

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70912

Title: Characterization of focal hypermetabolic thyroid incidentaloma: An analysis with

F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography

parameters

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05914645
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-19 10:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-19 16:04

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please see attached file



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: Wor	d Journal	of Clinical	Cases
----------------------	-----------	-------------	-------

Manuscript NO: 70912

Title: Characterization of focal hypermetabolic thyroid incidentaloma: An analysis with

F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography

parameters

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05465522 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Medical Assistant, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-20 13:33

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-26 18:21

Review time: 6 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a interesting manuscript and retrospective study. I sugesting that the authors discribe if in literature has others comparatives studies.