

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70332

**Title:** Successful multimodality treatment of metastatic gallbladder cancer: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04668002

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** DDS, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Sweden

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-30 06:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-30 07:10

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Point 01 The authors need to classify the patient as either stage IVA or IVB and present clear evidence for that, otherwise it is not possible to conclude that “We reported a patient with advanced gallbladder cancer cured by multidisciplinary treatment, which was extremely rare and inspiring” Point 02 Most part of the Discussion is a presentation of results of other studies without directly relating them to the case presented by the authors. Point 03 “Although the prognosis of advanced gallbladder cancer remains extremely poor in the current medical arena, this successful case demonstrates that the multidisciplinary individualized treatment may be a promising approach and can benefit patients with advanced gallbladder cancer to achieve long-term survival or even disease-free survival.” The authors cannot conclude that, as this is a one case report only, not a case series. Point 04 A histopathological microphotograph, Figure 3, with a better resolution will have to be presented. This one is of bad quality. Moreover, please properly identify the histological structures in the photograph with, for example, asterisks, arrows, etc. Point 05 What was the TNM score of the patient?

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70332

**Title:** Successful multimodality treatment of metastatic gallbladder cancer: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04668002

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** DDS, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Sweden

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-09-27 03:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-09-27 03:52

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ **Y** ] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Figure 3 is the same as before, the authors only made a cut of the original one. OK, no problem, but the authors still need to properly identify the histological structures the helped to lead to the diagnosis of gallblader cancer in the photograph with, for example, asterisks, arrows, etc.