



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3142

**Title:** Ingested Bone Fragment in the Bowel: Two Cases and a Review of the Literature

**Reviewer code:** 02445239

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-23 21:27

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-23 21:54

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) |   | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written manuscript, Authors have mentioned Complications due to ingested bone fragments are not common and preoperative diagnosis remains a challenge therefore it must be considered in susceptible cases. In the case one it was the diagnostic challenge as it was not diagnosed preoperatively on noninvasive diagnostic modes, but they should include the USG, CT Scan, X ray findings with photos in first case and they have mentioned all reports were normal.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3142

**Title:** Ingested Bone Fragment in the Bowel: Two Cases and a Review of the Literature

**Reviewer code:** 00034616

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-23 21:27

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-24 01:51

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                           | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) |   | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, This is an interesting presentation of 2 cases with complicated FB ingestion. Well done for the nice images and the detailed discussion. It would be useful to condense your discussion -consider a table- and review/accept the proposed changes. Kind regards



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3142

**Title:** Ingested Bone Fragment in the Bowel: Two Cases and a Review of the Literature

**Reviewer code:** 00631876

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-23 21:27

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-25 02:40

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a manuscript of two-case report on IFB management, it is straightforward, and this reviewer has several comments/questions as follows: 1. Was the study approved by a relevant Ethic Committee or Institutional Review Board? 2. Introduction Section, 2nd Paragraph: A sentence or two is needed to indicate the importance or impact of the study either before or after this paragraph starting with "In this report,". 3. Discussion Section, 2nd Paragraph: A citation is needed for information from the American Society for GI Endoscopy. 4. Discussion Section, 3rd Paragraph: You reported the diameter of the bone fragments (2X3 cm) for both cases was the same. Is it coincidental? 5. Discussion Section, 5th Paragraph: Consider change the 2nd part of the sentences into 'frequent constipation was reported by both patients.' 6. Several places need punctuation corrections: a. Page 2, 4th line from bottom: Add a ',' before 'and'; b. Page 3, 4th line from the bottom: Remove '.' Before '(4). c. Page 4, 2nd paragraph 1st sentence: Change all the '.' To ',' before the numberings. d. Page 6, 1st line: Add a '.' Before 'Although....'.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3142

**Title:** Ingested Bone Fragment in the Bowel: Two Cases and a Review of the Literature

**Reviewer code:** 00058448

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-23 21:27

**Date reviewed:** 2013-04-30 21:26

| CLASSIFICATION                               | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)      | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)      | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)      |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case reports with detail discussion of the management of this rare complication.

Comments: The discussion is too long and may condense to 3 pages.