

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73394

**Title:** Application effect of thoracoscopic tricuspid valvuloplasty in geriatric patients with tricuspid valve disease

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143777

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-15 08:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 10:13

**Review time:** 13 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, thank you for submitting your paper to the WJCC. Your study is a well-written, good structured recommendation for the treatment of tricuspid valve disease. The recommendations are beneficial for clinical use. Thank you for a useful and important synopsis of this important topic. Finally, you also retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of geriatric patients with tricuspid valve disease treated with traditional thoracotomy and thoracoscopic tricuspid valve surgery. I have only one small comment: I hope the authors could discuss the limitations of this article appropriately. In fact, increasing the sample size of patients will help us better understand more information. I recommend accepting this manuscript for publication after a minor editing.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73394

**Title:** Application effect of thoracoscopic tricuspid valvuloplasty in geriatric patients with tricuspid valve disease

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06143765

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-15 08:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 10:13

**Review time:** 13 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. However, METHODS and RESULTS need to be more precisely described. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status, and significance of the study. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. The research objectives achieved by the experiments are used in this study. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The findings and their relevance to the literature are stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussion is accurate and it discuss the paper's scientific significance and relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. The tables are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. The manuscript meets the requirements of biostatistics. The manuscript meets the requirements of use of SI units. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style is accurate and appropriate. The manuscript is a retrospective study, the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. The manuscript meets the requirements of ethics. I recommend that the manuscript can be published after polishing the English.