ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
ESPS Manuscript NO: 4058
Title: Biventricular pulsus alternans: an echocardiographic finding in patient with pulmonary embolism
Reviewer code: 01221169
Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia
Date sent for review: 2013-06-12 19:35
Date reviewed: 2013-06-17 20:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The case report is interesting, and well documented, and deserves publication. However, some minor points are here to be considered. Why a precise diagnosis of biventricular pulsus alternans is important for clinicians? Is there any evidence in literature of an association with worst clinical outcome? Are data available on early diagnosis and, for example, early aggressive therapy, and clinical outcome? Otherwise, I'll suggest to be less enthusiastic in the conclusions. An "astute "clinician who does not give anything more to his patients, maybe is astute also for himself. Reference numeration? Which order? First cited? No. Alphabetical order? No. Please explain.