

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4683

Title: Mongolian spots-How important are they?

Reviewer code: 00646538

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-07-15 16:20

Date reviewed: 2013-08-23 23:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please clarify/explain these points: 1) Kikuchi, in his paper, mentioned an earlier hypothesis of Morooka that the difference might also be due to the duration of dermal melanocyte production 2) PPV is due to "twin-spotting", which involves mosaicism and allelic mutation, one for pigmented lesions and one for vascular lesions with some crossing over during mitotic recombination".

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4683

Title: Mongolian spots-How important are they?

Reviewer code: 00382448

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-07-15 16:20

Date reviewed: 2013-08-30 06:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well structured and adequately up-to-date. Therefore, I think that it is worthy of publication with some very minor corrections (listed below) concerning language. Page 1, line 15: remove "the" and "an". Page 1, line 16: remove "the" before "White" and before "Hispanics". Page 1, line 17: remove "the" before "Asians". Replace "hypothesized" with "observed". Page 1, line 24: replace "more" with "longer". Page 2, line 1: add a comma after "crest". Page 3, line 14: remove the quotation marks after "recombination". Page 4, line 10: add "always" after "as". Page 4, line 12: replace "size" with "diameter".

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4683

Title: Mongolian spots-How important are they?

Reviewer code: 00646490

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-07-15 16:20

Date reviewed: 2013-08-31 20:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a simple review that is suitable for local medical journal