

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69652

Title: Endoscopic submucosal dissection combined with adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage neuroendocrine carcinoma of the esophagus: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03723254

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MRCP

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-23 00:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-23 01:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editor Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript title “Endoscopic submucosal dissection for Early-stage Neuroendocrine Carcinoma of the Esophagus A Case Report”. In this case report, the author described an uncommon case of esophageal NET treated with ESD. I have few comments for consideration. Major comments: 1. The title mentioned esophageal NET was treated with ESD is misleading because in the manuscript, adjuvant chemotherapy was also given. 2. Was imaged-chanced endoscopy used for the detection of this lesion? 3. Depth of invasion was classified as submucosa 2 (SM2, submucosal invasion $\geq 200 \mu\text{m}$)..”without lymphvascular invasion” 4. Surveillance interval should be explained in a clear manner 5. Line 123-127: The reviewer do not agree that this patient had complete resection as patient still received adjuvant chemotherapy following ESD Minor comments: The manuscript should be reviewed by an English native speaker to improve the grammar.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69652

Title: Endoscopic submucosal dissection combined with adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage neuroendocrine carcinoma of the esophagus: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05282786

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-18 16:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-28 16:47

Review time: 9 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case presented in this paper represent a rare finding in esophageal pathology. Is well documented and the authors shows nice images. From my point of view 15 titles in references are not enough for a scientific paper including case presentation.