

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71733

Title: Paradoxical herniation after decompressive craniectomy provoked by mannitol: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05142913 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, MBBS

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-19 17:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-19 17:57

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Language quality | [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y] Yes [] No |



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting to read



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71733

Title: Paradoxical herniation after decompressive craniectomy provoked by mannitol: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03999836 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-27 13:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-28 09:37

Review time: 19 Hours

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present very interesting and from the educational point quite valuable paper describing an exceptional complication - paradoxical herniation after decompressive craniectomy provoked by mannitol. Abstract: Backround : in the sentence However, Paradoxical transtentorial herniation - the use of capital letter in the word Paradoxical is incorrect. Case summary: The authors speaks about decompressive craniectomies, but on the CT scans provided only the right sided decompressive craniectomy can be seen. Therefore either correction or explanation is needed. Also the need for prolonged mannitol administration (7 days after surgery requires explanation (too long in the reviewer's opinion in conscious patient with favourable results when considering CT scans and clinical picture). However I flully agree with the treatment measures used by the authors. The key words are well selected Main text: Introduction: The authors provide adequate introductory backround for their case report. However the end of the sentence Currently, only a few cases have reported that paradoxical herniation may occur in the absence of CSF is not easily comprensible - maybe the final part should be in the absence of CSF drainage Case Presentation: the points for discussion or for inclusion in the Case Report - was CT angiography performed or at least postcontrast CT evaluation performed, because the suspicion of AVM was quite high? If yes, it should be added to the report, or at least a shor comment abou the reason why postcontrast CT (or CT angio) has not been performed should be added. The grammar error his left limb was hemiplegic has to be corrected. The CT scans documenting the clinical deteriorations are well selected and dokument both the midline shift and transtentorial



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

uncal herniation. The clinical decision making process is well described. However the dynamics of Na+ blood level may be included to the report (maybe the initial hyponatremia 130 mmol/l is one of the contributing factors). However although the reviewer is not a native speaker of English language the sentence .. nervous system continued to improve and was changed within a few day from a a supine position to the Fowler's position. The final sentence The 2-mo outpatient follow-up revealed a good prognosis – should describe the outcome in more details (initial hemiplegia). Discussion part: Generally well written, but numerous spelling and syntactic errors should be corrected by a native speaker. Final statement: The case report is of educational value and therefore should be considered for publication. However meticulous correction should be undertaken including the language review by a native speaker.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71733

Title: Paradoxical herniation after decompressive craniectomy provoked by mannitol: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03999836 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-19

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Jie Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-24 17:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-24 19:02

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have substantially improved the scientific and educational level of the paper as well as its language quality. In my opinion this revised form can be considered for bublication without any additional revision.