

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 65195

Title: Hyper-accuracy Three-dimensional Reconstruction – A tool for better planning of retroperitoneal liposarcoma resection: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05229679

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-12 07:32

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-15 13:45

Review time: 3 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors! Congratulations for the manuscript. I suggest acceptance but also some changes to improving quality prior to publication.

- The title in current form could suggest that reconstruction was part of the surgical procedure. Should be redesigned (for instance: Hyper-accuracy Three-dimensional Reconstruction. A tool for better planning of retroperitoneal liposarcoma resection. A case report.)
- The abstract is well structured.
 - BACKGROUND: WDLPS is the second most common histotype in retroperitoneum with dedifferentiated LPS being the most common; there are many references, the largest study from Gronchi et al. included 1007 patients (Variability in Patterns of Recurrence after Resection of Primary Retroperitoneal Sarcoma (RPS). A Report on 1007 Patients from the Multi-institutional Collaborative RPS Working Group. ASurgOncol, DOI:10.1097/SLA.0000000000001447)
 - It is characterized by a huge mass, but multiple organ invasion is rare. Please try to find a reference otherwise consider to remove.
 - Surgery is the only treatment option for potential cure (I would remove the second part of the sentence "but recurrence is common" because that should not be the obstacle to try to cure the patient. Remove as well in Core tip: section).
 - CASE SUMMERY: would be nice to include the age of the patient in the first sentence. Lipoma-like should be changed to lipomatous mass (since lipoma-like alludes on histologic subtype); same in the Imaging examination section and in Figure 1.
- Keywords: Retroperitoneum instead of Retroperitoneal sarcoma
- Introduction:
 - Since there are many sarcoma subtypes in retroperitoneum suggest plural in the second sentence: retroperitoneal sarcomas account for 9% to...
 - Suggest to remove the sentence about classification of LPS into five types because it is not relevant to the article. The

Transatlantic Working Group is performing a study about Myxoid/round cell LPS in retroperitoneum and there is not much data about the mixed? ones. 5. Case presentation: consider to add data about weight and height of the patient into the Physical examination section. 6. Treatment: ... we began to release the tumor (instead of neoplasm) from... Where was the colon? and What was the blood loss? 7. Discussion: WDLPS is the second most common histology in retroperitoneum (1st sentence). Consider to substitute Complete surgical resection with Macroscopic complete clearance. ... making it difficult to achieve clear resection margins. (17) (since wide margins are practically not possible) 8. Conclusion: next to last row tumor instead of neoplasm 9. References: Number 9 Zhang Q et al: delete square brackets in the title/first sentence Number 18 and 19 is the same reference. Please delete one and adjust accordingly. 10. Figures: a. (suggest correcting the sentence into) ... confirmed the displacement of the right kidney to the... b. Figure 2 has a wrong (serial) number c. Figure 4 delete in in both sentences d 11. Final remarks: a. Consider to change radical resection in the text (into surgical resection or just resection) b. Please correct grammatical errors on the first page (space between words, commas and check the spelling in the name Mu-Shi Ye(a))

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 65195

Title: Hyper-accuracy Three-dimensional Reconstruction – A tool for better planning of retroperitoneal liposarcoma resection: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05229679

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-19 21:33

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-21 15:06

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for accepting the remarks and improving the manuscript. From my point of view should be accepted for publication in this Journal. It is almost ready! I am sorry but there are some minor edits needed in the text. Please correct them, should not take long. 1. In the Title: line 3, please delete Hyper-accurate. 2. In the Abstract: BACKGROUND section, join the last line with the rest of the text. In the CASE SUMMERY section, at the beginning of the 3th sentence please delete Hyper-accurate (written twice). In CONCLUSION section, use singular (...in both tumor and nearby tissues.) 3. In the Keywords: put comma behind the word Retroperitoneum. 4. History of the past illness: y is missing (healthy). 5. Reference number 9: please delete square brackets at the beginning and the end of the title [Evaluation of prognostic factors for liposarcoma.] 6. In the TREATMENT section: for but last sentence (Finally, we released...) 7. Figure 3: space before the word Immunohistocemically 8. Main text is written in the Book Antigua style but the corrections in Arial style. Please arrange. Thank you for your work. Kind regards Reviewer