

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70946

**Title:** The effect of enhanced recovery after surgery on inflammatory bowel disease surgery: A meta-analysis.

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02534290

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-08-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-27 06:04

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-09-01 21:30

**Review time:** 5 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

**Peer-reviewer  
statements**

Peer-Review: [ ☐ ] Anonymous [ ☒ ] Onymous  
Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ☐ ] Yes [ ☒ ] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The purpose of this article is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of enhanced recovery after surgery in inflammatory bowel disease surgery. In conclusion, enhanced recovery after surgery was effective for the quicker recovery in inflammatory bowel disease surgery and did not lead to increased complications. The title correctly reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study. The findings are stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussions are accurate and clear. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is accurate and appropriate. The manuscript meets all the requirements for a work of this type. My assessment is that the overall quality of this article is excellent and should be accepted with high priority.