

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3675

Title: Side Matters: An Intriguing Case of Persistent Left Superior Vena-Cava

Reviewer code: 02520738

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-05-14 15:36

Date reviewed: 2013-05-15 02:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Bari, May, 14th 2013 To: Lian-Sheng Ma, President and Company Editor-in-Chief Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited World Journal of Clinical Cases Title: "Side Matters: An Intriguing Case of Persistent Left Superior Vena-Cava" Dear Editor, We have read through the manuscript and we think that some limitations could be outlined and should be addressed by the authors: 1) It is quite surprising that the authors did not give any information about anamnesis of the patient: previous diseases, cardiovascular risk factors, drugs used, etc. Please provide. 2) No information about common biochemical parameters had been provided: troponin, BNP, NT-proBNP had not been written by the authors. Please provide. 3) No data about right cardiac chambers had been provided: size, TAPSE, etc. Please provide in order to better complete the presentation of the patient. 4) We think that the patient should have undergone coronary angiography rather than CT: a patient with shortness of breath, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea, lower extremity edema and a sudden LVEF = 30% deemed a more accurate evaluation rather than CT. Why did the physicians exclude such a diagnostic option? Why did they define the patient as suffering from non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy only in agreement with the solely CT result? 5) What were the discharge dosages of the drugs?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3675

Title: Side Matters: An Intriguing Case of Persistent Left Superior Vena-Cava

Reviewer code: 02445558

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-05-14 15:36

Date reviewed: 2013-06-02 04:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very short case report which illustrates the rare abnormality of a left SVC and its implications in interventional cardiology procedures.