: e24021 [PMID: 33180739 DOI: 10.2196/24021]
- 21 **Barnett P**, Goulding L, Casetta C, Jordan H, Sheridan-Rains L, Steare T, Williams J, Wood L, Gaughran F, Johnson S. Implementation of Telemental Health Services Before COVID-19: Rapid Umbrella Review of Systematic Reviews. *J Med Internet Res* 2021; **23**: e26492 [PMID: 34061758 DOI: 10.2196/26492]
- 22 **Sugarman DE**, Busch AB. Telemental health for clinical assessment and treatment. *BMJ* 2023; **380**: e072398 [PMID: 36646462 DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2022-072398]
- 23 **Philippe TJ**, Sikder N, Jackson A, Koblanski ME, Liow E, Pilarinos A, Vasarhelyi K. Digital Health Interventions for Delivery of Mental Health Care: Systematic and Comprehensive Meta-Review. *JMIR Ment Health* 2022; **9**: e35159 [PMID: 35551058 DOI: 10.2196/35159]
- 24 **Chen PV**, Helm A, Caloudas SG, Ecker A, Day G, Hogan J, Lindsay J. Evidence of Phone vs Video-Conferencing for Mental Health Treatments: A Review of the Literature. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2022; **24**: 529-539 [PMID: 36053400 DOI: 10.1007/s11920-022-01359-8]
- 25 **Goldberg SB**, Lam SU, Simonsson O, Torous J, Sun S. Mobile phone-based interventions for mental health: A systematic meta-review of 14 meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. *PLOS Digit Health* 2022; **1** [PMID: 35224559 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000002]
- 26 **Naslund JA**, Shidhaye R, Patel V. Digital Technology for Building Capacity of Nonspecialist Health Workers for Task Sharing and Scaling Up Mental Health Care Globally. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2019; **27**: 181-192 [PMID: 30958400 DOI: 10.1097/HRP.0000000000000217]
- 27 **The World Bank**. Data for lower middle-income, low-income countries. [cited 10 December 2023]. Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/country/XO>
- 28 **Rathod S**, Pinninti N, Irfan M, Gorczynski P, Rathod P, Gega L, Naeem F. Mental Health Service Provision in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Health Serv Insights* 2017; **10**: 1178632917694350 [PMID: 28469456 DOI: 10.1177/1178632917694350]
- 29 **Bhugra D**, Tasman A, Pathare S, Priebe S, Smith S, Torous J, Arbuckle MR, Langford A, Alarcón RD, Chiu HFK, First MB, Kay J, Sunkel C, Thapar A, Udomratn P, Baingana FK, Kestel D, Ng RMK, Patel A, Picker L, McKenzie KJ, Moussaoui D, Muijen M, Bartlett P, Davison S, Exworthy T, Loza N, Rose D, Torales J, Brown M, Christensen H, Firth J, Keshavan M, Li A, Onnela JP, Wykes T, Elkholy H, Kalra G, Lovett KF, Travis MJ, Ventriglio A. The WPA-Lancet Psychiatry Commission on the Future of Psychiatry. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; **4**: 775-818 [PMID: 28946952 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30333-4]
- 30 **Patel V**, Saxena S, Lund C, Thornicroft G, Baingana F, Bolton P, Chisholm D, Collins PY, Cooper JL, Eaton J, Herrman H, Herzallah MM, Huang Y, Jordans MJD, Kleinman A, Medina-Mora ME, Morgan E, Niaz U, Omigbodun O, Prince M, Rahman A, Saraceno B, Sarkar BK, De Silva M, Singh I, Stein DJ, Sunkel C, Unützer J. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1553-1598 [PMID: 30314863 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X]
- 31 **Chisholm D**, Docrat S, Abdulmalik J, Alem A, Gureje O, Gurung D, Hanlon C, Jordans MJD, Kangere S, Kigozi F, Mugisha J, Muke S, Olaiyiwola S, Shidhaye R, Thornicroft G, Lund C. Mental health financing challenges, opportunities and strategies in low- and middle-income countries: findings from the Emerald project. *BJPsych Open* 2019; **5**: e68 [PMID: 31530327 DOI: 10.1192/bjo.2019.24]
- 32 **Bolton P**, West J, Whitney C, Jordans MJD, Bass J, Thornicroft G, Murray L, Snider L, Eaton J, Collins PY, Ventevogel P, Smith S, Stein DJ, Petersen I, Silove D, Ugo V, Mahoney J, El Chammay R, Contreras C, Eustache E, Koyiet P, Wondimu EH, Upadhaya N, Raviola G. Expanding mental health services in low- and middle-income countries: A task-shifting framework for delivery of comprehensive, collaborative, and community-based care. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)* 2023; **10**: e16 [PMID: 37854402 DOI: 10.1017/gmh.2023.5]
- 33 **Patel V**, Maj M, Flisher AJ, De Silva MJ, Koschorke M, Prince M; WPA Zonal and Member Society Representatives. Reducing the treatment gap for mental disorders: a WPA survey. *World Psychiatry* 2010; **9**: 169-176 [PMID: 20975864 DOI: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00305.x]
- 34 **Chisholm D**, Sweeny K, Sheehan P, Rasmussen B, Smit F, Cuijpers P, Saxena S. Scaling-up treatment of depression and anxiety: a global return on investment analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016; **3**: 415-424 [PMID: 27083119 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30024-4]
- 35 **Rebello TJ**, Marques A, Gureje O, Pike KM. Innovative strategies for closing the mental health treatment gap globally. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2014; **27**: 308-314 [PMID: 24840160 DOI: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000068]
- 36 **Bockting CLH**, Williams AD, Carswell K, Grech AE. The potential of low-intensity and online interventions for depression in low- and middle-income countries. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)* 2016; **3**: e25 [PMID: 28596893 DOI: 10.1017/gmh.2016.21]
- 37 **Naslund JA**, Aschbrenner KA, Araya R, Marsch LA, Unützer J, Patel V, Bartels SJ. Digital technology for treating and preventing mental disorders in low-income and middle-income countries: a narrative review of the literature. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; **4**: 486-500 [PMID: 28433615 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30096-2]
- 38 **Acharibasam JW**, Wynn R. Telemental Health in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Int J Telemed Appl* 2018; **2018**: 9602821 [PMID: 30519259 DOI: 10.1155/2018/9602821]
- 39 **Augusterfer EF**, O'Neal CR, Martin SW, Sheikh TL, Mollica RF. The Role of Telemental Health, Tele-consultation, and Tele-supervision in Post-disaster and Low-resource Settings. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2020; **22**: 85 [PMID: 33247315 DOI: 10.1007/s11920-020-01209-5]
- 40 **Carter H**, Araya R, Anjur K, Deng D, Naslund JA. The emergence of digital mental health in low-income and middle-income countries: A review of recent advances and implications for the treatment and prevention of mental disorders. *J Psychiatr Res* 2021; **133**: 223-246 [PMID: 33360867 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.12.016]
- 41 **The World Bank**. Individuals using the internet. [cited 12 December 2023]. Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IT.NET.USER.ZS>
- 42 **World Health Organization and International Telecommunication Union**. WHO-ITU global standard for accessibility of telehealth services. Geneva: World Health Organization. January 1, 2022. [cited 12 December 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240050464>
- 43 **Fu Z**, Burger H, Arjadi R, Bockting CLH. Effectiveness of digital psychological interventions for mental health problems in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; **7**: 851-864 [PMID: 32866459 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30256-X]
- 44 **Ojeahere MI**, Kiburi SK, Agbo P, Kumar R, Jaguga F. Telehealth interventions for substance use disorders in low- and middle-income countries: A scoping review. *PLOS Digit Health* 2022; **1**: e0000125 [PMID: 36812539 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000125]
- 45 **Zhang X**, Lewis S, Firth J, Chen X, Bucci S. Digital mental health in China: a systematic review. *Psychol Med* 2021; **51**: 2552-2570 [PMID: 34581263 DOI: 10.1017/S0033291721003731]
- 46 **Kim J**, Aryee LMD, Bang H, Prajogo S, Choi YK, Hoch JS, Prado EL. Effectiveness of Digital Mental Health Tools to Reduce Depressive and

- Anxiety Symptoms in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JMIR Ment Health* 2023; **10**: e43066 [PMID: 36939820 DOI: 10.2196/43066]
- 47 **Jiménez-Molina Á**, Franco P, Martínez V, Martínez P, Rojas G, Araya R. Internet-Based Interventions for the Prevention and Treatment of Mental Disorders in Latin America: A Scoping Review. *Front Psychiatry* 2019; **10**: 664 [PMID: 31572242 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2019.00664]
  - 48 **Kaonga NN**, Morgan J. Common themes and emerging trends for the use of technology to support mental health and psychosocial well-being in limited resource settings: A review of the literature. *Psychiatry Res* 2019; **281**: 112594 [PMID: 31605874 DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112594]
  - 49 **Martínez P**, Rojas G, Martínez V, Lara MA, Pérez JC. Internet-based interventions for the prevention and treatment of depression in people living in developing countries: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* 2018; **234**: 193-200 [PMID: 29529553 DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.02.079]
  - 50 **Merchant R**, Torous J, Rodriguez-Villa E, Naslund JA. Digital technology for management of severe mental disorders in low-income and middle-income countries. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2020; **33**: 501-507 [PMID: 32520747 DOI: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000626]
  - 51 **Rojas G**, Martínez V, Martínez P, Franco P, Jiménez-Molina Á. Improving Mental Health Care in Developing Countries Through Digital Technologies: A Mini Narrative Review of the Chilean Case. *Front Public Health* 2019; **7**: 391 [PMID: 31921754 DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2019.00391]
  - 52 **Naskar S**, Victor R, Das H, Nath K. Telepsychiatry in India- Where Do We Stand? A Comparative Review between Global and Indian Telepsychiatry Programs. *Indian J Psychol Med* 2017; **39**: 223-242 [PMID: 28615754 DOI: 10.4103/0253-7176.207329]
  - 53 **Arjadi R**, Nauta MH, Chowdhary N, Bockting CLH. A systematic review of online interventions for mental health in low and middle income countries: a neglected field. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)* 2015; **2**: e12 [PMID: 28596860 DOI: 10.1017/gmh.2015.10]
  - 54 **Aggarwal NK**. Applying mobile technologies to mental health service delivery in South Asia. *Asian J Psychiatr* 2012; **5**: 225-230 [PMID: 22981050 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajp.2011.12.009]
  - 55 **Abaza H**, Marschollek M. mHealth Application Areas and Technology Combinations\*. A Comparison of Literature from High and Low/ Middle Income Countries. *Methods Inf Med* 2017; **56**: e105-e122 [PMID: 28925418 DOI: 10.3414/ME17-05-0003]
  - 56 **Rodriguez-Villa E**, Naslund J, Keshavan M, Patel V, Torous J. Making mental health more accessible in light of COVID-19: Scalable digital health with digital navigators in low and middle-income countries. *Asian J Psychiatr* 2020; **54**: 102433 [PMID: 33271713 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102433]
  - 57 **Lindhiem O**, Bennett CB, Rosen D, Silk J. Mobile technology boosts the effectiveness of psychotherapy and behavioral interventions: a meta-analysis. *Behav Modif* 2015; **39**: 785-804 [PMID: 26187164 DOI: 10.1177/0145445515595198]
  - 58 **Firth J**, Torous J, Nicholas J, Carney R, Rosenbaum S, Sarris J. Can smartphone mental health interventions reduce symptoms of anxiety? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Affect Disord* 2017; **218**: 15-22 [PMID: 28456072 DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2017.04.046]
  - 59 **Firth J**, Torous J, Nicholas J, Carney R, Pratap A, Rosenbaum S, Sarris J. The efficacy of smartphone-based mental health interventions for depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World Psychiatry* 2017; **16**: 287-298 [PMID: 28941113 DOI: 10.1002/wps.20472]
  - 60 **Lecomte T**, Potvin S, Corbière M, Guay S, Samson C, Cloutier B, Francoeur A, Pennou A, Khazaal Y. Mobile Apps for Mental Health Issues: Meta-Review of Meta-Analyses. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2020; **8**: e17458 [PMID: 32348289 DOI: 10.2196/17458]
  - 61 **Yin H**, Wardenaar KJ, Wang Y, Wang N, Chen W, Zhang Y, Xu G, Schoevers RA. Mobile Mental Health Apps in China: Systematic App Store Search. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; **22**: e14915 [PMID: 32716301 DOI: 10.2196/14915]
  - 62 **Stephani V**, Opoku D, Quentin W. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials of mHealth interventions against non-communicable diseases in developing countries. *BMC Public Health* 2016; **16**: 572 [PMID: 27417513 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-016-3226-3]
  - 63 **Winters N**, Langer L, Nduku P, Robson J, O'Donovan J, Maulik P, Paton C, Geniets A, Peiris D, Nagraj S. Using mobile technologies to support the training of community health workers in low-income and middle-income countries: mapping the evidence. *BMJ Glob Health* 2019; **4**: e001421 [PMID: 31413872 DOI: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001421]
  - 64 **Adiukwu F**, de Filippis R, Orsolini L, Gashi Bytyçi D, Shuib S, Ransing R, Slaith M, Jaguga F, Handuleh JIM, Ojeahere MI, Ullah I, Karaliuniene R, Nagendrappa S, Vahdani B, Ashrafi A, Ori D, Noël C, Abbass Z, Jatchavala C, Pinto da Costa M, Essam L, Vadivel R, Shalbafan M. Scaling Up Global Mental Health Services During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond. *Psychiatr Serv* 2022; **73**: 231-234 [PMID: 34235945 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ps.202000774]
  - 65 **Källander K**, Tibenderana JK, Akpogheneta OJ, Strachan DL, Hill Z, ten Asbroek AH, Conteh L, Kirkwood BR, Meek SR. Mobile health (mHealth) approaches and lessons for increased performance and retention of community health workers in low- and middle-income countries: a review. *J Med Internet Res* 2013; **15**: e17 [PMID: 23353680 DOI: 10.2196/jmir.2130]
  - 66 **Agarwal S**, Perry HB, Long LA, Labrique AB. Evidence on feasibility and effective use of mHealth strategies by frontline health workers in developing countries: systematic review. *Trop Med Int Health* 2015; **20**: 1003-1014 [PMID: 25881735 DOI: 10.1111/tmi.12525]
  - 67 **Long LA**, Pariyo G, Kallander K. Digital Technologies for Health Workforce Development in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Scoping Review. *Glob Health Sci Pract* 2018; **6**: S41-S48 [PMID: 30305338 DOI: 10.9745/GHSP-D-18-00167]
  - 68 **Mishra SR**, Lygidakis C, Neupane D, Gyawali B, Uwizihiwe JP, Virani SS, Kallestrup P, Miranda JJ. Combating non-communicable diseases: potentials and challenges for community health workers in a digital age, a narrative review of the literature. *Health Policy Plan* 2019; **34**: 55-66 [PMID: 30668690 DOI: 10.1093/heapol/czy099]
  - 69 **Abreu FDL**, Bissaco MAS, Silva AP, Boschi SRMS, Scardovelli TA, Santos MF, Rodrigues CCM, Martini SC. The use and impact of mHealth by community health workers in developing and least developed countries: a systematic review. *Res Biomed Eng* 2021; **37**: 563-582 [DOI: 10.1007/s42600-021-00154-3]
  - 70 **van Ginneken N**, Chin WY, Lim YC, Ussif A, Singh R, Shahmalak U, Purgato M, Rojas-García A, Uphoff E, McMullen S, Foss HS, Thapa Pachya A, Rashidian L, Borghesani A, Henschke N, Chong LY, Lewin S. Primary-level worker interventions for the care of people living with mental disorders and distress in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2021; **8**: CD009149 [PMID: 34352116 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009149.pub3]
  - 71 **Karyotaki E**, Araya R, Kessler RC, Waqas A, Bhana A, Rahman A, Matsuzaka CT, Miguel C, Lund C, Garman EC, Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Petersen I, Naslund JA, Schneider M, Sikander S, Jordans MJD, Abas M, Slade P, Walters S, Brugha TS, Furukawa TA, Amanvermez Y, Mello MF, Wainberg ML, Cuijpers P, Patel V. Association of Task-Shared Psychological Interventions With Depression Outcomes in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Individual Patient Data Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2022; **79**: 430-443 [PMID: 35319740 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0301]
  - 72 **Singla DR**, Kohrt BA, Murray LK, Anand A, Chorpita BF, Patel V. Psychological Treatments for the World: Lessons from Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2017; **13**: 149-181 [PMID: 28482687 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-045217]

- 73 **Barbui C**, Purgato M, Abdulmalik J, Acarturk C, Eaton J, Gastaldon C, Gureje O, Hanlon C, Jordans M, Lund C, Nosè M, Ostuzzi G, Papola D, Tedeschi F, Tol W, Turrini G, Patel V, Thornicroft G. Efficacy of psychosocial interventions for mental health outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries: an umbrella review. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; **7**: 162-172 [PMID: 31948935 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30511-5]
- 74 **Chibanda D**, Weiss HA, Verhey R, Simms V, Munjoma R, Rusakaniko S, Chingono A, Munetsi E, Bere T, Manda E, Abas M, Araya R. Effect of a Primary Care-Based Psychological Intervention on Symptoms of Common Mental Disorders in Zimbabwe: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2016; **316**: 2618-2626 [PMID: 28027368 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2016.19102]
- 75 **Xu DR**, Xiao S, He H, Caine ED, Gloyd S, Simoni J, Hughes JP, Nie J, Lin M, He W, Yuan Y, Gong W. Lay health supporters aided by mobile text messaging to improve adherence, symptoms, and functioning among people with schizophrenia in a resource-poor community in rural China (LEAN): A randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med* 2019; **16**: e1002785 [PMID: 31013275 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002785]
- 76 **Gureje O**, Oladeji BD, Montgomery AA, Araya R, Bello T, Chisholm D, Groleau D, Kirmayer LJ, Kola L, Olley LB, Tan W, Zekowitz P. High- vs low-intensity interventions for perinatal depression delivered by non-specialist primary maternal care providers in Nigeria: cluster randomised controlled trial (the EXPONATE trial). *Br J Psychiatry* 2019; **215**: 528-535 [PMID: 30767826 DOI: 10.1192/bjp.2019.4]
- 77 **Gureje O**, Oladeji BD, Montgomery AA, Bello T, Kola L, Ojagbemi A, Chisholm D, Araya R. Effect of a stepped-care intervention delivered by lay health workers on major depressive disorder among primary care patients in Nigeria (STEP CARE): a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Health* 2019; **7**: e951-e960 [PMID: 31097414 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30148-2]
- 78 **Rahman A**, Akhtar P, Hamdani SU, Atif N, Nazir H, Uddin I, Nisar A, Huma Z, Maselko J, Sikander S, Zafar S. Using technology to scale-up training and supervision of community health workers in the psychosocial management of perinatal depression: a non-inferiority, randomized controlled trial. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)* 2019; **6**: e8 [PMID: 31157115 DOI: 10.1017/gmh.2019.7]
- 79 **Muke SS**, Tugunaw D, Joshi U, Anand A, Khan A, Shrivastava R, Singh A, Restivo JL, Bhan A, Patel V, Naslund JA. Digital Training for Non-Specialist Health Workers to Deliver a Brief Psychological Treatment for Depression in Primary Care in India: Findings from a Randomized Pilot Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17** [PMID: 32883018 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17176368]
- 80 **Nirisha PL**, Malathesh BC, Kulal N, Harshitha NR, Ibrahim FA, Suhas S, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Parthasarathy R, Manjappa AA, Thirthalli J, Chand PK, Arora S, Math SB. Impact of Technology Driven Mental Health Task-shifting for Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs): Results from a Randomised Controlled Trial of Two Methods of Training. *Community Ment Health J* 2023; **59**: 175-184 [PMID: 35779139 DOI: 10.1007/s10597-022-00996-w]
- 81 **COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators**. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021; **398**: 1700-1712 [PMID: 34634250 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)002143-7]
- 82 **Kola L**, Kohrt BA, Hanlon C, Naslund JA, Sikander S, Balaji M, Benjet C, Cheung EYL, Eaton J, Gonsalves P, Hailemariam M, Luitel NP, Machado DB, Misganaw E, Omigbodun O, Roberts T, Salisbury TT, Shidhaye R, Sunkel C, Ugo V, van Rensburg AJ, Gureje O, Pathare S, Saxena S, Thornicroft G, Patel V. COVID-19 mental health impact and responses in low-income and middle-income countries: reimagining global mental health. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2021; **8**: 535-550 [PMID: 33639109 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00025-0]
- 83 **Sun Y**, Wu Y, Fan S, Dal Santo T, Li L, Jiang X, Li K, Wang Y, Tasleem A, Krishnan A, He C, Bonardi O, Boruff JT, Rice DB, Markham S, Levis B, Azar M, Thombs-Vite I, Neupane D, Agic B, Fahim C, Martin MS, Sockalingam S, Turecki G, Benedetti A, Thombs BD. Comparison of mental health symptoms before and during the covid-19 pandemic: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis of 134 cohorts. *BMJ* 2023; **380**: e074224 [PMID: 36889797 DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2022-074224]
- 84 **Appleton R**, Williams J, Vera San Juan N, Needle JJ, Schlieff M, Jordan H, Sheridan Rains L, Goulding L, Badhan M, Roxburgh E, Barnett P, Spyridonidis S, Tomaskova M, Mo J, Harju-Seppänen J, Haime Z, Casetta C, Papamichail A, Lloyd-Evans B, Simpson A, Sevdalis N, Gaughran F, Johnson S. Implementation, Adoption, and Perceptions of Telemental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2021; **23**: e31746 [PMID: 34709179 DOI: 10.2196/31746]
- 85 **Abraham A**, Jithesh A, Doraiswamy S, Al-Khawaga N, Mamtani R, Cheema S. Telemental Health Use in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review and Evidence Gap Mapping. *Front Psychiatry* 2021; **12**: 748069 [PMID: 34819885 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.748069]
- 86 **Zangani C**, Ostinelli EG, Smith KA, Hong JSW, Macdonald O, Reen G, Reid K, Vincent C, Syed Sherif R, Harrison PJ, Hawton K, Pitman A, Bale R, Fazel S, Geddes JR, Cipriani A. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Global Delivery of Mental Health Services and Telemental Health: Systematic Review. *JMIR Ment Health* 2022; **9**: e38600 [PMID: 35994310 DOI: 10.2196/38600]
- 87 **World Health Organization**. The impact of COVID-19 on mental, neurological and substance use services: results of a rapid assessment. Geneva: World Health Organization. October 5, 2020. [cited 12 December 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/978924012455>
- 88 **Ellis LA**, Meulenbroeks I, Churrua K, Pomare C, Hatem S, Harrison R, Zurynski Y, Braithwaite J. The Application of e-Mental Health in Response to COVID-19: Scoping Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *JMIR Ment Health* 2021; **8**: e32948 [PMID: 34666306 DOI: 10.2196/32948]
- 89 **Ramalho R**, Adiukwu F, Gashi Bytyci D, El Hayek S, Gonzalez-Diaz JM, Larnaout A, Grandinetti P, Kundadak GK, Nofal M, Pereira-Sanchez V, Pinto da Costa M, Ransing R, Schuh Teixeira AL, Shalbafan M, Soler-Vidal J, Syarif Z, Orsolini L. Telepsychiatry and healthcare access inequities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Asian J Psychiatr* 2020; **53**: 102234 [PMID: 32585636 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102234]
- 90 **Dinakaran D**, Basavarajappa C, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Math SB. Telemedicine Practice Guidelines and Telepsychiatry Operational Guidelines, India-A Commentary. *Indian J Psychol Med* 2020; **42**: 1S-3S [PMID: 33354058 DOI: 10.1177/0253717620958382]
- 91 **Ganesh R**, Verma R, Deb KS, Chadda RK. Learning from telepsychiatry during COVID-19 pandemic in India: Boon for public mental health in low- & middle-income countries. *Indian J Med Res* 2022; **155**: 197-199 [PMID: 35859444 DOI: 10.4103/ijmr.ijmr\_1034\_21]
- 92 **Liu S**, Yang L, Zhang C, Xiang YT, Liu Z, Hu S, Zhang B. Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; **7**: e17-e18 [PMID: 32085841 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30077-8]
- 93 **Shaver J**. The State of Telehealth Before and After the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Prim Care* 2022; **49**: 517-530 [PMID: 36357058 DOI: 10.1016/j.pop.2022.04.002]
- 94 **International Telecommunication Union and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization**. The State of Broadband 2022: Accelerating broadband for new realities. Geneva: International Telecommunication Union and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. 2022. [cited 12 December 2023]. Available from: <https://www.broadbandcommission.org/publication/state-of-broadband-2022>
- 95 **Chandrasekaran R**. Telemedicine in the Post-Pandemic Period: Understanding Patterns of Use and the Influence of Socioeconomic Demographics, Health Status, and Social Determinants. *Telemed J E Health* 2024; **30**: 480-489 [PMID: 37585558 DOI: 10.1089/tmj.2023.0277]
- 96 **Naslund JA**, Deng D. Addressing Mental Health Stigma in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries: A New Frontier for Digital Mental

- Health. *Ethics Med Public Health* 2021; **19** [PMID: 35083375 DOI: 10.1016/j.jemep.2021.100719]
- 97 **Scott Kruse C**, Karem P, Shifflett K, Vegi L, Ravi K, Brooks M. Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018; **24**: 4-12 [PMID: 29320966 DOI: 10.1177/1357633X16674087]
- 98 **Siegel A**, Zuo Y, Moghaddamcharkari N, McIntyre RS, Rosenblat JD. Barriers, benefits and interventions for improving the delivery of telemental health services during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a systematic review. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2021; **34**: 434-443 [PMID: 33928918 DOI: 10.1097/YCO.0000000000000714]
- 99 **Lewis T**, Synowiec C, Lagomarsino G, Schweitzer J. E-health in low- and middle-income countries: findings from the Center for Health Market Innovations. *Bull World Health Organ* 2012; **90**: 332-340 [PMID: 22589566 DOI: 10.2471/BLT.11.099820]
- 100 **Jefee-Bahloul H**. Telemental health in the middle East: overcoming the barriers. *Front Public Health* 2014; **2**: 86 [PMID: 25101255 DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2014.00086]
- 101 **Sagaro GG**, Battineni G, Amenta F. Barriers to Sustainable Telemedicine Implementation in Ethiopia: A Systematic Review. *Telemed Rep* 2020; **1**: 8-15 [PMID: 35722252 DOI: 10.1089/tmr.2020.0002]
- 102 **Bhaskar S**, Bradley S, Chattu VK, Adishes A, Nurtazina A, Kyrykbayeva S, Sakhamuri S, Yaya S, Sunil T, Thomas P, Mucci V, Moguilner S, Israel-Korn S, Alacapa J, Mishra A, Pandya S, Schroeder S, Atreja A, Banach M, Ray D. Telemedicine Across the Globe-Position Paper From the COVID-19 Pandemic Health System Resilience PROGRAM (REPROGRAM) International Consortium (Part 1). *Front Public Health* 2020; **8**: 556720 [PMID: 33178656 DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.556720]
- 103 **Gogia SB**, Maeder A, Mars M, Hartvigsen G, Basu A, Abbott P. Unintended Consequences of Tele Health and their Possible Solutions. Contribution of the IMIA Working Group on Telehealth. *Yearb Med Inform* 2016; **41**: 41-46 [PMID: 27830229 DOI: 10.15265/IY-2016-012]
- 104 **Latulippe K**, Hamel C, Giroux D. Social Health Inequalities and eHealth: A Literature Review With Qualitative Synthesis of Theoretical and Empirical Studies. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; **19**: e136 [PMID: 28450271 DOI: 10.2196/jmir.6731]
- 105 **Hong YA**, Zhou Z, Fang Y, Shi L. The Digital Divide and Health Disparities in China: Evidence From a National Survey and Policy Implications. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; **19**: e317 [PMID: 28893724 DOI: 10.2196/jmir.7786]
- 106 **Classen B**, Tudor K, du Preez E, Day E, Ioane J, Rodgers B. An Integrative Review of Contemporary Perspectives on Videoconference-Based Therapy-Prioritising Indigenous and Ethnic Minority Populations in the Global South. *J Technol Behav Sci* 2021; **6**: 545-558 [PMID: 33898737 DOI: 10.1007/s41347-021-00209-3]
- 107 **Shuvo T**, Islam R, Hossain S, Evans J, Khatun F, Ahmed T, Gazi R, Adams AM. eHealth innovations in LMICs of Africa and Asia: a literature review exploring factors affecting implementation, scale-up, and sustainability. *Innov Entrep Health* 2015; **2** [DOI: 10.2147/IEH.S88809]
- 108 **World Health Organization**. Global strategy on digital health 2020-2025. Geneva: World Health Organization. August 18, 2021. [cited 12 December 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240020924>





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)

**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

